

## WHAT PEOPLE ARE SAYING ABOUT CALLS FOR A NUCLEAR POWER GENERATION BAILOUT AT THE FEDERAL OR STATE LEVEL

**“It’s hard to declare an emergency in PJM when you have a high reserve margin.”**

**Christopher Crane, CEO, Exelon Corp.**  
*Utility Dive*

“Older people on fixed or low incomes already struggle just to make ends meet. It’s plainly inappropriate to ask them to unnecessarily hand over more of their hard-earned money to large, already profitable power companies.”

**William Johnston-Walsh, state director, AARP Pennsylvania**  
*PR Newswire*

“More efficient and affordable power generating resources have lowered energy costs and are providing a new lifeline to Pennsylvania’s manufacturers. Now that Pennsylvania’s manufacturers are experiencing a competitive advantage based upon energy costs, we cannot afford subsidies to nuclear generation owners that will risk tens of thousands of good manufacturing jobs.”

**Rod Williamson, executive director, Industrial Energy Consumers of Pennsylvania**  
*PR Newswire*

“The competitive markets have worked really well here, and when you start interfering with those markets by picking winners and losers in Harrisburg, ultimately that’s not the system we should aspire to. We should aspire to have a system where consumers are empowered to pick where their electricity comes from.”

**Glen Thomas, president, GT Power Group, and former PA Public Utility Commission chairman**  
*ABC27 - “This Week in Pennsylvania”*

“Unprecedented government intervention in the energy markets to support high-cost generation will hurt customers by taking more money out of their pockets rather than letting people keep more of what they earn.”

**Todd Snitchler, American Petroleum Institute Market Development Group director (API)**  
*API News Release*

“The PJM electrical grid is more reliable than ever, with 23 percent reserve margins and billions of dollars of new investment. All of this is occurring while emissions are decreasing and wholesale prices are at historic lows for the 65 million customers we serve.”

**PJM Interconnection**  
*Toledo Blade*

"This proposed federal action is a bell that cannot be called back once it is rung. Forever more, suppliers and consumers will be at the whim of the fuel preferences of whoever happens to be in office."

**Electric Power Supply Association**  
*POWER Magazine*

"We're very concerned to have consumer money subsidize plants. It's never good when government starts picking and choosing winners and losers."

**Mauricio Gutierrez, CEO, NRG**  
*Reuters*

"Pennsylvania's deregulated electricity choice has produced a competitive energy marketplace with prices below the national average. Why would anyone want to disrupt that?"

**David Taylor, president and CEO, Pennsylvania Manufacturers' Association**  
*PennLive Guest Editorial*

"Forcing the purchase of overpriced and non-competitive nuclear and coal power also would crowd out renewables, leaving the US farther behind in wind, solar and energy storage technology development and use."

**Nuclear Information and Resource Service**  
*S&P Global*

"Instead of picking winners and losers in the energy market and forcing Pennsylvania families to pay more to bail out a private company, lawmakers should enact policies that increase competition to 'maintain a diverse mix of fuel types' and lower energy prices."

**Beth Anne Mumford, state director, Americans for Prosperity-Pennsylvania**  
*Middletown Press & Journal*

"If consumers already paid to build the nuclear plants, and then paid off the debt on the nuclear plants, why does TMI need yet another bailout? What happened to all the money collected from consumers under the Competitive Transition Charge over 10 years?"

**Eric Epstein, chairman, Three Mile Island Alert**  
*PennLive Guest Editorial*

"It's really just a tax on customers, and if you want to tax customers to do favors for friends, be honest about it."

**Nora Mead Brownell, Former FERC and PA PUC commissioner**  
*Utility Dive*