

Experiment Task - 1

// Brute force solution

// Input: Array of no.

// Output: no. of inverted values

Func CountInv (arr):

invCount = 0

for (i = 0 → len(arr) - 1)

for (j = i + 1 → len(arr) - 1)

if arr[i] > arr[j]

invCount++;

return invCount;

Time Complexity: Since there are two nested loop the time complexity is $O(n^2)$

Optimal Solution

// Input: Array of no.
// Output: No. of inversions of value

func MergeAndCount(arr)
if (len(arr) < 2) return 0

mid = len(arr) / 2

l, leftInv = MergeAndCount(arr[0:mid])

r, rightInv = MergeAndCount(arr[mid:len(arr)])

Merge, SplitInv = merge(l, r)

TotalInv = leftInv + rightInv + SplitInv

return merged, TotalInv

func merge(left, right)

// input: 2 arrays

// output: merge array and count of inv.

merged = []

i = 0, j = 0

SplitInv = 0

while i < len(left) & j < len(right)

if left[i] <= right[j]

merged.append(left[i])
i++

else

merged.append(right[j])
j++

append remaining element of l & r to merged

return merged, SplitInv

Func CountStudentInv(arr)

ZeroInv = 0

OneInv = 0

TwoInv = 0

ThreeInv = 0

For each source code in arr

inversion = Merge And Count (arr)

if inversion == 0;

ZeroInv += 1

else if inversion == 1;

OneInv += 1

else if inversion == 2;

TwoInv += 1

else if inversion == 3;

ThreeInv += 1

Time Complexity using piggyback on merge sort

Divide Step: array is recursively divided in half
 $O(\log n)$

Conquer Step: During merge process $\rightarrow O(n)$

\therefore Total time $\Rightarrow T(n) = 2T(n/2) + O(n)$

Using Master Theorem: $T(n) \in O(n \log n)$

Experiment task = 2

PAGE No.	
DATE	/ /

Func manualMultiply (num1, num2)
// input : 2 int with digits in 2 array
// output : product.

```
for (i = len(num1)-1 → 0)
    for (j = len(num2)-1 → 0)
        mul = num1[i] * num2[j]
        position1 = i+j
        position2 = i+j+1
        Sum = mul + result[position2]
        result[position2] = Sum % 10
        result[position1] += Sum / 10
```

Time Complexity $O(n_1 \times n_2)$ where n_1 and n_2 are the lengths of the input arrays.

⇒ Optimal Solution :

Func Karatsuba (n, y)

// input : 2 large integers as array of digits
// output : product

if ($n < 10$ or $y < 10$) return $n * y$;

$m = \max(\text{len}(n), \text{len}(y))$

half = $m/2$

highX, lowX = divmod($n, 10^{\text{half}}$)

highY, lowY = divmod($y, 10^{\text{half}}$)

$Z_0 = \text{Karatsuba}(\text{lowX}, \text{lowY})$

$Z_1 = \text{Karatsuba}(\text{lowX} + \text{highX}, \text{lowY} + \text{highY})$

$Z_2 = \text{Karatsuba}(\text{highX}, \text{highY})$

return ($Z_2 * 10^{(2 * \text{half})} + (Z_1 - Z_2 - Z_0) * 10^{\text{half}} + Z_0$)

⇒ Time Complexity:

PAGE No.	
DATE	/ /

Divide and Conquer: Reduce multiplication of two n -digit number into three multiplication of $n/2$ digits no. This is crucial improvement and four multi. of traditional method.

$$T(n) = 3T(n/2) + O(n)$$

Using Master Theorem: $T = n^{\log_2 3} = n^{1.585}$

* Test Cases :-

① Arr 1 = {5, 4, 4, 3}
Arr 2 = {5, 4, 4, 3}
Output = 25626249

② Arr 2 = {0}
Arr 3 = {9, 9, 9, 1, 9, 9}
Output = 0

③ Arr 1 = {9, 9, 2, 1, 0}
Arr 2 = {2, 3}
Output = 2281830

④ Arr 1 = {8, 6, 5, 4, 1}
Arr 2 = {1, 1, 1}
Output = 9606051

⑤ Arr 1 = {-8, 6, 5, 4}
Arr 2 = {2, 1}
Output = -181734