Statistics 305/605: Introduction to Biostatistical Methods for Health Sciences

Chapter 15, part 3: McNemar's Test (take 2)

Jinko Graham

2018-10-24

Paired Data and McNemar's Test

- The chi-square test is not appropriate when the study is designed to collect paired data.
- Example: Study of smoking habits over time.
  - A random sample of 2110 people were questioned about smoking status in 1980 and again in 1982.
  - Are smoking status and year associated? i.e, does the population proportion of smokers differ by year?
  - Test $H_0 : p_{1980} - p_{1982} = 0$ vs. $H_a : p_{1980} - p_{1982} \neq 0$, where p_{1980} and p_{1982} are the population proportions of smokers in 1980 and 1982, respectively.
- The data might look as follows:

	Smoking	
person	1980	1982
1	no	yes
2	no	no
3	yes	no
⋮	⋮	⋮
2110	yes	yes

- The research question is about the association between smoking status and year. So we might arrange the data in a table as:

		Year	
		1980	1982	
Smoke	Yes	717	696	1413
	No	1393	1414	2807
		2110	2110	4220

- But this table is misleading: the 4220 observations that it reports are from 2110 double-counted people.
- The 4220 observations counted in the table are not independent, but rather paired observations from just 2110 people.

- To clarify the paired nature of the data, they are typically arranged as:

		1982 (after)		
		Smoker	Nonsmoker	
1980 (before)	Smoker	620	97	717
	Nonsmoker	76	1317	1393
		696	1414	2110

- The observations that are counted in the cells of this table are people and are independent.
- If we were to apply a chi-square test to this table, we'd be testing whether a person's smoking statuses in 1982 and 1980 are associated.

- The chi-square test addresses the question: "Is a person's 1982 smoking status independent of their 1980 smoking status?", or

$$H_0 : P(\text{1982 smoker}|\text{1980 smoker}) = P(\text{1982 smoker}|\text{1980 non-smoker})$$

vs.

$$H_a : P(\text{1982 smoker}|\text{1980 smoker}) \neq P(\text{1982 smoker}|\text{1980 non-smoker})$$

- **Not** the research question we're interested in.
- Want to test whether or not the population proportion of smokers in 1980, p_{1980}, is the same as the population proportion of smokers in 1982, p_{1982}; i.e., test

$$H_0 : p_{1982} = p_{1980} \text{ vs. } H_a : p_{1982} \neq p_{1980}.$$

How to use the table to test our hypotheses?

		1982 (after) Smoker	Nonsmoker	
1980 (before)	Smoker	620	$r = 97$	717
	Nonsmoker	$s = 76$	1317	1393
		696	1414	$n = 2110$

- Our sample of 2110 individuals has 620 continuing smokers, $r = 97$ quitters and $s = 76$ starters in 1982.
- In 1980 and 1982, the sample proportions of smokers are, respectively,
  - $\hat{p}_{1980} = (620 + r)/n = (620 + 97)/2110$
  - $\hat{p}_{1982} = (620 + s)/n = (620 + 76)/2110$
- So, $\hat{p}_{1980} \neq \hat{p}_{1982}$ when $r \neq s$; or when $\hat{p}_{start} \neq \hat{p}_{quit}$, where
  - $\hat{p}_{start} = s/n$ is the proportion starting in 1982
  - $\hat{p}_{quit} = r/n$ is the proportion quitting in 1982.
- Re-express $H_0 : p_{1980} - p_{1982} = 0$ vs. $H_a : p_{1980} - p_{1982} \neq 0$ as
  - $H_0' : p_{quit} = p_{start}$ vs. $H_a' : p_{quit} \neq p_{start}$.

McNemar's Test

- Base the hypothesis test of

$$H_0' : p_{quit} = p_{start} \text{ vs. } H_a' : p_{quit} \neq p_{start}$$

  on the difference in observed proportions

$$\hat{p}_{start} - \hat{p}_{quit} = (r - s)/n$$

  and its standard error.
- Skip the derivation but the test statistic ends up being:

$$X^2 = \frac{(r-s)^2}{r+s} \sim \chi_1^2.$$

- If the number of quitters, r, is very different from the number of starters, s, the statistic X^2 is **big** and we reject H_0 in favour of H_a.
- An alternate form that uses a continuity correction for small samples (text, page 351) is

$$X^2 = \frac{(|r-s|-1)^2}{r+s} \sim \chi_1^2.$$

McNemar's Test for the Smoking Data

- We have $r = 97$ and $s = 76$. The test statistic with continuity correction is
$$\frac{(|97 - 76| - 1)^2}{97 + 76} = 2.31$$
and the corresponding p-value is 0.128 (see R demo).

- Taking $\alpha = .05$, there is insufficient statistical evidence to conclude that smoking status is associated with year (the pvalue 0.128 is > 0.05).

Notes

		1982 (after)		
		Smoker	Nonsmoker	
1980 (before)	Smoker	620	$r = 97$	717
	Nonsmoker	$s = 76$	1317	1393
		696	1414	$n = 2110$

- In the smoking-example table,
  - Cells with the same before- and after-status of the subject are called *concordant*.
  - Cells with different before- and after-status are called *discordant*.
- In general, cells that are diagonal entries are *concordant* and cells that are off-diagonal entries are *discordant*.
- Note that McNemar's test is a contrast between the discordant cells only, and ignores the concordant cells.

Other Examples of Paired Data

- Scoring individuals from the same matched pair.
  - e.g. case-control pairs in which the control has been matched to the case on a number of characteristics.
- Scoring the same experimental unit with two different techniques
- Ratings of the same experimental unit by two different raters
- Scoring genetic variants from the same parent for transmission and non-transmission to an offspring.

Example: Transmission/Disequilibrium Test (TDT)

- Spielman *et al.*, 1993 click applied McNemar's test to a problem in medical genetics.
- DNA segments that are physically close together on a chromosome, or "genetically linked", tend to be co-transmitted from parent to offspring.
  - A DNA marker that is physically close to a disease-causing mutation tends to be co-transmitted with the disease.
- Application to autoimmune or type 1 diabetes (T1D):
  - Is the DNA marker 5'FP near the insulin gene genetically linked to a disease-causing mutation?
  - If so, certain variants of 5'FP will be over-represented in transmissions from parents to children affected by T1D.

Diabetes Data from Spielman *et al.*

- The DNA marker had two variants, “1” and “X”.
- Study of 124 parents of children with T1D
  - All parents selected to carry a 1 and an X at the DNA marker.
- Is the “1” variant of the DNA marker associated with being transmitted?
- The dataset has
  - a row for each parent, and
  - two columns, one for the variant that was transmitted from the parent to the affected child, and one for the variant that was not transmitted.

```
##   transmitted untransmitted
## 1           1             X
## 2           1             X
## 3           1             X
## 4           1             X
## 5           1             X
## 6           1             X
```

McNemar's test on T1D Data

- Cross-tabulating `transmitted` and `untransmitted` variables:

```
##            untransmitted
## transmitted  1  X
##           1  0 78
##           X 46  0
```

- Use McNemar's test (see R Demo) to assess whether the proportion of "1" variants in the population of transmitted variants is the same as the proportion of "1" variants in the population of untransmitted variants:

```
##
##  McNemar's Chi-squared test
##
## data:  tt
## McNemar's chi-squared = 8.2581, df = 1, p-value = 0.004057
```

- Strong evidence that the "1" variant is preferentially transmitted over the "X" variant to the affected child.
  $\rightarrow$ The DNA marker 5'FP is linked to T1D.