5 / The Normal and the Pathological

EMILE DURKHEIM

society that is not confronted with the problem of criminality. Its form one particular species but in all societies of all types. There is no and the population, tended to decline, it might be believed that crime of criminality, i.e., the relation between the yearly number of crimes proportion as societies pass from the lower to the higher types, the rate in such a way as to draw upon themselves penal repression. It, in but, everywhere and always, there have been men who have behaved changes; the acts thus characterized are not the same everywhere creased. In France the increase is nearly 300 per cent. There is, then enable us to follow the course of criminality. It has everywhere inwe have no reason to believe that such a regression is substantiated while still normal, is tending to lose this character of normality. But crime itself will have abnormal forms, as, for example, when its rate is collective life. To make of crime a form of social morbidity would be to normality, since it appears closely connected with the conditions of al no phenomenon that presents more indisputably all the symptoms of attains and does not exceed, for each social type, a certain level, which the physiological and the pathological. No doubt it is possible that it is perhaps not impossible to fix in conformity with the preceding What is normal, simply, is the existence of criminality, provided that it unusually high. This excess is, indeed, undoubtedly morbid in nature direction. From the beginning of the [nineteenth] century, statistics Many facts would seem rather to indicate a movement in the opposite living organism; it would result in wiping out all distinction between hat in certain cases it grows out of the fundamental constitution of the admit that morbidity is not something accidental, but, on the contrary Crime is present not only in the majority of societies of

Here we are, then, in the presence of a conclusion in appearance quite paradoxical. Let us make no mistake. To classify crime among the phenomena of normal sociology is not to say merely that it is an inevitable, although regrettable phenomenon, due to the incorrigible wickedness of men; it is to affirm that it is a factor in public health, an integral part of all healthy societies. This result is, at first glance,

87

to find reasons explaining this normality and at the same time confirm Once this first surprise has been overcome, however, it is not difficult surprising enough to have puzzled even ourselves for a long time

would only change its form, for the very cause which would thus dry could actually be realized, crime would not thereby disappear; it offend would have to be found without exception in all individual utterly impossible. Crime, we have shown elsewhere, consists of an up the sources of criminality would immediately open up new ones. consciousnesses, and they must be found to exist with the same in which criminal acts are no longer committed, the sentiments they act that offends certain very strong collective sentiments. In a society degree as sentiments contrary to them. Assuming that this condition In the first place crime is normal because a society exempt from it is

always and uniformly respected. social strata from which murderers are recruited; but, first it must disappear, the horror of bloodshed must become greater in those nity as a whole must experience them more vividly, for it can acquire penal law of a people at a specified moment of its history to take because any sentiment seems much more respectable when it is absence of crime would directly contribute to produce this horror; individuals who formerly were the most refractory. For murderers to from no other source the greater force necessary to control these intensity greater than that which they had hitherto had. The commupossession of the public conscience or for them to acquire a stronger become greater throughout the entire society. Moreover, the very hold where they have an insufficient grip, they must acquire an Indeed, for the collective sentiments which are protected by the

against them, then, with more energy; they will be the object of offenses which, until then, touched him but lightly. He will react stronger, to the point of silencing in all consciousnesses the inclinagreater tolerance. That is why the person guilty of had taste is merely sufficient intensity to react keenly to the bad taste, it is treated with robbery; and since, in addition, the average consciousness has not altruistic sentiment, the respect for that which is another's. However, tion which disposes man to steal, he will become more sensitive to the blamed, whereas the thief is punished. But, if this sentiment grows this same sentiment is less grievously offended by bad taste than by stronger. Thus robbery and simple bad taste injure the same single weaker ones are only the prolongation, the attenuated form, of the previously gave birth to mere infraction of convention—since the forcing at the same time the more feeble states, whose violation the common consciousness cannot be thus reinforced without rein-One easily overlooks the consideration that these strong states of

which only incur public blame or civil damages, will become offenses For example, improper contracts, or contracts improperly executed, simple moral faults that they were and give them the quality of crimes. greater opprobrium, which will transform certain of them from the

As this has increased, these crimes have become more rare; and also, many acts violating this sentiment have been introduced into the penal law which were not included there in primitive times.2 Formerly, acts of violence against persons were more frequent than as criminal and will treat them as such. For the same reason, the they are today, because respect for individual dignity was less strong. perfect and upright man judges his smallest failings with a severity als. Crimes, properly so called, will there be unknown; but faults that the majority reserve for acts more truly in the nature of an offense. this society has the power to judge and punish, it will define these acts that the ordinary offense does in ordinary consciousnesses. If, then, which appear venial to the layman will create there the same scandal Imagine a society of saints, a perfect cloister of exemplary individu-

That is why, even among the lower peoples, where individual originality is very little developed, it nevertheless does exist. organism and that these organisms occupy different areas in space. vidual to the next, and consequently diversify consciousnesses. It is impossible for all to be alike, if only because each one has his own hereditary antecedents, and the social influences vary from one indimediate physical milieu in which each one of us is placed, the formity so universal and absolute is utterly impossible; for the imconsciousness of the society would be present in its entirety in all the perhaps be asked why this unanimity does not extend to all collective it—the purely conventional faults as well as the crimes. But a uniindividuals, with a vitality sufficient to prevent all acts offending sentiment gather enough energy to prevent all dissent? The moral sentiments without exception. Why should not even the most feeble In order to exhaust all the hypotheses logically possible, it will

with the energy it otherwise displays only against more considerable sensitive, more exacting; and, reacting against the slightest deviations ter. What confers this character upon them is not the intrinsic quality crimes. In other words, it will designate them as criminal. infractions, it will attribute to them the same gravity as formerly to of a given act but that definition which the collective conscience lends not differ more or less from the collective type, it is also inevitable thority practically to suppress these divergences, it will also be more them. If the collective conscience is stronger, if it has enough authat, among these divergences, there are some with a criminal charac-Thus, since there cannot be a society in which the individuals do

conditions of which it is a part are themselves indispensable to the tions of all social life, and by that very fact it is useful, because these Crime is, then, necessary; it is bound up with fundamental condi-

normal evolution of morality and law.

morality vary from one social type to the next, nor that they change order that these transformations may be possible, the collective within the same type if the conditions of life are modified. But, in structure is articulated, the more it offers a healthy resistance to all sentiments at the basis of morality must not be hostile to change, and sentiments have arrived at a degree of intensity unexampled in hisbeen fulfilled; for such a hypothesis presupposes that collective organization. If there were no crimes, this condition could not have modification; and this is equally true of functional, as of anatomical patterns, to the extent that the first pattern is inflexible. The better a they would no longer be plastic. Every pattern is an obstacle to new consequently must have but moderate energy. If they were too strong, otherwise no one would dare criticize it, and it would too easily tory. Nothing is good indefinitely and to an unlimited extent. The authority which the moral conscience enjoys must not be excessive; congeal into an immutable form. To make progress, individual origihis time, shall also be possible. One does not occur without the other. necessary that the originality of the criminal, who is below the level of nality must be able to express itself. In order that the originality of the Indeed, it is no longer possible today to dispute the fact that law and idealist whose dreams transcend his century may find expression, it is

sentiments are sufficiently flexible to take on a new form, and crime criminal, and his condemnation was no more than just. However, his times, indeed, it is only an anticipation of future morality-a step sometimes helps to determine the form they will take. How many directly prepares these changes. Where crime exists, collective the way remains open to necessary changes but that in certain cases it itself plays a useful role in this evolution. Crime implies not only that crime, namely, the independence of his thought, rendered a service toward what will bel According to Athenian law, Socrates was a to establish the freedom of thought we now enjoy if the regulations reproduced periodically in history. It would never have been possible current conditions of life. Nor is the case of Socrates unique; it is which they had lived until then were no longer in harmony with the morality and faith which the Athenians needed, since the traditions by not only to humanity but to his country. It served to prepare a new At that time, however, the violation was a crime, since it was an prohibiting it had not been violated before being solemnly abrogated offense against sentiments still very keen in the average conscience Nor is this all. Aside from this indirect utility, it happens that crime

> modern times. during the entire course of the Middle Ages and until the eve of became more necessary. Liberal philosophy had as its precursors the And yet this crime was useful as a prelude to reforms which daily heretics of all kinds who were justly punished by secular authorities

congratulation when the crime rate drops noticeably below the averated with some social disorder. Thus, the number of assault cases age level, for we may be certain that this apparent progress is associcannot be too much suppressed. There is no occasion for selfsions it arouses bear on the point of determining what the punishment and as a reaction to it, comes a revision, or the need of a revision in the never falls so low as in times of want." With the drop in the crime rate, parasitic element, a strange and unassimilable body, introduced into function must be sought elsewhere. cal at all, the object of punishment cannot be to cure it, and its true must be in order to fulfil this role of remedy. If crime is not pathologilife. Crime, for its part, must no longer be conceived as an evil that the midst of society.3 On the contrary, he plays a definite role in social the criminal no longer seems a totally unsociable being, a sort of themselves to us in an entirely new light. Contrary to current ideas its remedy and cannot be otherwise conceived; thus, all the discustheory of punishment. If, indeed, crime is a disease, its punishment is From this point of view the fundamental facts of criminality present

Notes

Calumny, insults, slander, fraud, etc.

of a failure to apply our rule (Division du travail social, pp. 395-96) We have ourselves committed the error of speaking thus of the criminal, because

dence will be better understood when we have shown, later on, the difference between psychological and sociological facts. that the criminal is an individual normally constituted from the biological and psychoogical points of view. The two questions are independent of each other. This indepen-1. From the fact that crime is a phenomenon of normal sociology, it does not follow

does crimé; and yet it is a function of normal physiology. Not only is it necessarily derived from the very constitution of every living organism, but it plays a useful role in life, for which reason it cannot be replaced. It would, then, be a singular distortion of our abhor it. Pain itself has nothing destrable about it; the individual dislikes it as society objectively and to speak of them in a different language from that of the layman. misunderstandings one exposes oneself when one undertakes to study moral facts against such an interpretation, did we not know to what strange accusations and thought to present it as an apology for crime. We would not even think of protesting 4. Although crime is a fact of normal sociology, it does not follow that we must not

```
فأرة
```

.

On the Sociology of Deviance

KAIT. ERIKSON

social reactions it evokes, one of the key focal concerns of every community, are senticontrol locate the margins between deviance and respectability. Brikson notes, trontcally ject to shift and evolution, and the interactions between deviants and agents of tocial the social poundaries of acceptability. Rather than being a fixed property internis are subway society punitshes (or fails to punish) deviant acts; in so doing we continually reduce longer attend public hangings or observe people held in stockades, we are aware of the nized by the mass media, law enforcement, and ordinary citizens. Aithough we no This classic selection examines the functions of deviance for society. Deviance and the that the very institutions and agencies mandated to manage deviance tend to reinforce it. not resorn foster the self-shiffilling prophety by which norm violators reproduce their degathering effenders together, socializing them to the skills and attitudes they need to so that people know what is acceptable and unacceptable; it bolsters cohesion and soliviance, living up to the negative images society holds of them. Brikson notes several beled, a status change that is hard to reverse. Society's expectations that deviants will fled as deviant, they undergo "commitment ceremonies," where they are negatively laknow, and allenating them from mainstream society. Once individuals have been identianteeting jobs for the people working in the deviance and crime management sector. darity, thus preserving the stability of social life; and it promotes full employment, guarvaluable functions that deviance performs in a society: it fosters boundary maintenaine

relatively small units such as the nuclear family to relatively large ones such as a nation or culture. One of the most stubborn difficulties in the such as a nation or culture. One of the most stubborn difficulties in the study of deviation is that the problem is defined differently at each one of these levels behavior that is considered unseemly within the context of a single family may be entirely acceptable to the community in general, while belief that attracts severe censure from the members of the community may be so altogether unnoticed elsewhere in the culture. People in society, then, must go altogether unnoticed elsewhere in the culture. The problem is so that the problem in his own daily activity. A man may disinherlt his son for guish among them in his own daily activity. A man may disinherlt his son for conduct that violates old family traditions or ostracize a neighbor for conduct

situations he is required to use a different set of criteria to decide whether or not the behavior in question exceeds tolerable limits these standards when he serves as a juror in a court of law. In each of the three that violates some local custom, but he is not expected to employ either of

gument applies only at that level of organization. In theory, at least, the arguunits called "communities," but the use of this term does not mean that the arment being made here should fit all kinds of human collectivity—families as nlty" is only being used in this context because it seems particularly convenient. well as whole cultures, small groups as well as nations--and the term "commu-In the next few pages we shall be talking about deviant behavior in social

one another, sharing a common splicre of experience which makes them feel a special place and provide an important point of reference for its members. of group space, the geographical and the cultural, set the community apart as ops its own "ethos" or "way" within that compass. Both of these dimensions takes over a particular niche in what might be called cultural space and develit occupies a defined region of geographical space but also in the sense that it each has a specific territory in the world as a whole, not only in the sense that language of sociology, this means that communities are boundary maintaining: that they belong to a special "kind" and live in a special "place." In the formal The people of a community spend most of their lives in close contact with

bolic set of parentheses around a certain segment of that range and limits its own activities within that narrower zone. These parentheses, so to speak, are limited range of activity, a given pattern of constancy and stability, within the that it controls the fluctuation of its consistent parts so that the whole retains a radius of activity and to regard any conduct which drifts outside that radius as then, in the sense that its members tend to confine themselves to a particular larger environment. A human community can be said to maintain boundaries, behavior can vary over an enormous range, but each community draws a symsomehow inappropriate or immoral. Thus the group retains a kind of cultural the community's boundaries. that which is strictly required for accommodation to the environment. Hunan integrity, a voluntary restriction on its own potential for expansion, beyond When one describes any system as boundary maintaining, one is saying

they occupy in social space, if only because they need to sense what lies beother in any coherent way or even acquire a sense of their own stature as group members unless they learn something about the boundaries of the tetritory of the experience which takes place within it. Yet how do people learn about yond the margins of the group before they can appreciate the special quality the boundaries of their community? And how do they convey this informs Now people who live together in communities cannot relate to one an-

actions which do the most effective job of locating and publicizing the group's which link these members together in regular social relations. And the interaries is the behavior of its members—or rather, the networks of interaction tion to the generations which replace them? outer edges would seem to be those which take place between deviant per-To begin with, the only material found in a society for marking bound-

Secretary of the secret

may be other moments in the life of the group which perform a similar serbefore it begins to lose its distinctive shape, its unique identity. Now there claring how much variability and diversity can be tolerated within the group making a statement about the nature and placement of its boundaries. It is degroup, and when the community calls him to account for that vagrancy it is deviant is a person whose activities have moved outside the margins of the sons on the one side and official agents of the community on the other. counter between the two. But on the whole, members of a community inform religious ritual, dance ceremony, and other traditional pageantry can dramation to the line separating the group from an adversary, and certain kinds of vice; wars, for instance, can publicize a group's boundaries by drawing aftenform of crininal trials, excommunication hearings, courts-martial, or even cultural integrity of the community. Whether these confrontations take the the group are met by policing agents whose special business it is to guard the one another about the placement of their boundaries by participating in the tize the difference between "we" and "they" by portuging a symbolic enis drawn between behavior that belongs in the special universe of the group psychiatric case conferences, they act as boundary-maintaining devices in the confrontations which occur when persons who venture out to the edges of occurred in the life of the legal order. past cases and decisions, a synthesis of the various confrontations which have laws were applied—and for that matter, the law itself is largely a collection of the subject has been drawn from publicized instances in which the relevant statute describing these differences. More likely than not, our information on gitimate forms of commerce, but few of them have ever seen a published for instance, have a fairly clear idea of the line separating theft from more lerelayed by the straightforward use of language. Most readers of this paragraph and behavior that does not. In general, this kind of information is not easily sense that they demonstrate to whatever audience is concerned where the line

deal of public attention. In our own past, the trial and punishment of offendtween deviant offenders and the agents of control have always attracted a good tory, but it is nonetheless true that newspapers (and now radio and television) medium of mass information. Perhaps this is no more than an accident of hispractice coincided almost exactly with the development of newspapers as a is interesting that the "reform" which brought about this change in penal the rown square or expose them to the carnival aunosphere of a Tyburn, but it ers were staged in the market place and afforded the crowd a chance to particappeal to a number of psychological perversities among the mass audience, as why they should command the extraordinary attention they do. Perhaps they voted to reports about deviant behavior and its consequences, and it is no simvisit to the local gaol. A considerable portion of what we call "news" is deoffer much the same kind of entertainment as public hangings or a Sunday juste in a direct, active way. Today, of course, we no longer parado deviants commentators have suggested, but at the same time they constitute one of our ple matter to explain why these items should be considered newsworthy or It may be important to note in this connection that confrontations be-

CHAPTER 1 ON THE SOCIOLOGY OF DEVIANCE

닯

.

it is during this meeting that the line between them is drawn. urative sense, at least, morality and immorality meet at the public scaffold, and main sources of information about the normative outlines of society. In a fig-

shifting as the people of the group find new ways to define the outer limits of Sometimes changes occur within the structure of the group which require its tering the background against which the people of the group have measured shift of mood. Sometimes changes occur in the surrounding environment, almembers to make a new survey of their territory--a change of leadership, a their universe, new ways to position themselves on the larger cultural map. their turn guarding old institutions and need to be informed about the contheir own uniqueness. And always, new generations are moving in to take tours of the world they are inheriting. Thus single encounters between the erence only so long as they are repeatedly tested by persons on the fringes of deviant and his community are only fragments of an ongoing social process. the group and repeatedly desended by persons chosen to represent the group's Like an article of common law, boundaries remain a meaningful point of refation, then, and convenes a formal ceremony to deal with the responsible ofinner morality. Each time the community moves to censure some act of devifender, it sharpens the authority of the violated norm and restates where the Boundaries are never a fixed property of any community. They are always

occurs when the machinery of society is in poor working order; but may be, boundaries of the group are located. social life. Deviant forms of behavior, by marking the outer edges of group in controlled quantities, an important condition for preserving the stability of own cultural identity. Perhaps this is what Aldous Huxley had in mind when work within which the people of the group develop an orderly sense of their life, give the inner structure its special character and thus supply the frame-For these reasons, deviant behavior is flot a simple kind of leakage which

to have too much and at too high a price. . . The good life can only be Now tidiness is undeniably good—but a good of which it is easily possible fanatically, and where efficiency is always haloed, as it were, by a tolerated lived in a society in which tidiness is preached and practised, but not too

words, that forces operate in the social structure to recruit offenders and to nized in such a way as to promote this resource? Can we assume, in other one observation can be made which gives the question an interesting perspecquestion which can be answered with our present store of empirical data, but commit them to long periods of service in the deviant ranks? This is not a derive benefit from deviant behavior, can we then assume that they are orgasociety for preventing deviance are often so poorly equipped for the task that from the very agencies devised to inhibit them. Indeed, the agencies built by tive---namely, that deviant forms of conduct often seem to derive nourishment we might well ask why this is regarded as their "real" function in the first place. margin of mess.2 This raises a delicate theoretical issue. If we grant that human groups often

CHAPTER 1 ON THE SOCIOLOGY OF DEVIANCE

such institutions gather marginal people into tightly segregated groups, give a certain advantage in the competition for social resources. But beyond this, designed to discourage deviant behavior actually operate in such a way as to vide aid and shelter to large numbers of deviant persons, sometimes giving them perpetuate it. For one thing, prisons, hospitals, and other similar agencies proof allenation from the rest of society.3 Nor, is this observation a modern one: career, and even provoke them into using these skills by reinforcing their sense them an opportunity to teach one another the skills and attitudes of a deviant It is by now a thoroughly familiar argument that many of the institutions

every sort of corruption that poverty and wickedness can generate; with practise on others the arts that are practised on himself, and to gain the himself as he can against his own remaining sensibility; endeavoring to law is spent. There are few fears, there are no blushes. The lewd inflame impudence of ignominy, the range of want, and the malignity of dispair. all the shameless and profligate enormities that can be produced by the The misery suffered in gaols is not half their evil; they are filled with the more modest; the audacious harden the timid. Everyone fortifies In a prison the check of the public eye is removed; and the power of the applause of his worst associates by imitating their mainters.

prisons, but many of the conditions they describe continue to be reported in are often reluctant to devote much of the community's resources to the job of we are a people who do not really expect deviants to change very much as we exped the prison to harden the inmate's commitment to deviant forms of Perhaps we find it difficult to change the worst of our pend practices because but the very consistency of this failute may have a peculiar logic of its own. a conspicuously poor job of reforming the convicts placed in their custody; long-range historical perspective, it is fair to conclude that prisons have done even the most modern studies of prison life. Looking at the matter from a plished criminals (or, for that matter, the state asylum which stores its most serehabilitation. In this sense, the prison which graduates long rows of accomthey are processed through the control agencies we provide for them, and we behavior and draw him more deeply into the deviant ranks. On the whole founders; but it does very little violence to the expectations of the population vere cases away in some back ward) may do serious violence to the aims of its These lines, written almost two centuries ago, are a harsh indictment

constitute an important part of the climate in which we deal with deviant forms of behavior. These expectations, moreover, are found in every corner of society and

one of its members is not a simple act of censure. It is an intricate rite of trantransferring him into a special deviant position. The ceremonies which mark sition, at once moving the individual out of his ordinary place in society and this change of status, generally, have a mumber of related phases. They supply a formal stage on which the deviant and his community can confront one To begin with, the community's decision to bring deviant sanctions against

⇉

another (as in the criminal trial); they make an announcement about the nature of his deviancy (a verdict or diagnosis, for example); and they place him in a particular role which is thought to neutralize the harmful effects of his in a particular role which is thought to neutralize the harmful effects of his misconduct (like the role of prisoner or patient). These commitment ceremonies tend to be occasions of wide public interest and ordinarily take place in a highly dramatic setting. Perhaps the most obvious example of a commitment ceremony is the criminal trial, with its elaborate formality and exaggerment ceremony is the criminal trial, with its elaborate formality and exaggerated ritual, but more modest equivalents can be found wherever procedures are set up to judge whether or not someone is legitimately deviant.

Now an important feature of these ceremonies in our own culture is that they are almost irreversible. Most provisional roles conferred by society—those of the student or conscripted soldier, for example—include some kind of ternial ceremony to mark the individual's movement back out of the role once his temporary advantages have been exhausted. But the roles allotted the deviant seldom make allowance for this type of passage. He is unbreed into the viant seldom make allowance for this type of passage. He is thered into the viant sociation by a decisive and often dramatic ceremony, yet is retired from deviant position by a decisive and often dramatic ceremony, yet is retired from the with scarcely a word of public notice. And as a result, the deviant often returns home with no proper license to resume a normal life in the community. Nothing has happened to cancel out the stigmas imposed upon him by earlier communitument ceremonies; nothing has happened to revoke the verdict or diagnosis pronounced upon him at that time, it should not be surprising, then, nosis pronounced upon him at that time, it should not be surprising, then, that the people of the community are apt to greet the returning deviant with that the people of the community are apt to greet the returning deviant with that the people of the community are apt to greet the returning deviant with the people of the community are apt to greet the returning deviant with the people of the community are apt to greet the returning deviant with the people of the community are apt to greet the returning deviant with the people of the community are apt to greet the returning deviant with the people of the community are apt to greet the returning deviant with the people of the community are apt to greet the returning deviant with the people of the community are apt to greet the returning deviant with the people of the community are apt to greet the returning the people of the community are apt to greet the returning the people of the community are apt to greet the

A circularity is thus set into motion which has all the earmarks of a "self-fulfilling prophesy," to use Merton's fine phrase. On the one hand, it seems fulfilling prophesy," to use Merton's apprehensions, help reduce whatever quite obvious that the community's apprehensions, help reduce whatever chances the deviant might otherwise have had for a successful return home. Yet at the same time, everyday experience seems to show that these suspicions are wholly reasonable, for it is a well-known and highly publicized fact that many if not most ex-convicts return to ctime after leaving prison and that harge numbers of mental patients require further treatment after an initial host large numbers of mental patients require further treatment after an initial host large numbers of mental patients require further treatment after an initial host large numbers of mental patients require further treatment after an initial host large numbers of mental patients require further treatment after an initial host large numbers of mental patients require further treatment after an initial host large numbers of mental patients require further treatment after an initial host large numbers of mental patients require further treatment after an initial host large numbers of mental patients require further treatment after an initial host large numbers of mental patients and that the continuity of the returning deviant encounters this circular-tipy often enough, it is quite understandable that he, too, may begin to wonder ty often enough, it is quite understandable that he, too, may begin to wonder the uncertainty by reauming some kind of deviant role, and he may respond to whether he has fully graduated from the deviant role, and he may respond to the uncertainty by reauming some kind of deviant activity. In many respects, this may be the only way for the individual and his community to agree what this may be the only way for the individual and his community.

kind of person he is.

Moreover this prophesy is found in the official policies of even the most Moreover this prophesy is found in the official policies of control. Police departments could not operate with responsible agencies of control. Police departments could not operate with responsible agencies of they did not regard ex-convicts as a ready pool of susany real effectiveness if they did not regard ex-convicts as a ready pool of susany real effectiveness if they did not possibilial control of the community if they were not always alert to the possibilial coccessful job in the community if they were not always alert to the possibilial coccessful job in the community if they were not always alert to the possibilial coccessful job in the community if they were not always alert to the possibilial coccessful job in the community if they were not always alert to the possibilial coccessful job in the community if they were not always alert to the possibilial coccessful job in the community if they were not always alert to the possibilial coccessful job in the community if they were not always alert to the possibilial coccessful job in the community if they were not always alert to the possibilial coccessful job in the community if they were not always alert to the possibilial coccessful job in the community if they were not always alert to the possibilial coccessful job in the community if they were not always alert to the possibilial coccessful job in the community if they were not always alert to the possibilial coccessful job in the community if they were not always alert to the possibilial coccessful job in the community if they were not always alert to the possibilial coccessful job in the community if they were not always alert to the possibilial coccessful job in the community if they were not always alert to the possibilial coccessful job in the community if they were not always all the possibility always all the possibility always all the possibility always all the possibility always all the possibility

ity of former patients suffering relapses. Thus the prophesy gains currency at many levels within the social order, not only in the poorly informed attitudes of the community at large, but in the best informed theories of most control agencies at well.

In one form or another this problem has been recognized in the West for many hundreds of years, and this simple fact has a curious implication. For if our culture has supported a steady flow of deviation throughout long periods of historical change, the rules which apply to any kind of evolutionary thinking would suggest that strong forces must be at work to keep the flow intaction and this because it contributes in some important way to the survival of the culture as a whole. This does not furnish us with sufficient warrant to declare that deviance is "functional" (in any of the many senses of that term), but it should certainly make us wary of the assumption so often made in sociological circles that any well-structured society is somelhow designed to prevent deviant behavior from occurring.⁷

fluence of the social structure. groups manage to achieve must be explained by referring to the molding inbeing too natural to require comment, but that the symmetry which human how we often act as if the differences between people can be taken for granted unity out of diversity, harmony out of conflict, order out of confusion? Some-How is it, in short, that cultures accomplish the incredible alchemy of making ties, to move by the same rhythms of behavior, to see life with the same eyes it that people learn to think in similar ways, to accept the same group morali addressed to the uniformities rather than the divergencies of social life: how in tions which sociologists have traditionally asked of their data, then, are together into tight clusters called "groups" and bringing them under the juseem to assett a centralizing influence on human behavior, gathering people gists have devoted most of their attention to those forces in society which ing about deviance onto a different plane. On the whole, American sociolorisdiction of governing principles called "norms" or "standards." The quesit might be then argued that we need new metaphors to carry our think

But variety, too, is a product of the social structure. It is certainly remarkable that members of a culture come to look so much alike; but it is also remarkable that out of all this sameness a people can develop a complex division of labor, move off into diverging career lines, scatter, across the surface of the territory they share in common, and create so many differences of temper, ideology, fashior, and mood. Perhaps we can conclude, then, that two separate yet often competing currents are found in any society: those forces which promote a high degree of conformity among the people of the community so that they know what to expect from one another, and those forces which encourage a cerrain degree of diversity so that people can be deployed across the range of group space to survey its potential, measure its capacity, and, in the case of those we call deviants, pattol its boundaries. In such a scheme, the deviate would appear as a natural product of group differentiation. He is not a bit of debris spun out by faulty social machinery, but a relevant figure in the community's overall division of labor.

277.77

general notion presented here was concerned with the study of small groups. See Itabest A. Denler and Kai T. Erikson. "The Functions of Devlance in Groups." Social Problems, VII (Fall 1959). рр. 98--107. 1. In fact, the first statement of the

2. Aldous Huxley, Phions: The "Carcei" Bichings by Phanusi (London: The Trianon Press, 1949), p. 13.

Fress, 1979, 1970, 4. Written by "2 celebrated" but not otherwise identified author (perhaps

1929), p. 10.

5. The classic description of this process as it applies to the medical patient is found in Talcott Parsons, The Social System (Clencoe, Ill.: The Pres Press, 1951).

sociological thinking, takes the question sociological thinking, takes the question quite for grainted: "It would seem that the quite for grainted: "It would seem that the control of deviant behavior is, by definition, a culture goal." See "The Study definition, a culture goal." See "The Study of Social Disorganization and Deviant of Social Disorganization and Deviant Fleinvior." In Marton, et al., Sociology Taday (New York: Basic Books, 1959), p. 465. speaking for a dominant strain in

Henry Fielding) and quoted in John Howard, The State of the Prison, London, 1777 (London: J. M. Dent and Sons.

pp. 420-424.

7. Albert K., Cohen, for example,