AMRITA VISHWA VIDYAPEETHAM

RABIN-MILLER THEOREM

FROM TEAM

Introduction:

This algorithm determines whether a given integer has a high probability of being prime. Here, we use a randomised approach. Specifically, the Miller-Rabin Test, which successfully identifies primes from composites with a high amount of accuracy. It can be included into a variety of RSA encryption-based software programmes.

This algorithm shows us the actual test on all inputs except a small set of bad composite numbers, namely, Carmichael Numbers. On all other inputs, it replicates the performance of the actual Miller–Rabin Test.

Theorem:

The MILLER-RABIN ALGORITHM for Composites is a yes-biased Monte Carlo algorithm.

PROOF We will prove this by assuming that Algorithm 6.7 answers "n is composite" for some prime integer n, and obtain a contradiction. Since the algorithm answers "n is composite," it must be the case that $a^m \not\equiv 1 \pmod{n}$. Now consider the sequence of values b tested in the algorithm. Since b is squared in each iteration of the **for** loop, we are testing the values $a^m, a^{2m}, \ldots, a^{2^{k-1}m}$. Since the algorithm answers "n is composite," we conclude that

$$a^{2^i m} \not\equiv -1 \pmod{n}$$

for $0 \le i \le k-1$.

Now, using the assumption that n is prime, Fermat's theorem (Corollary 6.6) tells us that

$$a^{2^k m} \equiv 1 \pmod{n}$$

since $n-1=2^k m$. Then $a^{2^{k-1}m}$ is a square root of 1 modulo n. Because n is prime, there are only two square roots of 1 modulo n, namely, ± 1 mod n. We have that

$$a^{2^{k-1}m} \not\equiv -1 \pmod{n},$$

so it follows that

$$a^{2^{k-1}m} \equiv 1 \pmod{n}.$$

Then $a^{2^{k-2}m}$ must be a square root of 1. By the same argument,

$$a^{2^{k-2}m} \equiv 1 \pmod{n}.$$

Repeating this argument, we eventually obtain

$$a^m \equiv 1 \pmod{n}$$
,

Algorithm:

```
write n-1=2^k m, where m is odd
choose a random integer a, 1 \le a \le n-1
b \leftarrow a^m \mod n
if b \equiv 1 \pmod{n}
 then return ("n is prime")
for i \leftarrow 0 to k-1
 return ("n is composite")
```

EXAMPLE 1:

$$n-1 = 28$$

$$\Rightarrow \left(\frac{28}{2^1}\right) = 14$$

$$\Rightarrow \left(\frac{28}{2^2}\right) = 7$$

$$\Rightarrow \left(\frac{28}{2^3}\right) = 3.5 \quad \text{(not a whole number)}$$

$$\Rightarrow$$
 28 = (2²) (7)

m=7 (ODD), k=2

Consider a = 5

$$b \cong a^m \pmod{n}$$

$$b \cong 5^7 \pmod{29}$$

$$\cong 5(25)^3 \ (mod\ 22)$$

$$\approx 5(-4)^3$$

$$\approx -20(16) \ (mod\ 29)$$

$$\approx$$
 28 mod(29)

$$\cong -1 \mod(29)$$

Therefore, b \cong -1

So, the number is Prime

EXAMPLE 2:

n=71

$$n-1 = 70$$

$$\Rightarrow \left(\frac{70}{2^1}\right) = 13$$

$$\Rightarrow \left(\frac{70}{2^2}\right) = 17.5$$
 (not a whole number)

$$\Rightarrow$$
 70 = (2)¹ (35)

k=1, m=35

$$\Rightarrow$$
 1 =< a =< 70

Consider a=5

```
b \cong a^m \pmod{n}
   b \cong (5^{125}) \pmod{71}
      \cong (25)(54)<sup>11</sup> (mod 71)
      \cong (25)(54)(-17)<sup>10</sup> (mod 71)
      \cong (25)(54)(289)<sup>5</sup> (mod 71)
      \cong (125)(54)(25)^2 \pmod{71}
      \cong (125)(54)(289)^5 \pmod{71}
       \cong (25)(54)(25)<sup>2</sup> (mod 71)
      \cong (54)(54)(25)^2 \pmod{71}
      \cong (289)(25)^2 \pmod{71}
      \cong (5)(25)<sup>2</sup> (mod 71)
      \cong (5)(625) (mod 71)
      \cong (5)(57) (mod 71)
      \cong 285 (mod 71)
      \cong 1 \pmod{71}
Therefore, b=1
```

So, the number is Prime.

EXAMPLE 3:

n=27

$$\Rightarrow \left(\frac{26}{2^1}\right) = 18$$

$$\Rightarrow \left(\frac{26}{2^2}\right) = 7.5$$
 (not a whole number)

$$\Rightarrow$$
 26 = (2)(13)

k=1, m=13

Consider a = 12

$$b \cong a^m \pmod{n}$$

$$b \cong (12)(144)^6 \pmod{27}$$

$$\cong$$
 (12)(9)⁶ (mod 27)

$$\cong$$
 (12)(81)³ (mod 27)

$$\cong$$
 (12)(0) (mod 27)

$$\cong 0 \pmod{27}$$

So, the number is Composite

Verification:

This has more than "2" factors

So, the number is a composite number.

EXAMPLE 4:

$$\Rightarrow \left(\frac{560}{21^1}\right) = 280$$

$$\Rightarrow \left(\frac{560}{2^2}\right) = 140$$

$$\Rightarrow \left(\frac{560}{2^3}\right) = 70$$

$$\Rightarrow \left(\frac{560}{2^4}\right) = 35$$

$$\Rightarrow \left(\frac{560}{2^5}\right) = 17.5 \text{ (not a whole number)}$$
$$\Rightarrow 560 = (2^4)(35)$$

k=4, m=35(odd)

$$1 \le a \le n-1$$

Consider a=5

$$b = a^m (mod \ n)$$

$$\cong (5)^{35} \pmod{561}$$

$$\cong (5)^3(5^4)^8 \pmod{561}$$

$$\cong (5)^3(625)^8 \pmod{561}$$

$$\cong (125)(64)^8 \pmod{561}$$

$$\cong$$
 (125)(169)⁴ (mod 561)

$$\cong (125)(511)^2 \pmod{561}$$

$$\cong$$
 (125)(256) (mod 561)

$$\cong 23 \pmod{561}$$

CONTINUED...

Since, b is not congruent to 1 (mod 561) We go into the loop \Rightarrow b1 \cong (23)² (mod 561) $\cong (-32) \pmod{561}$ $\Rightarrow b2 \cong (-32)^2 \ (mod\ 561)$ $\cong (-98) \pmod{561}$ $\Rightarrow b3 \cong (-98)^2 \pmod{561}$ \cong (67) (mod 561) $\Rightarrow b4 \cong (67)^2 \pmod{561}$ $\cong 1 \pmod{561}$

According to algorithm the loops are over and the answer (b4) says it is a composite number.

On Fernet's Theorem
$$a^{p-1}\cong 1\ (mod\ p);\ p$$
 = prime
$$1 <= a <= n-1$$

$$a = 5$$

$$5^{560}\cong 1\ mod(561)$$

From this we can say that 561 is prime but we know that 561 is composite. So, this is a contradiction.

THANKOUS