

# On the Brownian motion in Lie groups<sup>\*</sup>

Nikolas Koumpis<sup>†</sup>

Yale University

## Abstract

In the case of a differentiable manifold, where metric properties interact with the smooth structure, Brownian motion is generated by the Laplace-Beltrami operator. On top of that, the symmetries of a Lie group can potentially facilitate implementation via the associated Lie algebra. These notes investigate the possibility of matching infinitesimal symmetries of a general smooth structure via a Lie group, with a goal of achieving more tractable implementations.

## 1 Introduction

The symmetries of a mathematical object are understood through the algebraic structure of a group. Whether it is the algebraic structure though that dictates symmetric nature, or the other way around, is an interesting question that lies beyond the scope of these notes. In any case, symmetries often enable the development of efficient algorithms. For instance Gaussian elimination relies on the symmetries of the Euclidean space for transforming an initial system of linear algebraic equations to a more simple one. Further, symmetry can be leveraged in optimization, where a constrained optimization problem is recast into an unconstrained one over a certain group. Sensible functions between groups serve as a means for exposing their interaction and facilitate understanding the one by studying the other. More importantly, the fact that computational burden does not necessarily remain unaltered under such functions, suggests that it might be easier to *design* in a certain group aiming to apply in its image.

These notes focus on Lie groups. The latter are smooth manifolds equipped with a compatible group structure. The group operations (multiplication and inversion) are smooth with the corresponding isomorphisms rendering the tangent bundle of a Lie group trivial. Because of that, the operation of rather complex constructions on general manifolds, can be naturally captured by more simple ones through the associated Lie algebra. We want understand the Brownian motion on general Lie groups. In order to do so, we focus on deriving the corresponding invariant Laplace-Beltrami operator. We do so, without any compactness assumption on the group.

## 2 Preliminaries

A smooth manifold  $M$  of dimension  $\dim(M) = d$  is a Hausdorff space along with a local diffeomorphism onto  $\mathbb{R}^d$ . The tangent space at a point is isomorphically defined by the space of derivators and the set of all tangent spaces admits naturally the structure of a fiber bundle—the

---

<sup>\*</sup>This is a first draft of a work in progress.

<sup>†</sup>nikolaos.koumpis@yale.edu

tangent bundle. Then, one has a connection that indicates how changes in the total space induce changes along the fiber. Whenever a certain connection is defined, one has a notion of curvature. In what follows we review the derivation of the Laplace-Beltrami operator.

To begin with, on a smooth manifold  $M$ , consider a smooth, symmetric, positive definite covariant 2-tensor field  $g : C^\infty(TM) \times C^\infty(TM) \rightarrow C^\infty(M)$ , with value at a point  $p \in U$

$$g(X, Y)(p) = \sum_{i,j} g_{i,j}(p) e_p^i(X_p) \otimes e_p^j(Y_p), \quad (1)$$

where  $\{e^i\}_{i=1}^d$  is the dual to the frame  $\{E_i\}_{i=1}^d$ ; the latter naturally defined by the vector space isomorphism  $\varphi_*^{-1} : T_{\phi(p)}(M) \rightarrow T_p(M)$ ,  $\varphi_*^{-1}(\frac{\partial}{\partial x_i}|_{x=\varphi(p)}) = E_{i,p}$ . Here  $(U, \varphi)$  denotes a smooth chart of the (smooth) covering of  $M$ . Provided with a smooth section  $X : M \rightarrow TM$ , with  $X_p = \sum_{j=1}^d X_{i,p} E_i(p)$  in  $U \subseteq M$ , let  $X^* : M \rightarrow T^*M$  be the smooth co-vector field determined by  $X$  and the Riemannian metric  $g$ :

$$X^*(p) \equiv g(X)(Y)(p) = \sum_j X_p^{*j} e_p^j(Y), \quad (2)$$

where

$$X_p^{*,j} = \sum_i g_{i,j}(p) X_{i,p}, \quad (3)$$

are the components of  $X^*$  w.r.t. the co-frame<sup>1</sup>  $\{e^i(\cdot)\}_i$ . Conversely, given a smooth co-vector field  $X^* : M \rightarrow T^*M$  with value at  $p \in U$  as in (2), (3) determines a smooth vector field  $X : M \rightarrow TM$  that in  $U$  can be expressed w.r.t. the frame  $\{E_i\}$  as above. Its components are

$$X_{i,p} = \sum_j g^{i,j}(p) X_p^{*,j}, \quad (4)$$

where  $g^{i,j}$  represents  $g^{-1}$  in  $U$ . We isomorphically define the gradient  $\text{grad} f : M \rightarrow TM$  of a 0-differential form  $f \in C^\infty(M)$  to be the vector field such that

$$g(\text{grad} f, Y)(p) = \text{d}f(Y)(p), \quad \forall p \in M, \quad (5)$$

where  $\text{d}f : \Omega^0 \rightarrow \Omega^1$  is the exterior derivative of the 0-form  $f$

$$\text{d}f(X) \equiv Xf, \quad (6)$$

where of course  $Xf(p) \equiv X_p f$ . Inside  $(U, \varphi)$ ,

$$\text{d}f(Y_p)(p) = \sum_i c^i e^i(Y_p),$$

which for  $Y_p = E_{k,p}$  gives  $c^k = E_{k,p} f$ . This implies

$$\text{grad} f(p)_i = \sum_j g^{i,j}(p) E_{i,p} f,$$

---

<sup>1</sup>The topology of the tangent bundle is given by the pre-image of the projection map. Thus, open sets of the tangent bundle are of the form  $W = \pi^{-1}(U) \equiv U \times E^n$ . This implies that frames and co-frames are considered always w.r.t. some open chart. Besides, they are both constant assignments only in some open  $U \subseteq M$ .

or

$$\text{grad}(f)(p) = \sum_i \left( \sum_j g^{i,j}(p)(E_{j,p}f) \right) E_{i,p}, \quad p \in U.$$

Take  $h \in C^\infty(M)$ . Then

$$\text{grad}f_p(h) = \sum_i \left( \sum_j g^{i,j} \circ \varphi^{-1}(x) \frac{\partial}{\partial x_j} \Big|_{x=\varphi(p)} \hat{f} \right) \frac{\partial}{\partial x_i} \Big|_{x=\varphi(p)} \hat{h}.$$

which holds for all  $\hat{h} \in C^\infty(\mathbb{R}^{\dim(M)=d})$ , and thus for  $\hat{h} = x_l$  too. As a result,

$$\text{grad}f_x = \sum_j g^{i,j} \circ \varphi^{-1}(x) \frac{\partial \hat{f}}{\partial x_j} \Big|_x, \quad x \in \bar{U} = \varphi(U) \subseteq \mathbb{R}^n,$$

and we write

$$\text{grad}f_x = g^{-1} \nabla \hat{f}.$$

Moving forward, we need a way for measuring volumes. To this end, from now on we assume that  $M$  is oriented. In an oriented Riemannian manifold, the Riemannian volume form is a non-negative differential form that provides a way for linearly measuring volumes. A  $n$ -differential form  $\omega_g : M \rightarrow \Lambda^n(T^*M)$  evaluates at a point  $p \in (U, \varphi)$  as

$$\omega_g(X_1, \dots, X_n)(p) = \alpha(p) e_p^1 \wedge \dots \wedge e_p^n(X_1, \dots, X_n), \quad (7)$$

where  $\alpha \in C^\infty(M)$ , and  $\{e^i\}_i$  is the dual to the coordinate frame  $\{E_i\}_i$  in  $(U, \varphi)$ . In addition, given the metric (1), let  $\{\hat{E}_i\}_i$  be the orthonormal frame w.r.t. to which we assign positive orientation in  $(U, \varphi)$ , and  $\{\hat{e}_i\}_i$  the corresponding dual. With respect to this frame, the Riemannian volume form reads

$$\omega_g(X_1, \dots, X_n)(p) = \hat{e}_p^1 \wedge \dots \wedge \hat{e}_p^n(X_1, \dots, X_n), \quad (8)$$

Since the orthonormal frame, at any point, spans the corresponding tangent space,

$$E_{i,p} = \sum_j c_j^i \hat{E}_{j,p}.$$

Further,  $\hat{e}_p^i = \lambda_1 e_p^1 + \lambda_2 e_p^2 + \dots + \lambda_n e_p^n$ , from which we obtain  $\hat{e}_p^i(E_{k,p}) = \lambda_k$ . In addition,  $\hat{e}_p^i(E_{k,1}) = c_k^i$ , and therefore

$$\hat{e}_i^i = \sum_j c_j^i c_p^j$$

By equating (7), and (8) on the coordinate frame components, we obtain:

$$\begin{aligned} \omega(E_{1,p}, \dots, E_{n,p}) &= \hat{e}_p^1 \wedge \dots \wedge \hat{e}_p^n(E_{1,p}, \dots, E_{n,p}) \\ &= \text{Alt} \left( \hat{e}_p^1(E_{1,p}) \otimes \dots \otimes \hat{e}_p^n(E_{n,p}) \right) \\ &= \frac{1}{n!} \sum_{\sigma \in S_n} \text{sgn}(\sigma) \hat{e}_p^1(E_{\sigma(1),p}) \otimes \dots \otimes \hat{e}_p^n(E_{\sigma(n),p}). \end{aligned}$$

Further,

$$\begin{aligned}
\hat{e}_p^i(E_{\sigma(i)p}) &= \hat{e}_p^i\left(\varphi_*^{-1}\left(\partial x_{\sigma(i)}\Big|_{x=\varphi(p)}\right)\right) = (\varphi^{*-1}\hat{e}_1^i)\left(\partial x_{\sigma(i)}\Big|_{x=\varphi(p)}\right) \\
&= \left(\varphi^{*-1}\sum_j c_j^i e_p^j\right)\left(\partial x_{\sigma(i)}\Big|_{x=\varphi(p)}\right) = \left(\sum_j c_j^i \varphi^{*-1} e_p^j\right)\left(\partial x_{\sigma(i)}\Big|_{x=\varphi(p)}\right) \\
&= \sum_j c_j^i dx^j\left(\partial x_{\sigma(i)}\Big|_{x=\varphi(p)}\right) = c_{\sigma(i)}^i(\varphi(p)) = c_{\sigma(i)}^i(x).
\end{aligned}$$

Therefore,

$$\begin{aligned}
\alpha(p) &= \omega(E_{1,p}, \dots, E_{n,p}) = \frac{1}{n!} \sum_{\sigma \in S_n} \text{sgn}(\sigma) c_{\sigma(1)}^1 \cdots c_{\sigma(n)}^n \\
&= \det\left(C^\top(\varphi(p))\right).
\end{aligned}$$

On top of that, since  $\{\hat{E}_{i,p}\}_i$  are orthonormal w.r.t. the Riemannian metric  $g$ ,

$$\begin{aligned}
g_{i,k}(p) &= g(E_{i,p}, E_{k,p})(p) = g\left(\sum_j c_j^i \hat{E}_{j,p}, \sum_{\dot{\rho}} c_{\dot{\rho}}^k \hat{E}_{\dot{\rho},p}\right)(p) \\
&= \sum_{j,\rho} c_j^i c_{\rho}^k \delta_{\rho}^j = [CC^\top]_{i,k}.
\end{aligned}$$

As a result,

$$\alpha(p) = \sqrt{\det(g(p))}.$$

At this point we assume that given  $U \subseteq M$ , we can find an oriented orthonormal frame  $\{E_i\}_i^n$ , with co-frame  $\{e_i\}_i^n$ .

**Lemma 1.** *On a smooth oriented Riemannian manifold  $M$ , the divergence of a smooth vector field  $X : M \rightarrow TM$ , inside a smooth chart  $(U, \varphi)$  is expressed as*

$$\text{div}(X)(p) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{\det(g(p))}} \sum_i E_{i,p}(\sqrt{\det(g(p))} X_i(p)), \quad p \in U \quad (9)$$

where  $\{E_i\}_i$  is the natural coordinate frame in  $(U, \varphi)$ .

*Proof.* By definition

$$\text{div}(X) \equiv \star^{-1} d(X \lrcorner \omega_g), \quad (10)$$

where,  $\star : \Omega^n(M) \rightarrow C^\infty(M)$  is the hodge star operator,  $\lrcorner : \Omega^n(M) \rightarrow \Omega^{n-1}(M)$ , the interior product, and  $d : \Omega^n(M) \rightarrow \Omega^{n+1}(M)$  the exterior derivative.

In a smooth chart  $(U, \varphi)$  with associated coordinate frame  $\{E_i\}_i$ , and corresponding dual  $\{e_i\}_i$ , the interior product can be expressed explicitly. In particular, the interior product of  $X$  and the  $\omega_g$  is a reduced order alternating tensor with value at  $p \in U$

$$(X \lrcorner \omega_g)(p) = X \lrcorner \left( \sqrt{\det(g(p))} e_p^1 \wedge \cdots \wedge e_p^n \right). \quad (11)$$

All 0-tensors are alternating tensors, and, the wedge product of a 0-tensor and any alternating tensor is well-defined. On top of that, the interior product of a 0- differential form is zero and thus, by the product rule of interior product

$$\begin{aligned}
(X \lrcorner \omega_g)(p) &= (-1)^0 \sqrt{\det(g(p))} (X \lrcorner (e_p^1 \wedge \dots \wedge e_p^n))(p) \\
&= \sqrt{\det(g(p))} \sum_{i=1}^n (-1)^{i-1} e_p^i(X) \wedge \dots \wedge \tilde{e}_p^i \wedge \dots \wedge e_p^n \\
&= \sqrt{\det(g(p))} \sum_{i=1}^n (-1)^{i-1} X_i(p) e_p^1 \wedge \dots \wedge \tilde{e}_p^i \wedge \dots \wedge e_p^n
\end{aligned} \tag{12}$$

By taking the exterior derivative of (12) and subsequently using the product rule for exterior derivatives [Lee, 2012, p. 365] we obtain the  $n$ -differential form, with value at  $p \in U$

$$\begin{aligned}
d(X \lrcorner \omega_g)(p) &= d \left( \sum_i \sqrt{\det(g(p))} (-1)^{i-1} X_i(p) e_p^1 \wedge \dots \wedge \tilde{e}_p^i \wedge \dots \wedge e_p^n \right) \\
&\stackrel{\text{linearity \& product rule of } d}{=} \sum_i d \left( \sqrt{\det(g(p))} (-1)^{i-1} X_i(p) \right) \wedge e_p^1 \wedge \dots \wedge \tilde{e}_p^i \wedge \dots \wedge e_p^n \\
&\quad + \sum_i \sqrt{\det(g(p))} (-1)^{i-1} X_i(p) d(e_p^1 \wedge \dots \wedge \tilde{e}_p^i \wedge \dots \wedge e_p^n).
\end{aligned} \tag{13}$$

The second term is zero. For the first term, the differential  $d(\sqrt{\det(g(p))} (-1)^{i-1} X_i(p))$  is a co-vector field with value at  $p$

$$d(\sqrt{\det(g(p))} (-1)^{i-1} X_i(p))(Y)(p) = \sum_l \beta_l(p) e_p^l(Y), \quad p \in U, \quad Y \in T_p M \tag{14}$$

For  $Y_p = E_{\eta p}$  in (14) we obtain

$$\beta_\eta(p) = d(\sqrt{\det(g(p))} (-1)^{i-1} X_i(p))(E_{\eta p})(p) \tag{15}$$

On top of that the exterior derivative of a 0-form

$$df(Y)(p) \equiv Y_p f. \tag{16}$$

Therefore, from (14), and (15) we obtain

$$\beta_\eta(p) = E_{\eta p} \sqrt{\det(g(p))} (-1)^{i-1} X_i(p). \tag{17}$$

Thus, the exterior derivative reads:

$$\begin{aligned}
d(\sqrt{\det(g(p))} (-1)^{i-1} X_i(p))(Y)(p) &= \sum_l E_{lp} \left( \sqrt{\det(g(p))} (-1)^{i-1} X_i(p) \right) e_p^l \\
&= (-1)^{i-1} \sum_l E_{lp} \left( \sqrt{\det(g(p))} X_i(p) \right) e_p^l.
\end{aligned} \tag{18}$$

By plugging (18) into (13), we can easily observe that the only term that remains from the sum in (18) is the  $i$ th term. Thus,

$$\begin{aligned} d(X \lrcorner \omega_g)(p) &= \sum_i \left( (-1)^{i-1} \sum_l E_{lp} \left( \sqrt{\det(g(p))} X_i(p) \right) e_p^l \right) \wedge e_p^1 \wedge \dots \wedge \widehat{e}_p^i \wedge \dots \wedge e_p^n \\ &= \sum_i E_{ip} \left( \sqrt{\det(g(p))} X_i(p) \right) e_p^i (-1)^{i-1} \wedge (e_p^1 \wedge \dots \wedge \widehat{e}_p^i \wedge \dots \wedge e_p^n) \end{aligned} \quad (19)$$

In the above expression,  $e_p^i$  swaps  $i-1$  times to reach the  $i$  slot, and thus

$$\begin{aligned} d(X \lrcorner \omega_g)(p) &= \sum_i E_{ip} \left( \sqrt{\det(g(p))} X_i(p) \right) e_p^1 \wedge \dots \wedge e_p^n \\ &= \frac{\sum_i E_{ip} \left( \sqrt{\det(g(p))} X_i(p) \right)}{\sqrt{\det(g(p))}} \omega_g(p) \end{aligned}$$

As a result, the Riemannian divergence of the vector field  $X$  at a point  $p \in U$  can be expressed by

$$\operatorname{div}_M X(p) = \frac{\sum_i E_{ip} \left( \sqrt{\det(g(p))} X_i(p) \right)}{\sqrt{\det(g(p))}}, \quad p \in U.$$

□

Given an orientation in  $M$ , the Laplacian of a smooth function  $f \in C^\infty(M)$  is defined as:

$$\Delta f(p) \equiv \operatorname{div} \left( (df)^\sharp \right),$$

where  $(\ )^\sharp : T^*M \rightarrow TM$  denotes the dual pairing induced by the metric. To begin with, it is

$$((df)^\sharp \lrcorner \omega_g)(p) = (\operatorname{grad} f \lrcorner \omega_g)_p \equiv \operatorname{grad} f_p \lrcorner \omega_{gp},$$

and from the product rule for the interior product, and given that it is zero for a real-valued function,

$$\begin{aligned} \operatorname{grad} f_p \lrcorner \omega_{gp} &= \sqrt{\det(g)(p)} \operatorname{grad} f_p \lrcorner (e_p^1 \wedge \dots \wedge e_p^n) \\ &= \sqrt{\det(g)(p)} \sum_{i=1}^n (-1)^{i-1} e^i(\operatorname{grad} f_p) e_p^1 \wedge \dots \wedge \widehat{e}_p^i \wedge \dots \wedge e_p^n \\ &= \sum_{i=1}^n \left[ \sqrt{\det(g)(p)} (-1)^{i-1} \left( \sum_j g^{i,j}(p) (E_{j,p} f) \right) \right] e_p^1 \wedge \dots \wedge \widehat{e}_p^i \wedge \dots \wedge e_p^n \\ &\in \Lambda^{n-1}(T^*M). \end{aligned} \quad (20)$$

The linearity and product rule of the exterior derivative gives for (20):

$$d(\operatorname{grad} f_p \lrcorner \omega_{gp}) = \sum_{i=1}^n d \left[ \sqrt{\det(g)(p)} (-1)^{i-1} \left( \sum_j g^{i,j}(p) (E_{j,p} f) \right) \right] \wedge e_p^1 \wedge \dots \wedge \widehat{e}_p^i \wedge \dots \wedge e_p^n$$

$$+ \sum_{i=1}^n (-1)^0 \sqrt{\det(g)(p)} (-1)^{i-1} \left( \sum_j g^{i,j}(p) (E_{j,p} f) \right) d(e_p^1 \wedge \cdots \wedge \widehat{e_p^i} \wedge \cdots \wedge e_p^n) \quad (21)$$

The second term in (21) is zero due to repeated indices. Further,

$$\begin{aligned} d \left[ \sqrt{\det(g)(p)} (-1)^{i-1} \left( \sum_j g^{i,j}(p) (E_{j,p} f) \right) \right] \\ = \sum_{k=1}^n E_{k,p} \left( \sqrt{\det(g)(p)} (-1)^{i-1} \left( \sum_j g^{i,j}(p) (E_{j,p} f) \right) \right) e_p^k. \end{aligned} \quad (22)$$

After plugging (22) into (21) the only non-zero component corresponds to the index  $i$ . Also, for  $e_p^{k=i}$  to go from the ‘zero position’ to the  $\widehat{e_p^i}$  position,  $i$  ‘swaps’ are needed and thus, (22) is multiplied by  $(-1)^i$ . Therefore,

$$\begin{aligned} d(\text{grad} f_{p \lrcorner} \omega_{gp}) &= - \left[ \sum_{i=1}^n E_{i,p} \left( \sqrt{\det(g)(p)} \left( \sum_j g^{i,j}(p) (E_{j,p} f) \right) \right) \right] e_p^1 \wedge \cdots \wedge e_p^n \\ &= - \frac{1}{\sqrt{\det(g)(p)}} \left[ \sum_{i=1}^n E_{i,p} \left( \sqrt{\det(g)(p)} \left( \sum_j g^{i,j}(p) (E_{j,p} f) \right) \right) \right] \omega_{gp}, \end{aligned}$$

and subsequently,

$$\star^{-1} d(\text{grad} f_{p \lrcorner} \omega_{gp}) = - \frac{1}{\sqrt{\det(g)(p)}} \left[ \sum_{i=1}^n E_{i,p} \left( \sqrt{\det(g)(p)} \left( \sum_j g^{i,j}(p) (E_{j,p} f) \right) \right) \right]$$

As a result the Laplacian reads,

$$\Delta_p f = - \frac{1}{\sqrt{\det(g)(p)}} \left[ \sum_{i=1}^n E_{i,p} \left( \sqrt{\det(g)(p)} \left( \sum_j g^{i,j}(p) (E_{j,p} f) \right) \right) \right], \quad p \in U. \quad (23)$$

We can further expand (23) as follows:

$$\begin{aligned} \Delta_p f &= - \frac{1}{\sqrt{\det(g)}} \left[ \sum_{i=1}^n E_i \left( \sqrt{\det(g)} \left( \sum_j g^{i,j}(x) (E_j f) \right) \right) \right] \\ &= - \sum_{i,j} \frac{E_i(\sqrt{\det(g)} g^{i,j})}{\sqrt{\det(g)}} E_j f - \sum_{i,j} g^{i,j} E_i E_j f \end{aligned} \quad (24)$$

the latter can be written as

$$\begin{aligned} \Delta_p f &= \sum_j \left( \sum_i \frac{E_{ip}(\sqrt{\det(g)} g^{i,j})}{\sqrt{\det(g)}} \right) E_{jp} f + \sum_{i,j} g^{i,j} E_{ip} E_{jp} f \\ &= \mathbf{d}(x)^\top \nabla f + g^{-1}(x) : \text{Hess} f, \end{aligned} \quad (25)$$

where  $[\mathbf{d}(x)]_i = \text{div}(g^{-1,i}(x_t))$ , where the divergence takes the  $i$ th column of the matrix  $g^{-1}$ . So each component of  $\mathbf{d}$  is the divergence of the  $i$ th column of the matrix  $g^{-1}(p)$ . In other words,

we may consider a vector field with components in  $U$  the entries of the  $i$ th column of  $g^{-1}(p)$ . Then, the generator of the Riemannian Brownian motion w.r.t. the metric  $g$  reads:

$$\Delta_p f = \operatorname{div}_M g^{-1}(p)^\top \nabla_p f + \frac{1}{2} g^{-1}(p) : 2\operatorname{Hess}_p f, \quad (26)$$

and from [Pavliotis, 2016, p. 66] we can identify the Riemannian Brownian motion as the following SDE

$$dx_t = \operatorname{div}_M(g^{-1}(x_t))dt + \sqrt{2g^{-1}(x_t)}d\omega_t, \quad (27)$$

where the divergence is applied to every column of the inverse metric. Worth noticing that the Riemannian Brownian motion, as opposed to the standard Brownian motion, incorporates a drift term. Intuitively, this term is indicated by the inhomogeneous local metric properties (i.e. volume distortion) and reflects the ununiform spread.

We can do more by writing the term inside the first sum in (24) as

$$\frac{E_i(\sqrt{\det(g)}g^{i,j})}{\sqrt{\det(g)}} = \frac{E_i(\sqrt{\det(g)})g^{i,j}}{\sqrt{\det(g)}} + E_i g^{i,j} \quad (28)$$

At this point we can further express the first term in (28) by using the fact that the Riemannian metric automatically determines uniquely a Riemannian torsion-free connection on  $M$  [Boothby, 1986]<sup>2</sup>. A connection is represented by the Christoffel symbols, which in this case, are determined by the Riemannian metric.

$$E_k g_{ij} = \Gamma_{ikj} + \Gamma_{jki} = \sum_l g_{lj} \Gamma_{ik}^l + g_{li} \Gamma_{jk}^l, \quad (29)$$

$$E_k g^{ij} = \sum_l -g^{lj} \Gamma_{lk}^i - g^{li} \Gamma_{lk}^j, \quad (30)$$

where (30) follows from differentiating the identity  $g_{ij}g^{jk} = \delta_i^k$  and inserting (29). From the expression of the derivative of the metric determinant we also find

$$\begin{aligned} E_k \det(g) &= \sum_{i,j} \det(g) g^{ij} E_k g_{ij} \\ &= \sum_{i,j} \det(g) g^{ij} (\Gamma_{ikj} + \Gamma_{jki}) \\ &= \sum_{i,j} \det(g) (\Gamma_{ik}^i + \Gamma_{jk}^j) = 2 \sum_i \det(g) \Gamma_{ik}^i \end{aligned}$$

From this we obtain

$$\sum_i \Gamma_{ik}^i = \frac{1}{2\det(g)} E_k \det(g) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{\det(g)}} E_k \sqrt{\det(g)}.$$

Thus, (28) reads

$$\frac{E_i(\sqrt{\det(g)}g^{i,j})}{\sqrt{\det(g)}} = \sum_l \Gamma_{li}^l g^{i,j} + \sum_l -g^{lj} \Gamma_{li}^i - \sum_l g^{li} \Gamma_{li}^j,$$

---

<sup>2</sup>In general, a connection indicates how motion in the total space, in that case in the tangent bundle, induces motion along the fibre (tangent space)- it is a vector-valued 1-form.



and subsequently the first term in (24)

$$\sum_{i,j} \frac{E_i(\sqrt{\det(g)}g^{i,j})}{\sqrt{\det(g)}} E_j f = \sum_{i,j,l} (\Gamma_{l,i}^l g^{i,j} - g^{l,j} \Gamma_{l,i}^i - g^{l,i} \Gamma_{l,i}^j) E_j f.$$

At this point we can use the symmetry of lower indexes of the Christoffel symbols, isolate the second term, and swap the indexes  $l$ , and  $i$ , to obtain

$$\sum_{i,j} \frac{E_i(\sqrt{\det(g)}g^{i,j})}{\sqrt{\det(g)}} E_j f = - \sum_{i,j,l} g^{l,i} \Gamma_{l,i}^j E_j f$$

As a result, the Laplace-Beltrami operator reads:

$$\Delta_p f = \sum_{i,j,l} g^{l,i}(p) \Gamma_{l,i}^j(p) E_j f(p) - \sum_{i,j} g^{i,j}(p) E_i E_j f(p), \quad p \in U \subseteq M. \quad (31)$$

Again, we can identify the Riemannian Brownian motion as

$$dx_t = \left[ \sum_{i,l} g^{l,i}(x_t) \Gamma_{l,i}^j(x_t) \right]_{j=1:n} dt + \sqrt{2g^{-1}(x_t)} d\omega_t, \quad (32)$$

where explicitly, the drift term depends on the Riemannian connection. Both stochastic differential equations (27), and (32) are understood in the Ito sense.

### 3 In Lie groups

Under valid collections of open sets we are in a position to model continuous symmetries of topological groups. The line, the circle, are standard examples of topological groups. Another example, perhaps less obvious, is the set of transformations of the Euclidean space. Topological groups are central objects in areas like harmonic analysis, representation theory, and differential geometry. A very important set of topological groups are Lie groups. Lie groups are smooth manifolds that are also groups with the group operations being smooth.

For any  $g \in G$ , the left shift by  $g$  is the map  $L_g : G \rightarrow G$  defined by  $L_g(h) \equiv gh$ . In addition, the right shift (by  $g$ ) is the map  $R_g : G \rightarrow G$  defined by  $R_g(h) = hg$ . Both left and right shifts are diffeomorphisms. For  $g, h \in G$ , the composition of left shifts  $L_g$  and  $L_h$  is:  $L_g \circ L_h = L_{gh}$ , which implies  $L_g^{-1} = L_{g^{-1}}$ . The tangent map of  $L_g$  at  $h \in G$  is  $L_{g*} : T_h G \rightarrow T_{gh} G$ , and it is composed as  $L_{g*} \circ L_{h*} = L_{gh*}$  which implies  $L_{g*}^{-1} = L_{g^{-1}*}$ . We now extend the previous results. It is

$$\begin{aligned} df(Y_g)(g) &= df(L_{g*} \circ L_{g*}^{-1} Y_g)(g) \\ &= (L_g^* df)(L_{g*}^{-1} Y_g)(e). \end{aligned} \quad (33)$$

Consider a symmetric, positive definite covariant 2-tensor on the tangent space at the identity,  $\tau : T_e G \times T_e G \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ , and define the Riemannian metric  $\bar{g} : C^\infty(TG) \times C^\infty(TG) \rightarrow C^\infty(G)$  such that

$$\bar{g}(X_g, Y_g)(g) \equiv \tau(L_{g*}^{-1} X_g, L_{g*}^{-1} Y_g), \quad g \in G, X_g, Y_g \in T_g G. \quad (34)$$

It is easy to show that  $\bar{g}$  is a left-invariant Riemannian metric on  $G$ . With respect to that metric, the gradient of a function  $f \in C^\infty(G)$  satisfies

$$\bar{g}(\text{grad} f_g, Y_g)(g) = \text{d}f(Y_g)(g), \quad \forall g \in G.$$

Thus, by combining (33), and (34)

$$\tau(L_{g*}^{-1} \text{grad} f_g, L_{g*}^{-1} Y_g) = (L_g^* \text{d}f)(L_{g*}^{-1} Y_g)(e), \quad \forall Y_g \in T_g G$$

The latter expression shows that the tangent vector  $L_{g*}^{-1} \text{grad} f_g \in T_e G$  uniquely determines a covector  $\omega(Y_e) \equiv \tau(L_{g*}^{-1} \text{grad} f(g), Y_e) \in T_e^* G$ . So there is a vector space isomorphism  $Q : T_e G \rightarrow T_e^* G$  such that

$$(Q \circ L_{g*}^{-1} \text{grad} f_g)(Y_e)(e) = (L_g^* \text{d}f)(Y_e)(e)$$

for all  $Y_e \in T_e G$ . Point-wise definition of equality of co-vectors yields:

$$Q \circ L_{g*}^{-1} \text{grad} f_g = L_g^* \text{d}f,$$

or

$$\text{grad} f_g = L_{g*} \circ Q^{-1} \circ L_g^* \text{d}f.$$

### 3.1 The Left-invariant Laplacian

The result in (31) relies on the smooth structure of  $M$ , and it can be transferred unaltered into the Lie group setting by plugging-in the algebraic structure provided by the group. In [Liao, 2004], the line of argumentation uses [Helgason, 1979, page 105, Theorem 1.7] and essentially zeroes down a term related to the connection on the group. Instead, our derivation follows similar and perhaps more refined arguments and refers to [Tuynman, 1995] where no assumptions regarding the affine connection are made; and with two exponential maps being involved.

**Lemma 2.** *The left-invariant Riemannian Brownian motion on  $G$  reads*

$$\text{d}g_t = L_{g_t*} \left( -\frac{1}{2} \sum_{i,j} \gamma_{ij}^j \epsilon_i \text{d}t + \sum_i \epsilon_i (\leftarrow) \text{d}\omega_{ti} \right), \quad (35)$$

Before giving the proof to Lemma 2, it is necessary to state the following

**Definition 1.** *On a smooth manifold  $M$ , consider the differential operator  $D : C^\infty(M) \rightarrow C^\infty(M)$ . The operator  $D$  is called invariant under the diffeomorphism  $\psi : M \rightarrow M$  iff  $D_{\psi(p)} f = D_p f \circ \psi$ .*

*Proof.* The main goal is to express the coordinate frame induced by the smooth chart  $(U, \varphi)$  w.r.t. the left-invariant vector-fields made by a basis in the tangent space at the identity of the group, and in such a way extend the Laplacian to the entire manifold. In other words, we want to perform a transformation at every tangent space  $T_g G$  at  $g \in U$ .

To do so, first take  $U$  in (31) to be an open set that contains the identity of the group, and subsequently choose a basis  $\{\epsilon_i\}_{i=1}^n$  on  $T_e G$ . The exponential map  $\exp : T_e G \rightarrow G$  is a diffeomorphism from an open neighborhood of  $T_e G$  around zero to an open neighborhood  $V \subseteq U$  [Helgason, 1979, p.104, Proposition 1.6], and therefore, with  $g = \exp(x_i \epsilon_i)$ , we can define  $\varphi(g) = (x_1(g), \dots, x_n(g))$  such that  $x_i(e) = 0$ , and  $x_i(g) = x_i \in \mathbb{R}$  and close to zero.

Let now  $\{E_i\}_i$  be the coordinate frame inside this small neighborhood  $V$ , i.e.  $E_i x_k = \delta_{ik}$ , or equivalently  $E_{ig} = \varphi_*^{-1}(\partial_i|_{x=\varphi(g)})$ . Note that  $\{E_{ie}\}_i = \{\epsilon_i\}_i$ . Consider (31) w.r.t. this frame

$$\Delta_g f = \sum_{i,j,l} g^{l,i}(g) \Gamma_{l,i}^j(g) E_{jg} f - \sum_{i,j} g^{i,j}(g) E_{ig} E_{jg} f, \quad g \in V. \quad (36)$$

At this point, take the group homomorphism of the line (with shift symmetry)  $\gamma : t \mapsto G$ , which we know it reads  $\gamma = \exp(tX)$ ,  $X \in T_e G$ , and let it act on  $G$  from the right

$$\theta_\gamma(t) \equiv R_{\gamma(t)}(g). \quad (37)$$

The infinitesimal generator  $\bar{X} : G \rightarrow TG \equiv G \times T_e G$  of  $\theta : \gamma \times G \rightarrow G$  is a left-invariant vector field and by definition (it is the velocity vector of the orbit of  $g \in G$  under the action  $\theta_\gamma$ ), its value at  $g \in G$  is given by

$$\bar{X}_g f \equiv d_t f(R_{\exp(tX)}(g))|_{t=0}, \quad (38)$$

for all  $f \in C^\infty(V \subseteq G)$ . Further,  $\bar{X}_g$  is a tangent vector at  $T_g G$ , and thus, it can be written w.r.t. the coordinate frame-basis as

$$\bar{X}_g = \sum_j \alpha_j(g) E_{jg}. \quad (39)$$

Now, we know that every tangent vector at the identity completely determines a left-invariant vector field through the tangent map of the left-shift  $L_g : G \rightarrow G$ . Essentially, this is because through the additional algebraic structure we can map diffeomorphically any neighborhood of the identity to every neighborhood that contains a point of the group. By applying this to the basis  $\{\epsilon_i\}_i \in T_e G$ , we have:

$$\bar{\epsilon}_{ig} = \sum_j \alpha_{ij}(g) E_{jg}. \quad (40)$$

Essentially, we want to find the inverse of the transformation  $\{\alpha_{ij}(g)\}_{ij=1}^{\dim(G)}$ . The first step to do so is to plug-in (40) into (38), and subsequently to view  $g \in V$  as the member of the one-parameter sub-group  $g = \exp(tY)$ ,  $Y \in T_e G$ , for  $t = 1$ , thus obtaining

$$\sum_j \alpha_{ij}(\exp(Y)) E_{j\exp(Y)} f = d_t f(\exp(Y) \exp(t\epsilon_i))|_{t=0}. \quad (41)$$

Now, we use the formula for the product of the exponential map [Helgason, 1979, page 106]

$$\exp(Y) \exp(tX) = \exp(Y + t\epsilon_i + \frac{t}{2} \text{ad}(Y)(\epsilon_i) + O(t^2)),$$

which after plugging it into (41) yields:

$$\sum_j \alpha_{ij}(\exp(Y)) E_{j\exp(Y)} f = d_t f\left(\exp(Y + t\epsilon_i + \frac{t}{2} \text{ad}(Y)(\epsilon_i) + O(t^2))\right)|_{t=0}. \quad (42)$$

The term inside the exponential map in the right-hand side of (42) is an element  $v \in (T_e G, [, ] \equiv \mathfrak{g}$ . Observe now that since the exponential map is a diffeomorphism,  $f = x_k$  in would give us the  $k$ th component of  $v$ . That is,

$$\alpha_{ik}(\exp(Y)) = d_t x_k(Y + t\epsilon_i + \frac{t}{2} \text{ad}(Y)(\epsilon_i) + O(t^2))|_{t=0},$$

or

$$\alpha_{ik}(g) = d_t(Y_k + t(\epsilon_i)_k + \frac{t}{2}\text{ad}(Y)(\epsilon_i)_k + O(t^2))|_{t=0}$$

or

$$\alpha_{ik}(g) = (\epsilon_i)_k + \frac{1}{2}\text{ad}(Y)(\epsilon_i)_k, \quad g \in V. \quad (43)$$

Note that the dependency on  $g$  in the right hand-side of (43) ‘is hidden’ in  $Y$  and arises again from the fact that  $\exp$  is a diffeomorphism. With  $Y = \sum_i y_i \epsilon_i \in \mathfrak{g}$ , and close to zero,

$$\exp(Y) = g,$$

with  $\varphi(g) = \{y_i\}_i$ . Therefore,  $Y = \sum_i y_i(g) \epsilon_i$ , and

$$\alpha_{ik}(g) = (\epsilon_i)_k + \frac{1}{2}\text{ad}\left(\sum_{\eta} y_{\eta}(g) \epsilon_{\eta}\right)(\epsilon_i)_k. \quad (44)$$

Due to linearity of the bracket

$$\alpha_{ik}(g) = (\epsilon_i)_k + \frac{1}{2} \sum_{\eta} y_{\eta}(g) \text{ad}(\epsilon_{\eta})(\epsilon_i)_k, \quad g \in V,$$

where the terms  $\text{ad}(\epsilon_{\eta})(\epsilon_i) \in \mathfrak{g}$  can be expressed w.r.t. the basis  $\{\epsilon_i\}_i$  and the structure coefficients of the group. The tangent map of the left-shift of the  $i$ th basis vector of the tangent space at the identity at  $g \in V$  reads

$$\bar{e}_{ig} = \sum_k \left( (\epsilon_i)_k + \frac{1}{2} \sum_{\eta} x_{\eta}(g) \text{ad}(\epsilon_{\eta})(\epsilon_i)_k \right) E_{kg},$$

where  $x_{\eta}$  are the coordinates of  $g \in V$ . To find the inverse transformation, we start by the fact that the tangent map of the exponential map  $\exp(X)_* : T_e G \rightarrow T_{\exp(X)} G$  reads [Tuynman, 1995]

$$\exp(X)_* = L_{\exp(X)*} \circ \left( \sum_{k=0}^{+\infty} \frac{(-1)^k}{(k+1)!} (\text{ad}(X))^k \right),$$

where

$$(\text{ad}(X))^{k+1}(Y) = [X, (\text{ad}(X))^k(Y)], \quad \text{ad}(X)(Y) = [X, Y]. \quad (45)$$

The map

$$\sum_{k=0}^{+\infty} \frac{(-1)^k}{(k+1)!} (\text{ad}(X))^k = L_{\exp(X)*}^{-1} \circ \exp(X)_* : \mathfrak{g} \rightarrow \mathfrak{g}$$

is invertible with

$$\left( \sum_{k=0}^{+\infty} \frac{(-1)^k}{(k+1)!} (\text{ad}(X))^k \right)^{-1} = \text{id}_{\mathfrak{g}} + \frac{1}{2} \text{ad}(X) + \sum_{k=2}^{\infty} \beta_k \text{ad}(X)^k,$$

where the third term vanishes for  $X$  close to zero. As a result

$$E_{ig} = \sum_k \left( (\epsilon_i)_k - \frac{1}{2} \sum_\eta x_\eta(g) [\text{ad}(\epsilon_\eta)(\epsilon_i)]_k \right) \bar{\epsilon}_{kg}, \quad (46)$$

or, since  $\{\epsilon_i\}$  are basis vectors,

$$E_{ig} = \bar{\epsilon}_{ig} - \frac{1}{2} \sum_{k,\eta} x_\eta(g) \gamma_{\eta,i}^k \bar{\epsilon}_{kg}, \quad (47)$$

where the constants  $\gamma_{\eta,i}^k \equiv [\text{ad}(\epsilon_\eta)(\epsilon_i)]_k$  are the structural coefficients of  $G$ . Thus,

$$\begin{aligned} E_{jg} E_{kg} f &= (\bar{\epsilon}_{jg} - \frac{1}{2} \sum_{\xi,\eta} x_\eta(g) \gamma_{\eta,i}^\xi \bar{\epsilon}_{\xi g}) (\bar{\epsilon}_{kg} f - \frac{1}{2} \sum_{\rho,\lambda} x_\lambda(g) \gamma_{\lambda,k}^\rho \bar{\epsilon}_{\rho g} f) \\ &= \bar{\epsilon}_{jg} \bar{\epsilon}_{kg} f - \frac{1}{2} \bar{\epsilon}_{jg} \left( \sum_{\rho,\lambda} x_\lambda(g) \gamma_{\lambda,k}^\rho \bar{\epsilon}_{\rho g} f \right) - \frac{1}{2} \sum_{\xi,\eta} x_\eta(g) \gamma_{\eta,i}^k \bar{\epsilon}_{\xi g} (\bar{\epsilon}_{kg} f) \\ &\quad + \frac{1}{4} \left( \sum_{\xi,\eta} x_\eta(g) \gamma_{\eta,i}^k \bar{\epsilon}_{\xi g} \right) \left( \sum_{\rho,\lambda} x_\lambda(g) \gamma_{\lambda,k}^\rho \bar{\epsilon}_{\rho g} f \right). \end{aligned} \quad (48)$$

Let us now consider a symmetric, positive definite covariant 2-tensor on the tangent space at the identity,  $\tau : T_e G \times T_e G \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ , and we impose an extra condition to the basis  $\{\epsilon_i\}_i$ . That is, we assume that it is orthonormal w.r.t.  $\tau$ , the latter being defined as

$$\tau(X, Y) \equiv \sum_{i,j} q_{i,j} e_i(X) \otimes e_j(Y), \quad \forall X, Y \in T_e G, \quad (49)$$

where  $\{e_i\}_i \in T_e^* G$  is the dual basis to  $\{\epsilon_i\}$ . In that case orthonormal means  $\tau(\epsilon_{ie}, \epsilon_{ke}) = \sum_{j,\rho} q_{ij} q_{pk} \delta_{j\rho} = [QQ^\top]_{ik}$ , where  $Q : T_e G \rightarrow T_e^* G$  is the vector space isomorphism induced by the tensor (inner product). By choosing  $Q = I$ ,  $\tau(\epsilon_{ie}, \epsilon_{ke}) = \delta_{ik}$ .

Subsequently, by utilizing  $\tau$ , we can impose an extra condition on the Riemannian metric, that is, to be left-invariant. On top of that left-invariant Riemannian metrics  $\bar{g} : C^\infty(TG) \times C^\infty(TG) \rightarrow C^\infty(G)$ , are uniquely determined via the symmetric, positive definite covariant 2-tensor on the tangent space at the identity, according to the prescription

$$\bar{g}(X_g, Y_g)(g) \equiv \tau(L_{g*}^{-1} X_g, L_{g*}^{-1} Y_g), \quad g \in G, X_g, Y_g \in T_g G. \quad (50)$$

From (47), (50) we can express the metric components w.r.t. the left-invariant vector field as

$$\begin{aligned} \bar{g}_{ij}(g) &= \bar{g}_{ij}(E_{ig}, E_{jg})(g) \\ &= \bar{g} \left( \bar{\epsilon}_{ig} - \frac{1}{2} \sum_{k,\eta} x_\eta(g) \gamma_{\eta,i}^k \bar{\epsilon}_{kg}, \bar{\epsilon}_{jg} - \frac{1}{2} \sum_{k,\eta} x_\eta(g) \gamma_{\eta,j}^k \bar{\epsilon}_{kg} \right) \\ &= \delta_{ij} - \frac{1}{2} \sum_\eta x_\eta(g) \left( \sum_k \gamma_{\eta,i}^k \delta_{kj} \right) - \frac{1}{2} \sum_\eta x_\eta(g) \left( \sum_k \gamma_{\eta,j}^k \delta_{ki} \right) \\ &\quad + \frac{1}{4} \sum_{k,\sigma,l,\eta} x_\eta(g) \gamma_{\eta,i}^k x_\sigma(g) \gamma_{\sigma,j}^l \delta_{kl} \end{aligned}$$

$$= \delta_{ij} - \frac{1}{2} \sum_{\eta} x_{\eta}(g) \gamma_{\eta i}^j - \frac{1}{2} \sum_{\eta} x_{\eta}(g) \gamma_{\eta j}^i + \frac{1}{4} \sum_{k, \eta, \sigma} x_{\eta}(g) x_{\sigma}(g) \gamma_{\eta, i}^k \gamma_{\sigma l}^k \quad (51)$$

In addition, the connection coefficients in (36) read:

$$\Gamma_{l,i}^j(g) = \frac{1}{2} \sum_s \bar{g}^{js}(g) (E_{ig} \bar{g}_{sl} - E_{sg} \bar{g}_{li} + E_{lg} \bar{g}_{is}), \quad (52)$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} E_{ig} \bar{g}_{sl} &= -\frac{1}{2} \gamma_{is}^l - \frac{1}{2} \gamma_{il}^s + O(x) \\ E_{sg} \bar{g}_{li} &= -\frac{1}{2} \gamma_{sl}^i - \frac{1}{2} \gamma_{si}^l + O(x) \\ E_{lg} \bar{g}_{is} &= -\frac{1}{2} \gamma_{li}^s - \frac{1}{2} \gamma_{ls}^i + O(x) \end{aligned} \quad (53)$$

Unfortunately (36) needs the coefficients of the inverse Riemannian metric, and obtaining them from the elements of the metric is nothing but apparent. To this end, we are going to apply Definition 1 to the Laplacian, which alternatively, can be defined as:

$$\Delta_p f \equiv \sum_i \frac{d^2}{dt^2} f(\mathbf{exp}_p(tY_i)) \Big|_{t=0}, \quad (54)$$

where  $\{Y_i\} \in T_p M$  is any orthonormal basis of  $T_p M$ , and  $\mathbf{exp} : T_p M \rightarrow U \ni p$  is the Riemannian exponential map. According to (54), the Laplace operator measures the local curvature of the real-valued map  $f : M \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  at the point  $p \in M$  w.r.t.  $M$ . It does so by evaluating the function  $f$  along geodesics that initiate from the point  $p \in M$  and extend along  $Y_i$ . By definition, the Laplacian is invariant under coordinate changes between orthonormal bases. Based on the above characterization, it is easy to see that the Laplacian comutes with local isometries [Gallier, 2013, Proposition 16.2]:

$$\begin{aligned} \Delta_{\varphi(p)} f &= \sum_i \frac{d^2}{dt^2} f(\mathbf{exp}_{\varphi(p)}(t\varphi_* Y_i)) \Big|_{t=0} \\ &= \sum_i \frac{d^2}{dt^2} f(\varphi \circ \mathbf{exp}_p(tY_i)) \Big|_{t=0} \\ &= \Delta_p(f \circ \varphi), \end{aligned} \quad (55)$$

On top of that, it is easy to show that for a Riemannian Lie group, with a left-invariant Riemannian metric,  $\varphi = L_g$  is an isometry, and

$$\Delta_g f = \Delta_e(f \circ L_g).$$

That is, to derive the expression for the left-invariant Laplacian at a point  $g \in G$ , all we need is its value at the identity of the group. For  $g = e$  in (48), (51), and (53) we obtain

$$E_{je} E_{ke} f = \bar{\epsilon}_{je} \bar{\epsilon}_{ke} f + 0,$$

$$\bar{g}^{i,j}(e) = \delta_{ij},$$

$$\begin{aligned}
\Gamma_{li}^j(e) &= \frac{1}{2} \sum_s \delta_{js} \left( -\frac{1}{2} \gamma_{is}^l - \frac{1}{2} \gamma_{ie}^s + \frac{1}{2} \gamma_{si}^i + \frac{1}{2} \gamma_{si}^l - \frac{1}{2} \gamma_{li}^s - \frac{1}{2} \gamma_{li}^i \right) \\
&= \frac{1}{2} \left( -\frac{1}{2} \gamma_{ij}^l - \frac{1}{2} \gamma_{il}^j + \frac{1}{2} \gamma_{jl}^i + \frac{1}{2} \gamma_{ji}^l - \frac{1}{2} \gamma_{li}^j - \frac{1}{2} \gamma_{li}^i \right) \\
&= \frac{1}{2} \left( \gamma_{ji}^l + \gamma_{jl}^i \right),
\end{aligned}$$

respectively. Therefore,

$$\Delta_e = - \sum_i \bar{\epsilon}_{ie} \bar{\epsilon}_{ie} + \sum_{i,j} \gamma_{ij}^j \bar{\epsilon}_{ie}.$$

As a result, due to left-invariance, the left-invariant Laplacian on  $G$  reads:

$$\Delta_g = - \sum_i \bar{\epsilon}_{ig} \bar{\epsilon}_{ig} + \sum_{i,j} [\text{ad}(\epsilon_i)(\epsilon_j)]_j \bar{\epsilon}_{ig}. \quad (56)$$

Note that (36) corresponds to the Riemannian Brownian motion on  $G$  which is given in local coordinates by either (27), or (32). On the contrary, (56) corresponds to the *left-invariant* Riemannian Brownian motion on  $G$

$$dg_t = L_{g_t*} \left( -\frac{1}{2} \sum_{i,j} \gamma_{ij}^j \epsilon_i dt + \sum_i \epsilon_i (\leftarrow) d\omega_{ti} \right), \quad (57)$$

where  $\epsilon_i(\leftarrow) d\omega_{ti}$  denotes the injection of the individual one-dimensional *standard* Brownian motion  $d\omega_{ti}$ , into the corresponding basis vector  $\epsilon_i$  of  $\mathfrak{g}$ .  $\square$

Note that (35) is based on the differentiable, Riemannian, *and algebraic* structure of the manifold, while (32) only on the differentiable and Riemannian structure.

### 3.2 The special orthogonal group

The set

$$M = \{X \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times n} : \det(X) \neq 0\}$$

is open as the complement of the closed set  $\{X \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times n} : \det(X) = 0\}$ . On top of that, continuity of  $\det$  induces the standard topology from  $\mathbb{R}$  to  $M$ . To see that we just deploy the standard continuity argument: Take  $A \in M$  and the open interval  $I \equiv |\det(A)| < \epsilon$ ,  $\epsilon > 0$ . Then define  $U \equiv \det^{-1}(\{|\det(A)| < \epsilon\})$  (which is open). Due to continuity of  $\det$ , there exists  $\delta > 0$  s.t. the image of  $\|X - A\|_2 < \delta$  is in  $I$ : Every invertible matrix is closely surrounded by invertible matrices.

Together with the open set  $M$ , and the empty set, the collection of the previously constructed open sets form a valid topology  $T$ , and thus a valid topological space  $(M, T)$ . On top of that, the pair  $(M, \cdot)$ , with the second entry referring to the standard matrix multiplication, is a group since for  $X_1, X_2 \in M$ ,  $\det(X_1 \cdot X_2) = \det(X_1) \det(X_2) \neq 0$  (the identity matrix serves as the identity of the group, and every element has a well-defined inverse).

Clearly, the map  $L_{\bar{X}} : M \rightarrow M$  defined as  $L_{\bar{X}} \equiv \bar{X} \cdot X$  is smooth, and the inverse is also smooth since it can be written as  $X^{-1} = \frac{1}{\det(X)} \text{adj}(X)$ . Thus,  $(M, T, \cdot)$  is a topological group. In fact, it is the Lie group called *the general linear group*, denoted as  $\mathbb{GL}(\mathbb{R}, n)$

Moving forward, the set  $F = \{R \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times n} : R^T R = I, \det(R) = +1\}$  is a subset of  $M$ , and the pair  $(F, \cdot)$  is a group (again  $\cdot$  refers to the standard matrix multiplication). Therefore, the

object  $(F, T, \cdot)$  is a (sub) Lie group (of  $\text{GL}(\mathbb{R}, n)$ ) called *the special orthogonal group*, denoted as  $\text{SO}(\mathbb{R}, n)$ .

The tangent space  $T_e G$  of every Lie group  $G$  at the identity  $e \in G$  is a vector space and it can always be equipped with an additional binary operator  $\star$  so that the triple  $(T_e G, +, \cdot, \star)$  is a Lie algebra. Clearly the tangent space at the identity of  $\text{GL}(\mathbb{R}, n)$  is  $\mathbb{R}^{n \times n}$ , and thus  $\dim(\text{GL}(\mathbb{R}, n)) = n^2$ . To find the tangent space of the special orthogonal group, consider a smooth group homomorphism  $\gamma : (\mathbb{R}, +) \rightarrow \text{SO}(\mathbb{R}, n)$ . We know that the isomorphism  $\gamma_* : T_0 \mathbb{R} \rightarrow T_I \text{SO}(\mathbb{R}, n)$ , and therefore,  $\omega \equiv \dot{\gamma}(0) \in T_I \text{SO}(\mathbb{R}, n)$ . Clearly,  $\gamma(t)\gamma(t)^\top = I$  for all  $t \in \mathbb{R}$ , and thus

$$\dot{\gamma}(0)\gamma(0)^\top + \gamma(0)\dot{\gamma}(0)^\top = 0,$$

or

$$\omega + \omega^\top = 0.$$

That is,  $T_I \text{SO}(\mathbb{R}, n) = \{\omega \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times n} : \omega + \omega^\top = 0\}$ , and as a result  $\dim(\text{SO}(\mathbb{R}, n)) = \frac{n(n-1)}{2}$ . Surprisingly,  $\dim(\text{SO}(\mathbb{R}, 3)) = 3$ . It can be shown that  $(T_I \text{SO}(\mathbb{R}, n), +, \cdot, [\cdot, \cdot])$  is the Lie algebra denoted by  $\mathfrak{so}(\mathbb{R}, n)$ , where  $[\cdot, \cdot] : T_I \text{SO}(\mathbb{R}, n) \times T_I \text{SO}(\mathbb{R}, n) \rightarrow T_I \text{SO}(\mathbb{R}, n)$ . In particular, for  $n = 3$ , the commutator is the known cross product between vectors (a lot of intuition can be gained from here).

More importantly, the tangent space at the identity, inherits the inner product from  $\mathbb{R}^{n \times n}$ .

$$\tau(X_e, Y_e) \equiv \text{tr}(X_e Y_e^\top),$$

where  $e = I$ . Left-invariant Riemannian metrics are in 1-1 correspondence with the inner products in the Lie algebra. Define

$$\bar{g}(X_R, Y_R)(R) \equiv \tau(R^\top X_R, R^\top Y_R).$$

Then the gradient  $\text{grad}_R f : \text{SO}(\mathbb{R}, n) \rightarrow T \text{SO}(\mathbb{R}, n)$  w.r.t. that left-invariant metric is such that

$$\bar{g}(\text{grad}_R f, Y_R)(R) = \text{d}f(Y_R)(R).$$

In the following example, we compute the gradient of a real-valued map defined on the special orthogonal group

**Example 1** (Brockett [1989]). Consider the real-valued map  $f : \text{SO}(\mathbb{R}, n) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ , defined as  $f(x) = \text{tr}(x^\top A x N)$ , where  $A$  is symmetric, and  $N$  diagonal. It is

$$\begin{aligned} \text{d}f(Y_R) &\equiv Y_R f \\ &= Y_I(f \circ L_R) \\ &= \frac{\text{d}}{\text{d}t} \left[ f(R \cdot x) \Big|_{x=\Theta(t, I)} \right] \Big|_{t=0} \\ &= \frac{\text{d}}{\text{d}t} \left[ f(R \cdot I \cdot \gamma(t)) \right] \Big|_{t=0} \\ &= \frac{\text{d}}{\text{d}t} f(R \cdot \gamma(t)) \Big|_{t=0} \end{aligned}$$



$$= \frac{d}{dt} f(\mathbf{R} \cdot \exp(tY_I)) \Big|_{t=0},$$

where  $\exp(\cdot) = e^{(\cdot)}$ , and

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{d}{dt} f(\mathbf{R} \cdot \exp(tY_e)) \Big|_{t=0} &= \text{tr}(-Y_I \mathbf{R}^\top \mathbf{A} \mathbf{R} \mathbf{N}) + \text{tr}(Y_I \mathbf{N} \mathbf{R}^\top \mathbf{A} \mathbf{R}) \\ &= \text{tr}(Y_I (\mathbf{R}^\top \mathbf{A}^\top \mathbf{R} \mathbf{N}^\top - \mathbf{N} \mathbf{R}^\top \mathbf{A} \mathbf{R})) \\ &= \text{tr}(\mathbf{R}^\top Y_I (\mathbf{R}^\top \mathbf{A}^\top \mathbf{R} \mathbf{N}^\top - \mathbf{N} \mathbf{R}^\top \mathbf{A} \mathbf{R})) \\ &= \text{tr}(Y_I [\mathbf{R} (\mathbf{R}^\top \mathbf{A}^\top \mathbf{R} \mathbf{N}^\top - \mathbf{N} \mathbf{R}^\top \mathbf{A} \mathbf{R})]^\top) \\ &= \text{tr}(\mathbf{R}^\top Y_I [\mathbf{R}^\top \mathbf{R} (\mathbf{R}^\top \mathbf{A}^\top \mathbf{R} \mathbf{N}^\top - \mathbf{N} \mathbf{R}^\top \mathbf{A} \mathbf{R})]^\top) \\ &= \tau(\mathbf{R}^\top \mathbf{R} (\mathbf{R}^\top \mathbf{A} \mathbf{R} \mathbf{N} - \mathbf{N} \mathbf{R}^\top \mathbf{A} \mathbf{R}), \mathbf{R}^\top Y_I \mathbf{R}). \end{aligned}$$

Due to uniqueness of the gradient

$$\text{grad}_{\mathbf{R}} f = \mathbf{R} (\mathbf{R}^\top \mathbf{A} \mathbf{R} \mathbf{N} - \mathbf{N} \mathbf{R}^\top \mathbf{A} \mathbf{R}).$$

Further,

$$\omega_*^\top = (\mathbf{R}^\top \mathbf{A} \mathbf{R} \mathbf{N} - \mathbf{N} \mathbf{R}^\top \mathbf{A} \mathbf{R})^\top = -(\mathbf{R}^\top \mathbf{A} \mathbf{R} \mathbf{N} - \mathbf{N} \mathbf{R}^\top \mathbf{A} \mathbf{R}) = -\omega_*$$

The gradient flow reads

$$\dot{\mathbf{R}} = -\mathbf{R} (\mathbf{R}^\top \mathbf{A} \mathbf{R} \mathbf{N} - \mathbf{N} \mathbf{R}^\top \mathbf{A} \mathbf{R}), \quad (58)$$

and it is the left-translated version of  $\omega_* \in \mathfrak{so}(3)$ . As we already mentioned, for each  $t \in \mathbb{R}$ ,  $-\omega_*(t) \in \mathfrak{so}(3)$ , and thus the unique one-parameter sub-group  $\gamma(\tau) \equiv e^{-\tau \omega_*(t)}$ ,  $\tau \in \mathbb{R}$ , such that  $\dot{\gamma}(0) = -\omega_*(t)$ . Thus, for  $\tau = t + h$ , we obtain  $\gamma(t + h) = e^{-(t+h)\omega_*(t)} = \gamma(t) e^{-h\omega_*(t)}$ , and the corresponding to (58) gradient descent reads:

$$\gamma(t + h) = \gamma(t) e^{-h\omega_*(t)},$$

or

$$\mathbf{R}(t + h) = \mathbf{R}(t) e^{-h\omega_*(t)},$$

where  $\omega_*(t) = \mathbf{R}^\top(t) \mathbf{A} \mathbf{R}(t) \mathbf{N} - \mathbf{N} \mathbf{R}^\top(t) \mathbf{A} \mathbf{R}(t)$ .

## 4 Conclusion

In a differentiable manifold, Brownian motion is generated by the Laplace-Beltrami operator. In addition, the algebraic structure of the group provides extra isomorphisms that facilitate implementation via the associated Lie algebra. These notes represent an initial step towards examining the matching of infinitesimal symmetries of a general smooth structure through a Lie group, aiming for a more manageable implementations.

## References

- William M Boothby. *An introduction to differentiable manifolds and Riemannian geometry*. Academic press, 1986.
- Roger W Brockett. Least squares matching problems. *Linear Algebra and its applications*, 122: 761–777, 1989.
- Jean Gallier. Lecture notes : <https://www.cis.upenn.edu/cis6100/cis610-15-sl16.pdf>, 2013.
- Sigurdur Helgason. *Differential geometry, Lie groups, and symmetric spaces*. Academic press, 1979.
- John M Lee. *Smooth manifolds*. Springer, 2012.
- Ming Liao. *Lévy processes in Lie groups*, volume 162. Cambridge university press, 2004.
- Grigorios A Pavliotis. *Stochastic processes and applications*. Springer, 2016.
- GM Tuynman. The derivation of the exponential map of matrices. *The American mathematical monthly*, 102(9):818–820, 1995.