

Name:- Nishad . N'shinde

Roll NO:- 63

Class:- B.E / I.T


Subject:- ISLAB

DOA

DOP

Remark

Sign.



(81)

- 1] Every child sees some witch no. witch has both a black cat and a pointed hat.
- 2] Every witch is good or bad.
- 3] Every child who sees any good witch gets candy.
- 4] Every child that is bad has a black cat.
- 5] Every child that is seen by any child has pointed hat.

→ a] Facts into FOL.

- 1) $\exists x \forall y$ (child(x), witch(y) \rightarrow sees(x,y))
 $\wedge \forall y$ (witch(y) \rightarrow has(y, black cat) \wedge has(y, pointed hat)).
- 2) $\exists y$ (witch(y) \rightarrow good(y) \vee bad(y))
(y, pointed hat).
- 3) $\forall x$ (sees(x,y) \rightarrow (witch(y) \rightarrow good(y)) \rightarrow get(x, candy)).
- 4) $\forall y$ (witch(y) \rightarrow bad(y) \rightarrow has(y, black hat)).
- 5) $\forall y$ (sees(x,y) \rightarrow has(y, pointed hat)).

b] FOL into CNF.

- i) $\exists x \forall y$ (child(x), witch(y) \rightarrow sees(x,y))
 $\rightarrow \neg \exists y$, (witch(y) \rightarrow has(y, black cat))
 $\rightarrow \neg \exists y$ (witch(y) \rightarrow has(y, pointed hat))
- 2] $\forall y$ (witch(y) \rightarrow good(y))
 $\forall y$ (witch(y) \rightarrow bad(y)).
- 3] $\forall x$ (bad(y) \rightarrow has(y, black hat))
- 4] $\forall y$ (sees(x,y) \rightarrow has(y, pointed hat))
- 5] $\neg \forall y$ (sees(x,y) \rightarrow has(y, black hat))

with (y) vs es (x, y)
{ good v badly }

~~has (y, z)~~

2 y. 1900 v had 3

{ 2 / black coat v)

has (god, pointed)

lets v get (x and y)

seen (x-god) v

gets (x, candy).

2] Example :-

$$\rightarrow \eta \vee x(\text{boy}(x) \vee \text{girl}(x) \wedge \text{child}(x))$$

e) $\forall y \text{ child}(y) \rightarrow \text{gets}(y, \text{doll}) \vee \text{gets}(y, \text{train})$
or $\text{gets}(y, \text{coal})$.

2] A window is gets (widow)

iv) For all z (child(z) and $\text{bad}(z) \rightarrow \text{gets}(z, \text{candy})$)
 $\forall y$ child(y) \rightarrow z gets (y train).

s] child (ram) → gets (ram, local)

cnf clause:-

i) boy (x) or child (x)

! girl(x) or child(x)

2) 1 child (y) or gets (y doll) or

gets (y main) or gets (y local)

3) 1 boy (w) or 1 girl (w/doll)

4) 1 child (2) or 1 bad (2) or 999 (2: bad)

5) 1 child (room) \rightarrow gets (room + wall)

G) bad (yarn).

Q.2

Differentiate between STRIPS and ADL

| STRIPS Language | ADL |
|---|--|
| ① Only allow positive literals in the states. for e.g. : A Valid sentence in STRIPS is expressed as $\Rightarrow \text{Intelligent} \wedge \text{Beautiful}$ | ① Can support both positive & negative literals for e.g. :- Same sentence is expressed as \Rightarrow $\text{Stupid} \wedge \text{-ugly}$ |
| ② STRIPS stands for standard Research Institute Problem Solver | ② Stands for Actions Description Language |
| ③ Makes use of closed world assumption (i.e.) unmentioned literals are false. | ③ Makes use of open world Assumption (i.e.) unmentioned literals are unknown. |
| ④ We only can find ground literals in goals for e.g. :- $\text{Intelligent} \wedge \text{Beautiful}$ | ④ We can find qualified variables in goal for e.g. :- $\exists x \text{At}(P_1, x) \wedge \text{At}(P_2, x)$ is the goal of having P_1 & P_2 in the same place in e.g. of blocks. |
| ⑤ Goals are conjunctions for e.g. :- $(\text{Intelligent} \wedge \text{beautiful})$ | ⑤ Goals may involves conjunction & disjunctions for e.g. :- $(\text{Intelligent} \wedge (\text{Beautiful} \vee \text{Rich}))$ |

[illegible]

⑥ Conditional effects are allowed \therefore when P.E. means E is an effect only if P is satisfied

⑦ Equality predicate ($X=Y$)
is build in.

⑧ Support for types for eg
: The variable P: person

[illegible]

Q.2)

Differentiate between STRIPS and ADL.

→

STRIPS language


ADL

- | | |
|---|--|
| 1) Only allows positive literals in the states. | Can support both positive & negative literals. |
| 2) STRIPS stand for Standard Research Institute problem Solver. | stands for action description language. |
| 3) we only can find ground literals in goals. | we can find qualified variables in goal. |
| 4) makes use of closed world assumption unmentioned literals are false. | makes use of open world assumption unmentioned literals are unknown. |
| 5) Goals are Conjunctions for eg: (intelligent \wedge beautiful) | Goals may involve conjunction for eg: (intelligent \wedge (beautiful \wedge rich)) |
| 6) Does not support equality. | equality predicate ($x=y$) is build in. |

[illegible]

Q.4)

You have two neighbours



- ① The topology of the nlw indicates that
- Burglary & earthquake affect the probability of the alarms going off.
 - whether John & Mary call depends alarm.
 - They do not perceive any burglaries directly. They do not notice minor earthquakes & they do not confer before calling.
- 2) Mary listening to loud music & John confusing phone ringing to sound of alarm can be read from nlw only implicitly as uncertainly associated to calling at work.

[illegible]

- 3) The probability actually summarize potentially infinite sets of circumstances.
 - The alarm might fail to go off due to high humidity, power failure, dead battery, cut wires, & dead mouse stuck inside the bell, etc.
- 4) The condition probability tables in nlw gives probability for values of random variables depending on combⁿ of values for the parent nodes.
- 5) Each row must be sum to 1 because entries represents exhaustive set of values for the variables.
- 6) all variables are-boolean.
- 7) In general, a table for a boolean variable with k parents contains 2^k independent specific probabilities.
- 8) A variable with no parents has only one row, representing prior probabilities of each possible value of the variable.
- 9) every entry in joint full joint probability distribution can be calculated from info. in bayesian nlw.

- 10) A generic entry in joint distribution is probability of a conjunction of partial assignments to each variable $P(\alpha_1 = \alpha_1 \wedge \dots \wedge \alpha_n = \alpha_n)$ abbreviated as $P(\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_n)$
- 11) The value of this entry is $\prod_{i=1}^n p(1, \text{Parents}(x_i) | \text{Parents}(x_i))$ where $\text{Parents}(x_i)$ denotes the specific values of the variables $\text{Parents}(x_i)$
- $= P(j, a, m, a, o, a, b, a, n, e)$
- $= P(j | a) P(m | a) P(o | a, b, a, n, e) P(b | a, n, e) P(e | n, e)$
- $= 0.09 \times 0.07 \times 0.001 \times 0.999 \times 0.998$
- $= 0.000628$

12 Bayesian n/w.

