Statistics 305/605: Introduction to Biostatistical Methods for Health Sciences

Chapter 20, part 2: Inference in Logistic Regression

Jinko Graham

2018-11-28

Inference in Logistic Regression

- ▶ In logistic regression, the log-odds of the outcome is modeled by the straight-line relationship $\alpha + \beta_1 X_1$.
- ▶ The intercept α is not typically of interest; instead we focus on β_1 because it summarizes the effect of X_1 on Y.
- It turns out that the sampling distribution of $\hat{\beta}_1$ is approximately normal with mean β_1 and SD that depends on α and β_1 .
- Let $SE(\hat{\beta}_1)$ denote the SE of $\hat{\beta}_1$, obtained by inserting parameter estimates $\hat{\alpha}$ and $\hat{\beta}_1$ into the SD formula for $\hat{\beta}_1$.
- ► For large samples, the pivotal quantity has an approximate standard-normal distribution; i.e.,

$$rac{\hat{eta}_1 - eta_1}{SE(\hat{eta}_1)} \sim \mathcal{N}(0,1)$$

Hypothesis tests and Cls follow in the usual way.

Dataset

▶ We'll be working with the bpd dataframe of low-birthweight babies from the neonatal ICU of a large hospital:

head(bpd)

```
##
    bpd birthwt gestage toxemia steroid
## 1
      1
           850
                   27
## 2
          1500
                   33
        1360
               32
## 3
      0 960
                35
          1560
                 33
          1120
## 6
      0
                   29
```

- ▶ The bpd dataframe has a variable bpd indicating whether the baby had bronchopulmonary dysplasia.
 - This condition results from damage to the lungs caused by a respirator and long-term use of oxygen.
 - Most infants recover, but some may have long-term breathing difficulty.

Logistic Regression of BPD on Birth Weight

bfit <- glm(bpd-birthwt,data=bpd,family=binomial())
summary(bfit)\$coefficients</pre>

```
## Estimate Std. Error z value Pr(>|z|)
## (Intercept) 4.03429128 0.6957120604 5.798795 6.679332e-09
## birthwt -0.00422914 0.0006407678 -6.600112 4.108460e-11
```

- \triangleright β_1 is the increase in the log-odds of BPD associated with a one-gram increase in birthweight.
- ▶ If $\beta_1 = 0$, then the log-odds of BPD don't change with birthweight and so BPD and birthweight are not associated.
- ▶ To assess whether BPD is associated with birthweight, we test the hypotheses that $H_0: \beta_1 = 0$ vs. $H_a: \beta_1 \neq 0$
- ▶ The estimate of β_1 is $\hat{\beta}_1 = -0.0042$ with SE 0.00064.
- The test statistic is

$$\frac{\hat{\beta}_1 - 0}{SE(\hat{\beta}_1)} = \frac{-0.0042 - 0}{0.00064} = -6.6,$$

which gives a tiny pvalue. Strong statistical evidence that BPD is associated with birth weight.

Approximate 95% Cls

- We will obtain 95% Cl's for the following:
 - \blacktriangleright β_1 , the increase in the log-odds of BPD associated with a one-gram increase in birthweight, and
 - e^{β_1} , the factor by which the odds of BPD changes with a one-gram increase in birthweight (an OR)
- ▶ An approximate 95% CI for β_1 is

$$\hat{\beta}_1 \pm z^* \times SE(\hat{\beta}_1) = \hat{\beta}_1 \pm 1.96 \times SE(\hat{\beta}_1);$$

i.e., estimate \pm margin of error.

▶ For the BPD data, the 95% CI for β_1 is

$$-0.0042 \pm 1.96 \times 0.00064 = (-0.0055, -0.0029)$$

▶ The 95% CI for e^{β_1} is obtained by exponentiating and so is

$$(e^{-0.0055}, e^{-0.0029}) = (.995, .997)$$

But CIs from R are obtained differently

- ► The confint() function in R, when applied to a glm()-fitted object (such as bfit), gets the Cls for logistic-regression coefficients differently.
- Its CIs are based on inverting hypothesis tests directly:
 - ▶ E.G., A 95% CI is the set of all β_1 values, b, retained in a test of $H_0: \beta_1 = b$ vs. $H_a: \beta_1 \neq b$ at the 5% level, with the data at hand.
 - Recall: Same approach used by mantelhaen.test() to get its CI (see Ch16 notes, pg 23).

Comparing CI methods

- In this example, the CI for e^{β_1} from applying confint() to the glm()-fitted object is (0.994,0.997), which is very similar to the CI of (0.995,0.997) for the pivotal-quantity method.
- Know how to extract what is needed from the coefficients summary below to calculate a CI for the logistic-regression coefficients using the pivotal-quantity method.

summary(bfit)\$coefficients

```
## Estimate Std. Error z value Pr(>|z|)
## (Intercept) 4.03429128 0.6957120604 5.798795 6.679332e-09
## birthwt -0.00422914 0.0006407678 -6.600112 4.108460e-11
```

- ▶ Need the coefficient estimate, its SE and the critical value z^* .
- ▶ The critical value will be provided; for 95% Cls it is 1.96.

Interpreting the CI

- Also, know how to interpret the CI from confint().
 - e.g., the birthwt row of confint(bfit) gives us the 95% CI for β_1 , the slope coefficient for birthwt.

```
confint(bfit)["birthwt",]

## 2.5 % 97.5 %
## -0.005565106 -0.003040923
```

▶ To get the 95% CI for the OR, e^{β_1} , exponentiate the above CI for β_1 :

```
exp(confint(bfit)["birthwt",])

## 2.5 % 97.5 %
## 0.9944504 0.9969637
```

▶ Interpretation: "With 95% confidence, an increase in birthweight of 1 gram is associated with an estimated change in the odds of BPD by a factor of between 0.994 and 0.997."

Example with Binary Explanatory Variable

► The bpd dataframe also has a column for the toxemia status of the baby's mother (1 if she was toxic and 0 if not)

```
head(bpd, n=3)

## bpd birthwt gestage toxemia steroid
## 1 1 850 27 0 0
## 2 0 1500 33 0 0
## 3 1 1360 32 0 0
```

Logistic regression of BPD on the binary variable toxemia:

```
bfit2 <- glm(bpd~toxemia,data=bpd,family=binomial)
summary(bfit2)$coefficients</pre>
```

```
## Estimate Std. Error z value Pr(>|z|)
## (Intercept) -0.5717863 0.1494999 -3.824660 0.0001309529
## toxemia -0.7719484 0.4821774 -1.600964 0.1093849689
```

CI for the Toxemia Effect

- ▶ Recall: For a binary exposure, X_1 , e^{β_1} is the odds-ratio (OR) for exposed *vs.* unexposed groups.
- In this example, e^{β_1} is the ratio of the odds of BPD given toxemia divided by the odds of BPD given no toxemia

```
confint(bfit2)["toxemia",] #CI for beta1, the log-OR

## 2.5 % 97.5 %
## -1.8057010 0.1162591

exp(confint(bfit2)["toxemia",]) # CI for OR

## 2.5 % 97.5 %
## 0.1643592 1.1232868
```

▶ An approximate 95% CI for this OR is (0.164, 1.12):