Math 6510 Homework 7

Tarun Chitra

April 30, 2011

§2.1 Problems

11

Problem. Show that if A is a retract of X then the map $H_n(A) \to H_n(X)$ induced by the inclusion $A \subset X$ is injective.

Let $r: X \to A$ be a retraction from X to A, and let $i: A \hookrightarrow X$ be the inclusion map. Then $ri = \mathbb{1}: A \to A$, so $\mathbb{1}_A = \mathbb{1}_* = (ri)_* = r_*i_*: H_n(A) \to H_n(A)$. Since $\mathbb{1}$ is injective, r_*i_* is injective. We know that if we have maps f, g such that gf is injective, then f must be injective. Thus since r_*i_* is injective, $i_*: H_n(A) \to H_n(X)$ is injective.

12

Problem. Show that chain homotopy of chain maps is an equivalence relation.

Let $f: C_n \to C'_n$ be a chain map. Define $P: C_n \to C'_{n+1}$ by $P(\sigma) = 0$ (i.e. P is the zero map). Then $P\partial + \partial P = 0 = f - f$, so f is chain homotopic to f. Now let $f, g: C_n \to C'_n$ be two chain maps with f chain homotopic to g, so there is a $P: C_n \to C'_{n+1}$ such that $f - g = P\partial + \partial P$. Then $g - f = -(f - g) = -(P\partial + \partial P) = (-P)\partial + \partial (-P)$, so $(-P): C_n \to C'_{n+1}$ is such that $g - f = (-P)\partial + \partial (-P)$, so g is chain homotopic to f.

Finally suppose $f, g, h: C_n \to C'_{n+1}$ be such that f is chain homotopic to g and g is chain homotopic to h. Then there exist maps $P_1, P_2: C_n \to C'_{n+1}$ such that

$$f - g = P_1 \partial + \partial P_1 \tag{1}$$

$$g - h = P_2 \partial + \partial P_2. \tag{2}$$

Adding equations (1) and (2), we get $f - h = (P_1 + P_2)\partial + \partial(P_1 + P_2)$, so $(P_1 + P_2) : C_n \to C'_{n+1}$ is such that $f - h = (P_1 + P_2)\partial + \partial(P_1 + P_2)$, so f is chain homotopic to h. Thus chain homotopy of chain maps is an equivalence relation.

13

Problem. Verify that $f \simeq g$ implies $f_* = g_*$ for induced homomorphisms of reduced homology groups.

Theorem 2.10 says that if $f \simeq g$, then $f_* = g_* : H_n(X) \to H_n(Y)$. We know that for n > 0, $\tilde{H}_n \cong H_n$, so this means that for n > 0, $f_* = g_* : \tilde{H}_n(X) \to \tilde{H}_n(Y)$.

We look at the only remaining case, when n=0. Assume $f\simeq g:X\to Y$, let $f_*,g_*:H_0(X)\to H_0(Y)$ be the induced homomorphisms $H_0(X)$ to $H_0(Y)$, and $\tilde f_*,\tilde g_*:\tilde H_0(X)\to \tilde H_0(Y)$ be the induced homomorphisms on $\tilde H_0(X)$ to $\tilde H_0(Y)$. Our goal is to show that $f\simeq g$ implies $\tilde f_*=\tilde g_*$.

When n = 0, $H_0 = \tilde{H}_0 \oplus \mathbb{Z}$, so $\tilde{H}_0 = H_0/\mathbb{Z}$. Then $\tilde{f}_*, \tilde{g}_* : H_0(X)/\mathbb{Z} \to H_0(Y)/\mathbb{Z}$, i.e. \tilde{f}_*, \tilde{g}_* are just f_*, g_* acting on the quotient of $H_0(X)$ by \mathbb{Z} . There is a one-to-one correspondence between $H_0(X)/\mathbb{Z}$ and a subgroup $H'(X) \subseteq H_0(X)$, and between $H_0(Y)/\mathbb{Z}$ and a subgroup $H'(Y) \subseteq H_0(Y)$. Thus since $f \simeq g$, we have $f_* = g_* : H_0(X) \to H_0(Y)$, so

$$f_*|_{H'(X)} = g_*|_{H'(X)} : H'(X) \to H'(Y).$$
 (3)

But since $H'(X) \cong \tilde{H}_0(X)$ and $H'(Y) \cong \tilde{H}_0(Y)$ and \tilde{f}_*, \tilde{g}_* are just f_*, g_* acting on $\tilde{H}_0(X)$, equation (3) says that $\tilde{f}_* = \tilde{g}_* : \tilde{H}_0(X) \to \tilde{H}_0(Y)$.