3. The Logic of Quantified Statements (aka Predicate Logic) Summary

Aaron Tan

3. The Logic of Quantified Statements

3.1 Predicates and Quantified Statements I

- Predicate; domain; truth set
- Universal quantifier \forall , existential quantifier \exists
- Universal conditional statements; Implicit quantification

3.2 Predicates and Quantified Statements II

- Negation of quantified statements; negation of universal conditional statements
- Vacuous truth of universal statements
- Variants of universal conditional statements (contrapositive, converse, inverse)
- Necessary and sufficient conditions, only if

3.3 Statements with Multiple Quantifiers

- Negations of multiply-quantified statements; order of quantifiers
- Prolog

3.4 Arguments with Quantified Statements

• Universal instantiation; universal modus ponens; universal modus tollens

3.1 Predicates and Quantified Statements I

Definition 3.1.1 (Predicate)

A **predicate** is a sentence that contains a finite number of variables and becomes a statement when specific values are substituted for the variables.

The **domain** of a predicate variable is the set of all values that may be substituted in place of the variable.

Definition 3.1.2 (Truth set)

If P(x) is a predicate and x has domain D, the **truth set** is the set of all elements of D that make P(x) true when they are substituted for x.

The truth set of P(x) is denoted $\{x \in D \mid P(x)\}$.

Definition 3.1.3 (Universal Statement)

Let Q(x) be a predicate and D the domain of x.

A **universal statement** is a statement of the form " $\forall x \in D$, Q(x)".

- It is defined to be true iff Q(x) is true for every x in D.
- It is defined to be false iff Q(x) is false for at least one x in D.

A value for x for which Q(x) is false is called a **counterexample.**

3.1 Predicates and Quantified Statements I

Definition 3.1.4 (Existential Statement)

Let Q(x) be a predicate and D the domain of x.

An **existential statement** is a statement of the form " $\exists x \in D$ such that Q(x)".

- It is defined to be true iff Q(x) is true for at least one x in D.
- It is defined to be false iff Q(x) is false for all x in D.

∃! is the **uniqueness quantifier symbol**. It means "there exists a unique" or "there is one and only one".

Notation

Let P(x) and Q(x) be predicates and suppose the common domain of x is D.

- The notation $P(x) \Rightarrow Q(x)$ means that every element in the truth set of P(x) is in the truth set of Q(x), or, equivalently, $\forall x, P(x) \rightarrow Q(x)$.
- The notation $P(x) \Leftrightarrow Q(x)$ means that P(x) and Q(x) have identical truth sets, or, equivalently, $\forall x$, $P(x) \leftrightarrow Q(x)$.

3.2 Predicates and Quantified Statements II

Theorem 3.2.1 Negation of a Universal Statement

The **negation** of a statement of the form

$$\forall x \in D, P(x)$$

is logically equivalent to a statement of the form

$$\exists x \in D \text{ such that } ^{\sim}P(x)$$

Symbolically,

$$\sim (\forall x \in D, P(x)) \equiv \exists x \in D \text{ such that } \sim P(x)$$

Theorem 3.2.2 Negation of an Existential Statement

The **negation** of a statement of the form

$$\exists x \in D \text{ such that } P(x)$$

is logically equivalent to a statement of the form

$$\forall x \in D, ^{\sim}P(x)$$

Symbolically,

$$\sim (\exists x \in D \text{ such that } P(x)) \equiv \forall x \in D, \sim P(x)$$

3.2 Predicates and Quantified Statements II

Definition 3.2.1 (Contrapositive, converse, inverse)

Consider a statement of the form: $\forall x \in D, P(x) \rightarrow Q(x)$.

- 1. Its **contrapositive** is: $\forall x \in D$, $^{\sim}Q(x) \rightarrow ^{\sim}P(x)$.
- 2. Its **converse** is: $\forall x \in D$, $Q(x) \rightarrow P(x)$.
- 3. Its **inverse** is: $\forall x \in D$, $\sim P(x) \rightarrow \sim Q(x)$.

Definition 3.2.2 (Necessary and Sufficient conditions, Only if)

- " $\forall x, r(x)$ is a **sufficient condition** for s(x)" means " $\forall x, r(x) \rightarrow s(x)$ ".
- " $\forall x, r(x)$ is a **necessary condition** for s(x)" means " $\forall x, \neg r(x) \rightarrow \neg s(x)$ " or, equivalently, " $\forall x, s(x) \rightarrow r(x)$ ".
- " $\forall x, r(x)$ only if s(x)" means " $\forall x, \neg s(x) \rightarrow \neg r(x)$ " or, equivalently, " $\forall x, r(x) \rightarrow s(x)$ ".

3.4 Arguments with Quantified Statements

Universal Modus Ponens

Formal version

 $\forall x, P(x) \rightarrow Q(x)$. P(a) for a particular a.

 \bullet Q(a).

Informal version

If x makes P(x) true, then x makes Q(x) true. a makes P(x) true.

• a makes Q(x) true.

Universal Modus Tollens

Formal version

 $\forall x, P(x) \rightarrow Q(x)$.

 $\sim Q(a)$ for a particular a.

• $\sim P(a)$.

Informal version

If x makes P(x) true, then x makes Q(x) true.

a does not make Q(x) true.

• a does not makes P(x) true.

Definition 3.4.1 (Valid Argument Form)

To say that **an argument form is valid** means the following: No matter what particular predicates are substituted for the predicate symbols in its premises, if the resulting premise statements are all true, then the conclusion is also true.

An **argument is called valid** if, and only if, its form is valid.

3.4 Arguments with Quantified Statements

Converse Error (Quantified Form)

Formal version

 $\forall x, P(x) \rightarrow Q(x).$

Q(a) for a particular a.

 \bullet P(a).

Informal version

If x makes P(x) true, then x makes Q(x) true.

a makes Q(x) true.

• a makes P(x) true.

Inverse Error (Quantified Form)

Formal version

 $\forall x, P(x) \rightarrow Q(x).$

 $\sim P(a)$ for a particular a.

• $\sim Q(a)$.

Informal version

If x makes P(x) true, then x makes Q(x) true.

a does not make P(x) true.

• a does not make Q(x) true.

Universal Transitivity

Formal version

 $\forall x, P(x) \rightarrow Q(x).$

 $\forall x, Q(x) \rightarrow R(x).$

• $\forall x, P(x) \rightarrow R(x)$.

Informal version

Any x that makes P(x) true makes Q(x) true.

Any x that makes Q(x) true makes R(x) true.

• Any x that makes P(x) true makes R(x) true.

END OF FILE