

# Assignment G1

Morten Fausing & Jens Kristian Refsgaard Nielsen & Thomas Vinther

07-02-2019

## 1.

We wish to prove the following fact about  $\text{pre}$ :

$$\forall x, y \in \Sigma^* : \text{pre}(xy) = \text{pre}(x) \cup \{x\}\text{pre}(y)$$

With  $\text{pre}$  given as follows:

$$\text{pre}(w) := \{u \in \Sigma^* \mid \exists v \in \Sigma^* : uv = w\}$$

We wish to prove the equality between the two sets, and therefore start by showing the inclusion  $\text{pre}(xy) \subseteq \text{pre}(x) \cup \{x\}\text{pre}(y)$

Let  $z \in \text{pre}(xy)$  by definition  $\exists v \in \Sigma^* : zv = xy$

When  $z \in \text{pre}(xy)$ , and by the just mentioned definition, we only have three possible cases for  $v$ : It is the empty word and  $z = xy$ , it is a part of  $y$  up to being entire  $y$ , or it is a part of  $x$  (whole  $x$ ) and entire  $y$ . By proving each case, we will have proven the inclusion.

At the same time we define:  $\text{suf}(w) = \{u \in \Sigma^* \mid \exists v \in \Sigma^* : vu = w\}$  and divide into the three possible cases:

**Case 1:**  $v = \Lambda$

$z = xy \in \{x\}\text{pre}(y)$

If  $v$  is the empty word,  $z$  must be equal to  $xy$ , and therefore be in the set of  $\{x\}\text{pre}(y)$

**Case 2:**  $v \in \text{suf}(y)$

$v \in \text{suf}(y) \Rightarrow \exists w : vw = y \Rightarrow w \in \text{pre}(y)$  which gives us:  $z = xw \in \{x\}\text{pre}(y)$

If  $v \in \text{suf}(y)$  which also includes  $y$  itself, there must exist a  $w$  such that  $wv = y$  which in turn implies that  $z = xw$  by the definition of  $\text{pre}(xy)$ .

**Case 3:**  $v \in \text{suf}(x)\{y\}$

By our definition of  $v \in \text{suf}(x)\{y\}$  which gives us  $\exists w \in \Sigma^* : v = wy$  now we look at  $zwy = zv = xy \Rightarrow zw = x \Rightarrow z \in \text{pre}(x)$

When  $v \in \text{suf}(x)\{y\}$  we are able to write  $v = wy$ , as we have chosen  $z \in \text{pre}(xy)$  we can once again use the definition and write  $zwy = xy$ , which implies that  $x$  can be written as  $zw$  which gives the desired:  $x \in \text{pre}(x)$  as wanted

We have now proved that  $\text{pre}(xy) \subseteq \text{pre}(x) \cup \{x\}\text{pre}(y)$ , we will now show the other inclusion:

$$\text{pre}(xy) \supseteq \text{pre}(x) \cup \{x\}\text{pre}(y)$$

Let  $z \in \text{pre}(x) \Rightarrow \exists v \in \Sigma^* : zv = x \Rightarrow zvy = xy \Rightarrow z \in \text{pre}(xy)$

If  $z \in \text{pre}(x)$  we use the definition, and by adding  $y$  we simply enlarge the set and get  $z \in \text{pre}(xy)$

Let  $z \in \{x\}\text{pre}(y) \Rightarrow \exists v \in \Sigma^* : zv = xy \Rightarrow z \in \text{pre}(xy)$

We simply use the definition of  $\text{pre}$  if  $z \in \{x\}\text{pre}(y)$

We have now shown  $\text{pre}(x) \cup \{x\}\text{pre}(y) \subseteq \text{pre}(xy)$  and proven the desired equality.

## 2.

We define  $\text{Pre}(L(E)) := \cup_{w \in L(E)} \text{pre}(w)$ , the prefix language.

We now wish to prove that for each regular expression  $E$  there exists another regular expression  $P$  such that  $\text{Pre}(L(E)) = L(P)$ , i.e. that the set of regular languages is closed under taking prefix languages. We proceed by structural induction.

Basis cases:

If  $E = \emptyset$ , let  $P = \emptyset$ :

$$\text{Pre}(L(E)) = \text{Pre}(\mathcal{L}(\emptyset)) = \mathcal{L}(\emptyset) = \mathcal{L}(P)$$

If  $E = a$ , let  $P = a + \Lambda$ :

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Pre}(\mathcal{L}(E)) &= \text{Pre}(\mathcal{L}(a)) = \bigcup_{w \in \mathcal{L}(a)} \text{pre}(w) = \text{pre}(a) = \{a, \Lambda\} = \mathcal{L}(a + \Lambda) \\ &= \mathcal{L}(P) \end{aligned}$$

Induction step:

If  $E = E_1 + E_2$ , the induction hypothesis gives regular expressions  $E'_i : \text{Pre}(\mathcal{L}(E_i)) = \mathcal{L}(E'_i)$ , choose then the regular expression  $P = (E'_1 + E'_2)$ :

Recall that  $\Omega : \cup_{d \in (A \cup B)} f(d) = (\cup_{d \in A} f(d)) \cup (\cup_{d \in B} f(d))$

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Pre}(\mathcal{L}(E)) &= \text{Pre}(\mathcal{L}(E_1 + E_2)) = \text{Pre}(\mathcal{L}(E_1) \cup \mathcal{L}(E_2)) \\ &= \bigcup_{w \in (\mathcal{L}(E_1) \cup \mathcal{L}(E_2))} \text{pre}(w) \\ &\stackrel{\Omega}{=} \left( \bigcup_{w \in \mathcal{L}(E_1)} \text{pre}(w) \right) \cup \left( \bigcup_{w \in \mathcal{L}(E_2)} \text{pre}(w) \right) \\ &= \text{Pre}(\mathcal{L}(E_1)) \cup \text{Pre}(\mathcal{L}(E_2)) \stackrel{I.H.}{=} \mathcal{L}(E'_1) \cup \mathcal{L}(E'_2) \\ &= \mathcal{L}(E'_1 + E'_2) = \mathcal{L}(P) \end{aligned}$$

If  $E = E_1 E_2$ , the induction hypothesis once again gives regular expressions  $E'_i : \text{Pre}(\mathcal{L}(E_i)) =$

$\mathcal{L}(E'_i)$ , now we choose the regular expression  $P = (E'_1 + E_1 E'_2)$

$$\begin{aligned}
\text{Pre}(\mathcal{L}(E)) &= \text{Pre}(\mathcal{L}(E_1 E_2)) = \text{Pre}(\mathcal{L}(E_1) \mathcal{L}(E_2)) \\
&= \bigcup_{w \in \mathcal{L}(E_1) \mathcal{L}(E_2)} \text{pre}(w) \\
&= \bigcup_{w_1 \in \mathcal{L}(E_1), w_2 \in \mathcal{L}(E_2)} \text{pre}(w_1 w_2) \\
&\stackrel{1.}{=} \bigcup_{w_1 \in \mathcal{L}(E_1), w_2 \in \mathcal{L}(E_2)} (\text{pre}(w_1) \cup \{w_1\} \text{pre}(w_2)) \\
&= \left( \bigcup_{w_1 \in \mathcal{L}(E_1), w_2 \in \mathcal{L}(E_2)} \text{pre}(w_1) \right) \cup \left( \bigcup_{w_1 \in \mathcal{L}(E_1), w_2 \in \mathcal{L}(E_2)} \{w_1\} \text{pre}(w_2) \right) \\
&= \left( \bigcup_{w_1 \in \mathcal{L}(E_1)} \text{pre}(w_1) \right) \cup \left( \bigcup_{w_1 \in \mathcal{L}(E_1)} \{w_1\} \right) \left( \bigcup_{w_2 \in \mathcal{L}(E_2)} \text{pre}(w_2) \right) \\
&= \text{Pre}(\mathcal{L}(E_1)) \cup \mathcal{L}(E_1) \text{Pre}(\mathcal{L}(E_2)) \\
&\stackrel{I.H.}{=} \mathcal{L}(E'_1) \cup \mathcal{L}(E_1) \mathcal{L}(E'_2) \\
&= \mathcal{L}(E'_1 + E_1 E'_2) = \mathcal{L}(P)
\end{aligned}$$

Kleene star case:

The following will become useful, consider for  $i > 0$

$$\begin{aligned}
\nabla : \text{Pre}(\mathcal{L}(E)^i) &= \text{Pre}(\mathcal{L}(E)^{i-1} \mathcal{L}(E)) \\
&\stackrel{1)}{=} \text{Pre}(\mathcal{L}(E)^{i-1}) \cup \mathcal{L}(E)^{i-1} \cdot \text{Pre}(\mathcal{L}(E)) \\
&= \left( \text{Pre}(\mathcal{L}(E)^{i-2}) \cup \mathcal{L}(E)^{i-2} \cdot \text{Pre}(\mathcal{L}(E)) \right) \cup \mathcal{L}(E)^{i-1} \cdot \text{Pre}(\mathcal{L}(E)) \\
&\vdots \\
&= \bigcup_{0 \leq j < i} \mathcal{L}(E)^j \cdot \text{Pre}(\mathcal{L}(E)) \\
&= \left( \bigcup_{0 \leq j < i} \mathcal{L}(E)^j \right) \cdot \text{Pre}(\mathcal{L}(E))
\end{aligned}$$

By applying part 1 of the assignment a finite number of times or a short induction omitted here, we see that taking powers of prefix languages it is sufficient to concatenate on the right by the prefix language of the base expression.

If  $E = E_1^*$ , the induction hypothesis grants us a regular expression  $E'_1 : \text{Pre}(\mathcal{L}(E_1)) = E'_1$ , choose then the regular expression  $P = E_1^* E_1$

$$\begin{aligned}
\text{Pre}(\mathcal{L}(E)) &= \text{Pre}(\mathcal{L}(E_1^*)) = \text{Pre}\left(\bigcup_{i \geq 0} \mathcal{L}(E_1)^i\right) \\
&= \bigcup_{i \geq 0} \text{Pre}(\mathcal{L}(E_1)^i) \stackrel{\nabla}{=} \bigcup_{i \geq 0} \mathcal{L}(E_1)^i \text{Pre}(\mathcal{L}(E_1)) \\
&= \mathcal{L}(E_1^*) \text{Pre}(\mathcal{L}(E_1)) \stackrel{I.H.}{=} \mathcal{L}(E_1^*) \mathcal{L}(E_1') \\
&= \mathcal{L}(E_1^* E_1') = \mathcal{L}(P)
\end{aligned}$$

Thus concluding the proof by structural induction.  $\square$

### 3.

By using the previous proof, we extract a function  $\text{pref}$  on regular expressions, such that  $\mathcal{L}(\text{pref}(E)) = \text{Pre}(\mathcal{L}(E))$ . Here we show the recursive definition:

$$\text{pref}(E) = \begin{cases} \emptyset & \text{if } E = \emptyset \\ a + \Lambda & \text{if } E = a \\ \text{pref}(E_1) + \text{pref}(E_2) & \text{if } E = E_1 + E_2 \\ \text{pref}(E_1) + E_1 \text{pref}(E_2) & \text{if } E = E_1 E_2 \\ E_1^* \text{pref}(E_1) & \text{if } E = E_1^* \end{cases}$$

### 4.

We compute the result of  $\text{pref}(a^*(b + cd)^*)$  by using our definition of  $\text{pref}$ .

$$\begin{aligned}
\text{pref}(a^*(b + cd)^*) &= \text{pref}(a^*) + a^* \text{pref}((b + cd)^*) \\
&= a^* \text{pref}(a) + a^*(b + cd)^* \text{pref}(b + cd) \\
&= a^*(a + \Lambda) + a^*(b + cd)^*(\text{pref}(b) + \text{pref}(cd)) \\
&= a^*(a + \Lambda) + a^*(b + cd)^*(b + \Lambda + \text{pref}(c) + (c) \text{pref}(d)) \\
&= a^*(a + \Lambda) + a^*(b + cd)^*(b + \Lambda + (c + \Lambda) + c(d + \Lambda))
\end{aligned}$$

We can simplify this expression a bit

$$\begin{aligned}
\text{pref}(a^*(b + cd)^*) &= a^*(a + \Lambda) + a^*(b + cd)^*(b + \Lambda + (c + \Lambda) + c(d + \Lambda)) \\
&\equiv a^+ + a^* + a^*(b + cd)^*(b + \Lambda + c + \Lambda + cd + c) \\
&\equiv a^* + a^*(b + cd)^*(\Lambda + b + c + cd)
\end{aligned}$$

In the above we use the equivalence relation on regular expressions:

$$E \equiv P \iff \mathcal{L}(E) = \mathcal{L}(P)$$

Recall that by definition  $a^+ \subsetneq a^*$  so  $\mathcal{L}(a^+ + a^*) = \mathcal{L}(a^*)$  and  $a^+ + a^* \equiv a^*$ .