

Revisar, capaz faltan temas!

$$(IV) \forall xs :: [a]. \forall f :: (a \rightarrow b). \text{length} (\text{map } f \text{ } xs) = \text{length } xs$$

$\Rightarrow$

$$\text{Caso base } P([]) = \text{length} (\text{map } f []) = \text{length } [] = 0 \quad (1)$$

$\uparrow$   $\uparrow$   
 $m\emptyset$   $\emptyset$

$$(\Leftarrow) \text{length } [] = 0 \quad (2)$$

$$(1) \equiv (2)$$

Caso inductivo

$$HI \equiv \forall ys :: [a]. \forall f :: a \rightarrow b. \text{length} (\text{map } f \text{ } ys) = \text{length } ys$$

con  $|ys| < |x:xs|$

$$\forall yq \quad P(ys) \Rightarrow P(x:xs)$$

$$P(x:xs) = \text{length} (\text{map } f (x:xs)) = \text{length} (fx : \text{map } f \text{ } xs)$$

$\uparrow$   
 $m\perp$

$$\stackrel{\substack{= \\ \uparrow \\ L1}}{=} 1 + \text{length} (\text{map } f \text{ } xs) \stackrel{\substack{= \\ \downarrow \\ HI}}{=} 1 + \text{length } xs \stackrel{\substack{= \\ \uparrow \\ L1 \\ \text{izq}}}{=} \text{length } (x:xs) \quad \text{q.e.d.}$$

$\therefore$  Vimos que la propiedad vale para cualquier lista. y función  $f :: a \rightarrow b$

$$(V) \forall xs :: [a]. \forall p :: a \rightarrow \text{Bool}. \forall e :: a. *$$

\* Asumir

$$P = \text{elem } e (\text{filter } p \text{ } xs) \Rightarrow (\text{elem } e \text{ } xs) \quad \text{Eq a.}$$

Esta demo está mal,

$$\text{elem} \begin{matrix} T \\ \leftarrow \\ F \end{matrix} \text{filter} \begin{matrix} T \\ \leftarrow \\ F \end{matrix}$$

Hay q'

ve

todos esos  
casos.

Nota: Estoy usando filter con su def. recursiva explícita

$$\text{Caso Base: } P([])$$

$$P([]) = \text{elem } e (\text{filter } p []) = \text{elem } e [] = \text{false.}$$

$\downarrow$   $\downarrow$   
 $\neq \emptyset$   $\emptyset$

(False  $\rightarrow$  \*) Es trivialmente cierto por falsedad del antecedente en la implicación

Caso Inductivo:  $P(x:xs)$  "Si el elemento  $e$  estaba en la lista filtrada. Entonces,

$H1 \equiv \text{elem } e (\text{filter } p \text{ } ys) \Rightarrow (\text{elem } e \text{ } ys) \text{ estaba en la original}$   
 $p \rightarrow q \equiv \neg p \vee q$  ¿Es más fácil probar eso?

$P((x:xs)) = \text{elem } e (\text{filter } p \text{ } (x:xs)) =$   
 $\downarrow$   
 $f1$ : separo en casos V y F.

$P x : \text{True}$

$\text{elem } \_ [] = \text{False}$   $\{e1\}$

$\text{elem } x (y:ys) = x == y \parallel \text{elem } x \text{ } ys$   $\{e2\}$

$= \text{elem } e (x : \text{filter } p \text{ } xs) = e == x \parallel \text{elem } e (\text{filter } p \text{ } xs)$   
 $\uparrow$   
 $e2$

$= x == e \parallel \text{elem } e \text{ } xs = \text{elem } e (x:xs)$  (1)  
 $\downarrow$   
 $H1?$   
 $\uparrow$   
 $e2$   
 $izq$

$P x : \text{False}$

$= \text{elem } e (\text{filter } p \text{ } xs) \Rightarrow (\text{elem } e \text{ } xs)$  ya vale.  
 $H1$  (2)

$\therefore$  Por el caso base, (1) y (2) del paso inductivo la prop. vale

Dudoso cómo apliqué H1.

VI.  $\forall xs :: [a]. \forall x :: a. \text{ponerAlFinal } x \text{ } xs = xs ++ (x : [])$

$$P([]) = \text{ponerAlFinal } x \text{ } [] = \text{foldr } (:) (x : []) [] = (x : []) = [] ++ (x : [])$$

$\downarrow$   $\downarrow$   $\downarrow$   
 $P_0$   $f_1$   $[] \text{ elem neutro}$

$$P(x:xs) = \text{ponerAlFinal } x' (x:xs) = \text{foldr } (:) (x' : []) (x:xs) =$$

$\downarrow$   $\downarrow$   
 $P_0$   $f_1$

$$= x : \text{foldr } (:) (x' : []) (xs) = x : \text{ponerAlFinal } x' xs = x : (xs ++ x')$$

$\downarrow$   $\downarrow$   
 $P_0$   $f_1$   
 $12$

$$= (x : xs) ++ x'$$

$$= (x:xs) ++ [x']$$

No compo. Reharer.