





Feedback for RRMP Literature Review Summative

Tutor completing summative feedback: Karen Outram	Student number: Nkosana Mlambo
Details of any extensions etc:	Submission Attempt: First submission Second submission

Criteria and weighting	Level	Comments
Knowledge and understanding of the topic/ issues under consideration (30%) To provide an overview of current knowledge on the chosen topic	Weak	You've understood the context of the assignment that you are engaging with, but unfortunately you have chosen to use sources directly from existing literature instead of analysing and evaluating content, and then writing this in your own words. You have largely used the words of others, and this is clearly shown in the 'Turnitin' match for your piece. A weak outcome.
Criticality (30%) To highlight similar and contrasting views on your chosen topic	Weak	As well as the content being taken from original sources, it is also laid out in a very descriptive manner. The only attempt at critical discussion, is towards the end of the piece with some light comparison of fact taking place through the conclusion. A weak outcome.
Use of relevant sources (20%) To demonstrate an awareness of relevant, current literature	Unsatisfactory	Unsatisfactory use of research resources. The research resources that have been targeted have had content directly applied from these sources into the assignment, and this is not academically appropriate.
Structure and Presentation (as detailed in the assessment guidance) (10%)	Weak	The structure of the assignment from a presentation perspective is completed to an appropriate academic standard and is within word count. However, it not possible to ascertain your own academic voice as much of the content is from existing research resources. A weak outcome here.



To showcase your research and writing skills.		
Academic integrity (10%) To showcase your research and writing skills.	Unsatisfactory	<p>Your assignment has an 81% match [overall] including the use of existing research resources being used for your assignment. This means that existing Literature has been used directly and you have not adequately paraphrased your content away from original sources. This is an unacceptably high Turnitin match and so this is an unsatisfactory outcome here. You must use your own academic voice moving forward. Please see excerpts below of some examples of matched content in your assignment:</p> 
Overall		
Positives: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> The assignment is within word count The assignment is laid out appropriately 		
Points for development: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> The 'Turnitin' match is excessively high and the Turnitin report shows over 3/4s of the content is from original published sources. This is not appropriate for an academic assignment response as you must use your own academic voice. As such, this assignment is a fail. 		