

Outline

- Recap of Proof from 1st Talk (No E/\mathbb{Q} w/ 11-torsion)
- Moduli problems over \mathbb{Z}
- Neron model of $J_0(p)$.

Recall 1 (1st talk). Ingredients in proof that no E/\mathbb{Q} has 11-torsion

On board
before start
of talk

- Considered moduli space $Y_1(11)$ of elliptic curves equipped w/ a point of order 11, then compactified to $X_1(11)$

In general, we'll look at $X_0(p)$, the moduli space of (generalized) elliptic curves w/ a p -isogeny

- $J_1(11) := \text{Jac } X_1(11)$ is an elliptic curve with $\#J_1(11)(\mathbb{Q})_{\text{tors}} = 5$

We saw last time that $J_0(p) := \text{Jac } X_0(p)$ is an abelian variety w/ a point of order (dividing) $p-1$.

- Computed Néron model \mathcal{X}^0/\mathbb{Z} for $J_1(11)$, the smooth locus of the Weierstrass curve $y^2 + y = x^3 - x$. This had good reduction away from 11 and multiplicative reduction at 11.

Goal (Today). We'll show that $J_0(p) := \text{Jac } X_0(p)$ has good reduction away from p and completely toric reduction at p

- Performed a “fancy 5-descent” to compute $J_1(11)(\mathbb{Q})$

In later talks, we'll perform (something like) a “very fancy $(p-1)$ -descent” to compute $\text{rank } J_0(p)(\mathbb{Q})$.

◊

1 Defining Various Moduli Problems

Assumption (simplifying assumption). Assume throughout that $N \geq 1$ is squarefree.

Definition 2. Let E/S be a smooth, separated group scheme of relative dimension 1.

- A $\Gamma_0(N)$ -**structure** on E is a finite, flat S -subgroup scheme $G \subset E$ of order N such that $\mathcal{O}_E(G)$ is ample.
- A $\Gamma_1(N)$ -**structure** on E is a homomorphism $\varphi : \mathbb{Z}/N\mathbb{Z} \rightarrow E(S)$ such that the effective Cartier divisor $\sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z}/N\mathbb{Z}} [\varphi(n)]$ is ample and a subgroup scheme of E . We will often identify φ with the point $P := \varphi(1) \in E(S)$ (and say P is a **point of exact order N**). ◊

ample \iff
meets every
irreducible
component
of every
fiber

Example 3. Say S is a $\mathbb{Z}[1/N]$ -scheme and E/S is elliptic. Then, all group schemes of order N in E are étale, so a $\Gamma_1(N)$ -structure on E is simply an embedding $\mathbb{Z}/N\mathbb{Z}_S \hookrightarrow E$ of group schemes. \triangle

Example 4. Say $E/\overline{\mathbb{F}}_p$ is an elliptic curve, and consider its (non-reduced) order p subgroup scheme $G := \ker(\text{Frob} : E \rightarrow E^{(p)})$. Then, $G \subset E$ is a $\Gamma_0(p)$ -structure on E . Furthermore, as divisors, $G = p[0]$, so $0 \in E(\overline{\mathbb{F}}_p)$ is a $\Gamma_1(p)$ -structure on E . \triangle

Example 5. Say $E = \mathbb{G}_m \times \mathbb{Z}/5\mathbb{Z}$ (think: E is the smooth locus of a Néron 5-gon), then $\mu_5 \subset E$ is a subgroup of order 5, but is *not* a $\Gamma_0(5)$ -structure (because it's not ample). However, $\mathbb{Z}/5\mathbb{Z} \subset E$ is a $\Gamma_0(5)$ -structure. \triangle

Definition 6. We define the following two functors $\text{Sch}^{\text{op}} \rightarrow \text{Set}$

$$\begin{aligned}\mathcal{M}_1(N) : S &\longmapsto \left\{ (E/S, P \in E(S)) \left| \begin{array}{l} E \text{ an elliptic scheme} \\ P \text{ a } \Gamma_1(N)\text{-structure} \end{array} \right. \right\} / \simeq \\ \mathcal{M}_0(N) : S &\longmapsto \left\{ (E/S, G \subset E) \left| \begin{array}{l} E \text{ an elliptic scheme} \\ G \text{ a } \Gamma_0(N)\text{-structure} \end{array} \right. \right\} / \simeq \quad \diamond\end{aligned}$$

Fact. There exists an affine scheme $Y_0(N)/\mathbb{Z}$ along with a natural transformation $\mathcal{M}_0(N) \rightarrow Y_0(N)$ which is both initial among maps from $\mathcal{M}_0(N)$ to schemes and which is a bijection on \mathbb{C} -points (one says $Y_0(N)$ is the **coarse moduli space** of $\mathcal{M}_0(N)$). Furthermore, $Y_0(N)$ is smooth over $\mathbb{Z}[1/N]$ and $Y_0(N)(\mathbb{C}) = \mathbb{H}/\Gamma_0(N)$.

Fact. The analogous statements hold for $\mathcal{M}_1(N)$ in place of $\mathcal{M}_0(N)$. In fact, $\mathcal{M}_1(N) \rightarrow Y_1(N)$ is an isomorphism for $N \geq 4$ (one says that $Y_1(N)$ is the **fine moduli space** of $\mathcal{M}_1(N)$, when $N \geq 4$).

Example 7. $Y(1) := Y_0(1) = Y_1(1) = \mathbb{A}_{\mathbb{Z}}^1$

\triangle

Following our outline in the beginning, we should try and compactify these spaces. Complex analytically, this corresponds to adding in the cusps $\mathbb{P}^1(\mathbb{Q})$ to the upper half plane \mathbb{H} ; what does this correspond to in the modular interpretation?

Example 8. A complex number $\tau \in \mathbb{H}$ corresponds to the elliptic curve $E_\tau = \mathbb{C}/(\mathbb{Z} \oplus \mathbb{Z}\tau)$. What happens as $\tau \rightarrow i\infty$? The trick is to realize the exponential map gives an isomorphism $\exp(2\pi i(-)) : E_\tau \xrightarrow{\sim} \mathbb{C}^\times/q^\mathbb{Z}$, where $q = e^{2\pi i\tau}$. Note that $\tau \rightarrow i\infty$ corresponds to $q \rightarrow 0$, which suggests $E_{i\infty} = \mathbb{C}^\times = \mathbb{G}_m(\mathbb{C})$, so the cusps look like they should capture multiplicative reduction. \triangle

Recall 9. An elliptic curve E/\mathbb{Q} has multiplicative reduction at p iff the p -special fiber of its minimal proper regular model is (geometrically) a Néron n -gon (for some n), i.e. is of the form

$$C_n = \frac{\mathbb{P}^1 \times \mathbb{Z}/n\mathbb{Z}}{(\infty, i) \sim (0, i+1) \text{ for } i \in \mathbb{Z}/n\mathbb{Z}}$$

(after basechange to $\overline{\mathbb{F}}_p$).

\odot

Definition 10. A **generalized elliptic curve** over S is a tuple $(E/S, +, 0)$ where E is a proper, flat, finitely presented S -scheme,

- $+ : E^{sm} \times_S E \rightarrow E$ is a morphism restricting to a commutative addition law on E^{sm} w/ identity $0 \in E^{sm}(S)$ (and which defined a group action of E^{sm} on E); and
- every geometric fiber of E/S is an elliptic curve of a Néron n -gon for some n . \diamond

Definition 11. We now define two more functors $\text{Sch}^{\text{op}} \rightarrow \text{Set}$

$$\begin{aligned}\overline{\mathcal{M}}_1(N) : S &\longmapsto \left\{ (E/S, P \in E^{sm}(S)) \left| \begin{array}{l} E \text{ a generalized elliptic curve} \\ P \text{ a } \Gamma_1(N)\text{-structure} \end{array} \right. \right\} / \simeq \\ \overline{\mathcal{M}}_0(N) : S &\longmapsto \left\{ (E/S, G \subset E^{sm}) \left| \begin{array}{l} E \text{ a generalized elliptic curve} \\ G \text{ a } \Gamma_0(N)\text{-structure} \end{array} \right. \right\} / \simeq \quad \diamond\end{aligned}$$

Fact. There exists a proper scheme $X_0(N)/\mathbb{Z}$ along with a natural transformation $\overline{\mathcal{M}}_0(N) \rightarrow X_0(N)$ which is both initial among maps from $\overline{\mathcal{M}}_0(N)$ to schemes and which induces a bijection on \mathbb{C} -points. Furthermore, $X_0(N)$ is smooth over $\mathbb{Z}[1/N]$ and $X_0(N)(\mathbb{C}) = \mathbb{H}^*/\Gamma_0(N)$.

Remark 12. By the valuative criterion, properness of $X_0(N)$ ultimately follows from the semistable reduction theorem + the theory of Néron models. Given some $(E, G) \in X_0(N)(\mathbb{Q}_p)$, for example, after a finite extension F/\mathbb{Q}_p , E will attain good or multiplicative reduction, so its minimal proper regular model over \mathcal{O}_F will be a generalized elliptic curve (take closure of subgroup and then contract fibers to get ampleness). \circ

i.e.
semistable
reduction

Fact. The analogous statements hold for $\overline{M}_1(N)$ in place of $X_1(N)$. In fact, $\overline{M}_1(N) \rightarrow X_1(N)$ is an isomorphism (over $\mathbb{Z}[1/N]$) for $N \geq 5$. \triangle

I'm confused
on if $X_1(N)$
is ever a \mathbb{Z} -
scheme

Example 13. $X(1) := X_0(1) = X_1(1) = \mathbb{P}_{\mathbb{Z}}^1$. \triangle

Example 14. Let $C_2 = (\mathbb{P}^1 \times \mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z})/((\infty, 0) \sim (0, 1), (\infty, 1) \sim (0, 0))$ be a Néron 2-gon over $\overline{\mathbb{Q}}$, so $C_2^{\text{sm}} = \mathbb{G}_m \times \mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z}$. Then $P = (i, 1) \in (\mu_4 \times \mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z})(\overline{\mathbb{Q}}) = C_2^{\text{sm}}[4](\overline{\mathbb{Q}})$ is a $\Gamma_1(4)$ -structure. Note that P is fixed by the automorphism $(x, n) \mapsto ((-1)^n/x, -n)$ of C_2 .¹ This shows that $\Gamma_1(4)$ -structures on generalized elliptic curves are not rigid (i.e. they have non-trivial automorphisms). \triangle

Example 15. Let p be prime. From the analytic theory given last time, we know that $X_0(p)(\mathbb{C})$ has two cusps. From the moduli perspective, these cusps are

$$\underbrace{\mu_p \subset C_1}_{\infty} \quad \text{and} \quad \underbrace{\mathbb{Z}/p\mathbb{Z} \subset C_p}_0. \quad \triangle$$

2 $X_0(p) \bmod p$

Setup 16. Fix a prime p .

See [DR73,
Section
V.1.14]

Remark 17. By a theorem of Raynaud, we expect that the Néron model of $J_0(p)_{\mathbb{Q}} = \text{Jac}(X_0(p)_{\mathbb{Q}})$ is related to $\text{Pic}_{X_0(p)/\mathbb{Z}}^0$. In order to prove this (and see what this tells us about $J_0(p)$), we need some understanding of what (a regular model of) $X_0(p)$ looks like mod p . \circ

Remark 18. Let $E/\overline{\mathbb{F}}_p$ be an elliptic curve.

- If E is ordinary, then $E[p] \simeq \mu_p \times \mathbb{Z}/p\mathbb{Z}$ has two $\Gamma_0(p)$ -structures. Furthermore, $\mu_p = \ker(F : E \rightarrow E^{(p)})$ and if $E \simeq E'^{(p)}$, then $\mathbb{Z}/p\mathbb{Z} = \ker(V : E'^{(p)} \rightarrow E')$.
- If E is supersingular, then $E[p]$ is a nontrivial extension $0 \rightarrow \alpha_p \rightarrow E[p] \rightarrow \alpha_p \rightarrow 0$ and so has only one $\Gamma_0(p)$ -structure. In this case, $\alpha_p = \ker(F : E \rightarrow E^{(p)})$ and one has

$$\begin{array}{ccccc} & & F & & \\ & \searrow & \curvearrowright & \searrow & \\ E^{(p)} & \xrightarrow{V} & E & \xrightarrow{\sim} & E^{(p^2)} \end{array} \quad \circ$$

Theorem 19. $X_0(p)_{\mathbb{F}_p}$ reduced and consists of two copies of $X_0(1)_{\mathbb{F}_p} \simeq \mathbb{P}_{\mathbb{F}_p}^1$ meeting transversally at the supersingular points. In particular, all of its singularities are nodal.

Proof. Consider the map $\nu := f \sqcup g : X_0(1)_{\mathbb{F}_p} \sqcup X_0(1)_{\mathbb{F}_p} \rightarrow X_0(p)_{\mathbb{F}_p}$ given by

$$f(E) := \left(E, \ker \left(F : E \rightarrow E^{(p)} \right) \right) \quad \text{and} \quad g(E) := \left(E^{(p)}, \ker \left(V : E^{(p)} \rightarrow E \right) \right).$$

Note that every ordinary point of $X_0(p)_{\mathbb{F}_p}$ is hit by exactly one of f, g while each supersingular point of $X_0(p)_{\mathbb{F}_p}$ is hit by them *both* of them ($f(E^{(p)}) = g(E)$ if E is supersingular), so ν is surjective. In addition

g only de-
fined below
on $Y(1)$, but
extends to
a morphism
on $X(1)$.

to this, one has the maps $q, r : X_0(p)_{\mathbb{F}_p} \rightrightarrows X_0(1)_{\mathbb{F}_p}$ given by

$$q(E, G) := E^0 \text{ and } r(E, G) := E/G.$$

One can check that

$$qf = \text{id} = rg \quad \text{and} \quad rf = \text{Frob} = qg,$$

so² f, g are closed immersions. In fact, $f \sqcup g$ restricts to an isomorphism

$$X(1)_{\mathbb{F}_p}^{\text{ord}} \sqcup X(1)_{\mathbb{F}_p}^{\text{ord}} \xrightarrow{\sim} X_0(p)_{\mathbb{F}_p}^{\text{ord}}$$

on ordinary loci. Hence, $X_0(p)_{\mathbb{F}_p}$ is reduced (smooth even) away from its supersingular points.

Fact. $X_0(p)_{\mathbb{F}_p}$ is reduced (even at its supersingular points).

So far, we've shown that $X_0(p)_{\mathbb{F}_p}$ is two copies of $\mathbb{P}_{\mathbb{F}_p}^1 \simeq X(1)_{\mathbb{F}_p}$ meeting at supersingular points. The map ν separates tangent vectors at supersingular points because dq kills one of them (the one coming from g) while dr kills the other. ■

Application. $X_0(p)_{\mathbb{F}_p}$ is a nodal union of two \mathbb{P}^1 's meeting at $\delta := \#\{\text{supersingular } j\text{-invariants in char } p\}$ points. Furthermore, $X_0(p)$ is \mathbb{Z} -flat.³ Thus,

$$\delta - 1 = p_a(X_0(p)_{\mathbb{F}_p}) = g(X_0(p)_{\mathbb{C}}) = \left\lfloor \frac{p}{12} \right\rfloor + \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } p \equiv -1 \pmod{12} \\ -1 & \text{if } p \equiv 1 \pmod{12} \\ 0 & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

To apply Raynaud's theorem, we need an integral version of this result.

Fact. $X_0(p)/\mathbb{Z}$ is smooth away from the supersingular points in characteristic p . At any supersingular point $x = (E, \alpha_p) \in X_0(p)(\overline{\mathbb{F}}_p)$, $X_0(p)$ has an A_{k-1} singularity, where $k := \frac{1}{2} \# \text{Aut}(E)$.⁴ That is, $X_0(p)$ is *not* regular at x (if $k > 1$), but this singularity can be resolved into a chain of $(k-1)$ copies of \mathbb{P}^1 (each w/ self-intersection -2).

Picture 20. Draw a picture of $X_0(23)$ and its minimal proper regular model. Apparently, $j = 0$ is super singular $\iff p \equiv -1 \pmod{6}$ and $j = 1728$ is supersingular $\iff p \equiv -1 \pmod{4}$. For $p = 23$, the supersingular j -invariants are 0, 19, 1728.

Corollary 21. *The special fiber of the minimal proper regular model of $X_0(p)$ is a (reduced) nodal curve, all of whose components are \mathbb{P}^1 's.*

Corollary 22 (of Raynaud's theorem). *The identity component of Néron model of $J_0(p) := \text{Jac } X_0(p)_{\mathbb{Q}}$ is $\text{Pic}_{X_0(p)/\mathbb{Z}}^0$, so $J_0(p)$ has good reduction away from p and completely toric reduction at p .*

(We make no claims on the structure of the component group at p).

¹In general, $\underline{\text{Aut}}(C_n) = \mu_n \rtimes \mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z}$, where $\zeta \in \mu_n$ acts via $(x, n) \mapsto (\zeta^n x, n)$.

$$\begin{array}{ccc} X(1) & \xrightarrow{f} & X_0(p) \\ & \searrow \text{id} \quad \swarrow q & \\ & X(1) & \end{array}$$

²Cancellation

³e.g. $X_0(p)$ reduced + dominant over \mathbb{Z}

⁴Assuming $p \neq 2, 3$, $k = 2$ if $j(x) = 1728$, $k = 3$ if $j(x) = 0$, and $k = 1$ otherwise.

E^0 is fiber-wise identity component

Inverse applies either q or r to (E, G) depending on if G is étale.

For cusps, can compute $f(\infty) = \infty$ (non-reduce locus is closed b/c supp of sheaf of 1-forms), so surjectivity forces $g(\infty) = 0$.

Probably omit (doubt there will be time)

Saw in Mikayel's talk that the Jacobian of a nodal curve is an extension of the Jacobian of its normalization by a torus

Corollary 23. *Let A be an abelian variety with good reduction away from p and completely toric reduction at p . Let $q : A \twoheadrightarrow B$ be a surjection onto some other abelian variety. Then, B has good reduction away from p and completely toric reduction at p .*

Proof Sketch. Choose some map $s : B \rightarrow A$ such that $qs = [n] : B \rightarrow B$ for some integer n . Passing to (identity components of) Néron models, we get

$$\mathcal{B}^0 \xrightarrow{s} \mathcal{A}^0 \xrightarrow{q} \mathcal{B}^0.$$

$\begin{array}{c} \text{[}n\text{]} \\ \curvearrowright \end{array}$

On each fiber, use the fact that there are no non-trivial maps from a torus, a unipotent group, or an abelian variety to one of the other two. ■

Alternatively, say A is isogenous to $B \times B'$ so get an isogeny $B_p \times B'_p \twoheadrightarrow A_p$

3 Bonus

Define Hecke Correspondences

$\Gamma_0(N; p)$ -structure is cyclic subgroup G of order N + cyclic subgroup H of order p s.t. they generate an ample subgroup of order Np .

Remark 24. If $p \nmid N$, then a $\Gamma_0(N; p)$ -structure is simply a $\Gamma_0(Np)$ -structure ◦

We let $X_0(N; p)$ denote the coarse moduli space of $\Gamma_0(N; p)$ -structures on (smooth loci of) generalized elliptic curves. Then, we have the ***p*th Hecke correspondence**

$$\begin{array}{ccc} & X_0(N; p) & \\ p_1 \swarrow & & \searrow p_2 \\ X_0(N) & & X_0(N), \end{array}$$

where

$$p_1(E, G, H) := (\overline{E}, G) \text{ and } p_2(E, G, H) := (E/H, G/H).$$

These correspondences are defined over \mathbb{Z} , they act on $J_0(N) := \text{Jac}(X_0(N)_{\mathbb{Q}})$, and they also act on the Néron model of $J_0(N)$ over \mathbb{Z} . More on this next time.

bar denotes contraction of fibers away from G

References

- [DR73] P. Deligne and M. Rapoport. Les schémas de modules de courbes elliptiques. In *Modular functions of one variable, II (Proc. Internat. Summer School, Univ. Antwerp, Antwerp, 1972)*, pages 143–316. Lecture Notes in Math., Vol. 349, 1973. 3