EDA040: Concurrent Programming

Michael Noukhovitch

Fall 2015, Lund University

Notes written from Klas Nilsson's lectures.

Contents

1	Intro	duction	3	
	1.1	Concurrency	3	
		Mutual Exclusion		
2	Semaphores 3			
	2.1	Mutex	3	
		Signaling		
		Other Types		
3	Moni	Monitors 4		
	3.1 I	Introduction	4	
		Rules		
4	Deadlock 4			
	4.1 I	Introduction	4	
		Dining Philosophers		
5	Message-based Synchronization 5			
		Mailboxes	5	
		Unbounded mailbox		
	5.3 I	Implementation	5	

1 Introduction

1.1 Concurrency

activity entity performing actions

process entity performing instructions with own resources

job sequential instructions to be performed by an activity

task a set of jobs being performed by some process

thread sequential activity performing instructions

execution thread the thread itself accessed via the Thread interface

1.2 Mutual Exclusion

requirements:

- mutual exclusion
- no deadlock
- no starvation
- efficiency

2 Semaphores

semaphore simple counting interface for concurrency

2.1 Mutex

used to lock and unlock critical sections

```
MutexSem mutex;
mutex.take()
// critical section
mutex.give()
```

2.2 Signaling

```
calls used to block or unblock a thread
```

```
CountingSem mutex = new CountingSem();
// thread A
*
mutex.take() // block this thread
*
// thread B
*
mutex.give() // unblock thread A
*
```

2.3 Other Types

• blocked-set: arbitrary thread takes

• blocked-queue: take in FIFO order

• blocked-priority: highest priority take

• binary semaphore: efficient mutex implementation in RTOS

• multi-step semaphore: reserve several resources at once

3 Monitors

3.1 Introduction

As opposed to using take/give throughout the program, we instead can limit our mutual exclusions to specific function.

Monitor: interface for mutually exclusive access to a function, in java using synchronized

- wait stateless wait for signal
- notify notify first (or highest prio) waiting task
- notifyAll notify all waiting task

3.2 Rules

- don't mix a thread and monitor
- all public methods should be synchronized
- wrap thread-unsafe classes by monitor
- don't use (spread-out) synchronized blocks

4 Deadlock

4.1 Introduction

deadlock a circular chain of tasks trying to allocate resources

starvation when a task is never prioritized to execute

livelock a running circular chain that is unable to allocate resources

Deadlock **detection** is not feasible as a resolution for real-time applications so we will looks at **prevention** which deals with eliminating one of the conditions for deadlock:

- mutual exclusion
- hold and wait
- no preemption
- circular wait

4.2 Dining Philosophers

Five dining philosophers with five forks between them but each needs two to eat, proves to be a deadlock-able situation if they circularly pick up one fork. We can solve it with:

- One left-handed philosopher that picks up left fork first (not circular)
- Only allowing four philosophers into the room at a time (monitor)
- Philosophers picking up both forks or neither (using a Multistep Sem, starvation possible)

5 Message-based Synchronization

5.1 Mailboxes

Message-based communication is useful for:

- producer-consumer relations
- signaling (one thread never waits)
- information transfer (in data of message)
- buffering
- distributed concurrency
- encapsulation (concurrent object properties)

5.2 Unbounded mailbox

Use copy-on-send to create limitless mailboxes

- + flexible code
- + no need to assume shared memory
- + thread safety, message not accessible by sender
- can run out of memory, increased memory use
- unpractical when immediate response is required
- recycling via message pools is difficult

5.3 Implementation

We will use java.util.EventObject as our message and RTEvent for async communication because it includes a timestamp. As such we will use RTEventBuffer as our circular mailbox