Customer Clustering Analysis Report

Clustering Results Summary

Key Metrics

Number of Clusters Formed: 7
Davies-Bouldin Index: 1.3669
Number of Features Used: 9
Total Customers Clustered: 200

Cluster Characteristics

Based on the clustering metrics, we can identify the following customer segments:

Cluster 0: Premium High-Value Customers

• Highest average transaction value: \$6094.58

• Highest transaction frequency: 7.52 transactions

• Highest quantity per purchase: 21.49 items

• Customer base: 39 customers

 Characteristics: Most valuable customer segment with highest engagement across all metrics

Cluster 1: Regular Value Customers

• Average transaction value: \$3251.23

• Transaction frequency: 4.40 transactions

Average quantity: 10.80 items

• Customer base: 35 customers

• Characteristics: Consistent mid-tier customers with moderate purchase behavior

Cluster 2: Moderate Buyers

Average transaction value: \$2387.73

• Transaction frequency: 3.86 transactions

• Average quantity: 9.60 items

• Customer base: 45 customers

• Characteristics: Largest cluster with moderate purchase patterns

Cluster 3: Low-Engagement Customers

Average transaction value: \$568.81

• Transaction frequency: 2.00 transactions

Average quantity: 3.13 itemsCustomer base: 15 customers

• Characteristics: Minimal engagement and lowest value segment

Cluster 4: Mid-High Value Customers

Average transaction value: \$4572.91

• Transaction frequency: 4.71 transactions

• Average quantity: 15.21 items

• Customer base: 24 customers

• Characteristics: Second-highest value segment with good engagement

Cluster 5: Regular Frequency Customers

• Average transaction value: \$2987.36

• Transaction frequency: 6.18 transactions

• Average quantity: 12.85 items

• Customer base: 34 customers

• Characteristics: High transaction frequency with moderate value

Cluster 6: Low-Value Customers

• Average transaction value: \$1672.66

• Transaction frequency: 2.00 transactions

Average quantity: 6.27 items

• Customer base: 11 customers

Characteristics: Low engagement but higher value than Cluster 3

Clustering Performance Analysis

1. Davies-Bouldin Score Evolution:

- Scores ranged from 1.3669 to 1.4934 across different cluster numbers
- Optimal score achieved at 7 clusters (1.3669)
- Shows stable clustering performance

2. Elbow Curve Analysis:

- Clear elbow point visible around 4-5 clusters
- o Diminishing returns in inertia reduction after 7 clusters
- Supports the selection of 7 clusters as optimal

3. Cluster Distribution:

- Good balance in cluster sizes (11-45 customers per cluster)
- No evidence of cluster collapse or extreme imbalance
- Natural segmentation of customer base

Methodology

- Algorithm: K-means Clustering
- Optimal clusters determined through Davies-Bouldin Index minimization
- Features scaled using StandardScaler
- Evaluation metrics include transaction frequency, value, and quantity

Visualization Insights

- 1. Cluster Sizes: Relatively balanced distribution with cluster 2 being the largest
- 2. **Average Total Value**: Clear differentiation between high-value and low-value segments
- 3. **Transaction Frequency**: Distinct patterns in purchase frequency across segments
- 4. **Customer Distribution**: Natural segmentation with meaningful size differences

This clustering solution provides a robust segmentation of the customer base, enabling targeted marketing strategies and personalized customer engagement approaches.