PV204 Project Phase 1

- Nomit Sharma, Matěj Grabovský, Milan Šorf

Certified Products

- The Common Criteria Recognition Arrangement covers certificates with claims of compliance against Common Criteria assurance components.
- Chosen certificates by our team:
 - NXP JCOP 4 P71 (Nomit Sharma).
 - genuscreen 7.0 (Matěj Grabovský).
 - vinCERTcore v4.0.5.5733 (Milan Šorf).

- Developed in Germany.
- Evaluated in Netherlands.
- Valid till 25-07-2024.
- EAL6+ compliant.

- Target of Evaluation:
 - Smart Card Controller.
 - Test and Boot Software.
 - Cryptographic Primitives such as AES, 3DES for encryption and decryption and ECC for signature generation and verification.
- Assumed Attacker Model:
 - Applets without Native Methods.
 - Bytecode Verification.
 - Protected Storage of Keys.
 - Protection during Packaging, Finishing and Personalisation.

- Device Scrutinization:
 - Micro Controller protects from logical and physical attacks against data leakage.
 - Integrity and protection of application data and sensitive results.
 - TOE Security Functionality counters physical manipulation and probing.
- Security Assurance Requirement (SAR):
 - It ensures security of TOE during its development and production.
 - The developer shall provide a formal security policy model.

- Security Functional Components (SFR)
 - Java Card Virtual Machine and Object Management.
 - Configuration Management.
 - Card Content Management.
 - Cryptographic Functionality and Secure Box.
 - Random Number Generator.
 - Secure Data Storage and User Data Protection using PUF.
 - External Memory and Memory Management.
 - PIN Management.
 - Error Detection Code API.
 - Hardware Exception Handling and Module Invocation.

- Out of Scope of Certification
 - Java Card Applets.
 - Secure Box Native Library.
 - Crypto Library.
- Critical Evaluation and Conclusions
 - Well evaluated and approved evaluation results.
 - Detailed TOE evaluation.
 - Verdict of claimed assurance requirement is 'Pass'.
 - The Security Target claims demonstratable conformance to the Protection Profile.

- Distributed Packet Filtering Firewall System with VPN and IPsec capabilities.
- Developed by genua GmbH.
- Evaluated by German Federal Office for Information Security.
- EAL4+ compliant.

- Target of Evaluation:
 - Software only distributed (genuscreen) and central management (genucenter) part.
- Assumed Attacker Model (including Security Target):
 - Breakage, sniffing and modification of network, TOE and related data (configurations and audit data).
 - All components are physically secure.
 - Components were initialized exactly as required.
 - Reliable timestamps.
 - GUI used over a trusted network connected directly to the device.

- Device Scrutinization:
 - Software on firewall components working as network filters.
 - Management system to manage network of firewall components.
 - SSH encrypted connection between genucenter and genuscreen.
 - Scrutinization performed by vendor and independent evaluator.
- Security Assurance Requirement (SAR):
 - From specifications till analysis.
 - Includes development architecture, user guidance, life cycle coverage, security objectives, testing and analysis.

- 10 Security Functional Requirements (SFR)
 - Firewall and Network Separation.
 - IPsec and SSH.
 - Administration.
 - Identification and Authentication.
 - Audit.
 - General Management Facilities.
 - Random Number Generation.

- Out of Scope of Certification
 - Hardware components.
 - Cryptocard usage.
 - Central management software in a virtual machine.
 - Remote maintenance feature.
 - Third-party VPN and IPv4 dynamic routing using OSPF.
- Subjective Evaluation
 - Reliance on OpenBSD and LibreSSL.
 - Wide range of functionality considered. Testing seems OK, but perhaps might have been more extensive.

- Developed and Evaluated in Spain.
- EAL4+ compliant.

- Target of Evaluation:
 - Software server.
 - Use of external user repository and IT products with HSM.
- Assumed Attacker Model:
 - Trusted external IT products and external components.
 - Trusted OS, SCA and HSM are used.
 - No physical access to TOE.
 - No malware can attack TOE from the same OS.

- Device Scrutinization:
 - More or less similar to assumed attacker model.
 - No further description apart from the set of assumptions.
- Security Assurance Requirement (SAR):
 - From basic design till vulnerability analysis.
 - Includes basic modular design, preparative procedures, development tools, security objectives and analysis of coverage.

- Security Functional Components (SFR)
 - Security Alarms.
 - Audit Data Generation and Review (Restricted and Selectable).
 - User Identity Association.
 - Potential Violation Analysis.
 - Cryptographic Operation.
 - Access Control.
 - User Data (Export and Import).
 - Data Integrity and Information Protection.
 - Identification and Authentication.

- Out of Scope of Certification
 - Hardware Security Model.
 - External Web Interface.
- Critical Evaluation and Conclusions
 - Independent testing covered 100 percent SFR and TSFI.
 - TOE does not present any exploitable vulnerabilities.
 - Since, description of the testing process is missing (as all attacks are covered by assumptions), the evaluation results are not convincing.

Thank You

Questions