Assignment No: 7

Name: Nivas Marudhavanan

UTA ID: 1002197281

INSTRUCTIONS

Every learner should submit his/her own homework solutions. However, you are allowed to discuss the homework with each other—but everyone must submit his/her own solution; you may not copy someone else's solution.

The homework consists of two parts:

- 1. Data from our life
- 2. Classification

Follow the prompts in the attached jupyter notebook. We are using a clean and modified version of the auto imports dataset (Description of the original dataset is in the cell bellow). Download the df2.csv file from Canvas and put it in your working directory. Don't forget to add libraries to use in your analysis. You can use the code as a guide that was provided in the class.

Add markdown cells to your analysis to include your solutions, comments, answers. Add as many cells as you need, for easy readability comment when possible.

Submission: Run all your code cells and export the file as HTML. Submit a zip of your .ipynb file and HTML file. Add your name and UTA ID in the markdown cell above.

Good luck!

Title: 1985 Auto Imports Database

Relevant Information: -- Description This data set consists of three types of entities: (a) the specification of an auto in terms of various characteristics, (b) its assigned insurance risk rating, (c) its normalized losses in use as compared to other cars. The second rating corresponds to the degree to which the auto is more risky than its price indicates. Cars are initially assigned a risk factor symbol associated with its price. Then, if it is more risky (or less), this symbol is adjusted by moving it up (or down) the scale. Actuarians call this process "symboling". A value of +3 indicates that the auto is risky, -3 that it is probably pretty safe.

The third factor is the relative average loss payment per insured vehicle year. This value is normalized for all autos within a particular size classification (two-door small, station wagons, sports/speciality, etc...), and represents the average loss per ar

per year.

- -- Note: Several of the attributes in the database could be used as a "class" attribute.
- 1. Number of Instances: 205
- 2. Number of Attributes: 26 total -- 15 continuous -- 1 integer -- 10 nominal

- 3. Attribute Information: Attribute: Attribute Range: -----4. symboling: -3, -2, -1, 0, 1, 2, 3. 5. normalized-losses: continuous from 65 to 256. 6. make: alfa-romero, audi, bmw, chevrolet, dodge, honda,isuzu, jaguar, mazda, mercedes-benz, mercury, mitsubishi, nissan, peugot, plymouth, porsche, renault, saab, subaru, toyota, volkswagen, volvo 7. fuel-type: diesel, gas. 8. aspiration: std, turbo. 9. num-of-doors: four, two. 10. body-style: hardtop, wagon, sedan, hatchback, convertible. 11. drive-wheels: 4wd, fwd, rwd. 12. engine-location: front, rear. 13. wheel-base: continuous from 86.6 120.9. 14. length: continuous from 141.1 to 208.1. 15. width: continuous from 60.3 to 72.3. 16. height: continuous from 47.8 to 59.8. 17. curb-weight: continuous from 1488 to 4066. 18. engine-type: dohc, dohcv, l, ohc, ohcf, ohcv, rotor. 19. num-of-cylinders: eight, five, four, six, three, twelve, two. 20. engine-size: continuous from 61 to 326. 21. fuel-system: 1bbl, 2bbl, 4bbl, idi, mfi, mpfi, spdi, spfi. 22. bore: continuous from 2.54 to 3.94. 23. stroke: continuous from 2.07 to 4.17. 24. compression-ratio: continuous from 7 to 23. 25. horsepower: continuous from 48 to 288.

- 26. peak-rpm: continuous from 4150 to 6600.
- 27. city-mpg: continuous from 13 to 49.
- 28. highway-mpg: continuous from 16 to 54.
- 29. price: continuous from 5118 to 45400.
- 30. Missing Attribute Values: (denoted by "?")

1. Data from our lives:

Describe a situation or problem from your job, everyday life, current events, etc., for which a classification would be appropriate.

Title: Quiz question deduction for question difficulty classification.

Quiz questions assess learning and offer a variety of formats.

Past student performance data on these questions is highly valuable for accurately classifying difficulty.

Model learns: Question content (keywords, structure, type) and corresponding difficulty levels.

Prediction: New unseen questions are analyzed, and difficulty is predicted based on learned patterns.

Student Benefits:

Targeted practice on challenging questions. Improved learning efficiency and comprehension. Personalized learning paths based on difficulty.

Independent Variables (Features):

Question Type: (Essay, Short Answers, MCQ) Students Performance

Dependent Variable:

Question Difficulty: (Easy, Difficulty, Medium) Cateogry

2. Preprocessing

```
import pandas as pd
from imblearn.over_sampling import SMOTE
from sklearn.model selection import learning curve
from sklearn.model selection import train test split, GridSearchCV
from sklearn.neighbors import KNeighborsClassifier
from sklearn.metrics import roc curve
from sklearn.calibration import CalibratedClassifierCV
from sklearn.metrics import accuracy score, precision score,
        recall score, fl score
from sklearn.model selection import train test split
from sklearn.linear model import LogisticRegression
from sklearn.metrics import classification_report
from sklearn.naive bayes import GaussianNB
from sklearn.preprocessing import RobustScaler
from sklearn.neighbors import KNeighborsClassifier
from sklearn.preprocessing import StandardScaler
from sklearn.model selection import cross val score
from sklearn.model selection import StratifiedKFold
from sklearn.metrics import r2 score
from sklearn import metrics
import numpy as np
import matplotlib.pyplot as plt
import seaborn as sns
from sklearn import svm
from sklearn.svm import SVC
```

In our class we covered multiple classification methods. In this part of the home work you can compare them

Use the dataset 'df.csv' from Canvas. Follow the prompts to complete the homework.

```
df2 =pd.read_csv('df2.csv')
df2.info()
<class 'pandas.core.frame.DataFrame'>
RangeIndex: 195 entries, 0 to 194
Data columns (total 15 columns):
    Column Non-Null Count Dtype
              -----
0
   fuel_type 195 non-null object
  wheel_base 195 non-null float64
1
             195 non-null float64
2
   length
3 width
             195 non-null float64
             195 non-null float64
4 heights
5 curb weight 195 non-null int64
6 engine_size 195 non-null int64
7
    bore
             195 non-null float64
8 stroke
             195 non-null float64
9
    comprassion 195 non-null float64
10 horse_power 195 non-null int64
11 peak_rpm 195 non-null int64
12 city_mpg 195 non-null int64
13 highway_mpg 195 non-null
                          int64
14 price 195 non-null int64
dtypes: float64(7), int64(7), object(1)
memory usage: 23.0+ KB
df2.shape
(195, 15)
```

2.1 Replace ['gas', 'diesel'] string values to [0,1]

```
df2['fuel_type'].unique()
array(['gas', 'diesel'], dtype=object)

data = df2.copy()
category_mapping = {'gas': 0, 'diesel': 1}
data['fuel_type'] = data['fuel_type'].map(category_mapping)
data.head()
```

	fuel_type	wheel_base	length	width	heights	curb_weight
o	0	88.6	168.8	64.1	48.8	2548
1	0	88.6	168.8	64.1	48.8	2548
2	0	94.5	171.2	65.5	52.4	2823
3	0	99.8	176.6	66.2	54.3	2337
4	0	99.4	176.6	66.4	54.3	2824
4						•

data.tail()

	fuel_type	wheel_base	length	width	heights	curb_weight
190	0	109.1	188.8	68.9	55.5	2952

191	0	109.1	188.8	68.8	55.5	3049
192	0	109.1	188.8	68.9	55.5	3012
193	1	109.1	188.8	68.9	55.5	3217
194	0	109.1	188.8	68.9	55.5	3062
1						

2.2 : Define your X and y: your dependent variable is fuel_type, the rest of the variables are your independent variables

```
X = data.drop(columns=['fuel_type'])
y = data['fuel type']
```

2.3 Split your data into training and testing set. Use test_size=0.3, random_state=746!

3. Classification

3.1 Use Logistic regression to classify your data. Print/report your confusion matrix, classification report and AUC. What do you notice?

```
log regression = LogisticRegression()
log_regression.fit(X_train, y_train)
y_prediction = log_regression.predict(X_test)
cnf_matrix = metrics.confusion_matrix(y_test, y_prediction)
cnf matrix
/Library/Frameworks/Python.framework/Versions/3.10/lib/python3.10/sit
packages/sklearn/linear model/ logistic.py:469: ConvergenceWarning:
lbfgs failed to converge (status=1):
STOP: TOTAL NO. of ITERATIONS REACHED LIMIT.
Increase the number of iterations (max iter) or scale the data as
    https://scikit-learn.org/stable/modules/preprocessing.html
Please also refer to the documentation for alternative solver
options:
   https://scikit-
learn.org/stable/modules/linear model.html#logistic-regression
  n_iter_i = _check_optimize_result(
array([[50, 0],
       [ 0, 9]])
```

Confusionn Matrix suggest Model has predict all True Positive and True Negative Values correctly

L1 Regularization

```
model = LogisticRegression(solver='liblinear', penalty='l1')
model.fit(X train, y train)
accuracy = model.score(X test, y test)
print(f'Test accuracy: {accuracy:.2f}')
Test accuracy: 1.00
class names=[0, 1]
figure, axis = plt.subplots()
tick marks = np.arange(len(class names))
plt.xticks(tick marks, class names)
plt.yticks(tick marks, class names)
sns.heatmap(pd.DataFrame(cnf matrix), annot=True, cmap="YlGnBu"
        , fmt='g')
axis.xaxis.set_label_position("top")
plt.tight_layout()
plt.title('Confusion matrix', y=1.1)
plt.ylabel('Actual label')
plt.xlabel('Predicted label')
Text(0.5, 427.955555555555, 'Predicted label')
target_names = ['gas', 'diesel']
print(classification_report(y_test, y_prediction,
        target_names=target_names))
              precision recall f1-score
                                            support
         gas
                   1.00
                            1.00
                                       1.00
                                                   50
     diesel
                   1.00
                             1.00
                                       1.00
                                                    9
    accuracy
                                       1.00
                                                   59
  macro avg
                   1.00
                             1.00
                                       1.00
                                                   59
weighted avg
                   1.00
                             1.00
                                       1.00
                                                   59
CROSS VALIDATION
cv scores = cross val score(model, X train, y train, cv=5)
test accuracy = model.score(X test, y test)
print("Cross-validation scores:", cv scores)
print("Mean cross-validation score:", cv_scores.mean())
print("Test accuracy:", test accuracy)
Cross-validation scores: [1. 1. 1. 1.]
Mean cross-validation score: 1.0
Test accuracy: 1.0
y_pred_proba = log_regression.predict_proba(X_test)[::,1]
fpr, tpr, = metrics.roc curve(y test, y pred proba)
auc = metrics.roc auc score(y test, y pred proba)
plt.plot(fpr,tpr,label="data 1, auc="+str(auc))
plt.legend(loc=4)
plt.show()
```

AUC = 1 implies that the model correctly identifies all positive instances (True Positives) without any False Positives.

3.2 Use Naive Bayes to classify your data. Print/report your confusion matrix, classification report and AUC. What do you notice?

```
scaler = RobustScaler()
X train = scaler.fit_transform(X_train)
X_test = scaler.transform(X_test)
gnb = GaussianNB()
gnb.fit(X_train, y_train)
    GaussianNB 🔍 🤇
GaussianNB()
y pred = gnb.predict(X test)
y_pred
array([0, 0, 0, 0, 0, 0, 0, 0, 0, 0, 1, 0, 0, 0, 0, 0, 0, 0,
      0, 1, 0, 0, 0, 0, 1, 0, 0, 1, 0, 0, 1, 0, 1, 0, 1, 0, 0,
0, 0,
      0, 0, 0, 0, 1, 0, 1, 1, 0, 0, 0, 0, 0, 0, 0])
Test Set Accuracy
print('Model accuracy score: {0:0.4f}'.
      format(accuracy_score(y_test, y_pred)))
Model accuracy score: 1.0000
Train Set Accuracy
y_pred_train = gnb.predict(X_train)
y_pred_train
array([1, 0, 0, 0, 0, 1, 0, 0, 0, 0, 0, 0, 0, 1, 0, 0, 0,
0, 0,
      1, 0,
     0, 1,
     0, 0,
      0, 1, 0, 0, 0, 0, 1, 0, 0, 0, 0, 0, 0, 0, 0, 0, 0, 0,
0, 0,
      0, 0,
      0, 0, 0, 0])
print('Training-set accuracy score: {0:0.4f}'.
      format(accuracy_score(y_train, y_pred_train)))
Training-set accuracy score: 1.0000
cnf_matrix_gb = metrics.confusion_matrix(y_test, y_prediction)
cnf matrix gb
predictions = model.predict(X_test)
/Library/Frameworks/Python.framework/Versions/3.10/lib/python3.10/sit
packages/sklearn/base.py:493: UserWarning: X does not have valid
feature names, but LogisticRegression was fitted with feature names
```

warnings.warn(

```
plt.figure(figsize=(8, 6))
sns.heatmap(cnf_matrix_gb, annot=True, fmt='d', cmap='Blues',
        cbar=False)
plt.title('Confusion Matrix')
plt.xlabel('Predicted labels')
plt.ylabel('True labels')
plt.show()
LEARNING CURVE
def plot_learning_curve(estimator, X, y,
        train_sizes=np.linspace(0.1, 1.0, 5), cv=5):
    train_sizes, train_scores, test_scores = learning_curve(
        estimator, X, y, train_sizes=train_sizes, cv=cv)
    train scores mean = np.mean(train scores, axis=1)
    train scores std = np.std(train scores, axis=1)
    test scores mean = np.mean(test scores, axis=1)
    test scores std = np.std(test scores, axis=1)
    plt.figure(figsize=(10, 6))
    plt.fill between(train sizes, train scores mean -
        train_scores_std,
                     train_scores_mean + train_scores_std,
        alpha=0.1,
                     color="r")
    plt.fill between(train_sizes, test_scores_mean -
        test_scores_std,
                     test scores mean + test scores std, alpha=0.1,
        color="q")
    plt.plot(train_sizes, train_scores_mean, 'o-', color="r",
             label="Training score")
    plt.plot(train sizes, test scores mean, 'o-', color="g",
             label="Cross-validation score")
    plt.xlabel("Training examples")
    plt.ylabel("Score")
    plt.title("Learning Curve")
    plt.legend(loc="best")
    plt.grid(True)
    plt.show()
plot learning curve(gnb, X train, y train)
```

The learning curve suggests that the model is capable of learning from the data. However, the slight gap between the training and cross-validation scores indicates potential overfitting, especially with larger training sets

Cross Validation

```
cv_scores = cross_val_score(gnb, X_train, y_train, cv=5)
test_accuracy = gnb.score(X_test, y_test)
print("Cross-validation scores:", cv_scores)
print("Mean cross-validation score:", cv_scores.mean())
print("Test accuracy:", test_accuracy)

Cross-validation scores: [1. 1. 1. 1. 1.]
Mean cross-validation score: 1.0
Test accuracy: 1.0
```

```
target names = ['gas', 'diesel']
print(classification_report(y_test, y_prediction,
       target_names=target_names))
             precision
                       recall f1-score support
                  1.00
                          1.00
                                                50
        gas
                                     1.00
     diesel
                  1.00
                           1.00
                                     1.00
                                                 9
                                     1.00
                                                59
   accuracy
  macro avg
                          1.00
                                    1.00
                                                59
                  1.00
weighted avg
                  1.00
                           1.00
                                    1.00
                                                59
y_pred_probablity = gnb.predict_proba(X_test)[::,1]
fpr, tpr, _ = metrics.roc_curve(y_test, y_pred_probablity)
auc = metrics.roc_auc_score(y_test, y_pred_probablity)
plt.plot(fpr,tpr,label="data 1, auc="+str(auc))
plt.legend(loc=4)
plt.show()
```

?

AUC = 1 and training dataset accuracy = 0.998 and test dataset accuracy =1 suggest model performs very well on both training and test dataset

3.3 Use KNN to classify your data. First find the optimal k and than run you classification. Print/report your confusion matrix, classification report and AUC. What do you notice?

```
Find K
k_values = [i for i in range (1,31)]
scores = []
scaler = StandardScaler()
X = scaler.fit transform(X)
for k in k values:
    knn = KNeighborsClassifier(n neighbors=k)
    score = cross val score(knn, X, y, cv=5)
    scores.append(np.mean(score))
sns.lineplot(x = k_values, y = scores, marker = 'o')
plt.xlabel("K Values")
plt.ylabel("Accuracy Score")
Text(0, 0.5, 'Accuracy Score')
OPTIMAL VALUE OF N SHOULD BE IN THE RANGE OF 1 TO 10
scaler = StandardScaler()
X train = scaler.fit transform(X train)
X test = scaler.transform(X test)
knn = KNeighborsClassifier(n neighbors=13)
knn.fit(X train, y train)
y pred = knn.predict(X test)
accuracy = accuracy_score(y_test, y_pred)
print("Accuracy:", accuracy)
```

Accuracy: 0.9152542372881356

```
scaler = StandardScaler()
X train = scaler.fit transform(X train)
X test = scaler.transform(X test)
knn = KNeighborsClassifier(n_neighbors=1)
knn.fit(X train, y train)
y pred = knn.predict(X_test)
accuracy = accuracy_score(y_test, y_pred)
print("Accuracy:", accuracy)
Accuracy: 1.0
CROSS VALIDATION
cv scores = cross val score(knn, X train, y train, cv=5)
test accuracy = knn.score(X_test, y_test)
print("Cross-validation scores:", cv scores)
print("Mean cross-validation score:", cv scores.mean())
print("Test accuracy:", test accuracy)
Cross-validation scores: [1. 1. 1. 1.]
Mean cross-validation score: 1.0
Test accuracy: 1.0
cnf_matrix_knn = metrics.confusion_matrix(y_test, y_prediction)
cnf matrix knn
array([[50, 0],
       [ 0, 9]])
plt.figure(figsize=(8, 6))
sns.heatmap(cnf_matrix_knn, annot=True, fmt='d', cmap='Blues')
plt.title('Confusion Matrix')
plt.xlabel('Predicted labels')
plt.ylabel('True labels')
plt.show()
target names = ['gas', 'diesel']
print(classification_report(y_test, y_prediction,
        target_names=target_names))
              precision
                         recall f1-score
                                               support
                   1.00
                             1.00
                                       1.00
         gas
                                                    50
      diesel
                   1.00
                             1.00
                                        1.00
                                                     9
    accuracy
                                        1.00
                                                    59
                   1.00
                             1.00
                                        1.00
                                                    59
   macro avg
                             1.00
                                        1.00
weighted avg
                   1.00
                                                    59
Split the data further into training, validation to evaluate its final performance on
the unseen test set
X_train, X_val, y_train, y_val = train_test_split(X_train, y_train,
        test_size=0.3, random_state=42)
param grid = {
    'n neighbors': [3],
    'weights': ['uniform', 'distance']
}
cv = StratifiedKFold(n splits=5, shuffle=True, random state=42)
grid search = GridSearchCV(estimator=knn, param grid=param grid,
```

cv=cv, scoring='accuracy')

```
grid_search.fit(X_train, y_train)

best_model = grid_search.best_estimator_
y_pred = best_model.predict(X_test)
accuracy = accuracy_score(y_test, y_pred)
print("Test Accuracy:", accuracy)

Test Accuracy: 1.0

y_pred_proba = knn.predict_proba(X_test)[::,1]
fpr, tpr, _ = metrics.roc_curve(y_test, y_pred_proba)
auc = metrics.roc_auc_score(y_test, y_pred_proba)
plt.plot(fpr,tpr,label="data 1, auc="+str(auc))
plt.legend(loc=4)
plt.show()
```

Based on these results, we can infer that your KNN model has learned excellent decision boundaries to separate the "gas" and "diesel" data points in our training set.

3.4 Choose one: SVM or Random Forest to classify your data. Print/report your confusion matrix, classification report and AUC

```
clf = svm.SVC(kernel='linear')
clf.fit(X train, y train)
y pred = clf.predict(X test)
print("Accuracy:",metrics.accuracy_score(y_test, y_pred))
Accuracy: 1.0
cnf_matrix_svc = metrics.confusion_matrix(y_test, y_prediction)
cnf matrix svc
array([[50, 0],
      [ 0, 9]])
from sklearn.metrics import roc_auc_score
auc = roc_auc_score(y_test, y_pred)
print("AUC:", auc)
AUC: 1.0
target names = ['gas', 'diesel']
print(classification_report(y_test, y_prediction,
       target_names=target_names))
             precision recall f1-score support
                  1.00
                           1.00
                                     1.00
                                                 50
        gas
     diesel
                  1.00
                           1.00
                                     1.00
                                                  9
                                     1.00
                                                 59
   accuracy
  macro avg
                  1.00
                          1.00
                                    1.00
                                                 59
weighted avg
                  1.00
                           1.00
                                     1.00
                                                 59
```

CROSS VALIDATION

```
cv_scores = cross_val_score(clf, X_train, y_train, cv=5)
test_accuracy = clf.score(X_test, y_test)
```

```
print("Cross-validation scores:", cv scores)
print("Mean cross-validation score:", cv scores.mean())
print("Test accuracy:", test accuracy)
Cross-validation scores: [1. 1. 1. 1.]
Mean cross-validation score: 1.0
Test accuracy: 1.0
/Library/Frameworks/Python.framework/Versions/3.10/lib/python3.10/sit
packages/sklearn/model selection/ split.py:737: UserWarning: The
least populated class in y has only 2 members, which is less than
n splits=5.
 warnings.warn(
plt.figure(figsize=(8, 6))
sns.heatmap(cnf matrix svc, annot=True, fmt='d', cmap='Blues')
plt.title('Confusion Matrix')
plt.xlabel('Predicted labels')
plt.ylabel('True labels')
plt.show()
calibrated_svm = CalibratedClassifierCV(clf)
calibrated svm.fit(X train, y train)
y_pred_proba = calibrated_svm.predict_proba(X_test)[:, 1]
fpr, tpr, _ = roc_curve(y_test, y_pred_proba)
auc = roc_auc_score(y_test, y_pred_proba)
plt.plot(fpr, tpr, label='SVM (linear kernel), auc='+str(auc))
plt.xlabel('False Positive Rate (FPR)')
plt.ylabel('True Positive Rate (TPR)')
plt.title('ROC Curve')
plt.legend(loc=4)
plt.grid(True)
plt.show()
/Library/Frameworks/Python.framework/Versions/3.10/lib/python3.10/sit
packages/sklearn/model selection/ split.py:737: UserWarning: The
least populated class in y has only 2 members, which is less than
n_splits=5.
 warnings.warn(
```

Results suggest that SVM model has learned effective decision boundaries for the specific data it was trained on.

3.5 Compare your results and comment on your findings. Which one(s) did the best job? What could have been the problem with the ones that did not work? etc.

All the models which are compared (Logistic Regression, Naive Bayes, KNN, and SVM) achieved perfect accuracy (1.0) on the test set, with AUC (Area Under the ROC Curve) of 1.0 as well and cross validation also gives accuracy 1 except knn. This suggests that all models performed exceptionally well on this specific dataset for the binary classification task of distinguishing between "gas" and "diesel" classes.

4. Bonus question (15 extra points)

Try to fix the inbalanced nature of the data with a tool from the lecture. Run one of the classification methods (preferable one that "failed" before) and see if you get better results.

```
class_counts = data['fuel_type'].value_counts()
print(class counts)
X_train, X_test, y_train, y_test = train_test_split(X, y,
        test_size=0.3, random_state=742)
minority class = class counts.idxmin()
sm = SMOTE(sampling_strategy=minority_class, k_neighbors=10)
X train resampled, y train resampled = sm.fit resample(X train,
        y_train)
param grid = {
    'n neighbors': [3, 5, 7, 9],
    'weights': ['uniform', 'distance']
}
knn = KNeighborsClassifier()
grid_search = GridSearchCV(estimator=knn, param_grid=param_grid,
        cv=5, scoring='accuracy')
grid_search.fit(X_train_resampled, y_train_resampled)
best model = grid search.best estimator
y pred = best model.predict(X test)
accuracy = accuracy_score(y_test, y_pred)
precision = precision score(y test, y pred)
recall = recall score(y test, y pred)
f1 = f1_score(y_test, y_pred)
data['fuel_type_factorized'], _ = pd.factorize(data['fuel_type'])
plt.bar(data['fuel_type_factorized'].unique(),
        data['fuel_type'].value_counts())
plt.xlabel("Fuel Type")
plt.ylabel("Count")
plt.title("Distribution of Fuel Types (0 or 1)")
plt.xticks([0, 1])
plt.show()
print("Test Accuracy:", accuracy)
print("Precision:", precision)
print("Recall:", recall)
print("F1-Score:", f1)
print("Classification Report:\n", classification_report(y_test,
        y_pred))
     175
0
1
      20
Name: fuel_type, dtype: int64
Test Accuracy: 1.0
Precision: 1.0
Recall: 1.0
F1-Score: 1.0
Classification Report:
               precision
                            recall f1-score
                                                support
                   1.00
                             1.00
                                                    54
           0
                                        1.00
           1
                   1.00
                             1.00
                                        1.00
                                                     5
                                        1.00
                                                    59
    accuracy
                                                    59
                   1.00
                             1.00
                                        1.00
   macro avg
                   1.00
                             1.00
                                        1.00
                                                    59
weighted avg
```

```
smote = SMOTE(random_state=42)
X_train_smote, y_train_smote = smote.fit_resample(X_train, y_train)
svm = SVC(kernel='rbf', gamma=1, C=1)
svm.fit(X_train_smote, y_train_smote)
y pred = svm.predict(X test)
print("Accuracy:", accuracy_score(y_test, y_pred))
print("Classification Report:\n", classification_report(y_test,
        y_pred))
Accuracy: 0.9322033898305084
Classification Report:
               precision
                            recall f1-score
                                                support
           0
                   0.93
                             1.00
                                       0.96
                                                    54
           1
                   1.00
                             0.20
                                       0.33
                                                     5
                                       0.93
   accuracy
                                                    59
                   0.97
                             0.60
                                       0.65
                                                    59
   macro avg
weighted avg
                   0.94
                             0.93
                                       0.91
                                                    59
```

The introduction of SMOTE to address class imbalance while it performs well on the majority class, it struggles to accurately identify the minority class.