

# Naive Bayes

Nisha Chaurasia

2023-02-27

#The purpose of this assignment is to use Naive Bayes for classification. Will be using 3 different methods to compare #1. Easy method #2. Using the Naive Bayes equation #3. Using the Naive Bayes function in R  
##loading required library

```
rm(list = ls()) #cleaning the environment  
library(readr)  
library(caret)
```

```
## Loading required package: ggplot2
```

```
## Loading required package: lattice
```

```
library(knitr)  
library(class)  
library(ggplot2)  
library(dplyr)
```

```
##
```

```
## Attaching package: 'dplyr'
```

```
## The following objects are masked from 'package:stats':
```

```
##
```

```
##      filter, lag
```

```
## The following objects are masked from 'package:base':
```

```
##
```

```
##      intersect, setdiff, setequal, union
```

```
library(e1071)  
library(reshape2)  
library(tinytex)  
library(pivottabler)  
library(gt)  
library(glue)  
library(gridExtra)
```

```
##
```

```
## Attaching package: 'gridExtra'
```

```
## The following object is masked from 'package:dplyr':
##
## combine
```

```
library(pander)
```

```
##Import Data "UniversalBank.csv"
```

```
library(readr)
Bankdata1 <- read.csv("C:/Users/Chaur/OneDrive/Desktop/FML/Assignment_2_KNN/UniversalBank.csv")
head(Bankdata1)
```

```
##   ID Age Experience Income ZIP_Code Family CCAvg Education Mortgage
## 1  1  25          1     49   91107      4   1.6          1         0
## 2  2  45         19     34   90089      3   1.5          1         0
## 3  3  39         15     11   94720      1   1.0          1         0
## 4  4  35          9    100   94112      1   2.7          2         0
## 5  5  35          8     45   91330      4   1.0          2         0
## 6  6  37         13     29   92121      4   0.4          2       155
##   Personal_Loan Securities.Account CD.Account Online CreditCard
## 1              0                1          0      0          0
## 2              0                1          0      0          0
## 3              0                0          0      0          0
## 4              0                0          0      0          0
## 5              0                0          0      0          1
## 6              0                0          0      1          0
```

```
##Understand the bank data structure
```

```
str(Bankdata1)
```

```
## 'data.frame':   5000 obs. of  14 variables:
## $ ID           : int  1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 ...
## $ Age          : int  25 45 39 35 35 37 53 50 35 34 ...
## $ Experience    : int  1 19 15 9 8 13 27 24 10 9 ...
## $ Income       : int  49 34 11 100 45 29 72 22 81 180 ...
## $ ZIP_Code     : int  91107 90089 94720 94112 91330 92121 91711 93943 90089 93023 ...
## $ Family       : int  4 3 1 1 4 4 2 1 3 1 ...
## $ CCAvg        : num  1.6 1.5 1 2.7 1 0.4 1.5 0.3 0.6 8.9 ...
## $ Education    : int  1 1 1 2 2 2 2 3 2 3 ...
## $ Mortgage     : int  0 0 0 0 0 155 0 0 104 0 ...
## $ Personal_Loan : int  0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 1 ...
## $ Securities.Account: int  1 1 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 ...
## $ CD.Account   : int  0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 ...
## $ Online       : int  0 0 0 0 0 1 1 0 1 0 ...
## $ CreditCard   : int  0 0 0 0 1 0 0 1 0 0 ...
```

```
summary(Bankdata1)
```

```
##      ID           Age           Experience           Income           ZIP_Code
## Min.   :    1   Min.   :23.00   Min.   :-3.0   Min.   :   8.00   Min.   : 9307
```

```
## 1st Qu.:1251 1st Qu.:35.00 1st Qu.:10.0 1st Qu.: 39.00 1st Qu.:91911
## Median :2500 Median :45.00 Median :20.0 Median : 64.00 Median :93437
## Mean :2500 Mean :45.34 Mean :20.1 Mean : 73.77 Mean :93153
## 3rd Qu.:3750 3rd Qu.:55.00 3rd Qu.:30.0 3rd Qu.: 98.00 3rd Qu.:94608
## Max. :5000 Max. :67.00 Max. :43.0 Max. :224.00 Max. :96651
## Family CCAvg Education Mortgage
## Min. :1.000 Min. : 0.000 Min. :1.000 Min. : 0.0
## 1st Qu.:1.000 1st Qu.: 0.700 1st Qu.:1.000 1st Qu.: 0.0
## Median :2.000 Median : 1.500 Median :2.000 Median : 0.0
## Mean :2.396 Mean : 1.938 Mean :1.881 Mean : 56.5
## 3rd Qu.:3.000 3rd Qu.: 2.500 3rd Qu.:3.000 3rd Qu.:101.0
## Max. :4.000 Max. :10.000 Max. :3.000 Max. :635.0
## Personal_Loan Securities.Account CD.Account Online
## Min. :0.000 Min. :0.0000 Min. :0.0000 Min. :0.0000
## 1st Qu.:0.000 1st Qu.:0.0000 1st Qu.:0.0000 1st Qu.:0.0000
## Median :0.000 Median :0.0000 Median :0.0000 Median :1.0000
## Mean :0.096 Mean :0.1044 Mean :0.0604 Mean :0.5968
## 3rd Qu.:0.000 3rd Qu.:0.0000 3rd Qu.:0.0000 3rd Qu.:1.0000
## Max. :1.000 Max. :1.0000 Max. :1.0000 Max. :1.0000
## CreditCard
## Min. :0.000
## 1st Qu.:0.000
## Median :0.000
## Mean :0.294
## 3rd Qu.:1.000
## Max. :1.000
```

##Converting the Personal loan, Online and CreditCard in to factor

```
Bankdata1$Personal_Loan = as.factor(Bankdata1$Personal_Loan)
Bankdata1$Online = as.factor(Bankdata1$Online)
Bankdata1$CreditCard = as.factor(Bankdata1$CreditCard)
```

##Partitioning the data into training (60%) and validation (40%) sets Also showed the summary statistics of both train and Validation data set.

```
set.seed(70)
train_index = createDataPartition(Bankdata1$Personal_Loan, p= .6, list=FALSE)
Validation_index <- setdiff(row.names(Bankdata1), train_index)
train_df <- Bankdata1[train_index, ]
nrow(train_df)
```

```
## [1] 3000
```

```
summary(train_df)
```

```
## ID Age Experience Income
## Min. : 1 Min. :23.00 Min. : -3.00 Min. : 8.00
## 1st Qu.:1224 1st Qu.:35.00 1st Qu.:10.00 1st Qu.: 39.00
## Median :2503 Median :45.00 Median :20.00 Median : 64.00
## Mean :2502 Mean :45.33 Mean :20.09 Mean : 74.62
## 3rd Qu.:3768 3rd Qu.:55.00 3rd Qu.:30.00 3rd Qu.: 99.00
```

```
## Max. :4999 Max. :67.00 Max. :42.00 Max. :224.00
## ZIP_Code Family CCAvg Education
## Min. :90005 Min. :1.000 Min. : 0.000 Min. :1.000
## 1st Qu.:91910 1st Qu.:1.000 1st Qu.: 0.700 1st Qu.:1.000
## Median :93555 Median :2.000 Median : 1.600 Median :2.000
## Mean :93179 Mean :2.394 Mean : 1.965 Mean :1.875
## 3rd Qu.:94609 3rd Qu.:3.000 3rd Qu.: 2.600 3rd Qu.:3.000
## Max. :96651 Max. :4.000 Max. :10.000 Max. :3.000
## Mortgage Personal_Loan Securities.Account CD.Account Online
## Min. : 0.00 0:2712 Min. :0.0000 Min. :0.000 0:1228
## 1st Qu.: 0.00 1: 288 1st Qu.:0.0000 1st Qu.:0.000 1:1772
## Median : 0.00 Median :0.0000 Median :0.000
## Mean : 56.98 Mean :0.1027 Mean :0.058
## 3rd Qu.:100.00 3rd Qu.:0.0000 3rd Qu.:0.000
## Max. :612.00 Max. :1.0000 Max. :1.000
## CreditCard
## 0:2140
## 1: 860
##
##
##
##
```

```
Validation_df <- Bankdata1[Validation_index, ]
nrow(Validation_df)
```

```
## [1] 2000
```

```
summary(Validation_df)
```

```
## ID Age Experience Income
## Min. : 3 Min. :23.00 Min. : -3.00 Min. : 8.00
## 1st Qu.:1279 1st Qu.:35.00 1st Qu.:10.00 1st Qu.: 38.00
## Median :2496 Median :45.00 Median :20.00 Median : 63.00
## Mean :2498 Mean :45.35 Mean :20.13 Mean : 72.51
## 3rd Qu.:3717 3rd Qu.:55.00 3rd Qu.:29.25 3rd Qu.: 95.00
## Max. :5000 Max. :67.00 Max. :43.00 Max. :218.00
## ZIP_Code Family CCAvg Education Mortgage
## Min. : 9307 Min. :1.0 Min. :0.000 Min. :1.000 Min. : 0.00
## 1st Qu.:91950 1st Qu.:1.0 1st Qu.:0.670 1st Qu.:1.000 1st Qu.: 0.00
## Median :93308 Median :2.0 Median :1.500 Median :2.000 Median : 0.00
## Mean :93114 Mean :2.4 Mean :1.898 Mean :1.891 Mean : 55.78
## 3rd Qu.:94596 3rd Qu.:3.0 3rd Qu.:2.500 3rd Qu.:3.000 3rd Qu.:102.00
## Max. :96651 Max. :4.0 Max. :9.000 Max. :3.000 Max. :635.00
## Personal_Loan Securities.Account CD.Account Online CreditCard
## 0:1808 Min. :0.000 Min. :0.000 0: 788 0:1390
## 1: 192 1st Qu.:0.000 1st Qu.:0.000 1:1212 1: 610
## Median :0.000 Median :0.000
## Mean :0.107 Mean :0.064
## 3rd Qu.:0.000 3rd Qu.:0.000
## Max. :1.000 Max. :1.000
```

##question (a): Create a pivot table for the training data with Online as a column variable, CC as a row variable, and Loan as a secondary row variable. The values inside the table should convey the count. In R use functions melt() and cast(), or function table().

```
attach(train_df)
melted_bank = melt(train_df, id.vars = c("CreditCard", "Personal_Loan"), measure.vars = "Online")
View(melted_bank)
pivot_table <- dcast(melted_bank, CreditCard + Personal_Loan ~ variable, fun.aggregate=length)
pivot_table
```

```
##   CreditCard Personal_Loan Online
## 1          0              0   1937
## 2          0              1    203
## 3          1              0    775
## 4          1              1     85
```

```
X <- ftable(CreditCard, Personal_Loan, Online )
pandoc.table(X, style="grid", split.tables = Inf)
```

```
##
##
## +-----+-----+-----+-----+
## |           |           | Online | 0 | 1 |
## +-----+-----+-----+-----+
## | CreditCard | Personal_Loan |       |   |   |
## +-----+-----+-----+-----+
## |      0      |      0      |       | 799 | 1138 |
## +-----+-----+-----+-----+
## |           |      1      |       | 83  | 120  |
## +-----+-----+-----+-----+
## |      1      |      0      |       | 309 | 466  |
## +-----+-----+-----+-----+
## |           |      1      |       | 37  | 48   |
## +-----+-----+-----+-----+
```

##question (b): Consider the task of classifying a customer who owns a bank credit card and is actively using online banking services. Looking at the pivot table, what is the probability that this customer will accept the loan offer? [This is the probability of loan acceptance (Loan = 1) conditional on having a bank credit card (CC = 1) and being an active user of online banking services (Online = 1)].

```
P_acceptance <- (48/514)
P_acceptance
```

```
## [1] 0.09338521
```

```
paste("Probability of Loan acceptance given having a bank credit card and user of online services in per
```

```
## [1] "Probability of Loan acceptance given having a bank credit card and user of online services in p
```

##question (c) : Create two separate pivot tables for the training data. One will have Loan (rows) as a function of Online (columns) and the other will have Loan (rows) as a function of CC.

```
Loan_online <- addmargins(table(train_df[,c(13,10)]))
pandoc.table(Loan_online,style="grid", split.tables = Inf)
```

```
##
##
## +-----+-----+-----+-----+
## | &nbsp; | 0 | 1 | Sum |
## +=====+=====+=====+=====+
## | **0** | 1108 | 120 | 1228 |
## +-----+-----+-----+-----+
## | **1** | 1604 | 168 | 1772 |
## +-----+-----+-----+-----+
## | **Sum** | 2712 | 288 | 3000 |
## +-----+-----+-----+-----+
```

```
Loan_CC <- addmargins(table(train_df[,c(14,10)]))
pandoc.table(Loan_CC,style="grid", split.tables = Inf)
```

```
##
##
## +-----+-----+-----+-----+
## | &nbsp; | 0 | 1 | Sum |
## +=====+=====+=====+=====+
## | **0** | 1937 | 203 | 2140 |
## +-----+-----+-----+-----+
## | **1** | 775 | 85 | 860 |
## +-----+-----+-----+-----+
## | **Sum** | 2712 | 288 | 3000 |
## +-----+-----+-----+-----+
```

##d. Compute the following quantities [ $P(A | B)$  means “the probability of A given B”]:

```
##P(CC = 1 | Loan = 1) (the proportion of credit card holders among the loan acceptors)
count_A1 <- Loan_CC[2, 2] #85
count_A2 <- Loan_CC[3, 2] #288
A = (count_A1/count_A2)
paste("The proportion of credit card holders among the loan acceptors is", round(A*100,2),"%")
```

```
## [1] "The proportion of credit card holders among the loan acceptors is 29.51 %"
```

```
##P(Online=1|Loan=1)
count_B1 <- Loan_online[2, 2] #168
count_B2 <- Loan_online[3, 2] #288
B = (count_B1/count_B2)
paste("The proportion of online active among the loan acceptors is", round(B*100,2),"%")
```

```
## [1] "The proportion of online active among the loan acceptors is 58.33 %"
```

```
#P (Loan = 1) (the proportion of loan acceptors)
count_C1 <- Loan_online[3, 2] #288
count_C2 <- Loan_online[3, 3] #3000
C = (count_C1/count_C2)
paste("the proportion of loan acceptors is", round(C*100,2),"%")
```

```
## [1] "the proportion of loan acceptors is 9.6 %"
```

```
#P(CC=1|Loan=0)
count_D1 <- Loan_CC[2, 1] #775
count_D2 <- Loan_CC[3, 1] #2712
D = (count_D1/count_D2)
paste("The proportion of credit card holders among the non-loan acceptors is", round(D*100,2),"%")
```

```
## [1] "The proportion of credit card holders among the non-loan acceptors is 28.58 %"
```

```
#P(Online=1|Loan=0)
count_E1 <- Loan_online[2, 1] #1604
count_E2 <- Loan_online[3, 1] #2712
E = (count_E1/count_E2)
paste("The proportion of Online active among the non-loan acceptors is", round(E*100,2),"%")
```

```
## [1] "The proportion of Online active among the non-loan acceptors is 59.14 %"
```

```
#P(Loan=0)
count_F1 <- Loan_online[3,1] #2712
count_F2 <- Loan_online[3,3] #3000
F = (count_F1/count_F2)
paste("The proportion of non- Loan acceptors", round(F*100,2),"%")
```

```
## [1] "The proportion of non- Loan acceptors 90.4 %"
```

##e. Use the quantities computed above to compute the naive Bay probability  $P(\text{Loan} = 1 \mid \text{CC} = 1, \text{Online} = 1)$ .

$$P(L = 1 | CC = 1, Onl = 1) = \frac{(P(CC = 1 | L = 1) * P(Onl = 1 | L = 1)) * P(L = 1)}{(P(CC = 1 | L = 1) * P(Onl = 1 | L = 1)) * P(L = 1) + (P(CC = 1 | L = 0) * P(Onl = 1 | L = 0))}$$

```
Naive_Bay_Prob <- ((A*B*C)/((A*B*C)+(D*E*F)))
Naive_Bay_Prob
```

```
## [1] 0.09761391
```

```
paste("naive Bayer probability is", round(Naive_Bay_Prob,4)*100,"%")
```

```
## [1] "naive Bayer probability is 9.76 %"
```

##f. Compare this value with the one obtained from the pivot table in (b). Which is a more accurate estimate?

##9.34% are very similar to the 9.76%. The exact method requires the exact same independent variable classifications to make predictions, while the Naive Bayes method does not. Which means exact method may be more rigid and precise in its predictions, but may also be limited by the requirement for exact classification of independent variables. In contrast, the Naive Bayes method may be more flexible in its predictions, but may also be less precise due to the simplifying assumption of independence among features

##Question(g). Which of the entries in this table are needed for computing  $P(\text{Loan} = 1 \mid \text{CC} = 1, \text{Online} = 1)$ ? In R, run naive Bayes on the data. Examine the model output on training data, and find the entry that corresponds to  $P(\text{Loan} = 1 \mid \text{CC} = 1, \text{Online} = 1)$ . Compare this to the number you obtained in (e).

```
#We only need 3 entries i.e Personal_loan, CreditCard and Online to predict P.
```

```
naive_train = train_df[,c(10,13:14)]
naive_Validation = Validation_df[,c(10,13:14)]
naivebayes_M = naiveBayes(Personal_Loan~.,data=naive_train)
naivebayes_M
```

```
##
## Naive Bayes Classifier for Discrete Predictors
##
## Call:
## naiveBayes.default(x = X, y = Y, laplace = laplace)
##
## A-priori probabilities:
## Y
##      0      1
## 0.904 0.096
##
## Conditional probabilities:
##      Online
## Y      0      1
## 0 0.4085546 0.5914454
## 1 0.4166667 0.5833333
##
##      CreditCard
## Y      0      1
## 0 0.7142330 0.2857670
## 1 0.7048611 0.2951389
```

```
Aprior_Prob_N = naivebayes_M$apriori
Loan_Online_N = naivebayes_M$tables$Online
Loan_CC_N = naivebayes_M$tables$CreditCard
```

```
#probability Calculation from Naive Bayes Model.
```

```
L_CC1 = Loan_CC_N[2,2] #0.2951389
L_ON1 = Loan_Online_N[2,2] #0.5833333
L1 = Aprior_Prob_N[1]
L2 = Aprior_Prob_N[2]
L = L2/(L1+L2) #0.096
L_CC2 = Loan_CC_N[1,2] #0.285767
L_ON2 = Loan_Online_N[1,2] #0.5914454
```



```
L_not = 1-L #0.904
```

```
naive_bayes_Final <- ((L_CC1*L_ON1*L)/((L_CC1*L_ON1*L)+(L_CC2*L_ON2*L_not)))  
naive_bayes_Final
```

```
##           1  
## 0.09761391
```

```
paste("naive Ba1 probability by using Naive bayers function is", round(naive_bayes_Final,4)*100,"%")
```

```
## [1] "naive Ba1 probability by using Naive bayers function is 9.76 %"
```

```
detach(train_df)
```

*#We got the same exact output we receive in Previous method.i.e in question (e): because the joint and*