**DPP** v Bignall

Also known as:

Where Reported

Case Digest

**DPP** v Bignell

Queen's Bench Division 16 May 1997

**Case Analysis** 

[1997] 5 WLUK 344; [1998] 1 Cr. App. R. 1; (1997) 161 J.P. 541; [1997-98] Info. T.L.R. 168; [1998] I.T.C.L.R. 33; [1998] Masons C.L.R. Rep. 141; [1998] Crim. L.R. 53; (1997) 161 J.P.N. 698; (1997) 161 J.P.N. 770; Times, June 6, 1997 Independent, May 21, 1997

Subject: Criminal law

**Keywords:** Data protection; Police officers; Hacking; Cybercrime

**Summary:** computer crime; police officers' access to Police National Computer for unauthorised purpose

**Abstract:** Police officers with authorised access to computer information were not convicted under the Computer Misuse Act 1990 for unauthorised use of that information as the Act was concerned only with preventing unauthorised access. Unauthorised use should be dealt with under the Data Protection Act 1984. The DPP appealed by way of case stated against the overturning of the respondent police officers' convictions of offences under the Computer Misuse Act 1990 s.1. The respondents had, for private purposes, obtained details relating to two motor cars from the Police National Computer. The DPP maintained that the Commissioner of Police, who controlled access to the computer, gave authority to police officers to access information only for police purposes and the respondents' use of the computer to gain material for non-police purposes was therefore unauthorised. The respondents distinguished between the gaining of access, which was said to be authorised, and the admittedly unauthorised purpose of their excursion.

Held, dismissing the appeal, that the Act was concerned with the protection of computer systems and criminalised the "hacking" or unauthorised access to

**Cases Cited** 

**Cases Citing This Case** 

computer material. It was not designed to protect the integrity of information stored on computers, which was the purpose behind the Data Protection Act 1984. Whether or not the Commissioner alone was entitled to control access to the computer, the respondents' access was not unauthorised in terms of s.17(5) or s.17(2) and they were not therefore in breach of s.1. There was not a gap in the law since police officers were open to prosecution for use of the computer for improper purposes under s.5(2)(b) of the 1984 Act.

Judge: Pill LJ; Astill J;

**Counsel:** For the DPP: Michael Bowes. For the respondents: Peter Doyle and J Haycock

**Solicitor:** For the DPP: CPS. For the respondents: Russell Jones & Walker

#### R. v Brown (Gregory Michael)

[1996] A.C. 543; [1996] 2 W.L.Ŕ. 203; [1996] 1 All E.R. 545; [1996] 2 WLUK 142; [1996] 2 Cr. App. R. 72; [1997] E.C.C. 105; Times, February 9, 1996; Independent, February 13, 1996; [1998] Masons C.L.R. Rep. 108; [1996] Crim. L.R. 408; (1996) 93(10) L.S.G. 21; (1996) 146 N.L.J. 209; (1996) 140 S.J.L.B. 66 HL

# Attorney General's Reference (No.1 of 1991)

[1993] Q.B. 94; [1992] 3 W.L.R. 432; [1992] 3 All E.R. 897; [1992] 6 WLUK 247; [1994] E.C.C. 362; Times, July 29, 1992; Independent, July 29, 1992; (1992) 156 J.P.N. 746; (1992) 136 S.J.L.B. 197 CA (Crim Div)

#### **Overruled**

R. v Bow Street Metropolitan Stipendiary Magistrate Ex p. United States (No.2) [2000] 2 A.C. 216; [1999] 3 W.L.R. 620; [1999] 4 All E.R. 1; [1999] 8 WLUK 57; [2000] 1 Cr. App. R. 61; [1999] I.T.C.L.R. 426; Times, September 7, 1999; [1999] Masons C.L.R. 380; [1999] Crim. L.R. 970; (1999) 149 N.L.J. 1406 HL

R. v Stanford (Clifford)

[2006] EWCA Crim 258; [2006] 1 W.L.R. 1554; [2006] 2 WLUK 1; [2006] 2 Cr. App. R. 5; [2006] Info. T.L.R. 189; Times, February 7, 2006; [2006] Crim. L.R. 1068; (2006) 103(9) L.S.G. 30; (2006) 150 S.J.L.B. 162 CA (Crim Div)

R. v Bow Street Metropolitan Stipendiary Magistrate Ex p. United States (No.2) [1999] Q.B. 847; [1998] 3 W.L.R. 1156; [1998] 5 WLUK 202; [1998] I.T.C.L.R. 133; Times, June 2, 1998; Independent, May 15, 1998; [1998] Masons C.L.R. 234 DC

#### Legislation Cited

Computer Misuse Act 1990 (c.18)

Computer Misuse Act 1990 (c.18) s.1

Computer Misuse Act 1990 (c.18) s.2

Computer Misuse Act 1990 (c.18) s.17

Data Protection Act 1984 (c.35)

Data Protection Act 1984 (c.35) s.4

Data Protection Act 1984 (c.35) s.5(2)(B)

Data Protection Act 1984 (c.35) s.17

#### Journal Articles

# Insider unauthorised use of authorised access: what are the alternatives to the Computer Misuse Act 1990?

Comparative law; Criminal liability; Cybercrime; Data protection principles; Fraud; Jurisprudence; Unauthorised use; United States. I.J.L.C.J. 2016, 47(Dec), 85-96

#### Computer misuse

Access; Authorisation; Cybercrime; Data protection. J.P. 2000, 164(10), 179-181

# Police officers securing access to Police National Computer for non-police purposes

Access; Authorisation; Cybercrime; Police officers.

Crim. L.R. 1998, Jan, 53-54

#### **DPP v Bignall**

Access; Authorisation; Cybercrime; Police officers. I.P. & I.T. Law 1998, 3(1), 16-17

Computers: misuse by employees Authorisation; Confidential information; Cybercrime; Employees. I.T. & C.L.J. 1998, 3, 9-11

Data protection: life after Bignall Access; Authorisation; Cybercrime; Data protection; Police officers. IT & Comm. News. 1998, 1(Feb), 7-11

#### Misuse of a computer

Access; Authorisation; Cybercrime; Police officers.
J. Crim. L. 1998, 62(1), 10-12

Computer Misuse Act questioned again Access; Authorisation; Cybercrime; Police officers. C.L.S.R. 1997, 13(4), 222

Cannot employees also be hackers? Access; Authorisation; Cybercrime; Police officers. C.L.S.R. 1997, 13(5), 352-354

To be great is to be misunderstood: the Computer Misuse Act 1990 Cybercrime; Employees. C.T.L.R. 1997, 3(5), 213-215

# Computers: computer misuse and data protection

Access; Authorisation; Cybercrime; Data protection; Police officers. C.T.L.R. 1997, 3(5), T119-120

Chasing your tail: the interaction of data protection and computer misuse Access; Authorisation; Cybercrime; Data protection; Police officers. I.H.L. 1997, 53(Sep), 75-76

Unauthorised use of computer data

Access; Authorisation; Cybercrime; Police officers.

J.P. 1997, 161(30), 713

#### **Police**

Access; Authorisation; Cybercrime; Police

officers.

L.A.L. 1997, 6, 3

# Computer misuse - unauthorised purpose

Access; Authorisation; Cybercrime; Police

officers.

N.L.J. 1997, 147(6799), 994

#### **Computer misuse**

Access; Authorisation; Cybercrime; Police

officers.

PLC Mag. 1997, 8(6), 60

# Misuse of the police national computer: authorised use, a lacuna?

Access; Authorisation; Cybercrime; Police

officers.

Pol. J. 1997, 70(4), 358-360

#### Crime reporter: computing a crime

Access; Authorisation; Cybercrime; Police

officers.

S.J. 1997, 141(23), 581

#### **Topics Citing This**

#### Computer misuse offences

#### **Books**

# A Practitioner's Guide to the Law and Regulation of Financial Crime 2nd Ed.

Chapter: Chapter 4 - Online Crime and

Security

Documents: Section 4.4 - Criminal legal

framework

## Archbold Criminal Pleading Evidence and Practice 2022 Ed.

Chapter: Chapter 23 - Criminal Damage and Kindred Offences

Documents: 23-87 Computer Misuse Act 1990, s.1

## Archbold Criminal Pleading Evidence and Practice 2022 Ed.

Chapter: Chapter 23 - Criminal Damage and Kindred Offences

Documents: 23-97

# **Archbold Magistrates' Courts Criminal Practice 2022**

Chapter: Chapter 34 - Offences Against Public Morals, Policy and Justice

Documents: 34-115 Unauthorised access (s.17(5))

#### Arlidge and Parry on Fraud 6th Ed.

Chapter: Chapter 22 - Encouragement and Attempt

Documents: Mens rea

#### **Blackstone's Criminal Practice 2022**

Chapter: Chapter B17 - Offences Involving Misuse of Computers

Documents: Unauthorised Access Offence ('Hacking')

# **Encyclopedia of Data Protection and Privacy**

Chapter: Chapter 1 - Introduction to Data Protection and Privacy

Documents: 1-021 Protection of computers

# **Encyclopedia of Data Protection and Privacy**

Chapter: R. v. Bow Street Metropolitan Stipendiary Magistrate, ex p. Government of the United States of America

Documents: 6-643

#### Internet Law and Regulation 5th Ed.

Chapter: Chapter 12 - Prohibited and Regulated Activities

Documents: (a) - Authorisation generally

The Criminal Law of Scotland (SULI), Vol. 2, 4th Ed.

Chapter: Chapter 26 - Statutory Frauds

**Documents: Computer Misuse** 

#### 1997 WL 1105644

**End of Document** 

© 2022 Thomson Reuters.