# machine learning(732A99) lab3

# Anubhav Dikshit(anudi287) 17 December 2018

# Contents

Assignment 1	<b>2</b>
1. Implement a kernel method to predict the hourly temperatures for a date and place in Sweden.To	
do so, you are provided with the files stations.csv and temps50k.csv. These files contain	
information about weather stations and temperature measurements in the stations at different	
days and times. The data have been kindly provided by the Swedish Meteorological and	
Hydrological Institute (SMHI)	2
Assignment 2	5
Use the function ksvm from the R package kernlab to learn a SVM for classifying the spam dataset	
that is included with the package. Consider the radial basis function kernel (also known as	
Gaussian) with a width of 0.05. For the C parameter, consider values 0.5, 1 and 5. This implies	
that you have to consider three models	5
Appendix	8

#### Loading The Libraries

### Assignment 1

1. Implement a kernel method to predict the hourly temperatures for a date and place in Sweden. To do so, you are provided with the files stations.csv and temps50k.csv. These files contain information about weather stations and temperature measurements in the stations at different days and times. The data have been kindly provided by the Swedish Meteorological and Hydrological Institute (SMHI).

```
rm(list=ls())
set.seed(1234567890)
stations <- read.csv("stations.csv")
temps <- read.csv("temps50k.csv")
st <- merge(stations,temps,by="station_number")
rm(temps, stations)</pre>
```

#### defining the function

```
kernel_method <- function(df, date, loc_long, loc_lat, h1, h2, h3) {

set.seed(1234567890)
start <- as.POSIXct(date)
interval <- 60
end <- start + as.difftime(1, units="days")
time_seq <- seq(from=start, by=interval*120, to=end)
time_seq <- as.data.frame(time_seq)
colnames(time_seq) <- "new_date_time"
time_seq$\frac{1}{2}$time_index <- rownames(time_seq)

df_new <- merge.data.frame(df,time_seq,all=TRUE)
rm(df)

df_new$new_date <- as.Date(df_new$new_date_time)
df_new$new_time <- format(df_new$new_date_time,"%H:%M:%S")
df_new$loc_long <- loc_long
df_new$loc_lat <- loc_lat</pre>
```

```
df_new$h_distance <- abs(distHaversine(p1 = df_new[,c("loc_long", "loc_lat")], p2 = df_new[,c("longitum of the content of the 
df new$h date <- as.numeric(abs(difftime(df new$new date, df new$date, units = c("days"))))
df_new$h_time <- as.numeric(abs(difftime(strptime(paste(df_new$new_date,</pre>
                                                                                                             df_new$new_time),"%Y-%m-%d%H:%M:%S"),
                                                                                  strptime(paste(df_new$new_date, df_new$time),
                                                                      "%Y-%m-%d %H:%M:%S"),
                                                    units = c("hour"))))
df_new$date_time <- paste(df_new$date, df_new$time)</pre>
df_new$hd_dist <- as.numeric(difftime(df_new$new_date_time,</pre>
                                                    df_new$date_time,
                                                    units = c("hour")))
## removing any negative dates and time
df_new$posterior_flag <- as.factor(ifelse(df_new$h_distance > 0 & df_new$hd_dist > 0, "retain", "drop")
## calculating kernel distance and choosing guassian kernel
df_new$h_distance_kernel <- exp(-(df_new$h_distance/h1)^2)</pre>
df_new$h_date_kernel <- exp(-(df_new$h_date/h2)^2)</pre>
df_new$h_time_kernel <- exp(-(df_new$h_time/h3)^2)</pre>
df_new$total_additive_dist <- (df_new$h_distance_kernel + df_new$h_date_kernel + df_new$h_time_kernel)
df_new$total_mul_dist <- (df_new$h_distance_kernel * df_new$h_date_kernel * df_new$h_time_kernel)
df_new$additive_num <- ifelse(df_new$posterior_flag == "retain",</pre>
                                                            df_new$h_distance_kernel*df_new$air_temperature +
                                                                df_new$h_date_kernel*df_new$air_temperature +
                                                                df_new$h_time_kernel*df_new$air_temperature,0)
df_new$mul_num <- ifelse(df_new$posterior_flag == "retain",</pre>
                                                  (df_new$h_distance_kernel*df_new$air_temperature) *
                                                                 (df_new$h_date_kernel*df_new$air_temperature) *
                                                                 (df_new$h_time_kernel*df_new$air_temperature),0)
df new$additive den <- ifelse(df new$posterior flag == "retain", df new$total additive dist, 0)
df new$mul den <- ifelse(df new$posterior flag == "retain", df new$total mul dist, 0)
time = unique(time_seq$time_index)
result <- NULL
for(i in time){
temp <- df_new[df_new$time_index == i,]</pre>
additive_temp <- sum(temp$additive_num)/sum(temp$additive_den)</pre>
mult_temp <- sum(temp$mul_num)/sum(temp$mul_den)</pre>
temp <- cbind(additive_temp, mult_temp, i)</pre>
result <- rbind(temp,result)</pre>
result <- as.data.frame(result)</pre>
```

```
result <- merge(x =result, y = time_seq, by.x = "i", by.y = "time_index", all.x = TRUE)
result$additive_temp <- as.numeric(result$additive_temp)
result$mult_temp <- as.numeric(result$mult_temp)


p1 <- ggplot(data=result, aes(x=new_date_time)) +
    geom_point(aes(y = additive_temp)) +
    geom_point(aes(y = mult_temp)) +
    geom_line(aes(y = additive_temp, color = "Additive")) +
    geom_line(aes(y = mult_temp, color = "Multiplicative")) +
    scale_color_manual(values=c("#E69F00", "#56B4E9")) +
    ylab("predicted temperature") +
    theme_bw() +
    ggtitle("Predicted Temperature using Kernels")

final <- list(p1)
return(final)
}</pre>
```

#### calling function

## [[1]]

# Predicted Temperature using Kernels



Analysis: A good width for the distance is 30Kms, the reasoning behind this is that temperature in Linkoping

and Norrkoping tend to be similar but they vary by a few degree, given that sweden is way up north the temperature flucations will be less senstive to distance than compared to equator, thus 30Kms tend to be reasonable.

The width for the distance for day is 2, because I have personally experienced days where one days its freezing and next day I am sweating, thus 2 days is what I have choosen for my width.

For the width of time, considering the shorter winter days I do expect 3 hour of the time to be ideal window for temperature.

### Assignment 2

Use the function ksvm from the R package kernlab to learn a SVM for classifying the spam dataset that is included with the package. Consider the radial basis function kernel (also known as Gaussian) with a width of 0.05. For the C parameter, consider values 0.5, 1 and 5. This implies that you have to consider three models.

```
rm(list=ls())
set.seed(1234567890)
data(spam)
## create test and training set
index <- sample(1:dim(spam)[1])</pre>
spamtrain <- spam[index[1:floor(dim(spam)[1]/2)], ]</pre>
spamtest <- spam[index[((ceiling(dim(spam)[1]/2)) + 1):dim(spam)[1]], ]</pre>
## train a support vector machine
model_0.05 <- ksvm(type~., data=spamtrain,</pre>
                kernel="rbfdot",
                kpar=list(sigma=0.05),
                C=0.5)
model_1.0 <- ksvm(type~.,data=spamtrain,</pre>
                kernel="rbfdot",
                kpar=list(sigma=0.05),
                C=1.0)
model_5.0 <- ksvm(type~.,data=spamtrain,</pre>
                kernel="rbfdot",
                kpar=list(sigma=0.05),
                C=5.0)
model_0.05
```

```
## Support Vector Machine object of class "ksvm"
##
## SV type: C-svc (classification)
## parameter : cost C = 0.5
##
```

```
## Gaussian Radial Basis kernel function.
## Hyperparameter : sigma = 0.05
## Number of Support Vectors : 1063
## Objective Function Value : -304.0238
## Training error : 0.044783
model_1.0
## Support Vector Machine object of class "ksvm"
## SV type: C-svc (classification)
## parameter : cost C = 1
##
## Gaussian Radial Basis kernel function.
## Hyperparameter : sigma = 0.05
## Number of Support Vectors : 964
## Objective Function Value : -446.3466
## Training error: 0.037826
model_5.0
## Support Vector Machine object of class "ksvm"
## SV type: C-svc (classification)
## parameter : cost C = 5
##
## Gaussian Radial Basis kernel function.
## Hyperparameter : sigma = 0.05
## Number of Support Vectors : 918
## Objective Function Value : -1016.625
## Training error : 0.017826
# confusion table
conf_model_0.05 <- table(spamtest[,58], predict(model_0.05,spamtest[,-58]))</pre>
names(dimnames(conf_model_0.05)) <- c("Actual Test", "Predicted Test")</pre>
caret::confusionMatrix(conf_model_0.05)
## Confusion Matrix and Statistics
##
##
              Predicted Test
## Actual Test nonspam spam
##
       nonspam
                  1345
       spam
                   155 744
##
##
##
                  Accuracy: 0.9083
                    95% CI : (0.8957, 0.9197)
##
       No Information Rate: 0.6522
##
##
       P-Value [Acc > NIR] : < 0.0000000000000022
##
```

```
##
                     Kappa: 0.8035
    Mcnemar's Test P-Value : 0.0000000001514
##
##
##
               Sensitivity: 0.8967
##
               Specificity: 0.9300
##
            Pos Pred Value: 0.9600
##
            Neg Pred Value: 0.8276
                Prevalence: 0.6522
##
##
            Detection Rate: 0.5848
##
      Detection Prevalence: 0.6091
##
         Balanced Accuracy: 0.9133
##
##
          'Positive' Class : nonspam
##
conf_model_1.0 <- table(spamtest[,58], predict(model_1.0,spamtest[,-58]))</pre>
names(dimnames(conf_model_1.0)) <- c("Actual Test", "Predicted Test")</pre>
caret::confusionMatrix(conf_model_1.0)
## Confusion Matrix and Statistics
##
              Predicted Test
##
##
  Actual Test nonspam spam
##
       nonspam
                  1339
                          62
##
                   131 768
       spam
##
                  Accuracy: 0.9161
##
##
                    95% CI: (0.904, 0.9271)
##
       No Information Rate: 0.6391
       P-Value [Acc > NIR] : < 0.0000000000000022
##
##
##
                     Kappa: 0.8213
   Mcnemar's Test P-Value : 0.0000009843
##
##
##
               Sensitivity: 0.9109
##
               Specificity: 0.9253
##
            Pos Pred Value: 0.9557
            Neg Pred Value: 0.8543
##
##
                Prevalence: 0.6391
##
            Detection Rate: 0.5822
##
      Detection Prevalence: 0.6091
##
         Balanced Accuracy: 0.9181
##
##
          'Positive' Class : nonspam
##
conf_model_0.05 <- table(spamtest[,58], predict(model_5.0,spamtest[,-58]))</pre>
names(dimnames(conf_model_0.05)) <- c("Actual Test", "Predicted Test")</pre>
caret::confusionMatrix(conf_model_0.05)
## Confusion Matrix and Statistics
##
##
              Predicted Test
## Actual Test nonspam spam
                  1335
##
       nonspam
```

```
##
       spam
                   125 774
##
##
                  Accuracy: 0.917
                    95% CI : (0.9049, 0.9279)
##
##
       No Information Rate: 0.6348
       P-Value [Acc > NIR] : < 0.0000000000000022
##
##
##
                     Kappa: 0.8235
##
   Mcnemar's Test P-Value: 0.00002708
##
##
               Sensitivity: 0.9144
               Specificity: 0.9214
##
##
            Pos Pred Value: 0.9529
##
            Neg Pred Value: 0.8610
##
                Prevalence: 0.6348
##
            Detection Rate: 0.5804
##
      Detection Prevalence: 0.6091
##
         Balanced Accuracy: 0.9179
##
##
          'Positive' Class : nonspam
##
```

#### Analysis:

From the summary of the three models build we can see that the accuracy of models are 90.83%, 91.61%, 91.70% respectively. Accuracy is only half the story, as a good spam detection should never classify a good mail has 'spam', which is something that model1 is doing. However in model 1 also has the least accuracy however its marginally bad. Given a choice i would select model1 has the best model despite the lower accuracy.

Purpose of the 'C' parameter:- C is the cost parameter which penalizes large residuals. So a larger cost will result in a more flexible model with fewer misclassifications. In effect the cost parameter allows you to adjust the bias/variance trade-off. The greater the cost parameter, the more variance in the model and the less bias. The greater the cost, the fewer misclassifications are allowed. Note that here we penalize the residuals resulting in higher variance and lower bias.

# **Appendix**

```
st <- merge(stations,temps,by="station_number")</pre>
rm(temps, stations)
kernel_method <- function(df, date, loc_long, loc_lat, h1, h2, h3) {</pre>
set.seed(1234567890)
start <- as.POSIXct(date)</pre>
interval <- 60
end <- start + as.difftime(1, units="days")</pre>
time_seq <- seq(from=start, by=interval*120, to=end)</pre>
time_seq <- as.data.frame(time_seq)</pre>
colnames(time_seq) <- "new_date_time"</pre>
time_seq$time_index <- rownames(time_seq)</pre>
df_new <- merge.data.frame(df,time_seq,all=TRUE)</pre>
rm(df)
df_new$new_date <- as.Date(df_new$new_date_time)</pre>
df_new$new_time <- format(df_new$new_date_time,"%H:%M:%S")
df_new$loc_long <- loc_long</pre>
df_new$loc_lat <- loc_lat</pre>
df_new$h_distance <- abs(distHaversine(p1 = df_new[,c("loc_long", "loc_lat")], p2 = df_new[,c("longitu
df new$h date <- as.numeric(abs(difftime(df new$new date, df new$date, units = c("days"))))
df_new$h_time <- as.numeric(abs(difftime(strptime(paste(df_new$new_date,</pre>
                                                          df_new\$new_time),"\%Y-\%m-\%d\%H:\%M:\%S"),
                                            strptime(paste(df_new$new_date, df_new$time),
                                     "%Y-%m-%d %H:%M:%S"),
                            units = c("hour"))))
df_new$date_time <- paste(df_new$date, df_new$time)</pre>
df_new$hd_dist <- as.numeric(difftime(df_new$new_date_time,</pre>
                            df_new$date_time,
                           units = c("hour")))
## removing any negative dates and time
df_new$posterior_flag <- as.factor(ifelse(df_new$h_distance > 0 & df_new$hd_dist > 0, "retain", "drop")
## calculating kernel distance and choosing guassian kernel
df_new$h_distance_kernel <- exp(-(df_new$h_distance/h1)^2)</pre>
df_new$h_date_kernel <- exp(-(df_new$h_date/h2)^2)</pre>
df_new$h_time_kernel <- exp(-(df_new$h_time/h3)^2)</pre>
df_new$total_additive_dist <- (df_new$h_distance_kernel + df_new$h_date_kernel + df_new$h_time_kernel)
df_new$total_mul_dist <- (df_new$h_distance_kernel * df_new$h_date_kernel * df_new$h_time_kernel)
df_new$additive_num <- ifelse(df_new$posterior_flag == "retain",</pre>
                                df_new$h_distance_kernel*df_new$air_temperature +
                                  df_new$h_date_kernel*df_new$air_temperature +
                                  df_new$h_time_kernel*df_new$air_temperature,0)
```

```
df_new$mul_num <- ifelse(df_new$posterior_flag == "retain",</pre>
                          (df_new$h_distance_kernel*df_new$air_temperature) *
                                  (df_new$h_date_kernel*df_new$air_temperature) *
                                  (df_new$h_time_kernel*df_new$air_temperature),0)
df_new$additive_den <- ifelse(df_new$posterior_flag == "retain", df_new$total_additive_dist, 0)
df_new$mul_den <- ifelse(df_new$posterior_flag == "retain", df_new$total_mul_dist, 0)</pre>
time = unique(time_seq$time_index)
result <- NULL
for(i in time){
temp <- df_new[df_new$time_index == i,]</pre>
additive_temp <- sum(temp$additive_num)/sum(temp$additive_den)
mult_temp <- sum(temp$mul_num)/sum(temp$mul_den)</pre>
temp <- cbind(additive_temp, mult_temp, i)</pre>
result <- rbind(temp,result)</pre>
}
result <- as.data.frame(result)
result <- merge(x =result, y = time_seq, by.x = "i", by.y = "time_index", all.x = TRUE)
result$additive temp <- as.numeric(result$additive temp)</pre>
result$mult_temp <- as.numeric(result$mult_temp)</pre>
p1 <- ggplot(data=result, aes(x=new_date_time)) +</pre>
  geom_point(aes(y = additive_temp)) +
  geom_point(aes(y = mult_temp)) +
  geom_line(aes(y = additive_temp, color = "Additive")) +
  geom_line(aes(y = mult_temp, color = "Multiplicative")) +
  scale_color_manual(values=c("#E69F00", "#56B4E9")) +
  ylab("predicted temperature") +
 theme_bw() +
  ggtitle("Predicted Temperature using Kernels")
final <- list(p1)
return(final)
}
kernel_method(df=st, date = "2000-05-08", loc_long = 17.6935,
              loc_lat = 59.9953, h1 = 30000, h2 = 2, h3 = 5)
rm(list=ls())
set.seed(1234567890)
data(spam)
## create test and training set
index <- sample(1:dim(spam)[1])</pre>
spamtrain <- spam[index[1:floor(dim(spam)[1]/2)], ]</pre>
spamtest <- spam[index[((ceiling(dim(spam)[1]/2)) + 1):dim(spam)[1]], ]</pre>
## train a support vector machine
```

```
model_0.05 <- ksvm(type~., data=spamtrain,</pre>
                kernel="rbfdot",
                kpar=list(sigma=0.05),
                C=0.5)
model_1.0 <- ksvm(type~.,data=spamtrain,</pre>
                kernel="rbfdot",
                kpar=list(sigma=0.05),
                C=1.0)
model_5.0 <- ksvm(type~.,data=spamtrain,</pre>
                kernel="rbfdot",
                kpar=list(sigma=0.05),
                C=5.0)
model_0.05
model_1.0
model_5.0
# confusion table
conf_model_0.05 <- table(spamtest[,58], predict(model_0.05,spamtest[,-58]))</pre>
names(dimnames(conf_model_0.05)) <- c("Actual Test", "Predicted Test")</pre>
caret::confusionMatrix(conf_model_0.05)
conf_model_1.0 <- table(spamtest[,58], predict(model_1.0,spamtest[,-58]))</pre>
names(dimnames(conf_model_1.0)) <- c("Actual Test", "Predicted Test")</pre>
caret::confusionMatrix(conf_model_1.0)
conf_model_0.05 <- table(spamtest[,58], predict(model_5.0,spamtest[,-58]))</pre>
names(dimnames(conf_model_0.05)) <- c("Actual Test", "Predicted Test")</pre>
caret::confusionMatrix(conf_model_0.05)
```