#### Problem Set 1

Student Name: Noah Reef

### Problem 6.1

To find the Fourier transform of  $f(x) = e^{-|x|}$  for  $x \in \mathbb{R}$ , we compute

$$\hat{f}(\xi) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} e^{-|x|} e^{-ix\xi} dx$$

$$= \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}} \left( \int_{-\infty}^{0} e^{x(1-i\xi)} dx + \int_{0}^{\infty} e^{-x(1+i\xi)} dx \right)$$

$$= \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}} \left( \frac{1}{1-i\xi} e^{(x(1-i\xi))} \Big|_{-\infty}^{0} - \frac{1}{1+i\xi} e^{-x(1+i\xi)} \Big|_{0}^{\infty} \right)$$

$$= \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}} \left( \frac{2}{(1-i\xi)(1+i\xi)} \right)$$

$$= \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}} \left( \frac{2}{1+\xi^{2}} \right)$$

## Problem 6.2

We will consider the parameteric curve defined as

$$\gamma_1 = t$$
  $-R \le t \le R$ 

$$\gamma_2 = R - it$$
  $0 \le t \le \frac{\xi}{2a}$ 

$$\gamma_3 = -t - i\frac{\xi}{2a}$$
  $-R \le t \le R$ 

$$\gamma_4 = -R + it$$
  $-\frac{\xi}{2a} \le t \le 0$ 

and by Cauchy's Integral theorem we have that

$$0 = \int_{-R}^{R} e^{-at^2 - i\xi t} dt + i \int_{0}^{\xi/2a} e^{-a(R - it)^2 - i\xi(R - it)} dt$$
$$- \int_{-R}^{R} e^{-a(-t - i\xi/2a)^2 - i(-t - i\xi/2a)} dt - i \int_{-\xi/2a}^{0} e^{-a(-R + it)^2 - i\xi(-R + it)} dt$$

Then we see that the second and fourth terms go to zero since,

$$\left| i \int_0^{\xi/2a} e^{-a(R-it)^2 - i\xi(R-it)} dt \right| + \left| i \int_{-\xi/2a}^0 e^{-a(-R+it)^2 - i\xi(-R+it)} dt \right| \le 2 \frac{\xi}{2a} e^{-aR^2} \to 0$$

and we see for the third term that

$$\lim_{R \to \infty} \int_{-R}^{R} e^{-a(-t-i\xi/2a)^2 - i(-t-i\xi/2a)} dt = \sqrt{\frac{\pi}{a}} e^{-\xi^2/(4a)}$$

and hence

$$\hat{f}(\xi) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}} \int_{\mathbb{R}} e^{-a|x|^2} e^{-ix\xi} dx = \sqrt{\frac{\pi}{a}} \frac{e^{-\xi^2/(4a)}}{\sqrt{2\pi}}$$

### Problem 6.4

Suppose the  $f \in L^1(\mathbb{R}^d)$  and f(x) = g(|x|) for some g, then we see that

$$\hat{f}(\xi) = (2\pi)^{-d/2} \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} f(x)e^{-ix\cdot\xi} dx$$

$$= (2\pi)^{-d/2} \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} g(|x|)e^{-ix\cdot\xi} dx$$

$$= (2\pi)^{-d/2} \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} g(|x|)e^{-i|x||\xi|\cos(\theta)} dx$$

$$= (2\pi)^{-d/2} \int_0^\infty \int_{\omega_d} g(r)e^{-ir|\xi|\cos(\theta)} r^d dr d\theta$$

$$= h(|\xi|)$$

# Problem 6.11

Consider  $\mathcal{F}: L^1(\mathbb{R}^d)$  to  $C_v(\mathbb{R}^d)$ . Recall that  $\mathcal{F}: L^1(\mathbb{R}^d) \to L^\infty(\mathbb{R}^d)$  is a bounded linear map by Proposition 6.2 and we know that  $C_v(\mathbb{R}^d)$  is a closed linear subspace of  $L^\infty(\mathbb{R}^d)$  by Proposition 6.4, hence  $\mathcal{F}: L^1(\mathbb{R}^d) \to C_v(\mathbb{R}^d)$  is a bounded linear map. Next we note that if  $f, g \in L^1(\mathbb{R}^d)$  such that  $\mathcal{F}(f) = \mathcal{F}(g)$  for all  $\xi \in \mathbb{R}^d$ , then we have that  $\mathcal{F}(f-g) = 0$  and hence f-g=0 and so f=g, thus  $\mathcal{F}$  is injective. Now suppose that  $\mathcal{F}$  is surjective, then we have by the Open Mapping Theorem, that  $\mathcal{F}^{-1}$  is bounded.

Next suppose we have the characteristic functions  $f_n, f_1 \in L^1(\mathbb{R}^d)$  and consider  $f_n * f_1 \in L^1(\mathbb{R}^d)$ 

 $L^1(\mathbb{R}^d)$ , then we see that

$$f_n * f_1 = \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} f_n(x - y) f_1(y) \, dy$$

$$= \int_{[-1,1]^d} f_n(x - y) \, dy$$

$$= \int_{[x-1,x+1]^d} f_n(z) \, dz$$

$$= \int_{[x-1,0]^d} f_n(z) \, dz + \int_{[0,x+1]^d} f_n(z) \, dz \qquad (\in C_v(\mathbb{R}^d) \text{ By Exercise 5})$$

$$= \begin{cases} x + n + 1 & x \in [-n - 1, -n + 1] \\ 2 & x \in [-n + 1, n - 1] \\ n + 1 - x & x \in [n - 1, n + 1] \\ 0 & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$$

then we see that as  $n \to \infty$  we have that  $f_n * f_1 \to 2$ . Note that

$$\mathcal{F}^{-1}(f_n * f_1) = (2\pi)^{-d/2} \frac{\sin(nx)\sin(x)}{r^2}$$

where C is some constant. However as  $n \to \infty$  we see that

$$\|\mathcal{F}^{-1}[f_n * f_1]\|_{L^1} = (2\pi)^{-d/2} \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \frac{|\sin(nx)\sin(x)|}{|x^2|} dx$$

$$\geq (2\pi)^{-d/2} \int_{[0,1]^d} \frac{|\sin(nx)\sin(x)|}{|x^2|} dx$$

$$\geq (2\pi)^{-d/2} \frac{2}{\pi} \int_{[0,1]^d} \left| \frac{\sin(nx)}{x} \right| dx \to \infty$$

which contradicts our assumption that  $\mathcal{F}^{-1}$  is bounded and hence  $\mathcal{F}$  is not surjective. Since  $\mathcal{F}: \mathcal{S} \to \mathcal{S}$  is a bounded linear map that is one-to-one and onto, such that  $C_0^{\infty}(\mathbb{R}^d) \subsetneq \mathcal{S} \subsetneq L^1(\mathbb{R}^d)$  then we see that for  $\phi \in C_0^{\infty}(\mathbb{R}^d)$  we have that  $\mathcal{F}^{-1}(\phi)$  exists and is in  $L^1(\mathbb{R}^d)$ . All there is left to show is that  $C_0^{\infty}(\mathbb{R}^d)$  is dense in  $C_v(\mathbb{R}^d)$ . Recall by the Stone-Wierstrauss theorem we have that  $C_0^{\infty}$  is dense in  $C_0$ , and we can clearly see that  $C_0 \subseteq C_v$ . Next let  $g \in C_v$  then we see that if  $\varphi_{\epsilon}$  is an approximation to the identity, given by

$$\varphi_{\epsilon}(x) = \epsilon^{-d} \varphi(x/\epsilon)$$

for  $\varphi \in \mathcal{D}$  with  $\int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \varphi(x) dx = 1$ . Then we see that  $g * \varphi_{\epsilon} \in C_0$  and  $g * \varphi_{\epsilon} \to g$  in  $C_v$  as  $\epsilon \to 0$ . Hence  $C_0$  is dense in  $C_v$  and thus  $C_0^{\infty}$  is dense in  $C_v$ .