# CS489: Machine Learning

Michael Noukhovitch

Winter 2017,

Notes written from Pascal Poupart's lectures.

## Contents

1	Intr	roduction	3	
	1.1	Supervised Learning	3	
		1.1.1 Hypothesis Space		
	1.2	Unsupervised Learning	3	
2	Nearest Neighbour			
	2.1	Basic NN	3	
	2.2	KNN	3	
3	Linear Regression			
	3.1	Least Squares	4	
		3.1.1 Regularization		
	3.2	Maximum Likelihood		
	3.3	Maximum A Posteriori		
	3.4	Expected Squared Loss	5	
	3.5	Bayesian Linear Regression		
4	Statistical Learning			
	4.1	Introduction	6	
	4.2	Bayes Rules		
	4.3	Bayesian Learning		
	4.4	Approximate Bayesian Learning	7	

## 1 Introduction

machine learning giving computers ability to learn without being explicitly programmed

A machine learns from experience E wrt to some class of tasks T and performance measure P if its performance in task T, as measured by P, improves with E

## 1.1 Supervised Learning

**Definition.** given a training set of examples (x, f(x)), return a hypothesis h that approximates h

Two types:

classification where output space consists of categorical values

regression where output space consists of numerical values

## 1.1.1 Hypothesis Space

hypothesis space set of all hypotheses H that the learner may consider

**consistent** if hypothesis h agrees with f on all examples

realizable if the hypothesis space constains the consistent function

our objective can be restated as a search problem to find the hypothesis h in hypothesis space H that minimizes some objective

### 1.2 Unsupervised Learning

## 2 Nearest Neighbour

## 2.1 Basic NN

nearest neighbours label any example with the label of its nearest neighbours

```
classification: h(x) = y_{x*}
where y_{x*} = \operatorname{argmin}_{x'} d(x, x') is the label associated with the nearest neighbour
```

#### 2.2 KNN

**k-nearest neighbours** assign the most frequent label among k nearest neighbours

```
let knn(x) be the k nearest neighbours
then y_x = \text{mode}(y_{x'}|x' \in knn(x))
```

**overfitting** a hypothesis h with training accuracy higher than its own testing accuracy  $\max(0, \operatorname{trainAccuracy}(h) - \operatorname{testAccuracy}(h))$ 

- classifier too expressive
- noisy data

• lack of data

**underfitting** a hypothesis h with training accuracy lower than testing accuracy of some other hypothesis h',  $\max(0, \max_{h'} \operatorname{trainAccuracy}(h) - \operatorname{testAccuracy}(h'))$ 

• classifier not expressive enough

k-fold cross validation split data in k equal subsets, run k experiments testing on one subset, and training on all the others. Report average accuracy

weighted knn weight each neighbour by distance

**knn regression**  $y_x$  is a real value,  $y_x \leftarrow average(y_{x'}|x' \in knn(x))$ 

## 3 Linear Regression

## 3.1 Least Squares

find linear hypothesis h:  $t = w^T \bar{x}$ , find w to minimize euclidean L2 loss

$$w* = argmin_w \frac{1}{2} \sum_{n=1}^{N} (t_n - w^T \bar{x}_n)^2$$

where  $\bar{x} = \begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ x \end{pmatrix}$  and we can solve with  $w = A^{-1}b$  or Aw = b which can be solved as a linear system

#### 3.1.1 Regularization

Least squares can be unstable, overfit, so change optimization

$$w* = argmin_{w} \frac{1}{2} \sum_{n=1}^{N} (t_{n} - w^{T} \bar{x}_{n})^{2} + \frac{\lambda}{2} \|w\|_{2}^{2}$$

or  $(\lambda I + A)w = b$ 

## 3.2 Maximum Likelihood

derive the same thing but from a different perspective: assume  $y = w^T \bar{x} + \text{gaussian noise so}$ 

$$Pr(y|\bar{X}, w, \sigma) = N(y|w^T\bar{X}, \sigma^2)$$

$$w* = argmax_w Pr(y|\bar{X}, w, \sigma)$$
...
$$= argmin_w \sum_n (y_n - w^T\bar{x}_n)^2$$

which is the same as least squares

### 3.3 Maximum A Posteriori

find w\* with highest posterior probability, knowing that prior  $P(w) = N(0, \Sigma)$ 

$$Pr(w|X,y) \propto Pr(w)Pr(y|X,w)$$

therefore for optimization:

$$w* = argmax_w Pr(w|\bar{X}, y)$$
...
$$= argmin_w \sum_n (y_n - w^T \bar{x}_n)^2 + w^T \Sigma^{-1} w$$

let  $\Sigma^{-1} = \lambda I$ 

$$= \operatorname{argmin}_{w} \sum_{n} (y_{n} - w^{T} \bar{x}_{n})^{2} + \lambda \|w\|_{2}^{2}$$

and we arrive at least squares with regularization

## 3.4 Expected Squared Loss

$$\begin{split} E[loss] &= \int_{x,y} Pr(x,y) (y-w^T \bar{x})^2 dx dy \\ &= \int_{x,y} Pr(x,y) (y-f(x))^2 + \int_x Pr(x) (f(x)-w^T \bar{x})^2 dx \\ &= \text{noise (constant)} + \text{error (relative to } w) \end{split}$$

lets consider the expected error wrt our dataset S

$$E[error] = E_S[(f(x) - w_S^T)^2]$$
=  $(f(x) - E_S[w_S^T \bar{x}])^2 + E_S[(E_S[w_S^T \bar{x}] - w_S^T \bar{x})^2]$ 
= bias<sup>2</sup> + variance

therefore putting it together

$$E[loss] = bias^2 + variance + noise$$

### 3.5 Bayesian Linear Regression

instead of using w\*, compute weighted avg prediction using  $Pr(w|\bar{X},y)$ 

$$Pr(w|\bar{X},y) = N(\bar{w},A^{-1})$$

where 
$$w = \sigma^{-2} A^{-1} \bar{X}^T y$$
  

$$A = \sigma^{-2} \bar{X}^T \bar{X} + \Sigma^{-1}$$

let  $x_*$  be the input for which we predict  $y_*$ 

$$Pr(y_*|\bar{x}_*, \bar{X}, y) = \int_w Pr(y_*|\bar{x}_*, w) Pr(w|\bar{X}, y) dw$$
...
$$= N(\bar{x}_*^T A^{-1} \bar{X}^T y, \bar{x}_*^T A^{-1} \bar{x}_*)$$

## 4 Statistical Learning

### 4.1 Introduction

**probability distribution** a specific probability for each event in our sample space **joint distribution** spec of probabilities for all combinations of events  $Pr(A \wedge B)$  conditional probabilities  $Pr(A|B) = Pr(A \wedge B)/Pr(B)$ 

## 4.2 Bayes Rules

$$Pr(B|A) = \frac{Pr(A|B)Pr(B)}{Pr(A)}$$

posterior P(B|A)

likelihood P(A|B)

**prior** P(B)

normalizing P(A)

evidence A

## 4.3 Bayesian Learning

computing the posterior of hypothesis given evidence using Bayes' theorem:

$$Pr(H|e) = kPr(e|H)Pr(H)$$

properties:

- + optimal (given prior)
- + no overfitting (all hypotheses considered)
- intractable if hypothesis space is large

## 4.4 Approximate Bayesian Learning

Maximum A Posteriori make prediction based on most probable hypothesis (vs basing on all hypotheses weighted by probability)

$$h_{map} = argmax_{h_i} Pr(h_i|e)$$

- + controlled overfitting
- + converges as data increases
- less accurate than Bayesian prediction
- maybe be intractable!

**Maximum Likelihood** simplify MAP by assuming uniform prior  $Pr(h_i) = Pr(h_j) \forall i, j$ 

$$h_{ml} = argmax_{h_i} Pr(e|h_i)$$

- + still converges
- least accurate because ignore prior info
- overfits

also, can be easier than MAP:  $h_{ml} = argmax_h \sum_n \log Pr(e_n|h)$