

1) Prove that $(w^R)^R = w$ for all $w \in \Sigma^*$ by using induction.

- We can prove this using induction on the length $|w|$ of w .
- For the base case, we have $|w| = 0$, which means that $w = \epsilon$.
- From the definition of the reversal of a string, we have that $\epsilon^R = \epsilon$. So, $(w^R)^R = (\epsilon^R)^R = (\epsilon^R) = \epsilon = w$ and therefore our claim holds for $|w| = 0$.
- For the induction hypothesis, assume that $(w^R)^R = w$ for every string w such that $|w| = k$, where k is an integer with $k \geq 0$.
- Now, we can prove that $(w^R)^R = w$ for every string w such that $|w| = k + 1$. Since $k + 1 \geq 1$, we can write $w = ua$, where $a \in \Sigma$ and $u \in \Sigma^*$. From the definition of reversal of a string, we know that $w^R = (ua)^R = au^R$. From item (b) in Problem (1), we also know that $(w^R)^R = (au^R)^R = (u^R)^R a$. Since the length of u is k , we can use the induction hypothesis to conclude that $(u^R)^R = u$. So, $(w^R)^R = (u^R)^R a = ua = w$, and our claim also holds for $w \in \Sigma^*$ such that $|w| = k + 1$. From the first principle of induction, our claim holds for every $w \in \Sigma^*$.

2. Let $L = \{ab, aa, baa\}$. Which of the following strings are in L^* : abaabaaabaa, aaaabaaaa, baaaaabaaaab, baaaaabaa? Which strings are in L^4 ?

- abaabaaabaa \rightarrow ab aa baa ab aa (L^5)
- aaaabaaaa \rightarrow aa aa baa aa (L^4)
- baaaaabaaaab \rightarrow baa aa ab aa aa b (Not a string of L^n) ($\because b \notin L$)
- baaaaabaa \rightarrow baa aa ab aa (L^4)

3. Let $\Sigma = \{a, b\}$ and $L = \{aa, bb\}$. Use set notation to describe L' .

- $\Sigma - L(L' = \{x: x \notin L \text{ and } x \in \Sigma^*\})$
- $\{\lambda, a, b, ab, ba\} \cup \{w \in \{a, b\}^* \mid |w| \geq 3\}$

4. Let L be any language on a non-empty alphabet. Show that L and L' cannot both be finite.

- If we think a as a letter of the alphabet and both L and L' are finite, their union $L + L'$ is finite. But $L + L'$ contains all words a^n for natural n , as infinitely many, So there is a contradiction. So L and L' cannot both be finite.

5. Find grammars for $\Sigma = \{a, b\}$ that generate the sets of

(a) all strings with exactly one a.

- $S \rightarrow AaA, A \rightarrow bA \mid \lambda$

(b) all strings with at least one a.

- $S \rightarrow AaA, A \rightarrow aA \mid bA \mid \lambda$

(c) all strings with no more than three a's.

- $S \rightarrow A \mid AaA \mid AaAaA \mid AaAaAaA, A \rightarrow bA \mid \lambda$

(d) all strings with at least three a's. In each case, give convincing arguments that the grammar you give does indeed generate the indicated language

- $S \rightarrow AaAaAaA, A \rightarrow aA \mid bA \mid \lambda$

6. Give a simple description of the language generated by the grammar with productions

$S \rightarrow aA$

$A \rightarrow bS$

$S \rightarrow \lambda$

- $S \rightarrow \lambda$
- $S \rightarrow aA \rightarrow abS \rightarrow ab$
- $S \rightarrow aA \rightarrow abS \rightarrow abaA \rightarrow abab$
- This string in this language has empty strings and it starts with a and ends with b. It is a pattern of ab. $L(G) = (ab)^*$

7. What language does the grammar with these productions generate?

$S \rightarrow Aa$

$A \rightarrow B$

$B \rightarrow Aa$

- $S \rightarrow Aa \rightarrow Ba \rightarrow Aaa \rightarrow Baa \rightarrow Aaaa \rightarrow \dots$
- It gets non terminal values. So it is not a valid string.

8. Are the two grammars with respective productions $S \rightarrow aSb \mid ab \mid \lambda$ And $S \rightarrow aAb \mid ab \mid A \rightarrow aAb \mid \lambda$ equivalent? Assume that S is the start symbol in both cases.

$S \rightarrow aSb \mid ab \mid \lambda$

$S \rightarrow \lambda$

$S \rightarrow aAb \mid ab$

$A \rightarrow aAb \mid \lambda$

- These two grammars are not equivalent, because λ is generated from the first grammar at the start but λ does not generate from the second at the start. So the grammars are not equivalent.

9. Show that the grammar $S \rightarrow aSb \mid bSa \mid SS \mid a$ and $S \rightarrow aSb \mid bSa \mid a$ are not equivalent

- $S \rightarrow aSb \mid bSa \mid SS \mid a$
- $S \rightarrow SS \rightarrow aa$

- $S \rightarrow aSb \mid bSa \mid a$
- $S \rightarrow a$

- From the grammar 2 , we can not get two 'a's in sequence order. So these are not equivalent.