

$$IND = \{ (G, k) \mid G \text{ has an independent set of size } \geq k \}$$

△ $IND \in NP$.

Theorem: 3SAT is NP-complete where

$$3SAT = \{ F = c_1 \wedge c_2 \wedge \dots \wedge c_m \mid \text{each } c_i \text{ has exactly 3 variables} \}$$

proof: It is easily seen to be in NP.

We give a reduction from SAT.

let $F = c_1 \wedge c_2 \wedge \dots \wedge c_m$ be a formula with clause c_i containing r variables:

$$c_i = x_{i_1} \vee \neg x_{i_2} \vee \neg x_{i_3} \vee \dots \vee x_{i_r}$$

$$c_{i_1} = x_{i_1} \vee \neg x_{i_2} \vee y_1$$

$$c_{i_2} = \neg y_1 \vee \neg x_{i_3} \vee y_2$$

$$c_{i_3} = \neg y_2 \vee \dots \vee y_3$$

$$\vdots$$

$$c_{i_{r-2}} = \neg y_{r-3} \vee \neg x_{i_{r-1}} \vee x_r$$

$$\text{Suppose } c_i = x_1 \vee \neg x_2$$

$$c_{i_1} = x_1 \vee \neg x_2 \vee x_1$$

The new formula with new set of clauses is satisfiable iff F is. □

Theorem: VC is NP-complete.

proof: $VC = \{ (G, k) \mid G \text{ has a vertex cover of size } \leq k \}$

It is easily seen to be in NP.

Reduce IND to VC.



$$(G, k) \rightarrow (V, E), |V| = n$$

$$(G, n-k)$$

G has an independent set of size $\geq k$



G has a vertex cover of size $\leq n-k$.

□

$$SAT \rightarrow 3SAT \rightarrow IND \rightarrow VC$$

What about other variants of 3SAT?

Define

$$k\text{-SAT} = \{ F = c_1 \wedge \dots \wedge c_m \mid \text{each } c_i \text{ has exactly } k \text{ variables \& } F \in SAT \}$$

Since 3SAT is NP-complete, so is k SAT for $k \geq 4$.

What about 2SAT?

Theorem: 2SAT $\in P$.