Peer Review for Group 6

Project Title: Fraudulent Activity Detection in Credit Card Data Using Data Mining Techniques

Overall Impressions:

The project demonstrates a solid understanding of data mining techniques. The utilization of oversampling and undersampling techniques to address imbalanced data is excellent and reflects a thoughtful approach to handling such challenges. Additionally, the incorporation of various data mining models and the presentation of corresponding metrics and accuracies enhance the project's credibility.

Strengths:

- 1. Effective utilization of oversampling and undersampling techniques to address imbalanced data.
- 2. Comprehensive exploration of different data mining models and detailed explanations of their applications.
- 3. Clear depiction of metrics and accuracies

Opportunities/Areas for Improvement:

- 1. The project lacks visualizations to illustrate model performance, relying primarily on numerical data. Incorporating visual representations such as confusion matrices would enhance the comprehensibility of the findings.
- 2. There is potential to explore future trends in fraudulent activities and analyze variations across different states or time periods. Integration with additional datasets could provide insights into the underlying factors influencing fraudulent activities, such as regional trends or category frequencies.

Suggestions:

- 1. Introduce visualizations, such as confusion matrices, to effectively illustrate and compare the performance of different data mining models.
- 2. Explore future trends and regional variations in fraudulent activities by merging credit card data with additional datasets. This could provide valuable insights into the factors driving fraudulent behavior and inform strategies for prevention and detection.

by Nirmit Sachde