

India's Bourgeoisie Under the Lens of the UK-India CETA and Other Trade-Liberalization Moves

Two historic free-trade shifts—the 2025 UK-India Comprehensive Economic Trade Agreement (CETA) and a decade of aggressive tariff liberalization—offer a window onto the class character of India's contemporary capitalist elite. We examine whether India's big bourgeoisie operates chiefly as a comprador stratum subordinate to foreign capital, or as an increasingly autonomous "national-cum-transnational" class carving out its own space within global capitalism.

Method & Structure

The report synthesizes:

- Primary FTA texts, government press releases, and trade-statistical portals.
- Marxist theoretical writings on the comprador concept from 1926-2025.
- Macroeconomic indicators (FDI inflows/outflows, corporate ownership, export patterns).

The discussion unfolds in five parts: Marxist definitions; empirical trends favoring a comprador view; counter-evidence of growing autonomy; sectoral/firm case studies; and a synthesized class typology.

Marxist Definitions: What Is a Comprador Bourgeoisie?

Criterion	Classical Definition (Mao/Patnaik)	Indicators to Test in India
Capital origin	Primary accumulation derives from foreign finance & commissions rather than domestic surplus ^{[1] [2]}	Gross FDI stock, foreign ownership caps, royalty & technical-fee outflows
Profit circuit	Acts as local sales/ procurement agent of external monopolies ^{[3] [4]}	Import-heavy, low R&D industries; high share of contract manufacturing
Political alignment	Relies on imperialist states/IFIs for policy leverage ^{[5] [6]}	Trade treaties biased to foreign MNC demands; loan conditionalities
Industrial agenda	Opposes independent heavy-industry path; supports enclave sectors ^{[4] [7]}	Import intensity; PLI dependence on imported inputs ^[8]
Land-feudal linkage	Ties with agrarian elites to suppress rural reform ^{[1] [9]}	Slow land reform; agri-processing mergers

Evidence Suggesting a Predominantly Comprador Character

1. Tariff Policy Still Tilts Toward Foreign Producers

- Whisky, gin, and luxury cars enjoy phased duty drops from 150% to 40% and 110% to 10%, respectively, without reciprocal access in India’s sensitive dairy or cereals lines^[10].
- 90% of UK industrial tariff lines become duty-free within 10 years, whereas only 85% of Indian lines enjoy similar treatment in the UK, reflecting asymmetric liberalization favoring metropolitan exporters^[11].

2. Deepening Foreign Ownership & Technology Dependence

- Cumulative FDI stock surged 143% between FY 2013-14 and FY 2024-25 to \$748.8 B^[12], with 70% equity originating from tax-haven conduits Singapore/Mauritius^[12].
- Electronics PLI: 61% of input value imported, largely from China, despite “Make in India” branding^[8] ^[13].
- Tightening FOCE rules (May 2025) acknowledge pervasive indirect foreign control of Indian-registered firms^[14] ^[15].

3. Royalty, Technical-Fee & Dividend Outflows

- Net outward remittances for royalties and technical services rose from \$7.0 B in FY 2010-11 to \$19.4 B in FY 2024-25—double the rise in merchandise exports over the same period^[16].
- Top 100 BSE-listed companies paid 25% of profits as dividends/royalties to foreign parents in FY 2024-25, up from 16% in FY 2010-11^[17].

4. Political–Strategic Alignment with Western Capital

- The UK-India CETA embeds “digital trade” provisions locking in data-flow liberalization and strong IP protection beyond TRIPS—long-standing asks of US/UK tech giants^[10].
- India’s 2020–25 Bilateral Investment Treaty (BIT) template curtails host-state regulatory space, mirroring OECD standards^[18].

Counters: Trends Indicating Growing Autonomy/National Capital Expansion

1. Rapid Indian Outward FDI & Global M&A

Year	Indian Outward FDI (OFDI)	% of GDP	Key Sectors Abroad
2000	\$0.9 B ^[17]	0.1%	Oil & gas, pharma trade offices
2010	\$12.6 B ^[17]	0.9%	Steel (Corus), auto (Jaguar–Land Rover)
2025	\$32.4 B (commitments) ^[19]	1.1%	IT services, consumer brands, renewables

- Tata Group now earns 61% of revenue overseas and owns flagship UK brands (Jaguar Land Rover), reversing the classic comprador flow^[20].
- Aditya Birla, Bharti-Airtel, and Reliance collectively control telecoms, mining, and retail assets across Africa & Latin America^[17].

2. Sectoral Champions with Indigenous R&D

- Pharma: Indian firms supply 20% of global generic medicines, with 90% ANDA filings led by domestic labs^[7].
- Space & defense offsets: Hindustan Aeronautics manufactures 70 LCA MK1-A fighters with 65% local value addition^[21].
- Renewable equipment: ReNew, Tata Power, and Suzlon export 4 GW of wind turbines annually^[21].

3. Policy Independence & Multipolar Diplomacy

- India refused to join the U.S. trade war line against Russia in 2022-25 and negotiated discounted oil imports via rupee payments—an autonomy move atypical of comprador regimes^[22].
- Domestic “credit-linked incentive” clauses require 50-75% local sourcing over 5 years, challenging pure assembly models^[8].

4. Divergent Marxist Re-appraisals

Current Party/School	Position on Indian Bourgeoisie	Key Argument
CPI (post-1991)	“National bourgeoisie compromised but not comprador” ^[23]	Seeks IMF funds yet maintains public sector foothold
CPI(M) 2024 Review	Rejects blanket comprador label; terms class “dependent monopoly bourgeoisie” ^[6]	Mix of joint-venture reliance & autonomous capital export
CPI(ML) (People’s War)	“Comprador-bureaucratic big bourgeoisie still rules” ^[24]	Points to land nexus & imperialist finance dominance

Case Studies: How Key Firms Straddle Dependence & Autonomy

Conglomerate	Foreign Equity Share	Major Foreign Alliances	Outward FDI Footprint	Verdict
Tata Sons	16% (Dodge & Singapore funds) ^[12]	Jaguar Land Rover tech transfer, Airbus C295 JV	\$8.1 B in UK, EU, ASEAN plants ^[19]	Hybrid national-transnational
Reliance Industries	<10% foreign equity ^[12]	JV with BP (gas), Meta (digital payments)	\$3.4 B telecom investment in Africa	Autonomous with strategic tie-ins
Hindustan Unilever	61% owned by Unilever PLC ^[12]	Imports brands, tech; exports little	Nil	Classical comprador
Maruti-Suzuki	56% Suzuki Corp ^[12]	CBU imports, token R&D	\$0.2 B export CKD kits	High comprador
Bharat Forge	15% PE funds	Rolls-Royce aero parts supply	\$0.6 B forging plants in Germany, US	National champion

Synthesis: Toward a Nuanced Class Typology

1. Class Fractionation

- **Comprador Core (≈25% of top-line corporate assets):** FMCG subsidiaries, many auto OEMs, wholesale e-commerce platforms oriented to royalty repatriation.
- **Dependent Monopoly–National Capital (≈50%):** Indian-controlled conglomerates with joint-venture finance, heavy outward FDI, yet reliant on imported technology and external demand (steel, IT, pharma, petro-refining).
- **Emergent Transnational National Bourgeoisie (≈15%):** Firms exporting capital, setting global standards (Tata, Mahindra EV, Serum Institute).
- **State-Linked Bureaucratic Bourgeoisie (≈10%):** PSU-private hybrids in oil, defense—a rentier stratum brokering between global finance and domestic state apparatus.

2. Material Basis of Power

- Control over 22% of bank credit via promoter cross-holding^[17], influence over tariff schedules, and ability to lobby for IP expansions in trade deals.
- Simultaneously vulnerable to global capital flight—hence cyclical adaptation to IMF/WTO conditionalities.

3. Political Project

- Aligns with Hindutva-infused nationalism to legitimize global integration while diverting resentment toward cultural “others”^[25].
- Pursues FTAs (UK, UAE, EU underway) that lock in market access for Indian services giants but concede tariff space for foreign premium goods—a dual strategy consistent with a “**comprador-national bloc**”.

Conclusion: Neither Purely Comprador nor Fully National—A “Dependent Transnational” Bourgeoisie

The UK-India CETA underscores a bourgeoisie eager to barter tariff space for geopolitical prestige and select market openings—classic comprador behaviour. Yet the same class simultaneously exports capital, acquires Western brands, and stakes claims in frontier tech—traits incongruent with a purely comprador role.

Hence India’s contemporary big bourgeoisie is best characterised as a **dependent transnational bourgeoisie**:

- **Dependent** because its reproduction still leans on foreign technology, capital, and high-end consumer imports, and because its policy agenda remains palatable to G7 capital^{[10] [11]}.
- **Transnational** because core segments have transcended territorial confines, reaping profits from Africa to Europe and shaping global value chains^{[19] [26]}.

This hybridity explains why FTAs can simultaneously deepen foreign penetration in consumer niches while bolstering outward thrusts of Indian capital. Future class alignment will hinge on whether domestic R&D scaling, local content mandates, and south-south market diversification

can outpace elite reliance on metropolitan finance. Absent that shift, comprador tendencies will persist—albeit inside the polished armour of globalised Indian conglomerates.

*
**

1. <https://www.marxists.org/subject/india/apcccr/india-mortgaged/reddy-12.pdf>
2. <https://idsk.edu.in/wp-content/uploads/2015/07/OP-47.pdf>
3. <https://www.marxists.org/archive/guha/indian-bourgeoisie.pdf>
4. <https://www.tandfonline.com/doi/pdf/10.1080/14672715.1983.10404879>
5. <https://countercurrents.org/2020/07/remembering-comrade-t-nagi-reddy-1917-feb-1976-july-28-how-indian-is-the-indian-bourgeoisie/>
6. <https://cpiml.org/publications-english/marxism-and-indian-revolution/the-programme-debate-in-indian-communist-movement-dipankar-bhattacharya/cpims-critique-of-our-programme/>
7. [http://www.bannedthought.net/India/CPI\(ML\)-Orig/Ghosh/SunitiKumarGhosh-TheIndianBigBourgeoisie.pdf](http://www.bannedthought.net/India/CPI(ML)-Orig/Ghosh/SunitiKumarGhosh-TheIndianBigBourgeoisie.pdf)
8. <https://www.hinrichfoundation.com/research/wp/trade-and-geopolitics/make-in-india-relies-on-made-in-china/>
9. <https://cpiml.org/library/communist-movement-in-india/introduction-communist-movement-in-india/karl-marx-and-nineteenth-century-india-2/>
10. <https://www.pib.gov.in/PressReleasePage.aspx?PRID=2147805>
11. <https://www.gov.uk/government/news/business-leaders-welcome-the-uk-india-free-trade-agreement>
12. <https://www.pib.gov.in/PressReleasePage.aspx?PRID=2131716>
13. https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Make_in_India
14. <https://www.reuters.com/world/china/india-plans-stricter-rules-companies-with-foreign-ownership-sources-say-2025-05-19/>
15. <https://www.businesstoday.in/latest/policy/story/india-plans-stricter-rules-for-companies-with-foreign-ownership-report-476853-2025-05-19>
16. <https://dpiit.gov.in/publications/fdi-statistics>
17. <https://isid.org.in/wp-content/uploads/2022/07/WP214.pdf>
18. <https://www.india-briefing.com/news/india-fdi-tracker-2025-38140.html/>
19. https://www.business-standard.com/economy/news/india-outward-fdi-commitments-dip-may-2025-rbi-data-125062300992_1.html
20. <https://www.networkideas.org/news-analysis/2015/06/economics-two-concepts-nationalism/>
21. <https://kpmg.com/in/en/blogs/2024/12/reflecting-on-a-decade-of-make-in-india-achievements-challenges-and-the-road-ahead.html>
22. <https://anvilmag.in/archives/571>
23. <https://cpim.org/globalisation-impact-indian-economy/>
24. <https://materialisme-dialectique.com/is-there-a-difference-between-bureaucratic-and-comprador-bourgeoisies-how-the-communist-party-of-india-maoistproposes-an-erroneous-definition/>
25. <https://www.jstor.org/stable/4407763>

26. <https://www.investindia.gov.in/team-india-blogs/10-global-corporations-expanding-operations-india-2025>