Coren, Stockey (1972)

Tolk Devils and Morey flames

Ho Forga.

Deviance and Moral Panics

conceives itself. as those in legal and social policy or even in the way the society and long-lasting repercussions and might produce such change: lore and collective memory; at other times it has more serious socially accredited experts pronounce their diagnoses and solumoral panic. A condition, episode, person or group of persons Sometimes the panic passes over and is forgotten, except in folkexistence long enough, but suddenly appears in the limelight editors, bishops, politicians and other right-thinking people fashion by the mass media; the moral barricades are manned by interests; its nature is presented in a stylized and stereotypical emerges to become defined as a threat to societal values and novel and at other times it is something which has been in becomes more visible. Sometimes the object of the panic is quite Societies appear to be subject, every now and then, to periods o he condition then disappears, submerges or deteriorates and tions; ways of coping are evolved or (more often) resorted to

One of the most recurrent types of moral panio in Britain since the war has been associated with the emergence of various forms of youth culture (originally almost exclusively working class, but often recently middle class or student based) whose behaviour is deviant or delinquent. To a greater or lesser degree, these cultures have been associated with violence. The Teddy Boys, the Mods and Rockers, the Hells Angels, the Skinheads and the Hippies have all been phenomena of this kind. There have been parallel reactions to the drug problem, student militancy, political demonstrations, football hooliganism, vandalism of various kinds and crime and violence in general. But groups such as the Teddy Boys and the Mods and Rockers have been distinctive in the street of particular events (such as

demonstrations) or particular disapproved forms of behaviour (such as drug-taking or violence) but as distinguishable social types. In the gallery of types that society, erects to show its members which roles should be avoided and which should be emulated, these groups have occupied a constant position as folk devils; visible reminders of what we should not be. The identities of such social types are public property and these particular adolescent groups have symbolized – both in what they were and how they were reacted to – much of the social change which has taken place in Britain over the last twenty years.

of such collective episodes of juvenile deviance and the mora and Rockers phenomenon - which covered most of the 1960s and Rockers stand alongside the Profumo affair, the Great of the decade which have already been collected, the Mods and although we are too close to the sixties for such explicimeral way - a certain spirit or kulturgeist. A term such as 'the which the sixties in Britain will be remembered. A decade is not Train Robbery, the Krays, the Richardsons, the Beatles, the understandings to emerge already, this is not for want of trying twenties' is enough to evoke the cultural shape of that period with particular fads, fashions, crazes, styles or - in a less ephe-Mods and Rockers are one of the many sets of figures through panies they both generate and rely upon for their growth. The to illustrate some of the more intrinsic features in the emergence Powellism, the Rhodesian affair, as the types and scenes of the Prost, Carnaby Street, The Moors murders, the emergence of Rolling Stones, the Bishop of Woolwich, Private Lye, David from our instant cultural historians. In the cultural snap albums just a chronological span but a period measured by its association In this book, I want to use a detailed case study of the Mode

At the beginning of the decade, the term 'Modernist' referred simply to a style of dress, the 'eum' Rocker' was hardly known outside the small groups which identified themselves this way. Five years later, a newspaper editor was to refer to the Mods and Rockers incidents as without parallel in English history' and troop reinforcements were rumoured to have been sent to quell possible widespread disturbances. Now, another five years later, these groups have all but disappeared from the public con-

sciousness, remaining only in collective memory as folk devils of the past, to whom current horrors can be compared. The rise and fall of the Mods and Rockers contained all the elements from which one might generalize about folk devils and moral panics. And unlike the previous decade which had only produced the Teddy Boys, these years witnessed rapid oscillation from one such devil to another: the Mod, the Rocker, the Greaser, the student militant, the drug fiend, the vandal, the soccer hooligan, the hippy, the skinhead.

moral constitution of society. 14 Illsewheres Becker uses the same a body of studies on how societies cope with the sudden threat or disorder caused by physical disasters. accounts of cases of mass hysteria, delusion and panics, and also orientation to the study of moral panics. There are detailed enalysis to deal with the evolution of social problems as a whole. calls moral enterprise: '... the creation of a new fragment of the and the actions of certain interest groups, results in what Decker generated, a 'symbolic crusade' mounted, which with publicity to show how public concern about a particular condition of the Marijuana Tax Act and the Prohibition laws respectively Speiologists such as Becker and Gusfield, have taken the cases and social problems and the sociology of collective behaviour. two most relevant frameworks come from the sociology of law systematic attention in sociology. In the case of moral panics, the The field of collective behaviour provides another relevan Neither moral panics nor social types have received much

The study of social types can also be located in the field of collective behaviour, not so much though in such 'extreme' forms as riots or crowds, but in the general orientation to this field by the symbolic interactionists such as Blumer and Turner. In this line of theory, explicit attention has been paid to social types by Klapp,7 but although he considers how such types as the hero, the villain and the fool serve as role models for a society, his main concern seems to be in classifying the various sub-types within these groups (for example, the renegade, the parasite, the corrupter, as villain roles) and listing names of those persons Americans see as exemplifying these roles. He does not consider how such typing occurs in the first place and he is pre-occupied with showing his approval for the processes by which

Ö

an indicate and

social consensus is facilitated by identifying with the hero types

and hating the villain types.

The major contribution to the study of the social typing process itself comes from the interactionist or transactional approach coess itself comes from the interactionist or transactional approach to deviance. The focus here is on how society labels rule-breakers to deviance. The focus here is on how society labels rule-breakers as belonging to certain deviant groups and how, once the person as belonging to certain deviant groups and how, once the person is thus type cast, his acts are interpreted in terms of the status to is thus type cast, his acts are interpreted in terms of the status to which he has been assigned. It is to this body of theory that we which he has been assigned. It is to this body of both moral must turn for our major orientation to the study of both moral panics and social types.

The Transactional Approach to Deviance

The sociological study of crime, delinquency, drug-taking, mental illness and other forms of socially deviant or problematic behaviour has, in the last decade, undergone a radical reorientation. This recrientation is part of what might be called the tion. This recrientation is part of what might be called the scapitical revolution in criminology and the sociology of deviance, a scapitical revolution in criminology and the sense that it saw the contract when it worked with as authoritative, standard, accepted, given and unquestionable. The new tradition is scaptical in the sense and unquestionable. The new tradition is scaptical in the sense and unquestionable. The new tradition is scaptical in the sense and unquestionable. The new tradition is scaptical in the sense and unquestionable. The new tradition is scaptical in the sense problem, it sees terms like 'deviant', it asks 'deviant to whom?' that when it sees terms like 'deviant', it asks 'deviant to conditions problem, it asks 'problematic to whom?'; when certain conditions problem, it asks 'problematic to whom?'; when certain conditions or behaviour are described as dysfunctional, embarrassing, or behaviour are described as dysfunctional, embarrassing, or behaviour are described as dysfunctional, embarrassing, or behaviour are described as dysfunctional are not assumed to other words, these concepts and descriptions are not assumed to

The empirical existence of forms of behaviour labelled as The empirical existence of forms of behaviour labelled as deviant and the fact that persons might consciously and intenderiantly decide to be deviant, should not lead us to assume that deviance is the intrinsic property of an act nor a quality possessed deviance is the intrinsic property of an act nor a quality possessed by an actor. Becker's formulation on the transactional nature of by an actor, becker's formulation on the transactional nature of the deviance has now been quoted verbatim so often that it has virtually acquired its own canonical status:

. . . deviance is created by society. I do not mean this in the way that it is ordinarily understood, in which the causes of deviance are located it is ordinarily understood, in which the social factors' which prompt in the social situation of the deviant or in 'social factors' which prompt

<u>...</u>

his action. I mean, rather, that social groups create deviance by making the rules whose infraction constitutes deviance and by applying those rules to particular persons and labelling them as outsiders. From this point of view, deviance is not a quality of the act the person commits, but rather a consequence of the application by others of rules and sanctions to an 'offender'. The deviant is one to whom the label has successfully been applied; deviant behaviour is behaviour that people so label."

simply to restate the sociological truism that the judgement of problematic. The transactionalists' importance has been not powerful groups in society of certain behaviour as deviant or and not take for granted the labelling by society or certain deviance is ultimately one that is relative to a particular group exist at all? What are the processes and procedures involved in which the researcher obligingly tries to answer (why did they do theory. They have suggested that in addition to the stock set of but in trying to spell out the implication of this for research and for society and the individual? What are the effects and consequences of this application, both particular rule, the infraction of which constitutes deviance again?) there are at least three definitional questions: why does it? what sort of people are they? how do we stop them doing it behavioural questions which the public asks about deviance and identifying someone as a deviant and applying the rule to him What this means is that the student of deviance must question

Sceptical theorists have been misinterpreted as going only so far as putting these definitional questions and moreover as implying that the behavioural questions are unimportant. While it is true that they have pointed to the dead ends which the behavioural questions have reached (do we really know what distinguishes a deviant from a non-deviant?) what they say has positive implications for studying these questions as well. Thus, they see deviance in terms of a process of becoming — movements of doubt, commitment, sidetracking, guilt — rather than the possession of fixed traits and characteristics. This is true even for those forms of deviance usually seen to be most 'locked in' the person: 'No one,' as Laing says, 'has schizophrenia like having a cold.' o' The meaning and interpretation which the deviant gives to his own acts are seen as crucial and so is the fact that these actions are often similar to socially approved forms of behaviour.''

The transactional perspective does not imply that innocent persons are arbitrarily selected to play deviant roles or that harmless conditions are wilfully inflated into social problems. Nor does it imply that a person labelled as deviant has to accept this identity; being caught and publicly labelled is just one crucial contingency which may stabilize a deviant career and sustain it contingency which may stabilize a deviant career and sustain it over time. Much of the work of these writers has been concerned with the problematic nature of societal response to deviance and the way such responses affect the behaviour. This may be studied the way such responses affect the behaviour. This may be studied at a face-to-face level (for example, what effect does it have on a at a face-to-face level (for example, what effect does it have on a pupil to be told by his teacher that he is a 'yob who should never be at a decent school like this';) or at a broader societal level (for example, how is the 'drug problem' actually created and shaped example, how is the 'drug problem' actually created and shaped

effect of the societal reaction to deviance is to be found in the example, between primary and secondary deviation. Primary writings of Lemert.12 He makes an important distinction, for by particular social and legal policies?). societal reaction to it. The societal reaction is thus conceived as of desence, attack or adjustment to the problems created by the of self-conception. Secondary deviation occurs when the indeviation - which may arise from a variety of causes - refers to dividual, does not produce symbolic reorganization at the level beliaviour which, although it may be troublesome to the inrationalized or in some way 'normalized' as an acceptable and dividual employs his deviance, or a role based upon it, as a means self-conception as long as it remains symptomatic, situational deviation has only marginal implications for social status and role which becomes the basis for assigning social status. Primary becomes significant when it is subjectively shaped into an active the 'effective' rather than 'original' cause of deviance; deviance The most unequivocal attempt to understand the nature and

Lemert was very much aware that the transition from primary to secondary deviation was a complicated process. Why the societal reaction occurs and what form it takes are dependent on factors such as the amount and visibility of the deviance, while the effect of the reaction is dependent on numerous contingencies and is itself only one contingency in the development of a deviant bareer. Thus the link between the reaction and the individual's

incorporation of this into his self-identity is by no means inevitable; the deviant label, in other words, does not always 'take'. The individual might be able to ignore or rationalize the label or ronly pretend to comply. This type of face-to-face sequence, though, is just one part of the picture: more important are the symbolic and unintended consequences of social control as a whole. Deviance in a sense emerges and is stabilized as an artefact of social control; because of this, Lemert can state that '... older sociology tended to rest lieavily upon the idea that deviance lends to social control. I have come to believe that the reverso idea, i.e. social control leads to deviance, is equally tenable and the potentially richer premise for studying deviance in modern society'.11

It is partly towards showing the tenability and richness of this premise that this book is directed. My emphasis though, is more on the logically prior task of analysing the nature of a particular set of reactions rather than demonstrating conclusively what their effects might have been. How were the Mods and Rockers identified, labelled and controlled? What stages or processes did this reaction go through? Why did the reaction take its particular forms? What—to use Lemert's words again—were the 'myth-ologies, stigma, stereotypes, patterns of exploitation, accommodation, segregation and methods of control (which) spring up and crystallize in the interaction between the deviants and the rest of society'? 14

There are many strategies - not mutually incompatible - for studying such reactions. One might take a sample of public opinion and survey its attitudes to the particular form of deviance in question. One might record reactions in a face-to-face context, for example, how persons respond to what they see as homosexual advances. One might study the operations and beliefs of particular control agencies such as the police or the courts. Or, drawing on all these sources, one might construct an ethnography and history of reactions to a particular condition or form of behaviour. This is particularly suitable for forms of deviance or problems seen as new, sensational or in some other way particularly threatening. Thus crime waves in seventeenth century Massachusetts, marijuana smoking in America during the 1930s, 17 the Teddy Boy phenomenon in Britain during the

1950s 16 and drug-taking in the Notting Hill area of London during the 1960s 16 have all been studied in this way. These reactions were all associated with some form of moral panic and it is tions were all associated with some form of moral panic and it is the tradition of studies such as these that the Mods and in the tradition of studies such as these that the Mods and Rockers will be considered. Before introducing this particular case, however, I want to justify, concentrating on one especially important carrier and producer of moral panics, namely, the mass media.

Deviance and the Mass Media

A crucial dimension for understanding the reaction to deviance both by the public as a whole and by agents of social control, is the nature of the information that is received about the behaviour in question. Each society possesses a set of ideas about what in question—is it due, say, to sickness or to wilful perversity? causes deviation—is it due, say, to sickness or to wilful perversity?—an innocent lad being led astray, or is he a psychopathic thug?—an industrial societies, the body of information from which such In industrial societies, the body of information from which such ideas are built, is invariably received at second hand. That is, it ideas are built, is invariably received at second hand. That is, it information has been subject to alternative definitions of what constitutes 'news' and how it should be gathered and winat constitutes 'news' and how it should be gathered and presented. The information is further structured by the various presented and political constraints in which newspapers, radio commercial and political constraints in which newspapers, radio

and television operate.

The student of moral enterprise cannot but pay particular The student of moral enterprise cannot but pay particular attention to the role of the mass media in defining and shaping attention to the role of the mass media in defining and shaping social problems. The friedia have long operated as agents of social problems. The friedia have long operated as agents of consciously engaged in crusading or muck-raking, their very consciously engaged in crusading or muck-raking, their very reporting of certain 'facts' can be sufficient to generate concern, anxiety, indignation or panic. When such feelings coincide with anxiety, indignation or panic. When such feelings coincide with a perception that particular values need to be protected, the preconditions for new rule creation or social problem definition are present. Of course, the outcome might not be as definite as the present. Creation of new rules or the more rigid enforcement of

existing ones. What might result is the sort of symbolic process which Gusfield describes in his conception of 'moral passage'; there is a change in the public designation of deviance.²⁰ In his example, the problem drinker changes from 'repontant' to 'sick'. Something like the opposite might be happening in the public designation of producers and consumers of pornography; they have changed from isolated, pathetic – if not sick – creatures in grubby macks to groups of ruthless exploiters out to undermine the nation's morals.

Less concretely, the media might leave behind a diffuse feeling of anxiety about the situation: 'something should be done about it', 'where will it end?' or 'this sort of thing can't go on for eyer'. Such vague feelings are crucial in laying the ground for further enterprise, and Young has shown how, in the case of drug-taking, the media play on the normative concerns of the public and by thrusting certain moral directives into the universe of discourse, can create social problems suddenly and dramatically." This potential is consciously exploited by those whom Becker calls 'moral entrepreneurs' to aid them in their attempt to win public support.

recurring objects of attention. As Erikson notes, 'a considerable deviance and control in manhunts, trials and punishments are wrong, about the boundaries beyond which one should not and others have argued, is a main source of information about and devils - is publicized not just in oral-tradition and face-togallery of folk types – heroes and saints, as well as fools, villains venture and about the shapes that the devil can assume. The the normative contours of a society. It informs us about right and entertainment or to fulfil some psychological need for either deviant behaviour and its consequences'.22 This is not just for portion of what we call "news" is devoted to reports about strange goings on. The more dramatic confrontations between deviance: sensational crimes, scandals, bizarre happenings and face contact but to much larger audiences and with much greater identification or vicarious punishment. Such 'news' ns Erikson The mass media, in fact, devote a great deal of space to

Much of this study will be devoted to understanding the role of the mass media in creating moral panios and folk devils. A

7

a form that the action or actors concerned are pictured in a about that particular class of phenomenon (how typical is it), our deviance, drug-taking or violence in terms of our information ally is not received at first hand, it tends to be processed in such deviance. As I pointed out earlier, this information characteristicmay in fact increase rather than decrease or keep in check the deviation amplification as described by Wilkins,23 The key place at this point, one which has a spiralling or snowballing cybernetic theory - a typical reaction sequence which might take perience - which in a segregated urban society is often nil. tolerance level for that type of behaviour and our direct exhighly stereotypical way. We react to an episode of, say, sexual amount of deviance, is the nature of the information about variable in this attempt to understand how the societal reaction places central stress on the mass media - is the process of potentially useful link between these two notions - and one that Wilkins describes – in highly mechanistic language derived from

and its actions highly visible, it will be forced to take on its groups. Such generalizations and an attempt to specify various identities from structurally and ideologically more powerful example, it is more likely that if the deviant group is vulnerable as Wilkins does not do - in terms of other generalizations. For be followed by Ar, Az, etc. All these links have to be explained. to is a set of sequential typifications: under X conditions, A will quite opposite directions. What one is merely drawing attention model that amplification has to occur: in the same way - as I system starts going round again. There is no assumption in this sanctions and other forceful action by the conformists - and the deviance. This, in turn, exposes the group to further punitive selves with others in a similar position, and this leads to more society. They perceive themselves as more deviant, group themto punitively. The deviant or group of deviants is segregated or in dress) is defined as being worthy of attention and is responded deviant labels. The system or the actor can and does react in primary to secondary deviation or to the incorporation of pointed out earlier - that there is no automatic transition from isolated and this operates to alienate them from conventional An initial act of deviance, or normative diversity (for example,

specialized modes of amplification or alternatives to the process have been spelt out by Young²⁴ in the case of drug-taking. I intend using this model here simply as one viable way in which the 'social control leads to deviation' chain can be conceptualized and also because of its particular emphasis upon the 'information about deviance' variable and its dependence on the mass media.

The Case of the Mods and Rockers

extended analysis along these lines. and I would substantially agree with his remarks (in the preface setting for explaining the Mods and Rockers phenomenon as a ance of underground newspaper editors on obscenity charges. compared with, say, the rise of student militancy or the appear-I have already given some indication of the general frameworl definitional rather than behavioural questions precludes cultural theory will be commented on, although my stress on the made of these occurrences in what follows, largely because - in of his book) about the Mods and Rockers events intervening Britain, Downes's variant of subcultural theory is most relevant form of adolescent deviance among working-class youth in subcultural delinquency. This would provide the structural special characteristics of the Mods and Rockers phenomenon, as devils. Further perspectives suggest theniselves because of the which I think suitable for the study of moral panics and folk book. 124 At various points in these chapters, the relevance of subrate, rather than negate, the main sociological argument of the between writing and the book going to press; 'No mention is The first and most obvious one derives from the literature on the absence of evidence to the contrary – I take them to corrobo-

Another less obvious orientation derives from the field of collective behaviour. I have already suggested that social types can be seen as the products of the same processes that go into the creation of symbolic collective styles in fashion, dress and public identities. The Mods and Rockers, though, were initially registered in the public consciousness not just as the appearance of new social types, but as actors in a particular episode of collective behaviour. The phenomenon took its subsequent shape in terms

of these episodes: the regular series of disturbances which took place at English seaside resorts between 1964 and 1966. The public image of these folk devils was invariably tied up to a number of highly visual scenarios associated with their appearance; youths chasing across the beach, brandishing deckchairs over their heads, running along the pavements, riding on ever their heads, running along the pavements and

Each of these episodes - as I will describe - contained all the elements of the classic crowd situation which has long been the prototype for the study of collective behaviour. Crowds, riots, mobs and disturbances on occasions ranging from pop concerts mobs and disturbances on occasions ranging from pop concerts to political demonstrations have all been seen in a similar way to to political demonstrations have all been seen in a similar way to The Cronad described by Le Bon in 1896, Later formulations by The Cronad described by Le Bon in 1896, Later formulations by Tarde, Freud, McDougall and F. H. Allport made little lasting contribution and often just elaborated on Le Bon's contagion contribution and often just elaborated on Le Bon's contagion contribution and often just elaborated on Le Bon's contagion contribution as ociological viewpoint - is Smelser's 'value added from a sociological viewpoint - is Smelser's 'value added fro

and other examples of collective behaviour. In the case of the vasion) this factor creates the opening for race riots, sects, panies with structural strain (e.g. economic deprivation, population inunder which coilective behaviour is seen as legitlinate. Together participants. For the most part these generalized beliefs are growth and spread of a generalized belief is important because status frustration, blocked leisure opportunities and so on. The structural sources of strain posited in subcultural theory: anomic, Mods and Rockers, conduciveness and strain correspond to the spread through the mass media. I have already indicated the the situation of strain must be made meaningful to the potential spread. As will be shown, sociological and social psychological in dealing with crowd behaviour, this importance is heightened because of the ways in which such phenomena develop and importance of media imagery for studying deviance as a whole; Structural conduciveness creates conditions of permissiveness

work on mass hysteria, delusions and rumours are of direct relevance here.

Precipitating factors are specific events which might confirm a generalized belief, initiate strain or redefine conduciveness. Like the other factors in Smelser's schema, it is not a determinant of anything in itself – for example, a fight will not start a race riot unless it occurs in or is interpreted as an 'explosive situation'. While not spelling out in detail the precipitating factors in the Mods and Rockers events, I will show how the social reaction contributed to the definition and creation of these factors. Mobilization of participants for action again refers to a sequence present in the Mods and Rockers events which will only be dealt with in terms of the other determinants.

It is Smelser's sixth determinant – the operation of social control – which, together with the generalized belief factors, will concern us most. This factor, which 'in certain respects...arches over all others' 17 refers to the counter forces set up by society to prevent and inhibit the previous determinants: 'Once an episode of collective behaviour has appeared, its duration and severity are determined by the response of the agencies of social control.' 18 So from a somewhat different theoretical perspective – Parsonian functionalism – Smelser attaches the same crucial importance to the social control factors stressed in the transactional model.

A special – and at first sight somewhat esoreric – area of collective behaviour which is of peculiar relevance, is the field known as 'disaster research'. This consists of a body of findings about the social and psychological impact of disasters, particularly physical disasters such as hurricanes, tornadoes and floods but also man-made disasters such as bombing attacks. Theoretical models have also been produced, and Merton argues that the study of disasters can extend sociological theory beyond the confines of the immediate subject-matter. Disaster situations can be looked at as strategic research sites for theory-building: 'Conditions of collective stress bring out in bold relief aspects of social systems that are not as readily visible in the stressful conditions of everyday life.' 10 The value of disaster studies is that by compressing social processes into a brief time span, a disaster makes usually private behaviour; public and immediate and therefore more amenable to study. 11

at Brighton, Clacton or Margate clearly were not disasters in the struck by the parallels between what I was then beginning to carrying out the Mods and Rockers research and was immediately something stressful, disturbing or threatening. The happenings sociology for considering the reaction of the social system to are too obvious to have to spell out. Nevertheless, there were same category of events as earthquakes or floods; the differences Disaster researchers have constructed one of the few models in think of as 'moral panics' and the reactions to physical disasters. resemblances, and definitions of 'disaster 'are so inconsistent and of cherished values and material objects resulting in death or munity must be affected, a large segment of the community must Elements in such definitions include: whole or part of a combroad, that the Mods and Rockers events could almost fit them. be confronted with actual or potential danger, there must be loss injury or destruction to property. I came across the writings in this field towards the end of

In addition, many workers in the field claim that research In addition, many workers in the field claim that research should not be restricted to actual event. Studies of reactions may be just as disruptive as the actual event. Studies of reactions may be just as disruptive as the actual event. Studies of reactions may be just as disruptive as the actual event. Studies of reactions for honxes and false alarms show disaster behaviour in the absence to honxes and false alarms show disaster behaviour in the absence to honxes and large segment of the community reacted to the Mods and Rockers events as if a disaster had occurred: 'It is the perception of threat and not its actual existence that is important.' 32

The work of disaster researchers that struck me as most useful when I got to the stage of writing up my own material on the Woods and Rockers was the sequential model that they have developed to describe the phases of a typical disaster. The following is the sort of sequence that has been distinguished: 33

apprehensions based on conditions out of which danger may arise. The warning must be coded to be understood and impressive enough to overcome resistance to the belief that current tranquillity can be upset.

2. Threat: during which people are exposed to communication 2. Threat: during which people are exposed to communication from others, or to signs from the approaching disaster itself indicating specific imminent danger. This phase begins with the

perception of some change, but as with the first phase, may be absent or truncated in the case of sudden disaster.

3. Impact: during which the disaster strikes and the immediate unorganized response to the death, injury or destruction takes

4. Inventory: during which those exposed to the disaster begin to form a preliminary picture of what has happened and of their own condition.

5. Rescue: during which the activities are geared to immediate belp for the survivors. As well as people in the impact area helping each other, the suprasystem begins to send aid.

6. Remedy: duting which more deliberate and formal activities are undertaken towards relieving the affected. The suprasystem takes over the functions the emergency system cannot perform.
Reconstry: during which for an extended period, the com-

7. Recovery: during which, for an extended period, the comminity either recovers its former equilibrium or achieves a stable adaptation to the changes which the disaster may have brought about.

quential (i.e. causal) and not merely sequential. In disaster been shown in deviance. research, moreover, it has been shown how the form each phase from primary to secondary deviation are supposed to be conseceptualize the reactions to deviance this is by no means the case. has been empirically established; in the various attempts to concompares this to deviancy models such as amplification, there tion to cover phases 5, 6 and 7) provides a useful analogue. If one to cover phases I and 2; then Impact; then Inventory; and Reac-Rockers case, but a condensed version of this sequence (Warning identification with the victim. This sort of uniformity has not the scale of the remedy operation is affected by the degree of takes is affected by the characteristics of the previous stage; thus, are obvious and crucial differences. For disasters, the sequence In addition, the transitions within the amplification model or Some of these stages have no exact parallels in the Mods and

The nature of the reaction to the event is important in different ways. In the case of disaster, the social system responds in order to help the victims and to evolve methods to mitigate the effects of further disasters (e.g. by early warning systems). The disaster

<u>۲</u>

all, and the way in which the act is reported and labelled also however, the reaction is seen as partly causative. The on-the-spot case with a disaster. To express the difference in another way, determines the form of the subsequent deviation; this is not the reaction to an act determines whether it is classified as deviant at itself occurs independent of this reaction. In regard to deviance, disaster the warning is followed by the impact which is followed while the disaster sequence is linear and constant - in each up a feedback system. It is precisely because the Mods and effect of increasing the subsequent warning and impact, setting the impact (deviance) is followed, by a reaction which has the by the reaction - deviance models are circular and amplifying: and also manifested itself as a series of discrete events, that both Rockers phenomenon was both a generalized type of deviance further acts of deviance. reaction which, among other possible consequences, might cause reaction), each event can be seen as creating the potential for a described in terms of the disaster analogue (warning-impactmodels are relevant. While a single event can be meaningfully

Let me now return to the original aims of the study and conclude this introductory chapter by outlining the plan of the book. Clude this introductory chapter by outlining the plan of the book. My focus is on the genesis and development of the moral panic My focus is on the genesis and development of the moral panic and social typing associated with the Mods and Rockers and social typing associated with the Mods and Rockers phenomenon. In transactional terminology: what was the nature phenomenon. In transactional terminology: what was the nature phenomenon. In transaction to this particular form of and effect of the societal reaction to this particular form of and effect of the societal reaction which the behaviour was perceived and conceptualized, whether there was a unitary was perceived and conceptualized, whether there was a unitary was perceived and conceptualized, whether there was a unitary was perceived and the ways in which agents of social images were transmitted and the ways in which agents of social images were transmitted and the ways in which agents of social images were transmitted and the ways in which agents of social images were transmitted and the ways in which agents of social images were transmitted and the ways in which agents of social images were transmitted and the ways in which agents of social images were transmitted and the ways in which agents of social images were transmitted and the ways in which agents of social images were transmitted and the ways in which agents of social images were transmitted and the ways in which these modes through which these or a divergent set of images.

Variable of societa form.

Very few studies have been made with this focus and the term

Very few studies have been made with this focus and the term
reaction has become reified, covering a wide range of interpre
reaction. Does reaction mean what is done about the deviance in

question, or merely what is thought about it? And how does one

study something as nebulous as this, when the 'thing' being

reacted to covers juvenile delinquency, a manifestation of youth culture, a social type and a series of specific events? Using criteria determined by my theoretical interests rather than by how concepts can best be 'operationalized', I decided to study reaction at three levels, in each case using a range of possible sources. The first was the initial on-the-spot reaction, which I studied mainly through observation, participant observation and the type of informal interviewing used in community studies. The second was the organized reaction of the system of social control, information about which I obtained from observation, interviews and the analysis of published material. The third level was the transmission and diffusion of the research methods and sources of material is given in the Appendix.

the growth of the folk devils and the moral panic in historical and structural terms, major) part traces the development and reverberation of the and impact. The book divides into three parts: the first (and sists of three chapters; the Inventory; the Opinion and Attitude other phases of the reaction and then returning to the warning the book looks at the effects of the reaction and the third locates Themes and the Ressue and Remedy Phases. The second part of the actions of the organized system of social control, This consocietal reaction, particularly as reflected in the mass media and analogue this means starting off with the inventory, moving on to play between deviation and reaction. In terms of the disaster with the reaction and then, finally, return to consider the interwith the minimum possible account of the deviation, then deal some detail and then considering the reaction, I will start of sequence. That is to say, instead of describing the deviation in my argument will be presented in terms of a typical reaction To remain faithful to the theoretical orientation of the study

Organizing the book in this way means that in the first part, the Mods and Rockers are hardly going to appear as 'real, live people' at all. They will be seen through the eyes of the societal reaction and in this reaction they tend to appear as disembodied objects, Rorshach blots on to which reactions are projected. In using this type of presentation, I do not want to imply that these projects, and the seen that they are although they do involve elements of fantasy and

selective misperception - are irrational nor that the Mods and Rockers were not real people, with particular structural origins, values, aims and interests. Neither were they creatures pushed and pulled by the forces of the societal reaction without being able to react back. I am presenting the argument in this way for effect, only allowing the Mods and Rockers to come to life when their supposed identities had been presented for public consumption.

26