

# Rubric

## Part 1: Cancer

### Task 1 (6 points)

1. (+6.0) All of the below
  2. (+2.0) Dataset loaded correctly (breast cancer dataset).
  3. (+2.0) Train/test split done correctly into `X_train`, `X_test`, `y_train`, `y_test`.
  4. (+2.0) Number of benign (1) vs malignant (0) in training data computed/printed.
- 

### Task 2 (8 points)

1. (+8.0) All of the below
  2. (+2.0) Random predictions generated with probabilities from training class proportions.
  3. (+2.0) Confusion matrix shown with correct labels.
  4. (+2.0) Accuracy, precision, recall correctly computed.
  5. (+2.0) Short written interpretation of accuracy/precision/recall in context of benign/malignant.
- 

### Task 3 (8 points)

1. (+8.0) All of the below
  2. (+2.0) Features scaled with `StandardScaler` (fit on train only, applied to both train/test).
  3. (+2.0) Logistic regression fit with `penalty=None`, `random_state=2025`, `max_iter=10000`.
  4. (+2.0) Training and test accuracy both reported.
  5. (+2.0) Results printed clearly with values.
- 

### Task 4 (9 points)

1. (+9.0) All of the below
2. (+1.0) Run study across all six `C` values `[0.001, 0.01, 0.1, 1.0, 10.0, 100.0]`.
3. (+1.0) 5-fold CV mean accuracy stored in `val_scores`.
4. (+1.0) Sparsity ratio calculated with threshold `0.0001`.
5. (+2.0) Validation accuracy curve and sparsity curve clearly shown.

6. (+2.0) Table of results (C, accuracy, sparsity) printed.
  7. (+2.0) Written explanation identifying 2–3 reasonable C choices.
- 

### **Task 5 (Bonus)**

1. (+5.0) All of the below
  2. (+3.0) Both sparse logistic regression (chosen C) and decision tree (max\_depth=3) trained.
  3. (+1.0) Test accuracy, precision, recall, confusion matrices for both reported.
  4. (+1.0) Short written comparison included.
- 

## **Part 2: Logistic**

### **Task 1 (8 points)**

1. (+8.0) All of the below
  2. (+2.0) Import LogisticRegression from sklearn.linear\_model
  3. (+1.0) Import accuracy\_score from sklearn.metrics.
  4. (+1.0) Logistic regression model initialized with penalty=None parameter
  5. (+1.0) Model fitted on X\_train and y\_train
  6. (+1.0) Make correct predictions on training set (X\_train)
  7. (+1.0) Make correct calculation of training accuracy
  8. (+1.0) Make correct calculation of test accuracy
- 

### **Task 2 (16 points)**

1. (+16.0) All of the below
2. (+2.0) (predict\_proba method) Compute linear predictions using  $X @ \text{self.weights}$
3. (+2.0) (predict\_proba method) Apply sigmoid function to linear predictions
4. (+2.0) (predict method) Use predict\_proba method to get probabilities
5. (+1.0) (predict method) Apply 0.5 threshold to convert probabilities to class labels
6. (+2.0) (fit method) Weights initialized using np.random.normal with correct shape (n\_features,)
7. (+1.0) (fit method) Compute linear predictions ( $X @ \text{self.weights}$ )
8. (+1.0) (fit method) Apply sigmoid to get predicted probabilities
9. (+1.0) (fit method) Compute prediction errors (predictions - y)

10. (+1.0) (fit method) Compute gradient using  $X.T @ \text{errors} / n\_samples$
  11. (+2.0) (fit method) Update weights using gradient descent rule:  $\text{weights} - lr * \text{gradient}$
- 

### Task 3 (10 points)

1. (+10.0) All of the below
  2. (+1.0) Create instance of BinaryLogisticRegression class
  3. (+1.0) Call fit method on training data ( $X\_train, y\_train$ )
  4. (+2.0) Make predictions on training set using DIY model
  5. (+2.0) Make predictions on test set using DIY model
  6. (+2.0) Calculate accuracy for test predictions
  7. (+2.0) Calculate accuracy for training predictions
- 

### Task 4 (Bonus)

1. (+5.0) All of the below
  2. (+1.0) Test all seven specified learning rates: [100, 10, 1, 0.1, 0.01, 0.001, 0.0001]
  3. (+2.0) Evaluate accuracy on training set and number of iterations for each learning rate
  4. (+2.0) Results clearly displayed in an organized format along with written explanation of learning rate importance considering both performance and computational complexity
- 

## Part 3: Toxic

### Task 1 (8 points)

1. (+8.0) All of the below
  2. (+2.0) Load data using pandas, csv module, or appropriate method
  3. (+2.0) Create target variable y with correct 3-class encoding (0=normal, 1=toxic, 2=severely toxic)
  4. (+1.0) Target variable logic correct (severely toxic prioritized over toxic, and correct class assignments)
  5. (+1.0) Fit and transform text data into bag-of-words representation
  6. (+1.0) Print or display the shape of matrix X
  7. (+1.0) Final X matrix has the right shape or dimensions
- 

### Task 2 (10 points)

1. (+10.0) All of the below
  2. (+1.0) Use 30% test size or random\_state=2025
  3. (+1.0) Compute validation curve for the specified C values [0.001, 0.01, 0.1, 0.5, 1.0]
  4. (+1.0) Use cv=3 for cross-validation in validation curve
  5. (+1.0) Visualize or display validation curve results
  6. (+1.0) Select optimal C value based on validation curve results
  7. (+1.0) Create LogisticRegression model with selected C value
  8. (+1.0) Fit model on training data (X\_train, y\_train)
  9. (+1.0) Make predictions on test set
  10. (+1.0) Compute confusion matrix for test set predictions
  11. (+1.0) Visualize or display confusion matrix
- 

### Task 3 (11 points)

1. (+11.0) All of the below
  2. (+1.0) (Resampling Method) Implement upsampling or downsampling to create balanced training data
  3. (+1.0) (Resampling Method) Does not apply resampling to test set (should only resample training data)
  4. (+1.0) (Resampling Method) Create balanced training set with equal class representation
  5. (+2.0) (Class Weighting Method) Use class\_weight='balanced' parameter in LogisticRegression
  6. (+1.0) Use the same C value selected in Task 2 for both methods
  7. (+1.0) Discuss false positives vs false negatives in content moderation context
  8. (+1.0) Clearly select one method as the final model
  9. (+1.0) Confusion Matrix Visualization: display confusion matrices for both resampling and class weighting methods
  10. (+2.0) Provide clear reasoning for method selection based on error types and content moderation needs
- 

### Task 4 (6 points)

1. (+6.0) All of the below
2. (+1.0) Clearly state a position on whether ML models should be used for toxic speech detection/removal
3. (+2.0) Response is significantly not shorter than 2-3 paragraphs
4. (+3.0) Provide reasoning or evidence to support their position