COSC122 (2012) Lab 5 **Hashing**

Quiz Due Date: Friday, 17 August

Quiz Drop Dead Date: 5pm Monday, 20 August

Goals

This lab will give you some practice with hashing and hash tables; in this lab you will:

- test a simple spell-checker that uses linear search;
- complete a spell-checker that uses the following hash table implementations;
 - chaining
 - linear probing
 - quadratic probing
- Investigate how the hash table load factor affects performance.

You should be familiar with the material in Section 5.2.3 - Hashing ¹ (first edition Section 4.3.3) of the textbook before attempting this lab.

Hashing

The goal of hashing and hash tables is to produce a collection with O(1) performance by reducing items to a *hash* value and storing them in a table of possible hash values. There are three main components to this process:

- 1. the hash table,
- 2. the hash function, and
- 3. the method for dealing with hash collisions.

Spell Checking

Automated spell checkers compare every word in a document to the words in a pre-defined dictionary.² While this may seem like a trivially easy task, the challenge is in making the process fast; there are over 600,000 words in the Oxford English Dictionary, and many more that are used every day, but aren't on that list—grammatical variants, jargon and technical terms, slang, foreign words, etc. Checking a single sentence could easily cost millions of comparisons if you're not careful.

The provided spelling.py module contains a few methods and stubs that will be used in this lab, such as:

- load_dict_words: loads the words from a dictionary file into a list.
- load_doc_words: reads the words (sequences of characters between A–Z or a–z) from a document, converts them all into lowercase, and returns them as a list.

 $^{^{1} \}texttt{http://interactivepython.org/courselib/static/COSC122/SortSearch/searching.html\#hashing}$

²Modern spell checkers are a bit more advanced, but for this lab, we'll keep things simple.

- ChainingHashTable: an initially inefficient chaining hash table class.
- LinearHashTable: an open addressing hash table class with linear probing.
- QuadraticHashTable: an open addressing hash table class with quadratic probing.

There are several other files provided in the lab archive, which will be used as test input:

- words.txt: a file containing over 610,000 words³ the dictionary we will use to check spelling.
- sherlock.txt: the first adventure of Sir Arthur Conan Doyle's Memoirs of Sherlock Holmes;
 ~1,000 words.
- trgov.txt: John Locke's Two Treatises of Government; ~56,000 words.
- humnature.txt: David Hume's A Treatise of Human Nature; ~225,000 words.

Finally, there is tester.py that contains some starter tests, that you will expand upon.

The Easy, but Slow, Way

Complete the spellcheck_with_list stub method in the spelling module. The function receives lists of all words in the document and dictionary, and should go through every word in the document to see if it is also in the dictionary, using a naïve, linear method (ie, 'in' will be handy). The function should print out each word in the document that doesn't appear in the dictionary and keep a running total of the number of words that weren't in the dictionary.

You can test your spell checker using the shell; although, you may want to put this code into a separate file and use Wing's *Debug* function to see the output as it happens, as it could take a while.

```
>>> from spelling import *
>>> dictionary = load_dict_words("words.txt")
>>> document = load_doc_words("sherlock.txt")
>>> spellcheck_with_list(document, dictionary)
pyland
favorite
```

Many of the "incorrect" words are actually correctly spelt (or the American spelling of) names and places! Even with 480,000 words, our dictionary is far from complete.

As you should observe, it takes quite a while to check the paltry 1,000 words in sherlock.txt. Think about how long it would take to check the larger documents.

> Complete question 1 in Lab quiz Quiz 5.

The ChainingHashTable Class

If we kept the dictionary in a hash table, we could significantly reduce the number of comparisons it takes to check if a word is in it. (In theory! The actual efficiency in practice is dependent on the hashing function we use and the load factor.)

The ChainingHashTable class contains the implementation for a simple chaining hash table. The constructor creates a list of empty lists used to store the items. The outer list is sized to a certain number of slots (by default, 11); the inner lists contain the items for each slot (initially there is just and empty list in each slot). At this stage you only need to implement the store method. The _repr_ method is implemented in the class and the example below shows a print of a simple hash table (note we have a simple hash function at the moment...):

³From SCOWL: http://wordlist.sf.net/

```
>>> from spelling import ChainingHashTable
>>> hash_table = ChainingHashTable(5)
>>> hash_table.store('Paul')
>>> hash_table.store('Peter')
>>> hash_table.store('Paula')
>>> hash_table.store('David')
>>> hash_table.store('Bob')
>>> hash table.store('Di')
>>> print hash_table
ChainingHashTable:
slot
           0 = ['Paul', 'Peter', 'Paula', 'David', 'Bob', 'Di']
slot
           1 = []
           2 = []
slot
slot
           3 = []
           4 = []
slot
```

Now you should implement the __contains__ method you will be able to use the in operator to see whether a given item is in the hash table, for example:

```
>>> print 'Bob' in hash_table
True
>>> print 'Knob' in hash_table
False
```

Spell Checking with Chaining Hash Table

Complete the spellcheck_with_hashtable (document, dictionary, ht_type, ht_size) function. This function is similar to that for the simple list based search but it also includes a parameter for hash table type and hash table size. You will need to insert the code to do the following things, in the appropriate places.

- 1. create a ChainingHashTable object with ht_size number of slots,
- 2. use the supplied dictionary list to populate it, and
- 3. then check the words in the document against the dictionary that is now in the hash table.

Once you have completed the function you can call it with calls such as:

• spellcheck_with_hashtable(document, dictionary, 'Chaining', 11).

Stick to a hash table size of 11 for now - we will crank it up later when we look at the load factor.

After completing spellcheck_with_hashtable, test it in the same way that you did for spellcheck_with_list. To start with you should spell check the sherlock.txt file as it is the shortest. Then try trgov.txt, this will take some time!

You should notice, however, that this doesn't seem any better than the linear method! The example print out of a chaining hash table above gives you an indication of what is going wrong. Are the items spread around the hash table evenly?

The ChainingHashTable class uses its _hash method to compute the hash value for items in the table; but at the moment it always returns 0—all items have the same hash value! This means that all words will be chained into the same slot, and when it comes to searching for an item, it will perform a linear search across that slot—effectively doing a linear search over the list of every single item that was inserted. So, now let's implement a more sensible hash function in ChainingHashTable.

Better Hash Functions

A perfect hash function transforms every item into a unique hash value. However, this usually isn't possible—it requires knowing what every item in the hash table will be before you write the function. Instead, hash tables typically settle for a hash function that gives a *uniform distribution*—the hash values are spread across a large range of possible values.

In Python, defining a hash function for an object is done by adding a __hash__ method that returns an integer.⁵ Most built-in objects (numbers, strings, lists, *etc.*) already have an implementation of this method; it's called using the built-in hash function:

```
>>> hash("a")
12416037344
```

However, you can't just use the hash value as-is: the range of possible hash values spans over 4 billion integers—far more slots than will exist in our table. The hash values need to be scaled down to be in the range of the number of slots—typically done using the modulo operation (%). If using this method then it is wise to set the number of slots to a prime number, to minimise collisions and spread values more evenly.

Re-implement the _hash function in ChainingHashTable to return Python's hash of the object, scaled to the number of slots we have available. For example, for the test example above (adding 'Paul','Peter','Paula','David','Bob' and 'Di' to a 5 slot hash table) should result in a hash table that looks like:

ChainingHashTable:

```
slot 0 = []
slot 1 = ['David']
slot 2 = ['Paul', 'Peter', 'Bob']
slot 3 = ['Di']
slot 4 = ['Paula']
```

Notice the collisions at slot 2. In this example, collisions will be unavoidable given the number of items is greater than the number of slots. ⁶

Test your spellcheck_with_hashtable method again, still using 'Chaining' and a hash table size of 11.

The Load Factor

Your spell checker should now be faster than the first version you implemented. However, you can still do much better.

The *load factor* of a hash table is a metric of how full the table is; it's calculated using the following formula:

```
\lambda = \frac{\text{number of items}}{\text{number of slots}}
```

A load factor of 0 would indicate an empty table, while a load factor greater than 1 would indicate a table that has more items than slots (and needs to chain them). Note that the load factor doesn't consider the hash function—you can have a low load factor and still have poor performance because your hash function doesn't distribute items well.

By default, our HashTable creates a table with 11 slots. If you calculate the load factor for our table when it's populated with the entire dictionary of words, you'll note that it's *incredibly* high.

⁴We *could* construct a perfect hash function for our dictionary of words, since it won't change over the course of this lab; but this typically isn't the case.

⁵You must also override __cmp__ or __eq__ if you do this!

⁶One benefit of chaining is that the hash table can hold more items than it has slots so you don't need to know how many items are to be added when you create the hash table and you won't get an error if you add large numbers of items - until your computer runs out of memory.

Assuming a perfect hash function, this means that it is creating chains of tens-of-thousands of items that are to be searched through!

You can adjust the number of slots in the table, by changing the parameter to the HashTable constructor or indirectly by changing the hash table size value that you send to spellcheck_with_hashtable with some more reasonable hash table sizes. Will a size of 23 help much? how about 101? Try some load factors under 1 0 this will require more slots that words in the dictionary. Try to find the sweet spot. Test out the other two documents—trgov.txt and humnature.txt—to ensure larger documents don't melt your computer.

Checking the spelling of a document should now be almost-instant. You will notice that the construction and population of the dictionary hash table is the most time-consuming task, spell checking the document takes less than a few seconds.

> Complete questions 2 to 6 in Lab quiz 5.

Dealing with Collisions

There are two main ways of dealing with hash collisions:

- 1. *Chaining*: A list is maintained at each hash slot with the list containing all items that hash to the slot.
- 2. *Open Addressing*: If a collision occurs then a *probe* is done to find another empty slot in the hash table. All items are stored in the slots of the hash table, therefore space is limited...

So far we have covered *chaining* and will now experiment with *open addressing*.

Open addressing

When using open addressing items are stored one per slot in the hash table, therefore the hash table cannot store more items than it has slots. For example, a five slot hash table that uses open addressing can store a maximum of 5 items. If an item hashes to a slot that already contains an item then we need to find another empty location in the table using a *probing* function. The hash plus probe sequence must be consistent so that a search will find the item. Depending on the hash table size, probing function and load factor an empty slot may not be located, even if the table isn't full! So it is important to get a feel for how open addressing works.

Linear Probing

If a collision occurs, increment the slot number and try that slot, continuing until an empty slot is found or the original slot is reached (which indicates the table is full). To avoid running off the end of the hash table use the modulo function to ensure the new slot number is less than the number of slots. For example the i^{th} probe will be at $slot = (curr_slot + i)\%table_size$ - or the next probe will be at the current slot plus one. Our lab classes have an object variable called n_slots to make this easy for you.

> Complete question 7 in Lab Quiz 5

Now is the time to implement the store and __contains__ methods in the LinearHashTable class, so that the doc test returns no errors.

It is good to work through the addition of items in a step by step process, looking at the hash table as it grows. For example, running commands such as:

⁷see http://www.bigprimes.net/archive/prime/ for a selection of big prime numbers

```
from spelling import *
hash_table = LinearHashTable(7)
hash_table.store('Tennis')
print hash_table
hash_table.store('Cricket')
print hash_table
hash_table.store('Swimming')
print hash_table
hash_table.store('Underwater Motorbike Hockey')
print hash_table
hash_table.store('Soccer')
print hash_table
```

> Complete question (18 %10) in Lab Quiz 5

Once you are happy that you have your LinearHashTable class working, test your spell checking routine using LinearHashTables of size 700001, 800011, 900001, 1000003, 2000003, 5000011 and 10000019. Then try using a size of 600011. What should happen here? Does your code deal with this?

• eg, spellcheck_with_hashtable(document, dictionary, 'Linear', 1000003).

How does the speed change when the size of the hash table is increased?

Quadratic Probing

With quadratic probing, the sequence for probing is based on a quadratic function and in this lab we will use a simple quadratic function. That is, the ith probe will be at $slot = (h + i^2)\%table_size$, where h is the original hash collision slot. So, if a clash occurred at slot 0 (in a hash table of size 101) then the sequence of slots to probe would be 1, 4, 9, 16, 36,... With quadratic probing it is important to set the number of slots in the hash table to a prime number, especially if you are going to have a high load factor. You should be aware that, for load factors over 0.5, quadratic probing isn't guaranteed to find an empty slot.

> Complete question (39 %10) in lab quiz 5

Now is the time to implement the store and __contains__ methods in the QuadraticHashTable class, so that the doc test returns no errors. Then do some of your own testing by creating a hash table and adding in some items, printing out the table after each item is added.

> Complete question (21%11) in lab quiz 5

Once you are happy that you have your LinearHashTable class working, test your spell checking routine using QuadraticHashTables of size 700001, 800011, 900001, 1000003, 2000003, 5000011 and 10000019. Then try using a size of 600011. What should happen here? Does your code deal with this?

• eg, spellcheck_with_hashtable(document, dictionary, 'Quadratic', 700001).

How does the speed change when the size of the hash table is increased?

Extras

• Instead of using spellcheck_with_list, write a function that uses a *binary search* of the dictionary, call it something entertaining like spellcheck_bin. How does it compare with the three hash table implementations? Will this always be the case?

• Change the store method of ChainingHashTable to keep the items in each chain sorted; adjust the __contains__ method to take advantage of this. Given a load factor λ , and number of items n, what's the average number of comparisons needed to find an item using this method (assuming a perfect hash function)?