Euler Circuit on Directed Graphs

Nilay Bhatt

Math 450: Seminar in Proof

Spring 2017

May 5, 2017

Abstract

Previously in the semester we looked at the necessary and sufficient conditions on an undirected graph for it to have an Euler Circuit. For this final project we looked at how can we expand this idea on directed graphs (digraphs). We explored the new conditions that are necessary on a digraph for it to have an Euler Circuit. Lastly, we explored what are the implications and uses of Euler Circuit o a digraph.

1 Introduction

Graph theory is a one of the older fields in Math. **Leonhard Euler** is

Definition 1.1: Graph: A graph G consists of a non-empty finite set V(G) of elements called vertices, and a finite 'family' E(G) of unordered pairs of (not necessarily distinct) elements of V(G) called edges; the use of the word 'family' permits the existence of multiple edges. We call V(G) the vertex set and E(G) the edge family of G. An edge e_{vw} is said to join the vertices v and w, and is usually abbreviated to vw.

Definition 1.2: *Simple Graph:* A simple graph is an undirected, unweighted graph such that there is at most 1 edge between any two vertices, and no loops, where a loop is an edge from a vertex x to itself.

Note: The union of two graphs $G = (V_1, E_2), H = (V_2, E_2)$ as $G \cup H = (V_1 \cup V_2, E_1 \cup E_2)$. If we have the union of a graph and a single vertex v or edge e we may write $G \cup v$ or $G \cup e$.

Note: The vertices in G are referred to as V(G) and the edges on G are referred to E(G). This is independent of the way we define a graph.

Definition 1.3: Adjacency: We say that two vertices v and w of a graph G are adjacent if there is an edge vw joining them, and the vertices v and w are then incident with such an edge. Similarly, two distinct edges e and f are adjacent if they have a vertex in common.

Note: A graph G is **complete** if any two vertices in G are adjacent.

Definition 1.4: Degree of a Vertex: The degree of a vertex v of G is the number of edges connected with v, and is written deg(v); in calculating the degree of v, we usually make the convention that a loop at v contributes 2 (rather than 1) to the degree of v. A vertex of degree 0 is an **isolated** vertex and a vertex of degree 1 is an **end-vertex**.

Note: A graph is *connected* if it cannot be expressed as the union of two disjoint graphs, and **disconnected** otherwise.

Definition 1.5: Subgraph: A subgraph H of a graph G is a graph, such that $V(H) \subseteq V(G)$ and $E(H) \subseteq E(G)$.

Note: if G = (V, E) be a graph then $G \cup G = G$ where we preserve the cardinality and mapping (which vertex is connected to which edge) in G for V(G) and E(G).

Definition 1.6: Walk: Given a graph G, a walk in G is a finite sequence of distinct edges of the form $v_0v_1, v_1v_2,...,v_{m-1}v_m$, also denoted by $v_0 \to v_1 \to v_2 \to \to v_m$, in which any two consecutive edges are adjacent. If $v_0 = v_m$ then we call the walk a **cycle**.

Note: As a convention we will denote any cycle or path P as a sequenced n-tuple of the vertices P visits in a given order. For example if P is a path that visits vertices a, b, c in the respective order we say P = (a, b, c). If P is a cycle, we simply acknowledge that P also contains the edge from the last vertex in the tuple back to the first.

Definition 1.7: Euler Path: An Euler Path on a graph G is a special walk that uses each edge exactly once.

Definition 1.8: $Euler\ Circuit/Cycle$: An Euler circuit on a graph G is an Euler Path with a cycle.

Definition 1.9: Traversing: The process of passing through each vertex of a walk or cycle in a graph G using the edges joining them in a walk or a path or a cycle.

Lemma: *Nilay's Lemma (Not really):* If a connected graph has every vertex of degree of at least two, then *G* has a *cycle*.

Proof. Let G be a connected graph. Let v_0 be a vertex in G such that v_0 has at least degree two. Let us construct a walk $v_0 \to v_1 \to v_2 \to \dots$ such that v_1 be any adjacent vertex to v_0 , and for each v_i where i > 1, we choose v_{i+1} to be any adjacent vertex to v_i , except v_{i-1} (already chosen). We know that such a vertex exists because of our hypothesis that every vertex is of at least degree two. Since G is finite graph, the number of vertices it has is finite. Thus, while constructing our walk we will eventually choose a vertex v_k which has already been chosen and included in the walk. If v_k is the first such vertex that we encounter, then the path that was created from the first occurrence of v_k to the second one is a cycle from v_k to v_k .

(EULER (1736), HIERHOLZER (1873)) A connected graph G has an Euler Circuit if and only if the degree of each vertex of G is even.

Proof. \Longrightarrow Let G be a connected graph which has Euler circuit C. When-

ever C passes through a vertex in V(G) through an edge in E(G), there is a contribution of 2 edges which are adjacent to the vertex, towards the degree of that vertex. From our hypothesis we know that we do not repeat the same edge twice, each vertex must have even degree.

 \Leftarrow Let us proceed by induction. In a most basic connected graph G of no edges and one vertex, the proposition is vacuously true. If a connected graph G has one vertex $v \in V(G)$, then the number of edges in E(G) = 1, thus we start and end our Euler Circuit at v (loop, contribution of 2 towards the degree).

Now, let there be only two vertices in a connected graph G and each vertex is of degree two (making them even degree). Then since G is connected, there are no isolated vertices in G. Furthermore, those two vertices share the two edges between them. Therefore, if we construct a walk at either of the vertices in V(G) we will end at the same vertex where we started, and not repeating the edge that we passed through. Since we are passing through each edge only once, we now have an Euler circuit in G.

Now, for our strong induction hypothesis, we say, if G is a graph where $|E(G)| \leq k, k \in \mathbb{Z}$, and all vertices in G have an even degree then there exists an Euler Circuit in G.

Now, let G be a connected graph with k+1 edges, where each vertex in G is of even degree. From the lemma we know that there exists a cycle in G. If that cycle includes all the edges in G then we are done. Let's say

it does not. Then there exists a cycle C in G which does not include all the vertices of G.

Now, let us remove all the edges from G that are in C and obtain newly made sub-graph H = (V(G), E(G) - E(C)), made by the remaining edges in G; by our hypothesis, all the vertices in H still have an even degree. We know this because when we removed E(C) from E(G), we removed an even number of edges from each vertex from the cycle C formed in G.

Suppose H is still connected, i.e. there are no isolated vertices in H then H is a graph with fewer than k edges, and thus H has a Euler Circuit from our hypothesis because |E(H)| < k. Also, since G is connected, there must be a common vertex $m \in V(C) \cap V(H)$. If there weren't any common vertex in C and H then, $C \sqcup H$ will form a disjoint union, thus making G disconnected. So, now we have an Euler circuit in G, where we start from any vertex $v \in V(C)$ and while traversing C, when we reach m, we traverse the Euler circuit in H, starting and ending at $m \in V(C) \cap V(H)$ and ending our cycle at v, thus traversing along all the edges in $E(G) = E(C) \cup E(H)$ once and all the vertex in $V(G) = V(C) \cup V(H)$.

When we removed C from G, the other possibility was that we may have H disconnected. Thus $H = H'_1 \sqcup H'_2 \sqcup H'_3 \sqcup ... \sqcup H'_i$. H is formed from a disjoint union of even degree connected sub-graphs H'_i . Note that, for each such H'_i , $\exists v_i \in V(C) \cap V(H_i)$. Since $|E(H'_i)| < k$, from our hypothesis, each H'_i has an Euler Circuit, made by some cycle C'_i .

We can now build an Euler circuit for G. Pick an arbitrary vertex $a \in V(C) \subset V(G)$ from C. Traverse along C starting from a until we reach a vertex $v = V(C) \cap V(H'_i)$. Then, traverse along H'_i 's Euler circuit

starting from v made by C'_i in H'_i .

Now we are back to v, and so we continue along C, and do the same for each such $v_i \in V(C) \cap V(H_i')$ we encounter and after traversing each edge in $E(G) = E(C) \cup E(H_1') \cup E(H_2') \cup E(H_3') \cup ... \cup E(H_i')$ exactly once and all the vertices in $V(G) = V(C) \cup V(H_1') \cup V(H_2') \cup V(H_3') \cup ... \cup V(H_i')$, we obtain our desired Euler path in G starting and ending at $a \in V(G)$. \square