8 Moral Entrepreneurs

RULES are the products of someone's initiative and we can think of the people who exhibit such enterprise as moral entrepreneurs. Two related species—rule creators and rule enforcers—will occupy our attention.

Rule Creators

The prototype of the rule creator, but not the only variety as we shall see, is the crusading reformer. He is interested in the content of rules. The existing rules do not satisfy him because there is some evil which profoundly disturbs him, He

feels that nothing can be right in the world until rules are made to correct it. He operates with an absolute ethic; what he sees is truly and totally evil with no qualification. Any means is justified to do away with it. The crusader is fervent and righteous, often self-righteous.

It is appropriate to think of reformers as crusaders because they typically believe that their mission is a holy one. The prohibitionist serves as an excellent example, as does the person who wants to suppress vice and sexual delinquency or the person who wants to do away with gambling.

These examples suggest that the moral crusader is a meddling busybody, interested in forcing his own morals on others. But this is a one-sided view. Many moral crusades have strong humanitarian overtones. The crusader is not only interested in seeing to it that other people do what he thinks right. He believes that if they do what is right it will be good for them. Or he may feel that his reform will prevent certain kinds of exploitation of one person by another. Prohibitionists felt that they were not simply forcing their morals on others, but attempting to provide the conditions for a better way of life for people prevented by drink from realizing a truly good life. Abolitionists were not simply trying to prevent slave owners from doing the wrong thing; they were trying to help slaves to achieve a better life. Because of the importance of the humanitarian motive, moral crusaders (despite their relatively single-minded devotion to their particular cause) often lend their support to other humanitarian crusades. Joseph Gusfield has pointed out that:

The American temperance movement during the 19th century was a part of a general effort toward the improvement of the worth of the human being through improved morality as well as economic conditions. The mixture of the religious, the equalitarian, and the humanitarian was an outstanding facet of the moral

reformism of many movements. Temperance supporters formed a large segment of movements such as subbatarianism, abolition, woman's rights, agrarianism, and humanitarian attempts to improve the lot of the poor. . . .

In its auxiliary interests the WCTU revealed a great concern for the improvement of the welfare of the lower classes. It was active in campaigns to secure penal reform, to shorten working hours and raise wages for workers, and to abolish child labor and in a number of other humanitarian and equalitarian activities. In the 1880's the WCTU worked to bring about legislation for the protection of working girls against the exploitation by men.¹

As Gusfield says,² "Moral reformism of this type suggests the approach of a dominant class toward those less favorably situated in the economic and social structure." Moral crusaders typically want to help those beneath them to achieve a better status. That those beneath them do not always like the means proposed for their salvation is another matter. But this fact—that moral crusades are typically dominated by those in the upper levels of the social structure—means that they add to the power they derive from the legitimacy of their moral position, the power they derive from their superior position in society.

Naturally, many moral crusades draw support from people whose motives are less pure than those of the crusader. Thus, some industrialists supported Prohibition because they felt it would provide them with a more manageable labor force.³ Similarly, it is sometimes rumored that Nevada gambling interests support the opposition to attempts to legalize gambling in California because it would cut so heavily into their business,

Johnson T.

^{1.} Joseph R. Gusfield, "Social Structure and Moral Reform: A Study of the Woman's Christian Temperance Union," American Journal of Sociology, LXI (November, 1955), 223.

^{3.} See Raymond G. McCarthy, editor, Drinking and Intoxication (New Haven and New York: Yale Center of Alcohol Studies and The Free Press of Glencoe, 1959), pp. 395-396.

which depends in substantial measure on the population of Southern California.

The moral crusader, however, is more concerned with ends than with means. When it comes to drawing up specific rules (typically in the form of legislation to be proposed to a state legislature or the Federal Congress), he frequently relies on the advice of experts. Lawyers, expert in the drawing of acceptable legislation, often play this role. Government bureaus in whose jurisdiction the problem falls may also have the necessary expertise, as did the Federal Bureau of Narcotics in the case of the marihuana problem.

As psychiatric ideology, however, becomes increasingly acceptable, a new expert has appeared—the psychiatrist. Sutherland, in his discussion of the natural history of sexual psychopath laws, pointed to the psychiatrist's influence. He suggests the following as the conditions under which the sexual psychopath law, which provides that a person "who is diagnosed as a sexual psychopath may be confined for an indefinite period in a state hospital for the insane," will be passed.

First, these laws are customarily enacted after a state of fear has been aroused in a community by a few serious sex crimes committed in quick succession. This is illustrated in Indiana, where a law was passed following three or four sexual attacks in Indianapolis, with murder in two. Heads of families bought guns and watch dogs, and the supply of locks and chains in the hardware stores of the city was completely exhausted. . . .

A second element in the process of developing sexual psychopath laws is the agitated activity of the community in connection with the fear. The attention of the community is focused on sex

Moral Entrepreneurs

crimes, and people in the most varied situations envisage dangers and see the need of and possibility for their control. . . .

The third phase in the development of these sexual psychopath laws has been the appointment of a committee. The committee gathers the many conflicting recommendations of persons and groups of persons, attempts to determine "facts," studies procedures in other states, and makes recommendations, which generally include bills for the legislature. Although the general fear usually subsides within a few days, a committee has the formal duty of following through until positive action is taken. Terror which does not result in a committee is much less likely to result in a law.⁷

In the case of sexual psychopath laws, there usually is no government agency charged with dealing in a specialized way with sexual deviations. Therefore, when the need for expert advice in drawing up legislation arises, people frequently turn to the professional group most closely associated with such problems:

attorney-general's committee received from the American which had been enacted in other states.8 chiatric Association copies of all of the sexual psychopath laws Crime Commission for the enactment of a law. In Indiana the mittee except one were psychiatrists. In Wisconsin the Milwaukee was enacted with little opposition in the next session of the State neurologists in Chicago wrote the bill which became the sexual a sexual psychopath law, psychiatrists have played an important Neuropsychiatric Society shared in pressing the Milwaukee Bar Association and by the state's attorney of Cook County and psychopath law of Illinois; the bill was sponsored by the Chicago interest group back of the laws. A committee of psychiatrists and part. The psychiatrists, more than any others, have been the Legislature. In Minnesota all the members of the governor's com-In some states, at the committee stage of the development of Psy-

^{4.} This is suggested in Oscar Lewis, Sagebrush Casinos: The Story of Legal Gambling in Nevada (New York: Doubleday and Co., 1953), pp. 233-234.

^{5.} Edwin H. Sutherland, "The Diffusion of Sexual Psychopath Laws,"
American Journal of Sociology, LVI (September, 1950), 142-148.
6. lbid., p. 142.

^{7.} Ibid., pp. 143-145. 8. Ibid., pp. 145-146.

The influence of psychiatrists in other realms of the criminal

crusader himself is often not concerned with such details. law has increased in recent years. can draw up the appropriate rules in an appropriate form. The that psychiatrists are becoming increasingly influential, but his crusade, often requires the services of a professional who that the moral crusader, at some point in the development of Enough for him that the main point has been won; he leaves In any case, what is important about this example is not

us implementation to others. psychiatrists contain many features never intended by the their own interests, which may affect the legislation they fluences. For those who draft legislation for crusaders have others, the crusader opens the door for many unforeseen insex crimes," features which do however reflect the professional citizens who spearheaded the drives to "do something about prepare. It is likely that the sexual psychopath laws drawn by interests of organized psychiatry. By leaving the drafting of the specific rule in the hands of

The Fate of Moral Crusades

crusade against obscene literature. it by judicial interpretations; such has been the case with the shifts in public morality and increasing restrictions imposed on achieve great success, only to find its gains whittled away by the use of tobacco or the anti-vivisection movement. It may ment. It may fail completely, as has the drive to do away with hibition movement with the passage of the Eighteenth Amend-A crusade may achieve striking success, as did the Pro-

is the establishment of a new rule or set of rules, usually with One major consequence of a successful crusade, of course,

> a crusade which deserves mention. the appropriate enforcement machinery being provided at the later. There is another consequence, however, of the success of same time. I want to consider this consequence at some length

Moral Entrepreneurs

righted, of situations requiring new rules. be done. He becomes a professional discoverer of wrongs to be view with alarm, a new evil about which something ought to may generalize his interest and discover something new to the crusader without a vocation. Such a man, at loose ends, becomes just this. The success of the crusade, therefore, leaves tion. What started as an amateur interest in a moral issue may way around. A man's preoccupation may become his occupacome his preoccupation. The equation is also good the other become an almost full-time job; indeed, for many reformers it Kenneth Burke once noted that a man's occupation may bein the issue, in the content of the rule he wanted established teur, a man who engaged in a crusade because of his interest likely, when he first began his crusade, to have been an amamuch of his time, energy, and passion is over. Such a man is a new rule established-when he has found, so to speak, the Grail—he is our of a job. The crusade which has occupied so When a man has been successful in the enterprise of getting

could devote its energies and resources. discovered other health problems to which the organization straining name of The National Foundation, officials quickly that eliminated epidemic poliomyelitis. Taking the less con-Paralysis put itself out of business by discovering a vaccine health problems when the National Foundation for Infantile to espouse. This process occurred dramatically in the field of voted to its cause, officials of the organization are even more likely than the individual crusader to look for new causes When the crusade has produced a large organization de-

The unsuccessful crusade, either the one that finds its

1

and concentrate on preserving what remains of the organizaits goal only to lose it again, may follow one of two courses. this group as the locus of acceptance of moderate drinking. middle class to the lower-middle class. The WCTU now turns a temperance movement. The social class level from which the formerly "respectable" people who no longer will support toward drinking. On the contrary, they have become bitter at anti-temperance, these women have not softened their attitude prevailing opinion in the United States becomes increasingly day members of the WCTU as "moralizers-in-retreat." 10 As did the Prohibition Movement. Gusfield has described presentmay adhere rigidly to an increasingly less popular mission, as the fate of the Townsend Movement. Or the failing movement tion that has been built up. Such, according to one study, was On the one hand, it may simply give up its original mission mission no longer attracts adherents or the one that achieves The following quotations from Gusfield's interviews with to attack the middle class it once drew its support from, seeing WCTU members are drawn has moved down from the upper-WCTU leaders give some of the flavor of the "moralizer-in-

and the doctor's wives shun us. They don't want to be thought we ladies who are against taking a cocktail are a little queer. We influential ladies of the city. But now they have got the idea that have an undertaker's wife and a minister's wife, but the lawyer's When this union was first organized, we had many of the most

so much a part of everything—even in our church life and our We fear moderation more than anything. Drinking has become

It creeps into the official church boards. They keep it in their

10. Gusfield, op. cit., pp. 227-228

Moral Entrepreneurs

He's afraid that he'll stub some influential toes. 11 far, that they are doing too much to help the temperance cause iceboxes. . . . The minister here thinks that the church has gone

siders themselves, continuing to espouse and preach a doctrine attempt and either support the organization they have created seek new problems to attack. Other crusaders fail in their Of the successful, some find they have a taste for crusades and and create, by creating a new rule, a new group of outsiders. which sounds increasingly queer as time goes on. by dropping their distinctive mission and focusing on the problem of organizational maintenance itself or become out-Only some crusaders, then, are successful in their mission

Rule Enforcers

agencies and officials is established. Sometimes, of course, existset of rules we often find that a new set of enforcement the creation of a new set of rules. With the creation of a new enforcing the Prohibition Laws. eral Narcotics Bureau, just as the passage of the Eighteenth more frequently a new set of rule enforcers is created. The ing agencies take over the administration of the new rule, but Amendment led to the creation of police agencies charged with passage of the Harrison Act presaged the creation of the Fed-The most obvious consequence of a successful crusade is

organized political parties and lusty evangelical sects become rule finally becomes an organization devoted to the enforcedrive to convince the world of the moral necessity of a new the crusade becomes institutionalized. What started out as a ment of the rule. Just as radical political movements turn into With the establishment of organizations of rule enforcers,

11. Ibid., pp. 227, 229-230.

^{9.} Sheldon Messinger, "Organizational Transformation: A Case Study of a Declining Social Movement," American Sociological Review, XX (February, 1955), 3-10.

crusade is a police force. To understand, therefore, how the staid religious denominations, the final outcome of the moral people we must understand the motives and interests of police rules creating a new class of outsiders are applied to particular

the rule enforcers.

objective view of his job. He is not so much concerned with more typical for the policeman to have a certain detached and crusading interest in stamping out evil, it is probably much the content of any particular rule as he is with the fact that it is his job to enforce the rule. When the rules are changed, he to punish behavior that has been made legitimate by a change punishes what was once acceptable behavior just as he ceases existence of the rule provides him with a job, a profession, and content of the rule as such, but only in the fact that the in the rules. The enforcer, then, may not be interested in the Although some policemen undoubtedly have a kind of

ence of his position and, second, he must win the respect of dition his enforcement activity: first, he must justify the existfor his way of life, the enforcer has two interests which con-Since the enforcement of certain rules provides justification

those he deals with.

of all occupations feel the need to justify their work and win the respect of others. Musicians, as we have seen, would like quasi-professional responsibility they have to keep confidential pressing their worth on customers. Janitors fall to win their to do this but have difficulty finding ways of successfully imthe intimate knowledge of tenants they acquire in the course tenants' respect, but develop an ideology which stresses the of their work.12 Physicians, lawyers, and other professionals, These interests are not peculiar to rule enforcers. Members

12. See Ray Gold, "Janitors Versus Tenants: A Status-Income Dilemma," American Journal of Sociology, LVII (March, 1952), 486-493.

more successful in winning the respect of clients, develop Moral Entrepreneurs

elaborate mechanisms for maintaining a properly respectful

relationship. to others that the problem still exists: the rules he is supposed other hand, he must show that his attempts at enforcement are to enforce have some point, because infractions occur. On the faces a double problem. On the one hand, he must demonstrate typically oscillate between two kinds of claims. First, they say with is in fact being dealt with adequately. Therefore, enforceeffective and worthwhile, that the evil he is supposed to deal ment organizations, particularly when they are seeking funds, approaching solution. But, in the same breath, they say the that by reason of their efforts the problem they deal with is of their own) and requires renewed and increased effort to problem is perhaps worse than ever (though through no fault they are supposed to deal with is still with us, in fact is more keep it under control. Enforcement officials can be more officials provide good reason for continuing the existence of with us than ever before. In making these claims, enforcement vehement than anyone else in their insistence that the problem In justifying the existence of his position, the rule enforcer

to dwell on the difficulties in getting people to abide by rules, are inclined to take a pessimistic view of human nature. If the position they occupy. they do not actually believe in original sin, they at least like evil. They are skeptical of attempts to reform rule-breakers on the characteristics of human nature that lead people toward We may also note that enforcement officials and agencies

goes about his work, the evidence that the problem is still with of course, is reinforced by his daily experience. He sees, as he us. He sees the people who continually repeat offenses, thus definitely branding themselves in his eyes as outsiders. Yet it The skeptical and pessimistic outlook of the rule enforcer, 157

is not too great a stretch of the imagination to suppose that one of the underlying reasons for the enforcer's pessimism about human nature and the possibilities of reform is that fact that if human nature were perfectible and people could be permanently reformed, his job would come to an end.

In the same way, a rule enforcer is likely to believe that it is necessary for the people he deals with to respect him. If they do not, it will be very difficult to do his job; his feeling of security in his work will be lost. Therefore, a good deal of enforcement activity is devoted not to the actual enforcement of rules, but to coercing respect from the people the enforcer deals with. This means that one may be labeled as deviant not because he has actually broken a rule, but because he has stually broken a rule, but because he has stually broken a rule.

Westley's study of policemen in a small industrial city furnishes a good example of this phenomenon. In his interview, he asked policemen, "When do you think a policeman is justified in roughing a man up?" He found that, "at least 37% of the men believed that it was legitimate to use violence to coerce respect." ¹³ He gives some illuminating quotations from his interviews:

Well, there are cases. For example, when you stop a fellow for a routine questioning, say a wise guy, and he starts talking back to you and telling you you are no good and that sort of thing. You know you can take a man in on a disorderly conduct charge, but you can practically never make it stick. So what you do in a case like that is to egg the guy on until he makes a remark where you can justifiably slap him and, then, if he fights back, you can call it resisting arrest.

Well, a prisoner deserves to be hit when he goes to the point where he tries to put you below him.

13. William A. Westley, "Violence and the Police," American Journal f Sociology, LIX (July, 1953), 39.

Moral Entrepreneurs

You've gotta get rough when a man's language becomes very bad, when he is trying to make a fool of you in front of everybody else. I think most policemen try to treat people in a nice way, but usually you have to talk pretty rough. That's the only way to set a man down, to make him show a little respect.¹⁴

What Westley describes is the use of an illegal means of coercing respect from others. Clearly, when a rule enforcer has the option of enforcing a rule or not, the difference in what he does may be caused by the attitude of the offender toward him. If the offender is properly respectful, the enforcer may smooth the situation over. If the offender is disrespectful, then sanctions may be visited on him. Westley has shown that this differential tends to operate in the case of traffic offenses, where the policeman's discretion is perhaps at a maximum. ¹⁵ But it probably operates in other areas as well.

Ordinarily, the rule enforcer has a great deal of discretion in many areas, if only because his resources are not sufficient to cope with the volume of rule-breaking he is supposed to deal with. This means that he cannot tackle everything at once and to this extent must temporize with evil. He cannot do the whole job and knows it. He takes his time, on the assumption that the problems he deals with will be around for a long while. He establishes priorities, dealing with things in their turn, handling the most pressing problems immediately and leaving others for later. His attitude toward his work, in short, is professional. He lacks the naïve moral fervor characteristic of the rule creator.

If the enforcer is not going to tackle every case he knows of at once, he must have a basis for deciding when to enforce the rule, which persons committing which acts to label as

 ^{14.} Ibid.
 15. See William A. Westley, "The Police: A Sociological Study of Law, Custom, and Morality" (unpublished Ph.D. dissertation, University of Chicago, Department of Sociology, 1951).

A professional thief described fixers this way: on a full-time basis, available to anyone who wants to hire him. this function is professionalized; someone performs the job apprehension then at a later stage in the process. Very often, able to ward off attempts at enforcement, if not at the time of deviant. One criterion for selecting people is the "fix." Some people have sufficient political influence or know-how to be

large cities and many of the small ones.16 of fixing for professional thieves is found in practically all of the to anyone except him. This centralized and monopolistic system any case except that of a professional thief, just as they seldom go thieves. He has no agents and does not solicit and seldom takes There is in every large city a regular fixer for professional

quently than professionals. As the professional thief notes: be caught, convicted, and labeled deviant much more selecting people to apply the rules to is that amateurs tend to fixer and his operations, the consequence of this criterion for Since it is mainly professional thieves who know about the

man, or the testimony of the copper and the complainant does not damn amateurs who cause all the heat in the stores." Or else he own, he will think, "He should have got ninety years. It's the oration, and all of them get credit for stopping a crime wave the thieves, no one holds up his testimony, the judge delivers an amateurs who know nothing about the fix. These amateurs get for there is one case of a professional to twenty-five or thirty has got the work in. This does not happen in many cases of theft, is arrogant in his decisions, you can always be sure that someone agree, or the prosecutor goes easy on the defendant, or the judge fix is in. When the copper is not very certain he has the right thinks, "Isn't it a damn shame for that copper to send that kic When the professional hears the case immediately preceding his the hard end of the deal every time. The coppers bawl out about You can tell by the way the case is handled in court when the

16. Edwin H. Sutherland (editor), The Professional Thief (Chicago: University of Chicago Press, 1937), pp. 87-88.

not send the amateurs away to strengthen their records of consmall fine for me for stealing a fur coat?" But if the coppers did victions, they could not sandwich in the professionals whom they away for a pair of hose, and in a few minutes he will agree to

Moral Entrepreneurs

ably from those held by the general public. For instance, drug evaluation of the importance of various kinds of rules and of particular rules themselves, often develop their own private confirmed it to me) that police do not consider the use of users typically believe (and a few policemen have personally while marihuana users do not. crimes (such as theft or prostitution) in order to get drugs, on the fact that, in their experience, opiate users commit other practice as the use of opiate drugs. Police base this conclusion marihuana to be as important a problem or as dangerous a infractions of them. This set of priorities may differ consider-Enforcers of rules, since they have no stake in the content

tive way. Whether a person who commits a deviant act is in work situation, enforce rules and create outsiders in a selecthe enforcer's list of priorities. proper deference to the enforcer, whether the "fix" has been order to justify his position, whether the misbehaver shows that at this time he must make some show of doing his job in fact labeled a deviant depends on many things extraneous to put in, and where the kind of act he has committed stands his actual behavior: whether the enforcement official feels Enforcers, then, responding to the pressures of their own 8

rule creator. The rule creator, as we have said, is concerned proach to dealing with evil may get him into trouble with the the means by which evil can be stamped out. He does not with the content of the rules that interest him. He sees them as The professional enforcer's lack of fervor and routine ap-

i . j

OUTSIDERS

understand the enforcer's long-range approach to the same problems and cannot see why all the evil that is apparent cannot be stamped out at once.

When the person interested in the content of a rule realizes or has called to his attention the fact that enforcers are dealing selectively with the evil that concerns him, his righteous wrath may be aroused. The professional is denounced for viewing the evil too lightly, for failing to do his duty. The moral entrepreneur, at whose instance the rule was made, arises again to say that the outcome of the last crusade has not been satisfactory or that the gains once made have been whittled away and lost.

Deviance and Enterprise: A Summary

Deviance—in the sense I have been using it, of publicly labeled wrongdoing—is always the result of enterprise. Before any act can be viewed as deviant, and before any class of people can be labeled and treated as outsiders for committing the act, someone must have made the rule which defines the act as deviant. Rules are not made automatically. Even though a practice may be harmful in an objective sense to the group in which it occurs, the harm needs to be discovered and pointed out. People must be made to feel that something ought to be done about it. Someone must call the public's attention to get a rule created. Deviance is the product of enterprise in the largest sense; without the enterprise required to get rules made, the deviance which consists of breaking the rule could not exist.

Deviance is the product of enterprise in the smaller and

Moral Entrepreneurs

more particular sense as well. Once a rule has come into existence, it must be applied to particular people before the abstract class of outsiders created by the rule can be peopled. Offenders must be discovered, identified, apprehended and convicted (or noted as "different" and stigmatized for their nonconformity, as in the case of legal deviant groups such as dance musicians). This job ordinarily falls to the lot of professional enforcers who, by enforcing already existing rules, create the particular deviants society views as outsiders.

It is an interesting fact that most scientific research and speculation on deviance concerns itself with the people who break rules rather than with those who make and enforce them. If we are to achieve a full understanding of deviant behavior, we must get these two possible foci of inquiry into balance. We must see deviance, and the outsiders who personify the abstract conception, as a consequence of a process of interaction between people, some of whom in the service of their own interests make and enforce rules which catch others who, in the service of their own interests, have committed acts which are labeled deviant.