Assignment 3: Quantum computing

Wang Dingrui

November 2, 2023

1 EXERCISE 1: CLASSICAL MIXTURE VS COHER-ENT SUPERPOSITION

Let's assume we have two states, state 1 and state 2. There is a fundamental conceptual difference for a system to be in a coherent superposition of two distinct states or to be in a classical mixture of them. In the former case, the system is, at the same time, both in state 1 and in state 2, while in the latter the system is either in state 1 or in state 2. This conceptual difference is then made manifest by a different mathematical representation.

1. Write the pure qubit state $\rho = |\psi\rangle\langle\psi|$ representing a coherent superposition of state $|0\rangle$ and state $|1\rangle$ with generic amplitudes α and β , both in bra-ket notation and in matrix form. (2 points)

Solution:
$$|\psi\rangle = \alpha |0\rangle + \beta |1\rangle$$

 $\rho = |\psi\rangle \langle \psi| = (\alpha |0\rangle + \beta |1\rangle)(\alpha^* \langle 0| + \beta^* \langle 1|) = \alpha \alpha^* |0\rangle \langle 0| + \alpha \beta^* |0\rangle \langle 1| + \beta \alpha^* |1\rangle \langle 0| + \beta \beta^* |1\rangle \langle 1| = \begin{pmatrix} \alpha \\ \beta \end{pmatrix} (\alpha^* - \beta^*) = \begin{pmatrix} \alpha \alpha^* - \alpha \beta^* \\ \beta \alpha^* - \beta \beta^* \end{pmatrix}$

2. Write the state σ representing a classical mixture of state $|0\rangle$ and state $|1\rangle$ with probabilities $|\alpha|^2$ and $|\beta|^2$, both in bra-ket notation and in matrix form. (2 points)

Solution:
$$\sigma = |\alpha|^2 |0\rangle \langle 0| + |\beta|^2 |1\rangle \langle 1| = \begin{pmatrix} |\alpha|^2 & 0 \\ 0 & |\beta|^2 \end{pmatrix}$$

3. Given the POVM $M := \{M_0, M_1\}$, where $M_i = |i\rangle\langle i|$ for i = 0, 1, is it possible to distinguish the two states ρ and σ based on the outcome probabilities of the above measurement M? (4 points)

Solution:
$$p_{\rho 0} = Tr(M_0 \rho) = Tr(|0\rangle \langle 0| (\alpha \alpha^* |0\rangle \langle 0| + \alpha \beta^* |0\rangle \langle 1| + \beta \alpha^* |1\rangle \langle 0| + \beta \beta^* |1\rangle \langle 1|)) = Tr(\alpha \alpha^* |0\rangle \langle 0| + \alpha \beta^* |0\rangle \langle 1|) = \alpha \alpha^* = |\alpha|^2$$

$$p_{\rho 1} = Tr(M_1 \rho) = Tr(|1\rangle \langle 1| (\alpha \alpha^* |0\rangle \langle 0| + \alpha \beta^* |0\rangle \langle 1| + \beta \alpha^* |1\rangle \langle 0| + \beta \beta^* |1\rangle \langle 1|)) = Tr(\beta \alpha^* |1\rangle \langle 0| + \beta \beta^* |1\rangle \langle 1|) = \beta \beta^* = |\beta|^2$$

$$p_{\sigma 0} = Tr(M_0 \sigma) = Tr(|0\rangle \langle 0| (|\alpha|^2 |0\rangle \langle 0| + |\beta|^2 |1\rangle \langle 1|)) = Tr(|\alpha|^2 |0\rangle \langle 0|) = |\alpha|^2$$

$$p_{\sigma 1} = Tr(M_1 \sigma) = Tr(|1\rangle \langle 1| (|\alpha|^2 |0\rangle \langle 0| + |\beta|^2 |1\rangle \langle 1|)) = Tr(|\beta|^2 |1\rangle \langle 1|) = |\beta|^2$$

So, it is impossible to distinguish the two states ρ and σ based on the outcome probabilities of the above measurement M.

A quantum physicist in a laboratory cannot directly read the mathematical representation of a quantum state. In fact, he needs to perform experiments, i.e. measurements, to obtain the state of a system. However, it looks like it is not straightforward to distinguish between ρ and σ , at least not through the measurement M in the computational basis.

4. Given the state $|\phi\rangle := \gamma |0\rangle + \delta |1\rangle$, calculate the overlap squared between $|\phi\rangle$ and $|\psi\rangle$, namely $|\langle \phi | \psi \rangle|^2$. For which values of γ and δ is there the maximum overlap? (4 points)

Solution:
$$\langle \phi | \psi \rangle = (\gamma^* \langle 0| + \sigma^* \langle 0|)(\alpha | 0) + \beta | 1 \rangle) = \gamma^* \alpha + \delta^* \beta$$

 $|\langle \phi | \psi \rangle|^2 = (\gamma^* \alpha + \delta^* \beta)(\gamma \alpha^* + \delta \beta^*) = |\gamma|^2 |\alpha|^2 + |\delta|^2 |\beta|^2 + \gamma^* \delta \alpha \beta^* + \gamma \delta^* \alpha^* \beta$

To get the maximum overlap, we have $\gamma = \alpha$ and $\delta = \beta$.

5. Build a two-outcome measurement $N = \{N_0, N_1\}$ such that the probability of having outcome "0" on ρ is equal to 1 and the probability of having outcome "1" on ρ is equal to 0. Express the POVM elements N_0 and N_1 explicitly in bra-ket notation and in matrix form. Hint: A measurement is a POVM, namely a collection of positive semi-definite hermitian matrices, that sum up to the identity. Density operators/matrices are an example of matrices that are positive and semi-definite. (6 points)

Solution:

6. Suppose that our quantum physicist is given many copies of either state ρ or state σ . What can he do to find out if he had received many copies of state ρ or of state σ ? Hint: The assumption of having many copies is crucial! There is no way he can do it in a single shot. (4 points)

Solution:

2 EXERCISE 2: QUANTUM FOURIER TRANSFORM

We defined the Quantum Fourier Transform (QFT) as the operator $U_{QFT} = \frac{1}{\sqrt{N}} \sum_{m,n=0}^{N-1} e^{\frac{2\pi i m n}{N}} |n\rangle\langle m|$, which transforms a state as $|x\rangle \to U_{QFT}|x\rangle = |y\rangle$.

1. Compute explicitly the QFT of the states $|0\rangle$, $|1\rangle$, and $|\circlearrowright\rangle = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}(|0\rangle + i|1\rangle)$? Express the answer in the computational basis $\{|0\rangle, |1\rangle\}$. (6 points)

Solution:

$$\begin{split} &U_{QFT} \left| 0 \right> = \frac{1}{\sqrt{N}} \sum_{m,n=0}^{N-1} e^{\frac{2\pi i m n}{2}} \left| n \right> \left< m \right| \left| 0 \right> = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \sum_{n=0}^{1} e^{\frac{2\pi i \times 0 \times n}{2}} \left| n \right> = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} (\left| 0 \right> + \left| 1 \right>) \\ &U_{QFT} \left| 1 \right> = \frac{1}{\sqrt{N}} \sum_{m,n=0}^{N-1} e^{\frac{2\pi i m n}{2}} \left| n \right> \left< m \right| \left| 1 \right> = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \sum_{n=0}^{1} e^{\frac{2\pi i \times 1 \times n}{2}} \left| n \right> = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} (\left| 0 \right> - \left| 1 \right>) \\ &U_{QFT} \left| \circlearrowleft \right> = U_{QFT} \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} (\left| 0 \right> + i \left| 1 \right>) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \sum_{m,n=0}^{1} e^{\pi i m n} \left| n \right> \left< m \right| \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} (\left| 0 \right> + i \left| 1 \right>) = \frac{1}{2} \sum_{n=0}^{1} e^{\pi i n} \left| n \right> + \frac{i}{2} \sum_{n=0}^{1} e^{\pi i n} \left| n \right> = \frac{1}{2} (\left| 0 \right> + \left| 1 \right>) + \frac{i}{2} (\left| 0 \right> - \left| 1 \right>) = \frac{1+i}{2} \left| 0 \right> + \frac{1-i}{2} \left| 1 \right> \end{split}$$

2. Compute the quantum Fourier transform of the n-qubit state $|00...0\rangle$. Simplify your expression.

Solution:

$$U_{QFT} |00...0\rangle = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2^n}} \sum_{j,k=0}^{2^n-1} e^{2\pi i \frac{jk}{2^n}} |j\rangle \langle k| |00...0\rangle = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2^n}} \sum_{j=0}^{2^n-1} |j\rangle$$

3. Write the explicit matrix of the QFT for transforming (i) one qubit, and (ii) two qubits. Which gate does the single-qubit matrix correspond to? Hint: the following identities might be useful. $e^{2\pi i} = 1$, $e^{\pi i} = -1$, $e^{\pi i/2} = i$. (6 points)

Solution:

(i)
$$U_{QFT} = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \sum_{m,n=0}^{1} e^{\frac{2\pi i m n}{2}} |n\rangle \langle m| = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \sum_{m,n=0} e^{\pi i m n} |n\rangle \langle m| = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 1 \\ 1 & -1 \end{pmatrix}$$

(ii)
$$U_{QFT} = \frac{1}{\sqrt{4}} \sum_{m,n=0}^{3} e^{\frac{2\pi i m n}{4}} |n\rangle \langle m| = \frac{1}{2} \sum_{m,n=0}^{3} e^{\frac{\pi i m n}{2}} |n\rangle \langle m| = \frac{1}{2} \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 \\ 1 & i & -1 & -i \\ 1 & -1 & 1 & -1 \\ 1 & -i & -1 & i \end{pmatrix}$$

Next, we look at the link between QFT and quantum phase estimation. In the lectures, we saw that the QFT acts on a state $|j\rangle$, $j \in \{0, 1, ..., 2^n - 1\}$, as

$$QFT|j\rangle = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2^n}}(|0\rangle + e^{2\pi i(0.j_n)}|1\rangle) \otimes (|0\rangle + e^{2\pi i(0.j_{n-1}j_n)}|1\rangle) \otimes ... \otimes (|0\rangle + e^{2\pi i(0.j_1j_2...j_n)}|1\rangle).$$

4. We want to estimate the eigenvalue $\lambda = e^{2\pi i \varphi}$, with $\varphi < 1$. Assume that φ has an exact representation in binary with t bits. Prove explicitly (shortcuts will result in deduction of points) the last step of quantum phase estimation, namely (8 points)

$$QFT^{-1}\frac{1}{\sqrt{2^t}}\sum_{k=0}^{2^{t-1}}e^{2\pi i\varphi k}|k\rangle = |\varphi_1\varphi_2...\varphi_t\rangle.$$

Solution:

$$QFT |\varphi\rangle = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2^{t}}} (|0\rangle + e^{2\pi i(0.\varphi_{t})} |1\rangle) \otimes \frac{1}{\sqrt{2^{t}}} (|0\rangle + e^{2\pi i(0.\varphi_{t-1}\varphi_{t})} |1\rangle) \otimes ... \otimes \frac{1}{\sqrt{2^{t}}} (|0\rangle + e^{2\pi i(0.\varphi_{1}\varphi_{2}...\varphi_{t})} |1\rangle) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2^{t}}} (|0...0\rangle + (e^{2\pi i(0.\varphi_{t}+0.\varphi_{t-1}\varphi_{t}+...+0.\varphi_{1}\varphi_{2}...\varphi_{t})}) |1...1\rangle)$$

3 EXERCISE 3: QUANTUM TELEPORTATION (26 POINTS)

Alice wants to send a state $|\psi\rangle_S = \alpha |0\rangle_S + \beta |1\rangle_S$ to Bob, who lives on Mars. Yet, shipping a qubit all the way over with a space rocket would be too expensive. In this exercise we will explore one of the most striking phenomena of quantum mechanics: teleportation. More precisely, we show that if Alice and Bob share an entangled state (for instance, they prepared the state and when Bob took the rocket to Mars he took his particle of the entangled state pair with him), Alice can perfectly transfer the state $|\psi\rangle_S$ by sending two classical bits.

1. Let Alice and Bob share the maximally entangled state such that the overall state reads $|\rho\rangle = |\psi\rangle_S \otimes \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}(|0\rangle_A|0\rangle_B + |1\rangle_A|1\rangle_B)$. First, Alice performs a measurement in her laboratory (on the system S and A), with the POVM elements $\{M_i\}_{i=0}^3$, where

$$M_{0} = |\phi_{0}\rangle\langle\phi_{0}|, \quad |\phi_{0}\rangle = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}(|0\rangle_{S}|0\rangle_{A} + |1\rangle_{S}|1\rangle_{A})$$

$$M_{1} = |\phi_{1}\rangle\langle\phi_{1}|, \quad |\phi_{1}\rangle = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}(|0\rangle_{S}|0\rangle_{A} - |1\rangle_{S}|1\rangle_{A})$$

$$M_{2} = |\phi_{2}\rangle\langle\phi_{2}|, \quad |\phi_{2}\rangle = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}(|0\rangle_{S}|1\rangle_{A} + |1\rangle_{S}|0\rangle_{A})$$

$$M_{3} = |\phi_{3}\rangle\langle\phi_{3}|, \quad |\phi_{3}\rangle = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}(|0\rangle_{S}|1\rangle_{A} - |1\rangle_{S}|0\rangle_{A}).$$

If Alice measures the outcome "0", corresponding to the POVM element M_0 , what is the state after the measurement? The measurement is projective. (6 points)

Solution:

$$\begin{split} &\rho = |\psi\rangle\,\langle\psi| \otimes \frac{1}{2}(|0\rangle_{A}\,|0\rangle_{B} + |1\rangle_{A}\,|1\rangle_{B})(\langle 0|_{A}\,\langle 0|_{B} + \langle 1|_{A}\,\langle 1|_{B})) \\ &= \frac{1}{2}(\alpha\,|0\rangle_{S} + \beta\,|1\rangle_{S})(\alpha^{*}\,\langle 0|_{S} + \beta^{*}\,\langle 1|_{S})(|0\rangle_{A}\,|0\rangle_{B} + |1\rangle_{A}\,|1\rangle_{B})(\langle 0|_{A}\,\langle 0|_{B} + \langle 1|_{A}\,\langle 1|_{B})) \\ &= \frac{1}{2}(\alpha\alpha^{*}\,|0\rangle_{S}\,\langle 0|_{S} + \alpha\beta^{*}\,|0\rangle_{S}\,\langle 1|_{S} + \beta\alpha^{*}\,|1\rangle_{S}\,\langle 0|_{S} + \beta\beta^{*}\,|1\rangle_{S}\,\langle 1|_{S}) \\ &\quad (|0\rangle_{A}\,|0\rangle_{B} + |1\rangle_{A}\,|1\rangle_{B})(\langle 0|_{A}\,\langle 0|_{B} + \langle 1|_{A}\,\langle 1|_{B}) \\ &= \frac{1}{2}(\alpha\alpha^{*}(|000\rangle_{SAB}\,\langle 000|_{SAB} + |000\rangle_{SAB}\,\langle 011|_{SAB} + |011\rangle_{SAB}\,\langle 000|_{SAB} + |011\rangle_{SAB}\,\langle 011|_{SAB}) + \\ &\quad \alpha\beta^{*}(|000\rangle_{SAB}\,\langle 100|_{SAB} + |000\rangle_{SAB}\,\langle 111|_{SAB} + |011\rangle_{SAB}\,\langle 100|_{SAB} + |011\rangle_{SAB}\,\langle 111|_{SAB}) + \\ &\quad \beta\alpha^{*}(|100\rangle_{SAB}\,\langle 100|_{SAB} + |100\rangle_{SAB}\,\langle 111|_{SAB}\,\langle 111|_{SAB} + |111\rangle_{SAB}\,\langle 100|_{SAB} + |111\rangle_{SAB}\,\langle 111|_{SAB}) + \\ &\quad \beta\beta^{*}(|100\rangle_{SAB}\,\langle 100|_{SAB} + |100\rangle_{SAB}\,\langle 111|_{SAB}\,\langle 111|_{SAB} + |111\rangle_{SAB}\,\langle 100|_{SAB} + |111\rangle_{SAB}\,\langle 111|_{SAB})) \end{split}$$

$$\begin{split} M_0^{\dagger} &= M_0 = \frac{1}{2} (|0\rangle_S |0\rangle_A + |1\rangle_S |1\rangle_A) (|0\rangle_S |0\rangle_A + |1\rangle_S |1\rangle_A) \\ &= \frac{1}{2} (|00\rangle_{SA} \langle 00|_{SA} + |00\rangle_{SA} \langle 11|_{SA} + |11\rangle_{SA} \langle 00|_S A + |11\rangle_{SA} \langle 11|_{SA}) \end{split}$$

$$M_{0}\rho = \frac{1}{4}(|\alpha|^{2}(|000\rangle_{SAB}\langle 000|_{SAB} + |000\rangle_{SAB}\langle 011|_{SAB} + |110\rangle_{SAB}\langle 000|_{SAB} + |110\rangle_{SAB}\langle 011|_{SAB}) + |\alpha\beta^{*}(|000\rangle_{SAB}\langle 100|_{SAB} + |000\rangle_{SAB}\langle 111|_{SAB} + |110\rangle_{SAB}\langle 100|_{SAB} + |110\rangle_{SAB}\langle 111|_{SAB}) + |\beta\alpha^{*}(|001\rangle_{SAB}\langle 000|_{SAB} + |001\rangle_{SAB}\langle 011|_{SAB}\langle 011|_{SAB} + |111\rangle_{SAB}\langle 000|_{SAB} + |111\rangle_{SAB}\langle 011|_{SAB}) + |\beta|^{2}(|001\rangle_{SAB}\langle 100|_{SAB} + |001\rangle_{SAB}\langle 111|_{SAB}\langle 111|_{SAB} + |111\rangle_{SAB}\langle 100|_{SAB} + |111\rangle_{SAB}\langle 111|_{SAB}))$$

$$Tr(M_0\rho) = \frac{1}{4}(|\alpha|^2 + |\beta|^2)$$

$$\begin{split} M_{0}\rho M_{o}^{\dagger} = & \frac{1}{8} (\\ & \alpha \beta^{*} (|000\rangle_{SAB} \langle 001|_{SAB} + |011\rangle_{SAB} \langle 001|_{SAB} + |000\rangle_{SAB} \langle 111|_{SAB} + |011\rangle_{SAB} \langle 111|_{SAB}) + \\ & \beta \alpha^{*} (|100\rangle_{SAB} \langle 000|_{SAB} + |111\rangle_{SAB} \langle 000|_{SAB} + |100\rangle_{SAB} \langle 110|_{SAB} + |111\rangle_{SAB} \langle 110|_{SAB}) + \\ & |\beta|^{2} (|100\rangle_{SAB} \langle 001|_{SAB} + |111\rangle_{SAB} \langle 001|_{SAB} + |100\rangle_{SAB} \langle 111|_{SAB} + |111\rangle_{SAB} \langle 111|_{SAB}) \\ &) \end{split}$$

$$\begin{split} \rho_0 = & \frac{\sqrt{M_0}\rho\sqrt{M_0^\dagger}}{Tr(M_0\rho)} \\ = & \frac{M_0\rho M_0^\dagger}{Tr(M_0\rho)} \\ & (|\alpha|^2(|000\rangle_{SAB} \langle 000|_{SAB} + |110\rangle_{SAB} \langle 000|_{SAB} + |000\rangle_{SAB} \langle 110|_{SAB} + |110\rangle_{SAB} \langle 110|_{SAB}) + \\ & \alpha\beta^*(|000\rangle_{SAB} \langle 001|_{SAB} + |011\rangle_{SAB} \langle 001|_{SAB} + |000\rangle_{SAB} \langle 111|_{SAB} + |011\rangle_{SAB} \langle 111|_{SAB}) + \\ & \beta\alpha^*(|100\rangle_{SAB} \langle 000|_{SAB} + |111\rangle_{SAB} \langle 000|_{SAB} + |100\rangle_{SAB} \langle 110|_{SAB} + |111\rangle_{SAB} \langle 110|_{SAB}) + \\ = & \frac{|\beta|^2(|100\rangle_{SAB} \langle 001|_{SAB} + |111\rangle_{SAB} \langle 001|_{SAB} + |100\rangle_{SAB} \langle 111|_{SAB} + |111\rangle_{SAB} \langle 111|_{SAB}))}{2(|\alpha|^2 + |\beta|^2)} \end{split}$$