

# Assignment I1

Jens Kristian Refsgaard Nielsen

21-02-2019

## 1.

We wish to show that  $L_1 := \{a^i b^j a^j \mid 0 \leq i, 0 \leq j\}$  is non regular, we proceed by applying the pumping lemma.

Let  $k \geq 0$  be given, choose  $z = a^p b^q a^q$  for some  $p, q \geq 0$  such that  $|z| > k$  i.e.  $p + 2q > k$ , let  $u, v, w \in \Sigma^*$  be given such that  $z = uvw$  and  $|uv| < k$  and  $|v| > 0$  we then get a few cases of how  $u, v, w$  could look:

**Case 1:**  $u = a^l, v = a^j, w = a^{p-j-l} b^q a^q$  for  $l \geq 0$  and  $p \geq j > 0$  such that  $p - l - j \geq 0$ .

**Case 2:**  $u = a^{p-l}, v = a^l b^j, w = b^{q-j} a^q$  for  $p \geq l \geq 0$  and  $j > 0$  since if  $j = 0$  we are in case 1.

**Case 3:**  $u = a^p b^j, v = b^l, w = b^{q-j-l} a^q$  for  $l > 0$  and  $j \geq 0$  such that  $q - l - j \geq 0$ .

**Case 4:**  $u = a^p b^{q-j}, v = b^j a^l, w = a^{q-l}$  for  $l > 0$  and  $j \geq 0$  and  $|uv| = p + q + l < k$ .

Is it overkill to go through all these cases? absolutely.

**Case 1:** Consider

$$\begin{aligned} uv^i w &= a^l a^{ij} a^{p-j-l} b^q a^q = a^{l+ij+p-j-l} b^q a^q \\ &= a^{(i-1)j+p} b^q a^q \in L_1 \iff (i-1)j + p \in \mathbb{N} \end{aligned}$$

Now we want to find an  $i \geq 0$  such that  $uv^i w \notin L_1$ , but as shown above we cannot choose such an  $i$  since  $j$  is positive and smaller than  $p$ , and as such we are forced to choose  $p = 0$  to avoid case 1 altogether.

After forcing  $p = 0$  case 2 becomes absorbed into case 3, and becomes:

**Case 3':**  $u = b^j, v = b^l, w = b^{q-j-l} a^q$  for  $l > 0$  and  $j \geq 0$  such that  $q - l - j \geq 0$ . Consider

$$\begin{aligned} uv^i w &= b^j b^{il} b^{q-j-l} a^q = b^{q+(i-1)l} a^q \\ uv^i w &\in L_1 \iff q + (i-1)l = q \iff (i-1)l = 0 \end{aligned}$$

As in case 1, we wish  $uv^i w \notin L_1$  so we can choose  $i \neq 1$  since  $l > 0$ .

**Case 4:** After forcing  $p = 0$  case 4 now has  $u = b^{q-j}$  and  $q + l < k$ , consider

$$uv^i w = b^{q-j} (b^j a^l)^i a^{q-l}$$

This looks very unlikely to be in  $L_1$ , but if we subdivide into cases of  $j$  being zero or not we get

$$\begin{aligned} j = 0 &\Rightarrow uv^i w = b^q a^{il} a^{q-l} = b^q a^{q+(i-1)l} \in L_1 \iff \\ &q = q + (i-1)l \iff i = 1 \\ j > 0 &\Rightarrow uv^i w \in L_1 \Rightarrow i = 0 \vee i = 1 \end{aligned}$$

The  $j > 0$  case clearly breaks down if  $i \geq 2$  because we cant have any  $(abab)$  in our language, so we simply choose  $i > 1$  and this case has been taken care of.

In conclusion we can choose  $z = b^q a^q$  where  $2q > k$  and the pumping lemma will show that  $L_1$  is non regular.  $\square$

## 2.

Consider  $L_2 := \{a^i b^j b^j \mid i \geq 0, j \geq 0\} = \{a^i (bb)^j \mid i \geq 0, j \geq 0\} = \mathcal{L}(a^*(bb)^*)$  so  $L_2$  is regular. After spending (too) many hours trying to make the pumping lemma work on this set, I face palmed so hard that I am unable to formulate a coherent thought about how the above is non trivial.

## 3.

Let  $L_3$  be an arbitrary finite language, and  $L_4$  be an arbitrary non-regular language. We wish to prove that  $L_4 \setminus L_3 \in \text{NREG}$ . Recall that for any sets we have

$$A = A \setminus B \cup (A \cap B)$$

We take  $A$ , remove the bits of  $B$  in  $A$  and add those bits back in. Now if we assume for contradiction that  $L_4 \setminus L_3$  is regular, recall that REG is closed under union and intersection, and that all finite languages are regular. Note that  $L_4 \cap L_3$  is finite since  $L_3$  is, consider then

$$L_4 = L_4 \setminus L_3 \cup (L_4 \cap L_3)$$

This is a union of regular languages and a contradiction, since  $L_4$  is non regular. So  $L_4 \setminus L_3$  is non regular.