

MODULARITY SEMINAR: TAYLOR-WILES DEFORMATIONS

KENTA SUZUKI

ABSTRACT. We will closely follow [Gee22, §3], and go through some explicit computations of local deformation rings, in the setting $\ell \neq p$ (i.e., p -adic representations of ℓ -adic Galois groups).

Let $p \neq \ell$, let K/\mathbb{Q}_p be a finite extension. Suppose $\bar{\rho}: G_K \rightarrow \mathrm{GL}_n(k_L)$ is a representation, that $\bar{\rho}(\mathrm{Fr}_K)$ has distinct eigenvalues in k_K , and let χ be an unramified character $G_K \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_L^\times$, i.e., a character of $G_K^{\mathrm{ab}} \simeq \widehat{K^\times}$. Our goal is to characterize $R_{\bar{\rho}, \chi}^\square$, which we recall is the representing object of the functor

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{R}_{\bar{\rho}, \chi}^\square: \mathcal{C}_{\mathcal{O}_L} &\rightarrow \mathbf{Sets} \\ (A, \mathfrak{m}_A) &\mapsto \left\{ \begin{array}{l} \text{continuous representations } \rho: G_K \rightarrow \mathrm{GL}_2(A) \\ \text{such that } \bar{\rho} = \rho \text{ and } \det(\rho) = \chi \end{array} \right\}. \end{aligned}$$

1. GROTHENDIECK'S MONODROMY THEOREM

Let $\ell \neq p$ be two primes. Let K/\mathbb{Q}_ℓ be a finite extension, with residue field of size q_K . We will consider p -adic representations of G_K , i.e., a representation into a finite-dimensional L -vector space, where L/\mathbb{Q}_p is algebraic.

Definition 1.0.1. Let W_K be the Weil group of K . A *Weil-Deligne representation* of W_K on a finite-dimensional L -vector space V is a pair (r, N) where $r: W_K \rightarrow \mathrm{GL}(V)$ is a continuous semisimple representation, and $N: V \rightarrow V$ is an endomorphism, such that for all $\sigma \in W_K$,

$$r(\sigma)Nr(\sigma)^{-1} = q_K^{-v_K(\sigma)}N.$$

A Weil-Deligne representation is *bounded* if for all $\sigma \in W_K$ the operator $r(\sigma)$ is bounded, i.e., the determinant is in \mathcal{O}_L^\times and the characteristic polynomial is in $\mathcal{O}_L[X]$ (equivalently, all of the eigenvalues are in \mathcal{O}_L^\times).

Now recall Grothendieck's monodromy theorem ([Gee22, Prop 2.18], [BH06, Thm 32.5], [ST68]):

Proposition 1.0.2. *Suppose $\ell \neq p$, let K/\mathbb{Q}_ℓ be a finite extension, let L/\mathbb{Q}_p be an algebraic extension, and let V be a finite-dimensional L -vector space. Fix:*

- φ , a lift of Fr_K ; and
- a compatible system $(\zeta_m)_{(m, \ell)=1}$ of primitive roots of unity.

Then for any continuous representation $\rho: G_K \rightarrow \mathrm{GL}(V)$ there is a finite extension K'/K and a uniquely determined nilpotent endomorphism $N: V \rightarrow V$ such that for all $\sigma \in I_{K'}$,

$$\rho(\sigma) = \exp(Nt_{\zeta,p}(\sigma)),$$

where for all $\sigma \in W_K$, we have $\rho(\sigma)N\rho(\sigma)^{-1} = q_K^{-v_K(\sigma)}N$, where t_ζ is an isomorphism $I_K/P_K \simeq \prod_{p \neq \ell} \mathbb{Z}_p$.

Moreover, there is an equivalence of categories:

$$\left\{ \begin{array}{l} \text{continuous representations of } G_K \text{ on} \\ \text{finite-dimensional } L\text{-vector spaces} \end{array} \right\} \simeq \left\{ \begin{array}{l} \text{bounded Weil-Deligne representations} \\ \text{on finite dimensional } L\text{-vector spaces} \end{array} \right\}$$

$$\rho \mapsto (V, r, N),$$

where $r(\tau) := \rho(\tau) \exp(-t_{\zeta,p}(\varphi^{-v_K(\tau)}\tau)N)$.

Grothendieck's theorem allows us to define the *inertial WD-type* of a representation $\rho: G_K \rightarrow \mathrm{GL}_2(\overline{\mathbb{Q}_p})$:

Definition 1.0.3. Let $\rho: G_K \rightarrow \mathrm{GL}_2(\overline{\mathbb{Q}_p})$ be a continuous representation, and let (r, N) be the associated Weil-Deligne representation. The *inertial WD-type* of ρ is $(r|_{I_F}, N)$.

Now, fix a $\bar{\rho}: G_K \rightarrow \mathrm{GL}_2(k_L)$. Then we have the following general result on $R_{\bar{\rho}, \chi}^\square$ [Gee22, Thm 3.31]:

Theorem 1.0.4. $R_{\bar{\rho}, \chi}^\square$ is equidimensional of Krull dimension 4, and the generic fiber $R_{\bar{\rho}, \chi}^\square$ has Krull dimension 3. Furthermore:

- (a) The function which takes a \mathbb{Q}_p -points $x: R_{\bar{\rho}, \chi}^\square[1/p] \rightarrow \overline{\mathbb{Q}_p}$ to $WD(x \circ \rho^\square)|_{I_K}$ (forgetting N) is constant on the irreducible components of $R_{\bar{\rho}, \chi}^\square[1/p]$
- (b) The irreducible components of $R_{\bar{\rho}, \chi}^\square[1/p]$ are all regular, and there are only finitely many of them.

Now, we can define the deformation ring with fixed inertial WD type:

Proposition-Definition 1. Let τ be an inertial WD-type. Then $R_{\bar{\rho}, \chi}^\square$ has a unique reduced p -torsion free quotient $R_{\bar{\rho}, \chi, \tau}^\square$ such that for a continuous homomorphism $\psi: R_{\bar{\rho}, \chi}^\square \rightarrow \overline{\mathbb{Q}_p}$, i.e., a Galois representation $\psi \circ \rho^\square: G_K \rightarrow \mathrm{GL}_2(\overline{\mathbb{Q}_\ell})$, the following are equivalent:

- $\psi \circ \rho^\square$ has inertial WD-type τ
- the homomorphism ψ factors through $R_{\bar{\rho}, \chi, \tau}^\square$.

In other words,

$$\mathcal{R}_{\bar{\rho}, \chi, \tau}^\square: \mathcal{C}_{\mathcal{O}_L} \rightarrow \mathbf{Sets}$$

$$(A, \mathfrak{m}_A) \mapsto \left\{ \begin{array}{l} \text{continuous representations } \rho: G_K \rightarrow \mathrm{GL}_2(A) \text{ such that } \bar{\rho} = \rho \\ \text{and } \det(\rho) = \chi, \text{ and for all } \psi: A \rightarrow \overline{\mathbb{Q}_\ell}, \psi \circ \rho \text{ has inertial WD-type } \tau \end{array} \right\}.$$

is a closed sub-functor of $\mathcal{R}_{\bar{\rho}, \chi}^\square$. When $R_{\bar{\rho}, \chi, \tau}^\square$ is nonzero it has Krull dimension 4.

In the following sections, we will go through some particular examples of these deformation rings.

2. TAYLOR-WILES DEFORMATIONS

Now, suppose $\bar{\rho}: G_K \rightarrow \mathrm{GL}_n(k_L)$ is unramified, that $\bar{\rho}(\mathrm{Fr}_K)$ has distinct eigenvalues in k_K , that $q_K \equiv 1 \pmod{p}$, and let χ be an unramified character $G_K \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_L^\times$, i.e., a character of $G_K^{\mathrm{ab}} \simeq \widehat{K^\times}$. Our goal is to characterize $R_{\bar{\rho}, \chi}^\square$, which we recall is the representing object of the functor

$$\mathcal{R}_{\bar{\rho}, \chi}^\square: \mathcal{C}_{\mathcal{O}_L} \rightarrow \mathbf{Sets}$$

$$(A, \mathfrak{m}_A) \mapsto \left\{ \begin{array}{l} \text{continuous representations } \rho: G_K \rightarrow \mathrm{GL}_2(A) \\ \text{such that } \bar{\rho} = \rho \text{ and } \det(\rho) = \chi \end{array} \right\}.$$

The following is [Gee22, Lemma 3.33]:

Lemma 2.0.1. *Let $q_K - 1$ be exactly divisible by p^m , with $m > 0$. Then*

$$R_{\bar{\rho}, \chi}^\square \simeq \mathcal{O}_L[[x, y, a, s]] / ((1 + s)^{p^m} - 1).$$

Furthermore, if $\varphi \in G_K$ is a lift of Fr_K , then $\rho^\square(\varphi)$ is conjugate to a diagonal matrix.

Proof. First of all, ρ^\square is tamely ramified, i.e., $\rho^\square(P_K) = \{1\}$, since $\rho^\square(P_K)$ is a pro- ℓ -subgroup of the pro- p -group $\ker(\mathrm{GL}_2(R_{\bar{\rho}, \chi}^\square) \rightarrow \mathrm{GL}_2(k_L))$. Now let $\varphi \in G_K/P_K$ be a fixed lift of Fr_K , and let σ be a topological generator of I_K/P_K , which can be chosen so

$$\varphi^{-1}\sigma\varphi = \sigma^{q_K}.$$

Remark 2.0.2. The importance of φ and ρ come from the following: G_K/P_K is topologically generated by φ and ρ , with the only relation $\varphi^{-1}\sigma\varphi = \sigma^{q_K}$.

Write

$$\bar{\rho}(\varphi) = \begin{pmatrix} \bar{\alpha} & \\ & \bar{\beta} \end{pmatrix}$$

for $\alpha, \beta \in k_K$.

Now, let $(A, \mathfrak{m}_A) \in \mathcal{C}_{\mathcal{O}_L}$ and let $\rho: G_K \rightarrow \mathrm{GL}_2(A)$ be a lift of $\bar{\rho}$. Then by Hensel's lemma, there are $a, b \in \mathfrak{m}_A$ such that $\rho(\varphi)$ has characteristic polynomial $(X - (\alpha + a))(X - (\beta + b))$, i.e., $\rho(\varphi)$ has eigenvalues $\alpha + a$ and $\beta + b$. Since the determinant is $\chi(\varphi)$, we have $\beta + b = \chi(\varphi)/(\alpha + a)$. Moreover, the eigenvectors $\begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix}$ and $\begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ 1 \end{pmatrix}$ of $\bar{\rho}(\varphi)$ lift to eigenvectors:

$$\rho(\varphi) \begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ x \end{pmatrix} = (\alpha + a) \begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ x \end{pmatrix}$$

$$\rho(\varphi) \begin{pmatrix} y \\ 1 \end{pmatrix} = (\beta + b) \begin{pmatrix} y \\ 1 \end{pmatrix},$$

where $x, y \in \mathfrak{m}_A$.

Let ρ' be ρ but with a change of basis, i.e., by the conjugation of ρ by $\begin{pmatrix} 1 & y \\ x & 1 \end{pmatrix}$.

Thus $\rho'(\varphi) = \begin{pmatrix} \alpha + a & \\ & \beta + b \end{pmatrix}$. Now, since $\bar{\rho}(\varphi) = 1$ since $\bar{\rho}$ is unramified, so there

are $s, t, u, v \in \mathfrak{m}_A$ such that

$$\rho'(\sigma) = \begin{pmatrix} 1+s & t \\ u & 1+v \end{pmatrix}.$$

Since

$$\rho'(\varphi)^{-1} \rho'(\sigma) \rho'(\varphi) = \rho'(\sigma)^{q_K}$$

is a diagonal matrix, we see $t = u = 0$. Moreover, since the determinant of $\rho'(\sigma)$, which is $\chi(\sigma)$, is 1, we have $(1+s)(1+v) = 1$.

The commutator relation further implies that $(1+s)^{q_K} = 1+s$. Since $1+s$ is invertible, we see that $(1+s)^{q_K-1} = 1$. Now recall that $q_K - 1 = p^m j$ where j is coprime to p . Since $1+s \in 1 + \mathfrak{m}_A$ where $1 + \mathfrak{m}_A$ is a pro- p group, the j -th power map is invertible, and hence $(1+s)^{p^m} = 1$.

All the above arguments have produced a bijection:

$$\mathcal{R}_{\bar{\rho}, \chi}^{\square}(A, \mathfrak{m}_A) := \left\{ \begin{array}{l} \text{continuous representations } \rho: G_K \rightarrow \mathrm{GL}_2(A) \\ \text{such that } \bar{\rho} = \rho \text{ and } \det(\rho) = \chi \end{array} \right\} \simeq \{(x, y, a, s) \in \mathfrak{m}_A^4 : (1+s)^{p^m} = 1\}$$

$$\rho_{(x, y, a, s)} \leftarrow (x, y, a, s),$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} \rho(\varphi) &= \begin{pmatrix} 1 & y \\ x & 1 \end{pmatrix}^{-1} \begin{pmatrix} \alpha + a & \\ & \chi(\varphi)/(\alpha + a) \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} 1 & y \\ x & 1 \end{pmatrix} \\ \rho(\sigma) &= \begin{pmatrix} 1 & y \\ x & 1 \end{pmatrix}^{-1} \begin{pmatrix} 1+s & \\ & (1+s)^{-1} \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} 1 & y \\ x & 1 \end{pmatrix}. \end{aligned} \quad \square$$

3. TAYLOR'S *Ihara avoidance* DEFORMATIONS

Now, a natural question is:

Question 3.0.1. What happens when $\bar{\rho}(\mathrm{Fr}_K)$ has an eigenvalue with multiplicity?

Of course, it suffices to treat the case when $\bar{\rho}$ is trivial (since one can twist by a central character). Thus, to recap, our assumptions now are:

- K/\mathbb{Q}_ℓ is a finite extension
- $\bar{\rho}: G_K \rightarrow \mathrm{GL}_2(k_L)$ is the trivial representation
- $q_L \equiv 1 \pmod{p}$
- χ is unramified, and $\bar{\chi} = 1$.

Now, again ρ^{\square} is tamely ramified, so ρ^{\square} is determined by $\rho^{\square}(\sigma)$ and $\rho^{\square}(\varphi)$, by Remark 2.0.2.

Now, let us make the following definition:

Definition 3.0.2. (1) Let $\mathcal{P}_{\mathrm{ur}}$ be the minimal ideal of $R_{\bar{\rho}, \chi}^{\square}$ such that $\rho^{\square}(\sigma) = I_2$

(mod $\mathcal{P}_{\mathrm{ur}}$). In other words, writing $\rho^{\square}(\sigma) = \begin{pmatrix} 1+x & y \\ z & 1+w \end{pmatrix}$ for $x, y, z, w \in$

$\mathfrak{m}_{\bar{\rho}, \chi}^{\square}$, we let $\mathcal{P}_{\mathrm{ur}} = (x, y, z, w) \subset \mathfrak{m}_{\bar{\rho}, \chi}^{\square}$.

- (2) For any root of unity $\zeta \in \mathcal{O}_K^{\times}$, let \mathcal{P}_{ζ} be the minimal ideal of $R_{\bar{\rho}, \chi}^{\square}$ modulo which $\rho^{\square}(\sigma)$ has characteristic polynomial $(X - \zeta)(X - \zeta^{-1})$. In other words, $\mathcal{P}_{\zeta} = (\mathrm{tr} \rho^{\square}(\sigma) - \zeta - \zeta^{-1}, \det \rho^{\square}(\sigma) - 1)$.

(3) Let \mathcal{P}_m be the minimal ideal of $R_{\bar{\rho},X}^\square$ modulo which $\rho^\square(\sigma)$ has characteristic polynomial $(X-1)^2$, and $q_K(\mathrm{tr} \rho^\square(\sigma))^2 = (1+q_K)^2 \det \rho^\square(\varphi)$. Write $R_{\bar{\rho},X,\bullet}^\square$ for $R_{\bar{\rho},X}^\square/\mathcal{P}_\bullet$.

Remark 3.0.3. The relation in (3) holds in particular when $\rho^\square(\sigma) = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 1 \\ & 1 \end{pmatrix}$.

The ideals defined above have nice ring-theoretic properties:

Proposition 3.0.4. *The minimal primes of $R_{\bar{\rho},X}^\square$ are precisely $\sqrt{\mathcal{P}_{\mathrm{ur}}}$, $\sqrt{\mathcal{P}_m}$, and $\sqrt{\mathcal{P}_\zeta}$ for $\zeta \neq 1$. Moreover, $\sqrt{\mathcal{P}_1} = \sqrt{\mathcal{P}_{\mathrm{ur}}} \cap \sqrt{\mathcal{P}_m}$.*

Now, we have [Gee22, Theorem 3.38]:

Theorem 3.0.5. *We have $R_{\bar{\rho},X,1}^\square/\lambda = R_{\bar{\rho},X,\zeta}^\square/\lambda$. Furthermore,*

- (1) *If $\zeta \neq 1$ the $R_{\bar{\rho},X,\zeta}^\square[1/p]$ is geometrically irreducible of dimension 3*
- (2) *$R_{\bar{\rho},X,\mathrm{ur}}^\square$ is formally smooth over \mathcal{O}_L (and thus geometrically irreducible) of relative dimension 3*
- (3) *$R_{\bar{\rho},X,m}^\square[1/p]$ is geometrically irreducible of dimension 3.*
- (4) *$\mathrm{Spec} R_{\bar{\rho},X,1}^\square = \mathrm{Spec} R_{\bar{\rho},X,\mathrm{ur}}^\square \cup \mathrm{Spec} R_{\bar{\rho},X,m}^\square$ and $\mathrm{Spec} R_{\bar{\rho},X,1}^\square/\lambda = \mathrm{Spec} R_{\bar{\rho},X,\mathrm{ur}}^\square/\lambda \cup \mathrm{Spec} R_{\bar{\rho},X,m}^\square/\lambda$ are both a union of two irreducible components, and have relative dimension 3.*

REFERENCES

- [BH06] Colin J. Bushnell and Guy Henniart, *The local Langlands conjecture for $\mathrm{GL}(2)$* , Grundlehren der mathematischen Wissenschaften [Fundamental Principles of Mathematical Sciences], vol. 335, Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 2006. MR 2234120
- [Gee22] Toby Gee, *Modularity lifting theorems*, Essent. Number Theory **1** (2022), no. 1, 73–126. MR 4573253
- [ST68] Jean-Pierre Serre and John Tate, *Good reduction of abelian varieties*, Ann. of Math. (2) **88** (1968), 492–517. MR 236190

M.I.T., 77 MASSACHUSETTS AVENUE, CAMBRIDGE, MA, USA
Email address: kjsuzuki@mit.edu