For instance; Moso Mechasyoh

כגון מתא מחסיא –

OVERVIEW

recal said in the name of רבר חסדא that this leniency (that we allow the wedding to precede the אבילות) is only if water was already poured over the wedding meat (so if it is not used now it cannot be sold and there will be a monetary loss), however if the water was not poured over the meat, the wedding is postponed (because the meat can be sold (easily). אבר קשבול qualified this ruling that in a large city it can be sold even if the water was poured (so the wedding is always delayed). אבר פפא (also) qualified this ruling (in the opposite manner) that in a village even if no water was poured it cannot be sold (so the wedding is never delayed). The אברא asked so when is the ruling of אברא (that there is a difference whether the water was poured or not) applicable (neither in a בפר מברא במרא answered it is applicable in a city like אמרא מתא מחסיא and larger than a (cer man) discusses the need of ה"ה to seemingly narrow the scope of the אברייתא ברייתא.

asks: תוספות

- תימה רב חסדא מאי דוחקיה לאוקומי בנתן מים על גבי בשר

It is astounding! What forced רב הסדא to establish the ברייתא in a case where he poured the water over the meat (and then have the difficulty to explain in what type of city the ruling of the ברייתא is effective) -

- ²נוקמה בכפר¹ אף על פי שלא נתן מים על גבי בשר

Let רב חסדא establish the ברייתא in a village and it applies in all cases even when he did not pour the water over the meat?³

מוספות answers:

- ויש לומר משום דניחא ליה לרב חסדא לאוקמה ברוב עיירות שהן בינוניות

_

¹ We could not establish it by a כרך, for indeed by a ברייתא the ruling of this ברייתא does not apply since he can always sell the meat (and the wedding is always delayed). However, we can establish it by a כפר , where the rule of the (that the wedding is not delayed) is always valid regardless whether נתן מים על בשר or not (as ברייתא ruled).

² There will be two advantages if we establish it by a כפר and מת אנ"פ שלא נתן מים אע"פ שלא נתן מים does not mention that he was already נתן מים על הבשר, and secondly why narrow the ברייתא to only one city (מתא מחסיא) when it can apply to all the כפרים.

³ We cannot answer that ה"ח established this נתן מים by נתן מחסיא (מתא מחסיא) because of the upcoming ברייתא which mentions מתא מים, because if he knew of the ברייתא he should have cited the נתן מים (see מהרא"ש).

And one can say; because רב חסדא prefers to establish the ברייתא by the majority of cities which are of average⁴ size; neither very large like a כרך, nor very small like a - -

והכי קאמר⁵ כגון מתא מחסיא ורוב עיירות -

And this is what רב אשי answered; for instance מתא מחסיא and the majority of cities, which are similar in size to מתא מחסיא -

:ומשום הכי נקט נמי בברייתא דמייתי ונתן מים על גבי בשר⁶ משום דאיירי ברוב עיירות: And that also explains why the newly cited נתן מים על גבי בשר mentions ברייתא, because that ברייתא is discussing a majority of cities (which are of average size).

SUMMARY

It is preferable to establish a ברייתא where it covers a majority of cases rather than a limited amount of cases.

THINKING IT OVER

Is the question⁷ on the (second) ברייתא the same as the question on רב הסדא (or is one stronger than the other)? 8

⁴ There are more (people in) cities like מתא מחסיא (which are עיירות בינוניות) than there are (in) כפרים.

⁵ מתא מחסיא is not a singular exception to a כפר or a כרך; rather it is representative of a majority of the average size cities that are neither a כרך or a כרך.

⁶ Seemingly the same question (and answer) that applies to דב מחד applies to this ברייתא as well; why mention נתן as well; why mention מים על בשר when it can be discussing בפרים. See 'Thinking it over'.

⁷ See footnote # 6.

⁸ See פרדס יצחק אות עד.