What is the Difference Between COUNT(*), COUNT(1), COUNT(column name), and COUNT(DISTINCT column name)?

Have you noticed there are different variations of the SQL COUNT() function? This article explains the various arguments and their uses.

As a SQL user, you're probably quite familiar with the COUNT() function. Even though it's relatively simple, it can be used in several different ways. Each way has a very different use. I imagine you've seen code that contains the function COUNT(*) or COUNT(1). You've probably also seen some other uses of the COUNT() function, such as COUNT(column name) and COUNT(DISTINCT column name), even if you haven't used them.

You're probably wondering what each variation of COUNT() does. Let's find out!

Before moving on, I want to say that I will use the GROUP BY function in this article, but only in a very simple way. If you need to refresh your memory on GROUP BY, here's an article that'll lead you through <u>GROUP BY's syntax and general principles</u>. Or you can learn the fundamentals of <u>GROUP BY</u> in our interactive <u>SQL Basics course</u>. It contains over 100 hands-on SQL exercises to build confidence in your SQL skills as you go along.

What Does the COUNT() Function do?

As you can imagine, the COUNT() function counts. But what does it count? The COUNT() function belongs to SQL's aggregate functions. It counts the number of rows that satisfy the criteria defined in the parentheses. It does not return the rows themselves; it shows the number of rows that meet your criteria.

Speaking of aggregate functions, they are extremely useful in SQL reports. If you want to satisfy your curiosity, there's plenty of aggregate functions and "grouping by" in our <u>Creating Basic SQL Reports course</u>.

Become a more skilled professional by improving your knowledge with our <u>Creating Basic SQL Reports</u> course. Start today!

Now, back to counting. There are (naturally) different things that can be counted. That's why there are different variations of the COUNT() function. In this article, I'll concentrate on four:

```
COUNT(*)
COUNT(1)
COUNT(column name)
COUNT(DISTINCT column name)
```

COUNT(*) vs COUNT(1)

You may have seen various discussions about the differences between COUNT(*) and COUNT(1). And maybe trying to find the answer confused you even more. So, is there any difference? The simple answer is no – there is no difference at all.

The COUNT(*) function counts the total rows in the table, including the NULL values. The semantics for COUNT(1) differ slightly; we'll discuss them later. However, the results for COUNT(*) and COUNT(1) are identical.

Let's test this claim using an example query. Suppose I have a table named **orders** that contains these columns:

```
order_id: The ID of the order.

customer_id: The ID of the customer who placed the order.

order_value: The total value of the ordered items, in euros.

payment_date: When the order was paid by the customer.
```

If I wanted to count the number of rows in the whole table, I'd use the COUNT() function in the following way:

```
SELECT COUNT(*) AS number_of_rows
FROM orders;
```

As you see, I've used the COUNT(*) function. The result will appear in the new column number_of_rows:

```
number_of_rows
```

Ok, but what if I use COUNT(1) instead? Here it is:

```
SELECT COUNT(1) AS number_of_rows FROM orders;
```

The code is essentially the same. The only difference is that I've used COUNT(1) instead of COUNT(*). And what about the result? It returns the same number of rows:

```
number_of_rows
```

There's a popular misconception that "1" in COUNT(1) means "count the values in the first column and return the number of rows." From that misconception follows a second: that COUNT(1) is faster because it will count only the first column, while COUNT(*) will use the whole table to get to the same result.

This is not true. The number in the parenthesis doesn't mean the number of the column in the table. If you put any number in the parenthesis, I guarantee you the result will be the same. Want proof? Here it is:

```
SELECT COUNT(-13) AS number_of_rows FROM orders;
```

If the first misconception was true, the code above would mean I want to count the number of rows in the -13th column. There are only four columns in the table **orders**, so there's no 13th column. For sure, there

is no column -13, whatever that should mean. Do you want to see the result of the code? Don't be surprised:

Once again, the result is the same. So what does the value in the parenthesis of COUNT() mean? It's the value that the COUNT() function will assign to every row in the table. The function will then count how many times the asterisk (*) or (1) or (-13) has been assigned. Of course, it will be assigned a number of times that's equal to the number of rows in the table. In other words, COUNT(1) assigns the value from the parentheses (number 1, in this case) to every row in the table, then the same function counts how many times the value in the parenthesis (1, in our case) has been assigned; naturally, this will always be equal to the number of rows in the table. The parentheses can contain any value; the only thing that won't work will be leaving the parentheses empty.

Let's try something silly. Instead of a number, put the following value in the parenthesis: 'it will always be 8 rows'. Here's the code:

```
SELECT COUNT('it will always be 8 rows') AS number_of_rows FROM orders;
```

Run the code and – surprise, surprise – the result is really eight rows:

Since it doesn't matter which value you put in the parentheses, it follows that COUNT(*) and COUNT(1) are precisely the same. They are precisely the same because the value in the COUNT() parentheses serves only to tell the query what it will count.

If these statements are precisely the same, then there's no difference in the performance. Don't let the asterisk (*) make you think it has the same use as in SELECT * statement. No, COUNT(*) will not go through the whole table before returning the number of rows, making itself slower than COUNT(1).

So, in the end, who wins in this dramatic <code>COUNT(*)</code> vs <code>COUNT(1)</code> battle? Nobody — it's a draw; they're exactly the same. However, I'd recommend using <code>COUNT(*)</code>, as it's much more commonly seen. It's also less confusing, naturally leading other SQL users to understand that the function will count all the numbers in the table, including the <code>NULL</code> values.

COUNT(*) vs COUNT(column name)

How about this one, COUNT(*) vs COUNT(column name). Is there any difference? There sure is!

As you've already learned, COUNT(*) will count all the rows in the table, including NULL values. On the other hand, COUNT(column name) will count all the rows in the specified column while excluding NULL values.

As you already know, there are eight rows in the table **orders**. Let's see how many rows there will be when I use the column **order_id** for counting (imagining I want to see how many orders have been placed). We'll get eight rows again, right? Let's see:

```
SELECT COUNT(order_id) AS number_of_orders
FROM orders;
```

Do we get the same result? Nope, there are seven orders, not eight.

number_of_orders 7

Is that a mistake? No, it's not; there are really only seven orders with an order_id; one row has a NULL instead of a proper order_id. Below is the row that makes the difference:

order_	_id	customer_	_id	order_	_price	order_	_date
NULL		CU092		1327.	85	NULL	,

Always remember: COUNT(column name) will only count rows where the given column is NOT NULL.

Let's do something interesting now and combine both COUNT()

https://learnsql.com/plogdifference_between Query_Suppose I want to see the customer's ID with

the total number of orders by that customer. I also want to show the total number of paid orders for that customer. (Paid orders don't have a NULL value in the column payment date.) Here's how I'd do it:

```
SELECT customer_id,
        COUNT(*) AS number_of_orders,
        COUNT(payment date) AS paid number of orders
GROUP BY customer id;
```

The query will first calculate the total number of orders using COUNT(*) - i.e. it will include NULL values. Then the part COUNT (payment_date) AS paid number of orders will count the rows in the column payment date that are NOT NULL. I want the results for each customer, so I've grouped the result by the column customer_id. Here's what I get:

	customer	id number	of	orders paid	number	of	orders
--	----------	-----------	----	-------------	--------	----	--------

CU012	1	1
CU049	1	1
CU052	2	2
CU092	1	0
CU108	2	2
CU149	1	1

You can see that the difference occurs for the customer CU092.

The principles of combining GROUP BY and COUNT() are outlined in this article about GROUP BY and SQL aggregate functions. If you want some more practice, here are five examples of GROUP BY.

COUNT() allows us to use expressions as well as column names as the argument. Do you know how to find the number of the orders above €1 000 using only the COUNT() function? Here's how:

```
SELECT COUNT(CASE WHEN order price > 1000 THEN 1 END)
AS significant_orders
FROM orders;
```

Instead of putting conditions at the end of the query and filtering after the COUNT() function does its job, we can use the CASE statement. That's $what \ I've \ done \ in \ the \ above \ query. \ It's \ used \ like \ an \ IF-THEN-ELSE \ https://learnsql.com/blog/difference-between-count-distinct/?jr=on$

statement. CASE is followed by the condition, which is defined by the statements WHEN and THEN. There can also be an ELSE statement, but it's unnecessary in this case — I'm only interested in counting the number of values, not in the values themselves. Every CASE statement ends with the END statement.

The COUNT() statement above reads as follows:

Find all the values in the column order_price above 1 000.

Assign the value 1 (you can assign any value you want) to these values.

Assign NULL to rows with prices below 1 000.

Count the number of assigned 1s.

Show the result in the column significant orders.

Here's the result:

significant_	_orders
5	

COUNT(column name) vs COUNT (DISTINCT column_name)

You can probably imagine what the difference between those two COUNT() function versions is. COUNT(column_name) will include duplicate values when counting. In contrast, COUNT (DISTINCT column_name) will count only distinct (unique) rows in the defined column.

If you want to count the number of customers who've placed an order, maybe COUNT (column_name) will work. Let's try this simple code:

```
SELECT COUNT (customer_id) AS number_of_customers
FROM orders;
```

You're familiar with this one; I've already used the COUNT(column name) function. This time it counts all rows in the column customer_id, with the result being shown in the column number_of_customers. Here's the result:

number_of_customers 8

Let's check the result by looking at the entire **orders** table:

order_id customer_id order_price order_date

CU108	15487	2020-01-08
CU149	15487	2020-01-14
CU108	12549.22	2020-01-09
CU012	542.55	NULL
CU092	1327.85	NULL
CU049	15000	2020-02-28
CU052	150	2020-03-12
CU052	200	2020-03-12
	CU149 CU108 CU012 CU092 CU049 CU052	CU149 15487 CU108 12549.22 CU012 542.55 CU092 1327.85 CU049 15000 CU052 150

There are eight rows, but is this really the number of the customers? Notice that the customers CU108 and CU052 appear twice. If I want the real number of customers, then I need to count every customer only once. How can I do this? By using COUNT(DISTINCT customer_id):

```
SELECT COUNT(DISTINCT customer_id) AS number_of_customers FROM orders;
```

This query will also count rows in the column <code>customer_id</code>, but it will count every customer only once. This is due to the keyword <code>DISTINCT</code>. Have a look at the result:

number_	_of_	_customers
6		

This is the correct result; there are really only six unique customers.

Let's find out how to build basic SQL reports! Here's our <u>Creating Basic SQL Reports</u> interactive course.

Do You Think You Can Count on COUNT()?

Now that you understand several common variations of the COUNT() function, you can create more complex calculations and reports.

COUNT() is one of the most used aggregate functions, so it's vital that

you clearly understand the different <code>COUNT()</code> variations and their purposes. If some of the <code>COUNT()</code> function variations we discussed in this article weren't clear, let me know in the comment section. I'll gladly help you. And, for more practice using <code>COUNT()</code>, try our <code>Creating Basic SQL Reports course</code>.

Viewed using Just Read

ł control de la control de