Chapter 4 THINKING ABOUT PROTOTYPING

- With the Internet of Things, we are always looking at **building three things in parallel: the** physical Thing; the electronics to make the Thing smart; and the Internet service that we'll connect to.
- The **prototype** is optimized **for ease and speed of development** and also the **ability to change** and modify it.
- Many Internet of Things projects start with a prototyping microcontroller, connected by wires to components on a prototyping board, such as a "breadboard", and housed in some kind of container.
- At the end of this stage, you'll **have an object that works. It's a** *demonstrable* **product** that you can use to convince yourself, your business partners, and your investors.
- Finally, the **process of manufacture will iron out issues of scaling up** and polish.
- You might substitute prototyping microcontrollers and wires with smaller chips on a printed circuit board (PCB).

SKETCHING

- Jot down some ideas or draw out some design ideas with pen and paper.
- That is an important **first step in exploring your idea** and one we'd like to extend beyond the strict definition to also include sketching in hardware and software.
- What we mean by that is the process of exploring the problem space: iterating through different approaches and ideas to work out what works and what doesn't.

FAMILIARITY

If you can already program in Python, for example, maybe picking a platform such as Raspberry Pi, which lets you write the code in a language you already know, would be better than having to learn Arduino from scratch.

COSTS VERSUS EASE OF PROTOTYPING

- It is also worth **considering the relationship between the costs** (of prototyping and mass producing) of a platform against the development effort that the platform demands.
- It is beneficial if you can **choose a prototyping platform in a performance**/ capabilities bracket similar to a final production solution.
- That way, you will be less likely to encounter any surprises over the cost.
- For the first prototype, the cost is probably not the most important issue: the smartphone or computer options are particularly convenient if you already have one available, at which point they are effectively zero-cost.
- if your device has physical interactions, you will find that a PC is not optimized for this kind of work.
- An electronics prototyping board, unsurprisingly, is better suited to this kind of work.
- An important factor to be aware of is that the **hardware and programming choices you make** will depend on your skill set.
- For many beginners to hardware development, the Arduino toolkit is a surprisingly good choice.
- The input/output choices are basic and require an ability to follow wiring diagrams and, ideally, a basic knowledge of electronics.
- Yet the interaction **from a programming point of view** is essentially simple—

writing and reading values to and from the GPIO pins.

- And the language is C++.
- (A **general-purpose input/output** (**GPIO**) is an uncommitted digital signal pin on electronic circuit board whose behavior—including whether it acts an input or output—is controllable by the user at <u>run time</u>.)
- The IDE pushes the compiled code onto the device where it just runs, automatically, until you unplug it.

Case Study: Bubblino

- Its original purpose was precisely to demonstrate "how to use Arduino to do Internet of Things stuff".
- So the original **hardware connected an Arduino to the motor** for an off-the- shelf bubble machine.
- The original prototype had a Bluetooth-enabled Arduino, which was meant to connect to Nokia phone, which was programmed with Python.
- The phone did the hard work of connecting to the Internet and simply sent the Arduino a number, being the number of recent tweets.
- Bubblino responded by blowing bubbles for that many seconds.
- The current devices are based on an Arduino Ethernet.
- This means that the **Twitter search and XML processing are done on the device**, so **it can run completely independently of any computer, as long as it has an Ethernet connection**.
- In a final twist, the concept of Bubblino has been released as an iPhone app, "Bubblino and Friends", which simply searches Twitter for defined keywords and plays an animation and tune.
- A kit such as an Arduino easily connects to a computer via USB, and you can speak to it via the serial port in a standard way in any programming language.

Prototypes And Production

• *The* biggest obstacle to getting a project started—scaling up to building more than one device, perhaps many thousands of them—brings a whole new set of challenges and questions.

Changing Embedded Platform

- When you scale up, you may well have to think about moving to a different platform, for cost or size reasons.
- If the first prototype you built on a PC, iPhone
- If you've used a **constrained platform** in prototyping, you may find that you have to **make choices and limitations in your code**.
- Dynamic memory allocation on the 2K that the Arduino provides may not be especially efficient.
- In practice, you will often find that you don't need to change platforms.
 Instead, you might look at, for example, replacing an Arduino prototyping microcontroller with an AVR chip (the same chip that powers the Arduino) and just those components that you actually need, connected on a custom PCB.

Physical Prototypes And Mass Personalisation

- Chances are that the production techniques that you use for the physical side of your device won't translate directly to mass production.
- **Digital fabrication** tools can allow each item to be slightly different, **letting you personalise each device in some way.**
- (*mass personalisation*, as the approach is called, means you can **offer something unique**).

Climbing Into The Cloud

- The server software is the easiest component to take from prototype into production.
- Scaling up in the early days will involve buying a more powerful server.
- If you are **running on a cloud computing platform**, such as Amazon Web Services, **you can** even have the service dynamically expand and contract, as demand dictates.

Open Source Versus Closed Source

- We're looking at two issues:
- Your assertion, as the creator, of your Intellectual Property rights
- Your users' rights to freely tinker with your creation

Why Closed?

- Asserting Intellectual Property rights is often the default approach, especially for larger companies.
- If you **declared copyright on some source code or a design**, someone who wants to market the same project cannot do so by simply reading your instructions and following them.
- You might also be able to protect distinctive elements of the visual design with trademarks and of the software and hardware with patents.
- Note that starting a project as closed source doesn't prevent you from later releasing it as open source.

Why Open?

- In the open source model, you release the sources that you use to create the project to the whole world.
- Why would you give away something that you care about, that you're working There are several **reasons to give away your work:**
- You may **gain positive comments** from people who liked it.
- It acts as a public showcase of your work, which may affect your reputation and lead to new opportunities.
- People who used your work may **suggest or implement features or fix** By generating early interest in your project, you may **get support** and **mindshare of a quality** that it would be hard to pay for.
- A **few words of encouragement** from someone who liked your design and your blog post about it
- may be invaluable to get you moving when you have a tricky moment on it.
- A **bug fix from someone** who tried using your code in a way you had never thought of may **save** you hours of unpleasant debugging later.
- **Disadvantages of Open Source**
- deciding to release as open source may take more resources.
- If you're **designing for other people**, you have to **make something of a high standard**, but for yourself, you often might be tempted to cut corners.
- Then having to go back and fix everything so that you can release it in a form that doesn't **make you ashamed** will take time and resources.
- After you release something as open source, you may still have a perceived duty to maintain and support it, or at least to answer questions about it via email, forums, and chatrooms.
- Although you **may not have** *paying* **customers**, your users are a community that you may want to maintain.

Being a Good Citizen:

- If you say you have an open platform, releasing only a few libraries, months afterwards, with no documentation or documentation of poor quality could be considered rude.
- Also, your open source work should make some attempt to play with other open platforms.

Open Source as a Competitive Advantage

- First, *using* open source work is often a **no-risk** way of **getting software** that has been **tested**, **improved**, **and debugged by many eyes**.
- Second, using open source aggressively gives your product the **chance to gain mindshare**.
- (ex: Arduino : one could easily argue that it isn't the most powerful platform ever and will surely be improved.)
- If an open source project is good enough and gets word out quickly and appealingly, it can much more easily **gain the goodwill and enthusiasm to become a platform.**

Open Source as a Strategic Weapon:

- In economics, the concept of *complements* defines products and services that are bought in conjunction with your product—for example, DVDs and DVD players.
- If the price of one of those goods goes down, then demand for both goods is likely to rise.
- Companies can therefore use improvements in open source versions of complementary products to increase demand for their products.
- If you manufacture microcontrollers, for example, then improving the open source software frameworks that run on the microcontrollers can help you sell more chips.
- While open sourcing your core business would be risky indeed, trying to standardise things that you use but which are core to *your competitor*'s business may, in fact, **help to undermine that competitor.**
- So Google releasing Android as open source could undermine Apple's iOS platform.
- With the Internet of Things because several components in different spaces interact to form the final product: the physical design, the electronic components, the microcontroller, the exchange with the Internet, and the back-end APIs and applications.\

Mixing Open And Closed Source

- We've discussed open sourcing many of your libraries and keeping your core business closed.
- It's also true that **not all our work is open source.**
- We have undertaken some **for commercial clients who wanted to retain IP**.
- Some of the work was simply not polished enough to be worth the extra effort to make into a
 viable open release.
- Adrian's project Bubblino has a mix of licences:
 - 1. Arduino code is open source.
 - 2. Server code is closed source.

Closed Source For Mass Market Projects

- A project might be not just successful but huge, that is, a mass market commodity.
- The costs and effort required in moving to mass scale show how, for a physical device, the importance of supply chain can affect other considerations.

- Consider Nest, an intelligent thermostat: the area of smart energy metering and control is one in which many people are experimenting.
- The moment that an international power company chooses to roll out power monitors to all its customers, such a project would become instantaneously mass market.

Tapping Into The Community

• While thinking about which platform you want to build for, having a community to tap into may be vital or at least useful.

• If you have a problem with a component or a library, or a question you could simply do a Google search on the words "arduino servo potentiometer" and find a YouTube video, a blog post, or some code.

• If you are doing something more obscure or need more detailed technical assistance, finding someone who has already done exactly that thing may be difficult.

- When you are an inexperienced maker, using a platform in which other people can mentor you is invaluable.
- · Local meetings are also a great way to discuss your own project and learn about others.
- While to discuss your project is in some way being "open" about it, you are at all times in control of how much you say and whom you say it to.
- In general, **face-to-face meetings** at a hackspace may well be a friendlier and more supportive way to dip your toes into the idea of a "community" of Internet of Things makers.

