Parallel Computing Lab Nilay Ganvit - 200001053 10rd November 2022

Lab 8

```
#include <pthread.h>
#include <stdio.h>
#include <stdlib.h>
#include <omp.h>
#include <sys/time.h>
#include <time.h>
#include <stdlib.h>
int main()
  int threads;
  printf("Size=");
  scanf("%d", &N);
  printf("threads=");
  scanf("%d", &threads);
  int A[N][N];
  int B[N][N];
  int C[N][N];
  double elapsed;
  omp set num threads(threads);
       for (j = 0; j < N; j++)
           A[i][j] = rand() % 100;
           B[i][j] = rand() % 100;
  gettimeofday(&tv1, &tz);
#pragma omp parallel for private(i, j, k) shared(A, B, C)
```

Input/Output:

```
• nilay@Nilay-PC:~/Documents/cs359/Lab8$ gcc mat mul.c -fopenmp
• nilay@Nilay-PC:~/Documents/cs359/Lab8$ ./a.out
 Size=100
 threads=1
 elapsed time = 0.010362 seconds.
nilay@Nilay-PC:~/Documents/cs359/Lab8$ ./a.out
 Size=100
 threads=2
 elapsed time = 0.008295 seconds.
• nilay@Nilay-PC:~/Documents/cs359/Lab8$ ./a.out
 Size=100
 threads=4
 elapsed time = 0.004926 seconds.
nilay@Nilay-PC:~/Documents/cs359/Lab8$ ./a.out
 Size=100
 threads=8
 elapsed time = 0.004131 seconds.
• nilay@Nilay-PC:~/Documents/cs359/Lab8$ ./a.out
 Size=200
 threads=1
 elapsed time = 0.078178 seconds.
• nilay@Nilay-PC:~/Documents/cs359/Lab8$ ./a.out
 Size=200
 threads=2
 elapsed time = 0.038357 seconds.
• nilay@Nilay-PC:~/Documents/cs359/Lab8$ ./a.out
 Size=200
 threads=4
 elapsed time = 0.021533 seconds.
nilay@Nilay-PC:~/Documents/cs359/Lab8$ ./a.out
 Size=200
 threads=8
 elapsed time = 0.018010 seconds.
nilay@Nilay-PC:~/Documents/cs359/Lab8$ ./a.out
 Size=400
 threads=1
 elapsed time = 0.294183 seconds.
nilay@Nilay-PC:~/Documents/cs359/Lab8$ ./a.out
 Size=400
 threads=2
 elapsed time = 0.163523 seconds.
nilay@Nilay-PC:~/Documents/cs359/Lab8$ ./a.out
 Size=400
 threads=4
 elapsed time = 0.138092 seconds.
• nilay@Nilay-PC:~/Documents/cs359/Lab8$ ./a.out
 Size=400
 threads=8
 elapsed time = 0.111390 seconds.
o nilay@Nilay-PC:~/Documents/cs359/Lab8$
```

Efficiency:

Size/Threads:	100	200	400
1	1	1	1
2	0.829	0.722	0.948
4	0.494	0.598	0.935
8	0.257	0.366	0.537

- The **scaling Behaviour** of the parallel program does **deviate from the Ideal** numbers it should have got from manual calculations.
- But it does follow the trend, that is <u>increasing as the size of the matrix increases</u> and <u>decreasing as the number of cores increases</u>.