

# 1 growth

We fit a second-order model using the following equation, check the summary and analysis of variance.

```
> h<-lm(Yield~x1+x2+x3+I(x1^2)+I(x2^2)+I(x3^2)+x1*x2+x2*x3+x1*x3,data = growth)
> summary(h)
> pure.error.anova(h)
```

The summary and anova table are shown as shown in figure 1 and figure 2

```
> summary(h)

Call:
lm(formula = Yield ~ x1 + x2 + x3 + I(x1^2) + I(x2^2) + I(x3^2) +
    x1 * x2 + x2 * x3 + x1 * x3, data = growth)

Residuals:
    Min       1Q   Median       3Q      Max
-15.6661  -9.1577  -0.6661   9.1718  17.3339

Coefficients:
            Estimate Std. Error t value Pr(>|t|)
(Intercept)  100.666      5.564   18.093  5.7e-09 ***
x1             1.271      3.691    0.344  0.73765
x2             1.361      3.691    0.369  0.71998
x3            -1.494      3.691   -0.405  0.69411
I(x1^2)       -3.767      3.593   -1.048  0.31912
I(x2^2)      -12.430      3.593   -3.459  0.00613 **
I(x3^2)       -9.601      3.593   -2.672  0.02342 *
x1:x2          2.875      4.823    0.596  0.56436
x2:x3         -4.625      4.823   -0.959  0.36020
x1:x3         -2.625      4.823   -0.544  0.59819
---
Signif. codes:
  0 '***' 0.001 '**' 0.01 '*' 0.05 '.' 0.1 ' ' 1

Residual standard error: 13.64 on 10 degrees of freedom
Multiple R-squared:  0.6631,    Adjusted R-squared:  0.3598
F-statistic: 2.186 on 9 and 10 DF,  p-value: 0.1194
```

Figure 1: summary of second order model

```
> pure.error.anova(h)
Analysis of Variance Table

Response: Yield
          Df Sum Sq Mean Sq F value    Pr(>F)
x1          1  22.08   22.08    0.1285  0.73467
x2          1  25.31   25.31    0.1473  0.71695
x3          1  30.50   30.50    0.1775  0.69105
I(x1^2)     1  46.37   46.37    0.2698  0.62564
I(x2^2)     1 1916.92 1916.92  11.1535  0.02056 *
I(x3^2)     1 1328.46 1328.46   7.7296  0.03889 *
x1:x2       1   66.12   66.12    0.3847  0.56225
x2:x3       1  171.13  171.13    0.9957  0.36417
x1:x3       1   55.13   55.13    0.3207  0.59564
Residuals   10 1860.95  186.09
Lack of fit  5 1001.61  200.32   1.1656  0.43529
Pure Error   5   859.33   171.87
---
```

Figure 2: anova of second order model

The p-value of lack of fit is 0.43529, which is greater than 0.05. Thus, we could conclude that the second model is adequate to represent the data. Fitted model:  $\hat{y} = 100.666 + 1.271\hat{x}_1 + 1.361\hat{x}_2 - 1.494\hat{x}_3 - 3.767\hat{x}_1^2 - 12.430\hat{x}_2^2 - 9.601\hat{x}_3^2 + 2.875\hat{x}_1\hat{x}_2 - 4.625\hat{x}_2\hat{x}_3 - 2.625\hat{x}_1\hat{x}_3$   
 $B_{3,3} = \begin{pmatrix} -3.7670 & 1.4375 & -1.3125 \\ 1.4375 & -12.4300 & -2.3125 \\ -1.3125 & -2.3125 & -9.6010 \end{pmatrix}, b = \begin{pmatrix} 1.271 \\ 1.361 \\ -1.494 \end{pmatrix}, x_s = -\frac{1}{2}B^{-1}b =$   
 $\begin{pmatrix} 0.260 \\ 0.111 \\ -0.140 \end{pmatrix}$ , and the eigenvalues of matrix B are  $\begin{pmatrix} -3.078495 \\ -8.953226 \\ -13.766279 \end{pmatrix}$ . All eigenvalues are negative, which makes sure that  $X_s$  is the maximum point.

## 2 average age

a) The sample design is simple random sampling without replacement. Under SRSWOR, the sample mean  $\bar{y}$  is an unbiased estimator of  $\bar{Y}$ , thus the estimator of mean age for children is  $\bar{y} = \frac{9*13+10*35+11*44+12*69+13*36+14*24+15*7+16*3+17*2+18*5}{240} = 12.08$ . The  $v(\bar{y})$  is an unbiased estimator of  $V(\bar{y})$ , and  $v(\bar{y}) = \frac{s^2}{n} = \frac{3.705}{240} = 0.015$ , thus, the standard error  $se(\bar{y}) = \sqrt{v(\bar{y})} = 0.124$ . And the 95% confidence interval for the average age is  $\bar{y} \pm Z_{\alpha/2}s\sqrt{\frac{1}{n}} = 12.08 \pm 0.243$  b) We determine the sample size based on this formula:  $n = \frac{Z_{\alpha/2}^2 S^2}{e^2} = \frac{1.96^2 * 3.705}{0.5^2} = 56.93$ , hence, the minimum sample size is 57.

## 3 clams

First, we calculate  $N_h h = 1, , 4$ ,  $N_1 = 222.81 * 25.6 = 5704$ ,  $N_2 = 49.61 * 25.6 = 1270$ ,  $N_3 = 50.25 * 25.6 = 1287$ ,  $N_4 = 197.81 * 25.6 = 5064$ ,  $N = N_1 + N_2 + N_3 + N_4 = 13325$ . Then we obtain the  $\bar{y}_{st} = \sum_{h=1}^H W_h \bar{y}_h = 1.36$ . After that, we can have the estimator of the total number of bushels  $\hat{t}_{st} = N\bar{y}_{st} = 13325 * 1.36 = 18122$ .

The variance of  $\hat{y}_{st}$ :  $v(\hat{y}_{st}) = \sum_{h=1}^H W_h^2 (1 - n_h/N_h) s_h^2 / n_h = 0.0327$ , thus, the variance of  $\hat{t}_{st}$ :  $v(\hat{t}_{st}) = N^2 v(\hat{y}_{st}) = 13325^2 * 0.0327 = 5806069$  the standard error is  $se(\hat{t}_{st}) = \sqrt{v(\hat{t}_{st})} = 2410$

## 4 totoal number of acres

a) Use ratio estimation to estimate the total number of acres:

$$\hat{R} = \frac{\bar{y}}{\bar{x}} = \frac{\text{mean}(\text{acres92})}{\text{mean}(\text{farms87})} = 459.8975$$

$$\hat{t}_{yr} = \hat{R}t_x = 459.8975 * 2087759 = 960,155,061$$

**b)** Use the regression estimation:

$$\hat{\beta}_0 = 263098.45, \hat{\beta}_1 = 58.09$$

$$\hat{y}_{req} = \hat{\beta}_0 + \hat{\beta}_1 \bar{x} = 263098.45 + 58.09 * 2087759/3078 = 302,500$$

$$\hat{t}_{yreq} = N\hat{y}_{req} = 3078 * 302500 = 931,095,000$$

**c)** In order to find the method with most precision, we calculate the standard variance of  $\hat{t}_y$ .

$$\begin{aligned} &\text{ratio estimation with auxiliary variable acres87, } se(\hat{t}_{yra87}) = \sqrt{var(\hat{t}_y)} = \\ &\sqrt{N^2(1 - \frac{n}{N})\frac{1}{n}\frac{1}{n-1} \sum_{i \in s} (y_i - \hat{R}x_i)^2} = 5,344,567 \\ &\text{ratio estimation with auxiliary variable farms87,} \\ &se(\hat{t}_{yrf87}) = \sqrt{var(\hat{t}_y)} = \sqrt{N^2(1 - \frac{n}{N})\frac{1}{n}\frac{1}{n-1} \sum_{i \in s} (y_i - \hat{R}x_i)^2} = 65,364,822 \\ &\text{regression estimation with auxiliary variable farms87,} \\ &se(\hat{t}_{yregf87}) = \sqrt{var(\hat{t}_y)} = \sqrt{N^2(1 - \frac{n}{N})\frac{1}{n}\frac{1}{n-1} \sum_{i \in s} (y_i - \beta_0 - \beta_1 * x_i)^2} = \\ &58,065,813 \end{aligned}$$

Based on the variances, we can tell that ratio estimation has the most precision since its variance is minimum among these three methods.

## 5 Neyman allocation

a)

$$\begin{aligned}
V_{Neyman}(\hat{t}_{str}) &= N^2 V(\bar{y}_{st}) = N^2 \sum_{h=1}^H W_h^2 (1 - \frac{n_h}{N_h}) S_h^2 / n_h \\
&= \sum_{h=1}^H N_h^2 (1 - \frac{n_h}{N_h}) S_h^2 / n_h \\
&= \sum_{h=1}^H N_h^2 (1 - \frac{\frac{N_h S_h n}{\sum_{l=1}^H N_l S_l}}{N_h}) S_h^2 \frac{\sum_{l=1}^H N_l S_l}{N_h S_h n} \\
&= \sum_{h=1}^H N_h S_h (1 - \frac{S_h n}{\sum_{l=1}^H N_l S_l}) \frac{\sum_{l=1}^H N_l S_l}{n} \\
&= \sum_{h=1}^H N_h S_h (\frac{\sum_{l=1}^H N_l S_l}{n} - S_h) \\
&= \frac{1}{n} \sum_{h=1}^H N_l S_l \sum_{h=1}^H N_h S_h - \sum_{h=1}^H N_h S_h^2 \\
&= \frac{1}{n} (\sum_{h=1}^H N_h S_h)^2 - \sum_{h=1}^H N_h S_h^2
\end{aligned}$$

b)

$$\begin{aligned}
V_{prop}(\hat{t}_{str}) - V_{Neyman}(\hat{t}_{str}) &= \frac{N}{n} \sum_{h=1}^H N_h S_h^2 - \sum_{h=1}^H N_h S_h^2 - \frac{1}{n} (\sum_{h=1}^H N_h S_h)^2 + \sum_{h=1}^H N_h S_h^2 \\
&= \frac{N}{n} \sum_{h=1}^H N_h S_h^2 - \frac{1}{n} (\sum_{h=1}^H N_h S_h)^2 \\
&= \frac{N^2}{n} \sum_{h=1}^H \frac{N_h}{N} S_h^2 - \frac{N^2}{n} (\sum_{h=1}^H \frac{N_h}{N} S_h)^2 \\
&= \frac{N^2}{n} [\sum_{h=1}^H \frac{N_h}{N} S_h^2 - (\sum_{h=1}^H \frac{N_h}{N} S_h)^2] \\
&= \frac{N^2}{n} \sum_{h=1}^H \frac{N_h}{N} (S_h - \sum_{l=1}^H \frac{N_l}{N} S_l)^2
\end{aligned}$$