

Outline

- Definition of r -(Re)parameterizations
- “Proof by Example” of existence of r -(re)parameterizations
- Uniform parameterizations via compactness

1 Parameterizations

Let R be an ordered field with some given O-minimal structure. We begin with several definitions.

Definition 1.1.

- (1) A set $X \subset R^m$ is **strongly bounded** if there is some $N \in \mathbb{N}$ such that $X \subset [-N, N]^m$. A map $f : X \rightarrow Y$ is **strongly bounded** if its graph Γ_f is. Equivalently, both X and $f(X)$ are strongly bounded.

- (2) Let $X \subset R^m$ be definable and set $d = \dim(X)$. A finite set S of definable maps $\varphi :]0, 1[^d \rightarrow X$ is called an **parameterization** of X if

$$\bigcup_{\varphi \in S} \text{Im}(\varphi) = X.$$

- (3) A parameterization S of a definable set X is called an **r -parameterization** if every $\varphi \in S$ is of class $C^{(r)}$ and has the property that $\varphi^{(\alpha)}$ is strongly bounded for every $\alpha \in \mathbb{N}^k$ with $|\alpha| = \alpha_1 + \dots + \alpha_k \leq r$.
- (4) An r -parameterization is called a **strong r -parameterization** if for every $\varphi \in S$, $\varphi^{(\alpha)}$ is bounded by 1 for every $\alpha \in \mathbb{N}^k$ with $|\alpha| \leq r$.

Remark 1.2. A definable set admits an r -parameterization iff it admits a strong r -parameterization.

Example. If $\varphi :]0, 1[\rightarrow X$ satisfies $|\varphi^{(\alpha)}| \leq c$ for all $\alpha \in \mathbb{N}$, then consider

$$\psi_i :]0, 1[\rightarrow X, \quad \psi_i(t) = \varphi\left(\frac{i+t}{c}\right) \quad \text{for } i = 0, \dots, c-1.$$

Then, $\psi'_i(t) = \frac{1}{c}\varphi'\left(\frac{i+t}{c}\right)$ and so on...

△

In higher dimension, do the same thing but break domain up into cubes of size $1/c$.

○

- (5) Let S be an r -parameterization of a definable set $X \subset R^m$ and $F : X \rightarrow R^n$ a definable map. Then, S is an **r -reparameterization** of F if $F \circ S := \{F \circ \varphi : \varphi \in S\}$ is an r -parameterization of $f(X)$.

Theorem 1.3 (Goal). *Fix any $r \in \mathbb{N}$.*

- (1) *Any strongly bounded, definable set X admits an r -parameterization.*
- (2) *Any strongly bounded, definable map F admits an r -reparameterization.*

We won't go over the proof of this theorem in detail, but here's an outline. The proof is inductive. First consider the statements

Ultimately interested in $R = \mathbb{R}$, but need to work in greater generality e.g. to apply compactness at the end

I think

$F \circ \varphi$ of class $C^{(r)}$ and $(F \circ \varphi)^{(\alpha)}$ strongly bounded for all $\alpha \in \mathbb{N}^{\dim X}$ with $|\alpha| \leq r$

$B(r)$ Every strongly bounded, definable $F :]0, 1[\rightarrow R$ admits an r -reparameterization S such that for each $\varphi \in S$, either φ or $F \circ \varphi$ is a polynomial with strongly bounded coefficients.

$R(m, n, r)$ Every strongly bounded, definable $F : X \rightarrow R^m$ (w/ $X \subset]0, 1[^n$) admits an r -reparameterization.

$P(n, r)$ Every strongly bounded, definable set $X \subset R^n$ admits an r -parameterization.

The proof proceeds in several steps

(1) Use induction to prove $B(r)$ ($\implies R(1, 1, r) \implies P(1, r)$) for all r

(2) Another induction then proves, for fixed n, r ,¹

$$R(1, d, r) \text{ for all } d \leq n \implies R(m, n, r) \text{ for all } m.$$

In particular, this + (1) gives $R(m, 1, r)$ for all m, r .

(3) Finally, prove that, for fixed r ,²

$$P(n, k) \text{ and } R(m, n, k) \text{ for all } k \leq r, \text{ all } m \implies P(n+1, r) \text{ and } R(1, n+1, r).$$

(this let's us increase n)

Now, one proves $\forall(m, n, r) : R(m, n, r)$ via strong induction on n .

2 “Proof” by Example

Proposition 2.1 ($B(r)$ for all r). *Every definable map $F :]0, 1[\rightarrow]0, 1[$ admits a (strong) r -reparameterization for any r .*

Proof. Induct on r . If $r = 1$, a strengthened version of the monotonicity theorem from Katia's talk let's us partition

$$0 = a_0 < a_1 < \dots < a_n = 1$$

so that $F|_{]a_i, a_{i+1}[}$ is continuously differentiable and monotone for all i and furthermore $|F'| \leq 1$ or $|F'| > 1$ on each subinterval. Two cases

- Say $|F'| \leq 1$ on $]a, b[\subset]0, 1[$. Then, $\varphi(x) = a + (b - a)x$ is a parameterization of $]0, 1[$ so that $|(F \circ \varphi)'| \leq 1$.
- Say $|F'| > 1$ on $]a, b[\subset]0, 1[$. F is strictly monotone (so invertible) and strongly bounded³, so we can write $F([a, b]) =]m, M[$ with m, M strongly bounded. Now, $\varphi(x) = F^{-1}(m + (M - m)x)$ gives a 1-reparameterization of F on $]a, b[$ (Note $F \circ \varphi = m + (M - m)x$ has derivative $(M - m)$).

We still need to cover the remaining finitely many points a_1, \dots, a_{n-1} . For these, we use constant functions $\varphi_i :]0, 1[\mapsto a_i$.

¹Specifically, $R(m-1, n, r)$ and $R(1, d, r)$ for all $d \leq n$ together imply $R(m, n, r)$

²Possibly $m = 1, 2$ suffices. Didn't read closely enough to be sure. Certainly, $m = 1$ alone does not suffice

³ $m = \lim_{x \rightarrow a^+} F(x)$

Note
 $R(1, 1, r) \implies P(1, r)$.
 More generally,
 $R(n, n, r) \implies P(n, r)$
 (since X strongly bounded).
 Consider
 $X \hookrightarrow R^n \xrightarrow{\sim} R^n$
 $\quad \quad \quad \cdot 1/N$

$\varphi :]0, 1[\rightarrow]0, 1[$

Now fix $r > 1$ and assume $B(k)$ holds for any $k < r$. Let S be an $(r-1)$ -reparametrization of F with the extra property. For $\varphi \in S$, write $\{\varphi, F \circ \varphi\} = \{g, h\}$ where g is a polynomial with strongly bounded coefficients. Then, $g^{(k)}$ exists and is strongly bounded for every k , so we focus on h . We know $h^{(k)}$ exists, is continuous, and is strongly bounded for $k \leq r-1$ by hypothesis. We can partition $]0, 1[$ into fin. many intervals $]a, b[\subset]0, 1[$ such that h is of class $C^{(r)}$ with $|h^{(r)}|$ monotonic on each subinterval. Given one such $]a, b[$, define

$$\psi(x) = \begin{cases} a + (b-a)x & \text{if } |h^{(r)}| \text{ is decreasing} \\ b + (a-b)x & \text{if } |h^{(r)}| \text{ is increasing} \end{cases}$$

Now, $h \circ \psi :]0, 1[\rightarrow R$ is of class $C^{(r)}$, $(h \circ \psi)^{(k)}$ is strongly bounded for $k < r$ and $|(h \circ \psi)^{(k)}|$ is decreasing. An analytic argument using the chain rule and Rolle's theorem then shows that

$$x \mapsto h(\psi(x^2))$$

has all derivatives up to order r (not just $r-1$) strongly bounded. Similarly $x \mapsto g(\psi(x^2))$ is still a polynomial with strongly bounded coefficients. Varying the $]a, b[$, this functions $x \mapsto \varphi(\psi(x^2))$ with ranges covering $\text{Im}(\varphi)$ except maybe finitely many points. For the missing points, we add constant maps and so obtain an r -reparameterization of F . ■

Proposition 2.2 ($R(1, 1, r) \implies R(2, 1, r)$). *Every definable map $F : X \rightarrow]0, 1[^2$ (with $X \subset]0, 1[$) admits a (strong) r -reparameterization for any r .*

Proof. Fix r . Let $F, f : X \rightarrow]0, 1[$ be strongly bounded definable maps. We will show that $(F, f) : X \rightarrow]0, 1[^2$ admits an r -reparameterization. $R(1, 1, r)$ given an r -reparameterization S of F . For any $\varphi \in S$, $\varphi :]0, 1[^d \rightarrow X$ ($d = \dim X$), $R(1, d, r)$ gives an r -reparam. S_φ of $f \circ \varphi :]0, 1[^d \rightarrow]0, 1[$. The chain rule then shows that, for any $\psi \in S_\varphi$, all order $\leq r$ derivatives of $\varphi \circ \psi$ are strongly bounded. Hence,

$$\{\varphi \circ \psi :]0, 1[^d \rightarrow]0, 1[: \varphi \in S, \psi \in S_\varphi\}$$

is an r -reparameterization of (F, f) . ■

Proposition 2.3 ($P(2, r)$). *Every open cell $X \subset]0, 1[^2$ admits a (strong) r -parameterization for any r .*

Proof. Write

$$X =]f, g[:= \{(x, y) : x \in D \text{ and } f(x) < y < g(x)\}$$

where $D \subset]0, 1[$ is a 1-cell and $f < g : D \rightarrow]0, 1[$ are definable, continuous maps. Note that D is strongly bounded, so $P(1, r)$ gives an r -parameterization S of D . For each $\varphi \in S$, $\varphi :]0, 1[\rightarrow D$, the map

$$(f, g) \circ \varphi :]0, 1[\rightarrow]0, 1[^2$$

has an r -parameterization S_φ by Lemma 2.2 ($R(2, 1, r)$). For each $\psi \in S_\varphi$, we define $\theta_{\varphi, \psi} :]0, 1[^2 \rightarrow X$ via

$$\theta_{\varphi, \psi}(x_1, x_2) = (\varphi \circ \psi(x_1), (1 - x_2)f(\varphi(\psi(x_1))) + x_2g(\varphi(\psi(x_1)))).$$

The collection of theses forms an r -parameterization of X . ■

This analytic input is the heart of things

3 Uniformity

Recall 3.1 (Compactness Theorem). Fix a structure M . Let V be a definable set, and let $(V_i)_{i \in I}$ be a collection of definable subsets of V . Suppose that for every elementary extension $M \subset M^*$, we have

$$V^* = \bigcup_{i \in I} V_i^*.$$

Then, there exists a finite subset $I_0 \subset I$ such that

$$V = \bigcup_{i \in I_0} V_i.$$

Lemma 3.2. *Let R be an o-minimal structure, and let $R \subset R^*$ be an elementary extension. Then, R^* is again o-minimal (only sketch a proof).*

Proof. To keep life simple, suppose all singletons in R are basic definable, so definable = basic definable for R . Let $V^* \subset R^*$ be a definable subset; we want to prove that V^* is a finite union of points and open intervals. First write $V^* = (W^*)_{y^*}$ for some basic definable $W^* \subset (R^*)^{n+1}$ and point $y^* \in (R^*)^n$. The set W^* is the extension of some (basic) definable $W \subset R^{n+1}$. By the cell decomposition theorem, W is a finite disjoint union of cells C , so it suffices to prove that each $(C^*)_{y^*}$ is a finite union of points and open intervals. Suppose

$$C =]f, g[= \{(x, y) : x \in D \text{ and } f(x) < y < g(x)\}$$

where $D \subset R^n$ is a cell and $f < g : D \rightarrow R$ are definable, continuous functions. Then, $C^* =]f^*, g^*[$, so the fiber above y^* is either an interval $]f^*(y^*), g^*(y^*)[$ if $y^* \in D^*$ or is empty otherwise. The other sorts of cells can be handled similarly. ■

Theorem 3.3. *Let $X \subset]0, 1[^n \times Y$ be a definable family with fiber dimension k over Y . Then, there is a finite set I and definable maps*

$$\{\varphi_{i,y} :]0, 1[^{\dim X_y} \rightarrow X_y \subset]0, 1[^n\}_{i \in I, y \in Y}$$

such that for each $y \in Y$, there is a subset $I_0 \subset I$ so that $\{\varphi_{i,y}\}_{i \in I_0}$ gives a strong r -parameterization of X_y .

(Similarly statement for families of strongly bounded definable maps)

Proof. We may assume X, Y are basic definable. For any $N \in \mathbb{N}$, any basic definable $Z \subset Y$, and any N -tuple of basic definable functions $f_1, \dots, f_N :]0, 1[^k \times Z \rightarrow X$ over Z , we write

$$Y_{Z, f_1, \dots, f_N} := \{y \in Z \mid f_1, y, \dots, f_N, y :]0, 1[^k \rightarrow X_y \text{ form a strong } r\text{-parameterization}\}.$$

We claim all such sets taken together cover Y .

Fix some $y_0 \in Y$, and let $g_1, \dots, g_N :]0, 1[^k \rightarrow X_{y_0}$ be a strong r -param. Since each g_i is definable w/ basic definable (co)domain, there exists some basic definable set $V \ni v$ along with basic definable

TL;DR

WTS:

$V^* \subset R^*$ is a finite union of points and open intervals.

This is a fiber of some basic definable $W^* \subset (R^*)^{n+1}$. By cell decomposition, can assume that $W \subset R^{n+1}$ is a cell, and then just directly check that $(W^*)_{y^*}$ is a point, empty, or an interval for each cell type

Each fiber has a strong r -parameterization of uniformly bounded size

functions $h_1, \dots, h_N :]0, 1[^k \times V \rightarrow X \times V$ over V s.t. $g_i = h_{i,v}$ for all i . Set

$$W := \{(y, v) \in Y \times V \mid h_{1,z}, \dots, h_{N,z} \text{ form a strong } r\text{-param. of } X_y\} \text{ and } Z := \text{pr}_1(W) \subset Y.$$

Note that $y_0 \in Z$ by construction. Basic definable choice gives a splitting $s : Z \rightarrow W$ which we use to form the compositions

$$f_i :]0, 1[^k \times Z \xrightarrow{(\text{id}, s)}]0, 1[^k \times W \xrightarrow{(\text{id}, \text{pr}_2)}]0, 1[^k \times V \xrightarrow{h_i} X \times V \xrightarrow{\text{pr}_1} X.$$

By construction, $f_{1,y}, \dots, f_{N,y} :]0, 1[^k \rightarrow X_y$ form a strong r -param. for all $y \in Z$. Thus, $y_0 \in Y_{Z, f_1, \dots, f_N}$.

The above argument holds not only in R , but in any elementary extension R^* . Hence, by compactness, $Y = \bigcup Y_{Z, f_1, \dots, f_N}$ is in fact covered by only finitely many such sets; whence the claim. \blacksquare

Lemma 3.4. *Let $g : X \rightarrow Y$ be a definable function with basic definable (co)domain X, Y . Then, there exists a basic definable set $V \ni v$ and a basic definable function $h : X \times V \rightarrow Y \times V$ over V so that $g = h_s$.*

Proof. Write $\Gamma_g = W_s$ for some basic definable $W \subset R^m \times X \times Y$ and $s \in R^m$. Let $p : W \rightarrow R^m \times X$ denote the natural projection, and note that

$$B := \{(t, x) \in R^m \times X : \#p^{-1}(t, x) = 1\} \supset \{s\} \times X$$

is basic definable. Furthermore, $p^{-1}(B) \xrightarrow{p} B$ is an isomorphism. Let

$$V := \{t \in R^m \mid \{t\} \times X \subset B\} \ni s.$$

Then, $p^{-1}(V)$ is the graph of our desired function h . \blacksquare

Definable functions are fibers of basic definable functions