## Partitioning Mutate, Example 2 John Mount, Win-Vector LLC 2017-11-20

This is a follow-on example of the use of seplyr::partition\_mutate\_qt() showing a larger block sequence based on swaps.<sup>1</sup> For motivation and context please see the first article.

<sup>1</sup> The source code for this article can be found here.

```
packageVersion("dplyr")
## [1] '0.7.4'
library("seplyr")
## Loading required package: wrapr
packageVersion("seplyr")
## [1] '0.1.7'
sc <-
  sparklyr::spark_connect(version = '2.2.0',
                           master = "local")
dL <- data.frame(rowNum = 1:5)</pre>
d <- dplyr::copy_to(sc, dL,</pre>
                     name = 'd',
                     overwrite = TRUE,
                     temporary = TRUE)
class(d)
## [1] "tbl_spark" "tbl_sql"
                                "tbl_lazy"
## [4] "tbl"
dplyr::glimpse(d)
## Observations: 5
## Variables: 1
## $ rowNum <int> 1, 2, 3, 4, 5
```

It is often necessary to simulate block commands with ifelse() style functionality. For example if we want to assign complimentary pairs of users into treatment and control for many groups we might use code such as the following.<sup>2</sup>

Suppose we wish to assign each of the \*\_1 and \*\_2 columns in a complementary to treatment and assignment<sup>3</sup> And further suppose we want to keep the random variables driving our decisions around for diagnosis and debugging.

To write such a procedure in pure  $\tt dplyr$  we might simulate block with code such as the following  $\tt^4$ 

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> A better overall design would be to use cdata::moveValuesToRowsN(), then perform a single bulk operation on rows, and then pivot/transpose back with cdata::moveValuesToColumnsN(). But let's see how we simply work with a problem at hand.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>3</sup> Abraham Wald designed some sequential analysis procedures in this way as Nina Zumel remarked. Another string example is conditionals where you are trying to vary on a perrow basis which column is assigned to, instead of varying what value is assigned from.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>4</sup> Only showing work on the a group right now. We are assuming we want to perform this task on all the

```
nrow <- nrow(dL)</pre>
dL %.>%
dplyr::mutate(.,
  rand_a := runif(nrow),
   choice_a := rand_a>=0.5,
    a_1 := ifelse(choice_a,
                   'treatment',
                   'contol'),
    a_2 := ifelse(choice_a,
                   'control',
                   'treatment')
  ) %.>%
  dplyr::glimpse(.)
## Observations: 5
## Variables: 5
## $ rowNum <int> 1, 2, 3, 4, 5
## $ rand a <dbl> 0.427755714, 0.59389261...
## $ choice_a <lgl> FALSE, TRUE, TRUE, FALS...
               <chr> "contol", "treatment", ...
## $ a_1
               <chr> "treatment", "control",...
## $ a 2
  Above we are using the indent notation to indicate the code-blocks
we are simulating with the ifelse(,,) notation.
  With big data in Spark we could try something like the following:
d %.>%
dplyr::mutate(.,
  rand_a := rand(),
   choice_a := rand_a>=0.5,
    a_1 := ifelse(choice_a,
                   'treatment',
                   'contol'),
    a_2 := ifelse(choice_a,
                   'control',
                   'treatment')
  )
# Error: org.apache.spark.sql.AnalysisException: cannot resolve '`choice_a`' ...
  This currently fails due to the chain of dependence between rand_a,
choice_a and a_1. However we want to write the transform in
as fewmutate()' statements as practical because: sequencing mutates
is implemented through nesting queries (which eventually fail).
d %.>%
dplyr::mutate(., rand_a := rand()) %.>%
```

```
dplyr::mutate(., choice_a := rand_a>=0.5) \%.>\%
   dplyr::mutate(., a_1 := ifelse(choice_a,
                                    'treatment',
                                    'contol')) %.>%
   dplyr::mutate(., a_2 := ifelse(choice_a,
                                    'control',
                                    'treatment')) %.>%
  dplyr::show_query(.)
## <SQL>
## SELECT `rowNum`, `rand_a`, `choice_a`, `a_1`, CASE WHEN (`choice_a`) THEN ("control") ELSE ("treatme
## FROM (SELECT `rowNum`, `rand_a`, `choice_a`, CASE WHEN (`choice_a`) THEN ("treatment") ELSE ("contol
## FROM (SELECT `rowNum`, `rand_a`, `rand_a` >= 0.5 AS `choice_a`
## FROM (SELECT `rowNum`, RAND() AS `rand_a`
## FROM `d`) `xmofpmkbaa`) `igfcpckxeo`) `tkcvshyybw`
  seplyr::partition_mutate_qt() is designed to fix this in a per-
formant manner.<sup>5</sup>
  Let's try this query again:
plan <-
partition_mutate_qt(
 rand_a := rand(),
  choice_a := rand_a>=0.5,
   a_1 := ifelse(choice_a,
                  'treatment',
                  'contol'),
   a_2 := ifelse(choice_a,
                  'control',
                  'treatment')
  )
print(plan)
## $group00001
     rand_a
##
## "rand()"
##
## $group00002
##
          choice a
## "rand_a >= 0.5"
##
## $group00003
##
   "ifelse(choice_a, \"treatment\", \"contol\")"
##
## "ifelse(choice_a, \"control\", \"treatment\")"
```

<sup>5</sup> And as we discussed before we have reason to believe the upcoming dplyr fix will be simple in-order mutate() splitting, which can not be performant on Sparklyr due to sequential statement nesting, again please see our earlier note.

```
res <- d
for(stepi in plan) {
 res <- mutate_se(res, stepi, splitTerms = FALSE)</pre>
dplyr::glimpse(res)
## Observations: 5
## Variables: 5
## $ rowNum
              <int> 1, 2, 3, 4, 5
## $ rand a
              <dbl> 0.7050449, 0.8233179, 0...
## $ choice_a <lgl> TRUE, TRUE, TRUE, TRUE,...
## $ a_1
              <chr> "treatment", "treatment...
              <chr> "control", "control", "...
## $ a_2
```

That worked! The point of this note is: this will also work with a much longer sequence.<sup>6</sup>

```
plan <-
partition_mutate_qt(
  rand_a := rand(),
   choice_a := rand_a>=0.5,
    a_1 := ifelse(choice_a,
                   'treatment',
                   'contol'),
    a_2 := ifelse(choice_a,
                   'control',
                   'treatment'),
  rand_b := rand(),
   choice_b := rand_b>=0.5,
    b_1 := ifelse(choice_b,
                   'treatment'.
                   'contol'),
    b_2 := ifelse(choice_b,
                   'control',
                   'treatment'),
  rand_c := rand(),
   choice_c := rand_c>=0.5,
    c_1 := ifelse(choice_c,
                   'treatment',
                   'contol'),
    c_2 := ifelse(choice_c,
                   'control',
                   'treatment'),
  rand d := rand(),
   choice_d := rand_d>=0.5,
```

<sup>6</sup> Please keep in mind: we are using a very simple and regular sequence only for purposes of illustration. There are better ways to perform this particular vary regular assignment. That is not going to be the case with non-trivial Sparklyr applications, in particular those that are ports of large existing systems.

```
d_1 := ifelse(choice_d,
                  'treatment',
                  'contol'),
    d_2 := ifelse(choice_d,
                  'control'.
                  'treatment'),
  rand_e := rand(),
   choice_e := rand_e>=0.5,
    e_1 := ifelse(choice_e,
                  'treatment',
                  'contol'),
    e_2 := ifelse(choice_e,
                  'control',
                  'treatment')
  )
print(plan)
## $group00001
    rand_a rand_b rand_c rand_d
## "rand()" "rand()" "rand()" "rand()"
##
## $group00002
##
          choice_a
                          choice_b
## "rand_a >= 0.5" "rand_b >= 0.5"
##
          choice_c
                          choice_d
  "rand_c >= 0.5" "rand_d >= 0.5"
##
          choice_e
## "rand_e >= 0.5"
##
## $group00003
##
                                               a 1
    "ifelse(choice_a, \"treatment\", \"contol\")"
##
##
                                               a_2
   "ifelse(choice_a, \"control\", \"treatment\")"
##
##
                                               b_1
    "ifelse(choice_b, \"treatment\", \"contol\")"
##
##
                                               b_2
   "ifelse(choice b, \"control\", \"treatment\")"
##
##
    "ifelse(choice_c, \"treatment\", \"contol\")"
##
##
   "ifelse(choice_c, \"control\", \"treatment\")"
##
```

"ifelse(choice\_d, \"treatment\", \"contol\")"

```
##
                                              d_2
## "ifelse(choice_d, \"control\", \"treatment\")"
##
                                              e_1
## "ifelse(choice_e, \"treatment\", \"contol\")"
##
## "ifelse(choice_e, \"control\", \"treatment\")"
res <- d
for(stepi in plan) {
 res <- mutate_se(res,
                   stepi,
                   splitTerms = FALSE)
}
dplyr::glimpse(res)
## Observations: 5
## Variables: 21
## $ rowNum <int> 1, 2, 3, 4, 5
## $ rand_a <dbl> 0.07054322, 0.61857069,...
## $ rand_b <dbl> 0.2602842, 0.5358501, 0...
## $ rand_c <dbl> 0.4849337, 0.9456994, 0...
## $ rand_d <dbl> 0.24691543, 0.40949941,...
## $ rand_e <dbl> 0.9469471, 0.4412883, 0...
## $ choice_a <lgl> FALSE, TRUE, TRUE, TRUE...
## $ choice_b <lgl> FALSE, TRUE, FALSE, FAL...
## $ choice_c <lgl> FALSE, TRUE, TRUE, FALS...
## $ choice_d <lgl> FALSE, FALSE, TRUE, FAL...
## $ choice_e <lgl> TRUE, FALSE, TRUE, FALS...
             <chr> "contol", "treatment", ...
## $ a_1
## $ a_2
             <chr> "treatment", "control",...
## $ b 1
             <chr> "contol", "treatment", ...
            <chr> "treatment", "control",...
## $ b_2
## $ c_1
             <chr> "contol", "treatment", ...
              <chr> "treatment", "control",...
## $ c_2
## $ d 1
             <chr> "contol", "contol", "tr...
## $ d_2
              <chr> "treatment", "treatment...
## $ e 1
              <chr> "treatment", "contol", ...
              <chr> "control", "treatment",...
## $ e_2
dplyr::show_query(res)
## <SQL>
## SELECT `rowNum`, `rand_a`, `rand_b`, `rand_c`, `rand_d`, `rand_e`, `choice_a`, `choice_b`, `choice_c
## FROM (SELECT `rowNum`, `rand_a`, `rand_b`, `rand_c`, `rand_d`, `rand_e`, `rand_a` >= 0.5 AS `choice_
## FROM (SELECT `rowNum`, RAND() AS `rand_a`, RAND() AS `rand_b`, RAND() AS `rand_c`, RAND() AS `rand_d
## FROM `d`) `fllmlkhnaf`) `vrzrhnsyvz`
```

Notice the above still only broke the query into three blocks independent of the number of blocks we are trying to simulate in the mutate. Further notice that in turn the depth of derived SQL query nesting was only the number of blocks (again 3).

The number of blocks is the dependency depth of the system of assignments, which can be much smaller than the number of newvalues used (the number of blocks a non-reordering split may use, probably already around 10 blocks even in this example; and growing as the number of blocks grow).

seplyr::partition\_mutate\_qt() type capability is essential for executing non-trivial code at scale in Sparklyr.

Win-Vector LLC supplies a number of open-source R packages for working effectively with big data. These include:

- wrapr supplies code re-writing tools that make coding over dplyr much easier.
- cdata supplies pivot/un-pivot functionality at big data scale.
- seplyr supplies improved interfaces for many data manipulation tasks.
- replyr supplies tools and patches for using dplyr on big data.

And issues such as the above are often discussed on the Win-Vector blog.