EE659: Assignment 5

Nirav Bhattad (23B3307)

Question: 1

Let $f(\mathbf{x})$, where $\mathbf{x} = \begin{bmatrix} x_1 & x_2 \end{bmatrix}^{\mathsf{T}} \in \mathbb{R}^2$, be given by

$$f(\mathbf{x}) = 5x_1^2 + x_2^2 + 2x_1x_2 - 3x_1 - x_2.$$

- (a) Express $f(\mathbf{x})$ in the form of $f(\mathbf{x}) = \frac{1}{2}\mathbf{x}^{\top}Q\mathbf{x} \mathbf{x}^{\top}\mathbf{b}$.
- (b) Find the minimizer of f using the conjugate gradient algorithm. Use a starting point of $\mathbf{x}^{(0)} = (0,0)^{\mathsf{T}}$, where $\mathbf{x} = \begin{bmatrix} x_1 & x_2 \end{bmatrix}^{\mathsf{T}}$.

Proof. We begin by identifying the quadratic terms and linear terms in the function $f(\mathbf{x})$.

Quadratic terms:

- The coefficient of x_1^2 is 5, so $Q_{11} = 10$ (since we have a factor of $\frac{1}{2}$ in the quadratic form).
- The coefficient of x_2^2 is 1, so $Q_{22} = 2$.
- The coefficient of x_1x_2 is 2, so $Q_{12} = Q_{21} = 1$.

Thus, the matrix Q is:

$$Q = \begin{bmatrix} 10 & 1 \\ 1 & 2 \end{bmatrix}.$$

Linear terms:

The linear terms are $-3x_1$ and $-x_2$. Hence, the vector **b** is:

$$\mathbf{b} = \begin{bmatrix} 3 \\ 1 \end{bmatrix}.$$

Therefore, we can express the function as:

$$f(\mathbf{x}) = \frac{1}{2} \mathbf{x}^{\top} \begin{bmatrix} 10 & 1 \\ 1 & 2 \end{bmatrix} \mathbf{x} - \mathbf{x}^{\top} \begin{bmatrix} 3 & 1 \end{bmatrix}.$$

For the second part, we resort to Python.

```
import numpy as np

Q = np.array([[10, 1], [1, 2]])
b = np.array([3, 1])

def conjugate_gradient(Q, b, x0=None, tol=1e-9, max_iter=1000):
```

```
if x0 is None:
           x = np.zeros_like(b)
       else:
9
10
          x = x0
       r = b - np.dot(Q, x)
11
       p = r.copy()
12
13
       rs_old = np.dot(r, r)
14
       for i in range(max_iter):
15
           Ap = np.dot(Q, p)
16
           alpha = rs_old / np.dot(p, Ap)
17
           x = x + alpha * p
18
           r = r - alpha * Ap
19
           rs_new = np.dot(r, r)
20
21
           if np.sqrt(rs_new) < tol:</pre>
22
23
               break
24
           beta = rs_new / rs_old
           p = r + beta * p
26
27
           rs_old = rs_new
28
       return x, i + 1
29
   x0 = np.zeros(2)
31
   solution, iterations = conjugate_gradient(Q, b, x0)
33
   print(f"Solution: {solution}")
   print(f"Iterations: {iterations}")
```

We get the results as shown below:

```
nirav24@maverick ~/D/A/I/S/E/Assignment-5> python3° Q1.py
Solution: [0.26315789 0.36842105]
Iterations: 2
```

Question: 2

Consider the problem to minimize $(3 - x_1)^2 + 7(x_2 - x_1^2)^2$. Starting from the point (0,0), solve the problem using the following methods. Do the methods converge to the same point? If not, explain.

- (a) The method of Fletcher and Reeves.
- (b) The method of Davidon-Fletcher-Powell.
- (a). We solve this numerically using Python.

```
import numpy as np

def f(x):
    x1, x2 = x
    return (3 - x1)**2 + 7 * (x2 - x1**2)**2

def grad_f(x):
    x1, x2 = x
    df_dx1 = -2 * (3 - x1) - 14 * (x2 - x1**2) * 2 * x1
    df_dx2 = 14 * (x2 - x1**2)

grad = np.array([df_dx1, df_dx2])
```

```
grad = np.clip(grad, -10, 10)
13
       return grad
15
16
   def fletcher_reeves_method(x0, tol=1e-6, max_iter=1000):
17
       x = x0
18
19
       grad = grad_f(x)
       p = -grad
20
       iter_count = 0
21
       alpha = 0.01
22
23
       while np.linalg.norm(grad) > tol and iter_count < max_iter:</pre>
24
           x = x + alpha * p
25
           new_grad = grad_f(x)
26
27
           beta = np.dot(new_grad, new_grad) / np.dot(grad, grad)
28
29
           p = -new\_grad + beta * p
30
           grad = new_grad
31
           iter_count += 1
32
33
            if np.any(np.isnan(grad)) or np.any(np.isinf(grad)):
34
                print("Gradient became NaN or Inf!")
35
36
                break
37
       return x, iter_count
38
39
   x0 = np.array([0.0, 0.0])
40
41
   solution_fletcher_reeves, iterations_fletcher_reeves = fletcher_reeves_method(x0)
42
   print(f"Fletcher and Reeves solution: {solution_fletcher_reeves}")
   print(f"Iterations: {iterations_fletcher_reeves}")
```

(b). We solve this numerically using Python.

```
import numpy as np
   def f(x):
       x1, x2 = x
       return (3 - x1)**2 + 7 * (x2 - x1**2)**2
   def grad_f(x):
       x1, x2 = x
8
       df_dx1 = -2 * (3 - x1) - 14 * (x2 - x1**2) * 2 * x1
9
       df_dx2 = 14 * (x2 - x1**2)
10
       return np.array([df_dx1, df_dx2])
11
   def dfp_method(x0, tol=1e-6, max_iter=1000):
13
14
       x = x0
       grad = grad_f(x)
15
       H = np.eye(len(x))
16
17
       iter_count = 0
18
       while np.linalg.norm(grad) > tol and iter_count < max_iter:</pre>
19
           p = -np.dot(H, grad) # search direction
20
21
           alpha = 0.01
22
           x_new = x + alpha * p
           grad_new = grad_f(x_new)
23
           s = x_new - x
25
26
           y = grad_new - grad
27
           H = H + np.outer(y, y) / np.dot(y, s) - np.dot(np.dot(H, np.outer(s, s)), H) / np.dot(s, np.outer(s, s))
28
                .dot(H, s))
```

```
x = x_new
grad = grad_new
iter_count += 1

return x, iter_count

x0 = np.array([0.0, 0.0])

solution_dfp, iterations_dfp = dfp_method(x0)
print(f"Davidon-Fletcher-Powell solution: {solution_dfp}")
print(f"Iterations: {iterations_dfp}")
```

Ouestion: 3

Consider the problem

minimize $x_1^2 + x_2^2 + x_3^2 + x_4^2 - 2x_1 - 3x_4$

subject to

$$2x_1 + x_2 + x_3 + 4x_4 = 7,$$

$$x_1 + x_2 + 3x_3 + x_4 = 6,$$

$$x_i \ge 0, \quad i = 1, 2, 3, 4$$

- (a) Solve the problem using any optimization technique learned.
- (b) Suppose the given initial guess is $\mathbf{x} = (2, 2, 1, 0)$, perform two iterations of the gradient projection method and comment on the directions obtained.

Proof. We will solve this problem using the method of Lagrange multipliers. The objective function is:

$$f(x_1, x_2, x_3, x_4) = x_1^2 + x_2^2 + x_3^2 + x_4^2 - 2x_1 - 3x_4$$

The gradient of f(x) is:

$$\nabla f(x) = \left(\frac{\partial f}{\partial x_1}, \frac{\partial f}{\partial x_2}, \frac{\partial f}{\partial x_3}, \frac{\partial f}{\partial x_4}\right)$$

We compute each partial derivative:

$$\frac{\partial f}{\partial x_1} = 2x_1 - 2$$
, $\frac{\partial f}{\partial x_2} = 2x_2$, $\frac{\partial f}{\partial x_3} = 2x_3$, $\frac{\partial f}{\partial x_4} = 2x_4 - 3$

Thus, the gradient is:

$$\nabla f(x) = (2x_1 - 2, 2x_2, 2x_3, 2x_4 - 3)$$

The constraints are:

$$2x_1 + x_2 + x_3 + 4x_4 = 7,$$

$$x_1 + x_2 + 3x_3 + x_4 = 6$$

We form the Lagrangian:

$$\mathcal{L}(x_1, x_2, x_3, x_4, \lambda_1, \lambda_2) = f(x_1, x_2, x_3, x_4) + \lambda_1(2x_1 + x_2 + x_3 + 4x_4 - 7) + \lambda_2(x_1 + x_2 + 3x_3 + x_4 - 6)$$

We need to solve the system:

$$2x_1 - 2 + 2\lambda_1 + \lambda_2 = 0$$

$$2x_2 + \lambda_1 + \lambda_2 = 0$$

$$2x_3 + \lambda_1 + 3\lambda_2 = 0$$

$$2x_4 - 3 + 4\lambda_1 + \lambda_2 = 0$$

$$2x_1 + x_2 + x_3 + 4x_4 = 7$$

$$x_1 + x_2 + 3x_3 + x_4 = 6$$

By solving this system, we obtain the values of $x_1, x_2, x_3, x_4, \lambda_1, \lambda_2$.

```
import numpy as np
   from scipy.linalg import solve
     = np.array([
        [2, 0, 0, 0, 2, 1],
       [0, 2, 0, 0, 1, 1],
[0, 0, 2, 0, 1, 3],
        [0, 0, 0, 2, 4, 1],
        [2, 1, 1, 4, 0, 0],
        [1, 1, 3, 1, 0, 0]
   ])
12
   b = np.array([2, 0, 0, 3, 7, 6])
13
14
   solution = solve(A, b)
   x1, x2, x3, x4, lambda1, lambda2 = solution
17
   print(f"x1 = {x1}, x2 = {x2}, x3 = {x3}, x4 = {x4}, lambda1 = {lambda1}, lambda2 = {lambda2}")
```

```
nirav24@maverick ~/D/A/I/S/E/Assignment-5> python3 Q3.py
Solution:
x1 = 0.9573170731707317, x2 = 0.2439024390243, x3 = 1.3048780487804879, x4 = 0.884146341463, lambda1 = 0.5731707317073169, lambda2 = -1.0609756097560974
```

Question: 4

Consider the problem:

minimize
$$f(x) = x^{\frac{4}{3}}$$

Note that 0 is the global minimizer of f.

- (a) Write down the algorithm for Newton's method applied to this problem.
- (b) Show that as long as the starting point is not 0, the algorithm in part (a) does not converge to 0, no matter how close to 0 we start.

We are given the function $f(x) = x^{\frac{4}{3}}$ and need to apply Newton's method for minimization. The general update rule for Newton's method is:

$$x_{k+1} = x_k - \frac{f'(x_k)}{f''(x_k)}$$

First, we compute the first and second derivatives of the function f(x):

$$f'(x) = \frac{d}{dx} \left(x^{\frac{4}{3}} \right) = \frac{4}{3} x^{\frac{1}{3}}$$

$$f''(x) = \frac{d}{dx} \left(\frac{4}{3} x^{\frac{1}{3}} \right) = \frac{4}{9} x^{-\frac{2}{3}}$$

Now, applying the Newton's method update rule:

$$x_{k+1} = x_k - \frac{\frac{4}{3}x_k^{\frac{1}{3}}}{\frac{4}{9}x_k^{-\frac{2}{3}}}$$

Simplifying the expression:

$$x_{k+1} = x_k - 3x_k$$

$$x_{k+1} = -2x_k$$

Thus, the algorithm for Newton's method applied to this problem is:

$$x_{k+1} = -2x_k$$

We now analyze the convergence behavior of the algorithm. The update rule derived earlier is:

$$x_{k+1} = -2x_k$$

This means that at each iteration, the value of x_k is multiplied by -2. Therefore, the sequence $\{x_k\}$ evolves as follows:

$$x_0, \quad x_1 = -2x_0, \quad x_2 = -2x_1 = 4x_0, \quad x_3 = -2x_2 = -8x_0, \dots$$

So, the sequence alternates between multiplying the previous value by -2. As we can observe, no matter how small $|x_0|$ is (as long as $x_0 \neq 0$), the values of x_k will either grow or shrink but will never approach zero. Specifically, the magnitude of x_k grows exponentially (in absolute value) because each iteration multiplies the previous value by 2.

Therefore, as long as the starting point $x_0 \neq 0$, the sequence will not converge to 0; rather, it will diverge. Thus, the algorithm does **not** converge to 0, regardless of how close the starting point is to zero, as long as $x_0 \neq 0$.

Question: 5

Solve the problem to minimize

$$2x_1 + 3x_2^2 + e^{2x_1^2 + x_2^2}$$

starting with the point (1,0), and using both the Fletcher and Reeves conjugate gradient method and the Broyden-Fletcher-Goldfarb-Shanno (BFGS) quasi-Newton method.

We use python to solve the above problem. The code is as follows:

```
import numpy as np
   from scipy.optimize import minimize
   def objective(x):
       exp_term = np.exp(np.clip(2 * x1**2 + x2**2, -50, 50))
       return 2 * x1 + 3 * x2**2 + exp_term
   def gradient(x):
9
       x1, x2 = x
10
       exp_term = np.exp(np.clip(2 * x1**2 + x2**2, -50, 50))
11
       grad_x1 = 2 + 4 * x1 * exp_term
       grad_x2 = 6 * x2 + 2 * x2 * exp_term
13
       return np.array([grad_x1, grad_x2])
14
   def fletcher_reeves_cg(func, grad, x0, tol=1e-6, max_iter=100):
16
       x = x0
       g = grad(x)
18
       d = -g
```

```
for i in range(max_iter):
20
            g = grad(x)
21
            step_size = 0.1
22
23
            x_new = x + step_size * d
            g_new = grad(x_new)
24
25
            if np.linalg.norm(g_new) < tol:</pre>
                print(f"Converged at iteration {i}")
27
28
29
            beta = np.dot(g_new, g_new) / np.dot(g, g)
30
31
            d = -g_new + beta * d
            x = x_new
32
            g = g_new
33
34
       return x, func(x)
35
36
   def bfgs(func, grad, x0, tol=1e-6, max_iter=50, epsilon=1e-8):
37
38
       x = x0
       n = len(x)
39
40
       H = np.eye(n)
41
42
43
       f = func(x)
       g = grad(x)
44
45
       for _ in range(max_iter):
46
           p = -np.dot(H, g)
47
48
            alpha = 1.0
49
            x_new = x + alpha * p
50
            f_new = func(x_new)
51
            g_new = grad(x_new)
52
53
            if np.linalg.norm(g_new) < tol:</pre>
54
55
                return x_new, f_new
56
57
            s = x_new - x
58
           y = g_new - g
59
            rho = np.dot(y, s)
60
            if abs(rho) < epsilon:</pre>
61
                print("Warning: Small curvature. Skipping Hessian update.")
62
63
                return x_new, f_new
64
            rho = 1.0 / rho
65
66
67
            H = np.dot(np.eye(n) - rho * np.outer(s, y), np.dot(H, np.eye(n) - rho * np.outer(y, s))) +
                 rho * np.outer(s, s)
68
69
            x = x_new
            f = f_new
70
71
            g = g_new
72
73
       return x, f
74
   x0 = np.array([0.0, 0.0])
75
76
   x_opt_cg, f_opt_cg = fletcher_reeves_cg(objective, gradient, x0)
77
   print(f"Optimal Solution: {x_opt_cg}")
   print(f"Optimal Objective Value: {f_opt_cg}")
79
x_opt_bfgs, f_opt_bfgs = bfgs(objective, gradient, x0)
   print(f"Optimal Solution: {x_opt_bfgs}")
82
   print(f"Optimal Objective Value: {f_opt_bfgs}")
```

Output on running the above code:

```
nirav24@maverick ~/D/A/I/S/E/Assignment-5> python3** Q5:py
Converged at iteration 5
Optimal Solution: [-0.37654518 0. ] f np.linalg.norm(g_new) < tol
Optimal Objective Value: 0.5747748470169578
Optimal Solution: [-0.37654458 0. ] tota = np.dot(g_new, g_new) /
Optimal Objective Value: 0.5747748470154905 = x_new
```

Question: 6

Consider the following problem:

subject to

Minimize
$$(x_1 - 5)^2 + (x_2 - 3)^2$$

 $3x_1 + 2x_2 \le 6$,
 $-4x_1 + 2x_2 + 2 \le 4$

Formulate a suitable barrier problem with the initial parameter equal to 1. Use an unconstrained optimization technique starting with the point (0,0) to solve the barrier problem.

```
import numpy as np
   from scipy.optimize import minimize
   def objective(x):
       x1, x2 = x
       return (x1 - 5)**2 + (x2 - 3)**2
   def barrier(x, mu):
       x1, x2 = x
       g1 = 6 - (3 * x1 + 2 * x2)
10
       g2 = 2 - (-4 * x1 + 2 * x2)
11
12
       if g1 <= 0 or g2 <= 0:
13
          return np.inf
14
15
       return -mu * (np.log(g1) + np.log(g2))
16
17
   def barrier_objective(x, mu):
18
       return objective(x) + barrier(x, mu)
19
20
   def solve_barrier_problem(mu, x0):
21
       result = minimize(barrier_objective, x0, args=(mu), method='CG', options={'disp': True})
22
       return result.x, result.fun
23
   mu = 1
25
   x0 = np.array([0.0, 0.0])
   solution, objective_value = solve_barrier_problem(mu, x0)
   print("Optimal Solution: ", solution)
30
   print("Optimal Objective Value: ", objective_value)
```

Question: 7

Consider the following problem:

Minimize
$$x_1^2 + 2x_2^2$$

subject to

$$2x_1 + 3x_2 - 6 \le 0$$
, $-x_2 + 1 \le 0$.

- (a) Find the optimal solution to this problem.
- (b) Formulate a suitable function with an initial penalty parameter $\mu = 1$.
- (c) Starting from the point $\begin{bmatrix} 2 & 4 \end{bmatrix}^{\mathsf{T}}$, solve the resulting problem by a suitable unconstrained optimization technique.

Proof. First, rewrite the constraints:

$$q_1(x_1, x_2) = 2x_1 + 3x_2 - 6 \le 0, \quad q_2(x_1, x_2) = -x_2 + 1 \le 0.$$

The Lagrange function for this problem is:

$$\mathcal{L}(x_1, x_2, \mu_1, \mu_2) = x_1^2 + 2x_2^2 + \mu_1(2x_1 + 3x_2 - 6) + \mu_2(-x_2 + 1).$$

The KKT conditions are:

$$\nabla_{x_1} \mathcal{L} = 0, \quad \nabla_{x_2} \mathcal{L} = 0,$$

along with the complementary slackness conditions:

$$\mu_1(2x_1 + 3x_2 - 6) = 0, \quad \mu_2(-x_2 + 1) = 0.$$

Solving these equations taking cases when μ_1 is or is not 0 and μ_2 is or is not 0, we get the optimal solution as $\begin{bmatrix} 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix}^{\top}$. Therefore, the optimal solution is:

$$\mathbf{x}^* = \begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ 1 \end{bmatrix}.$$

Question: 8

Consider the following problem:

$$\text{minimize} \quad \frac{1}{2} \|\mathbf{x}\|^2$$

subject to
$$A\mathbf{x} = \mathbf{b}$$
,

where $A \in \mathbb{R}^{m \times n}$, m < n and A is full row rank. Show that if $\mathbf{x}^{(0)} \in \{\mathbf{x} : A\mathbf{x} = \mathbf{b}\}$, then the projected steepest descent algorithm converges to the solution in one step.

Proof. Let the objective function be:

$$f(\mathbf{x}) = \frac{1}{2} \|\mathbf{x}\|^2 = \frac{1}{2} \mathbf{x}^\top \mathbf{x}.$$

The gradient of $f(\mathbf{x})$ is (by derivative of quadratic form):

$$\nabla f(\mathbf{x}) = \mathbf{x}.$$

The projected steepest descent algorithm iteratively updates the solution using the gradient direction and projects the result onto the feasible set defined by $A\mathbf{x} = \mathbf{b}$.

The update rule for the projected steepest descent method is:

$$\mathbf{x}^{(k+1)} = \operatorname{Proj}_{A\mathbf{x} = \mathbf{b}} \left(\mathbf{x}^{(k)} - \alpha_k \nabla f(\mathbf{x}^{(k)}) \right),$$

where α_k is the step size at the k-th iteration and $\operatorname{Proj}_{A\mathbf{x}=\mathbf{b}}$ denotes the projection onto the feasible set $\{\mathbf{x}: A\mathbf{x}=\mathbf{b}\}$. Since $\mathbf{x}^{(0)}$ satisfies the constraint $A\mathbf{x}^{(0)}=\mathbf{b}$, the starting point is already in the feasible set. The gradient at this point

$$\nabla f(\mathbf{x}^{(0)}) = \mathbf{x}^{(0)}.$$

The update step is:

$$\mathbf{x}^{(1)} = \operatorname{Proj}_{A\mathbf{x} = \mathbf{b}} \left(\mathbf{x}^{(0)} - \alpha_0 \mathbf{x}^{(0)} \right).$$

If we choose $\alpha_0 = 1$ (the value which optimizes the objective function), the update becomes:

$$\mathbf{x}^{(1)} = \operatorname{Proj}_{A\mathbf{x} = \mathbf{b}} \left(\mathbf{x}^{(0)} - \mathbf{x}^{(0)} \right) = \operatorname{Proj}_{A\mathbf{x} = \mathbf{b}} \left(\mathbf{0} \right).$$

Thus, the projection of the origin $\mathbf{0}$ onto the feasible set $\{\mathbf{x} : A\mathbf{x} = \mathbf{b}\}$ will give the point in the feasible set closest to the origin. This point is the optimal solution to the problem.

Since the feasible set is defined by $A\mathbf{x} = \mathbf{b}$, the projection of the origin $\mathbf{0}$ onto this set is the solution \mathbf{x} that satisfies $A\mathbf{x} = \mathbf{b}$. Hence, the projected steepest descent method converges to the optimal solution in one step.