It is not a Get, if he died

מת הוא דאינו גט –

OVERVIEW

The גמרא initially wanted to say that רבא derived his ruling (of אין אונס בגיטין) from the משנה, which states that if one gave a גע, with the provision that it should take effect if he does not return within twelve months, and he died within that time; it is not a גע בארא בארא explains the inference; it is not a גע if he died (within the twelve months), however if he became ill within the twelve months and did not return because of the illness, it is a valid גע proving that תוספות אין אונס בגיטין. Our משנה explains how this is inferred from that משנה.

תוספות explains; it is not a גט if he died within the twelve months -

- דאין גט לאחר מיתה² הא חלה הרי זה גט

Since a גע cannot be effective after the death of the husband; however if the husband became ill, and he is still alive after the twelve months it is a valid גע proving that אין אונס בגיטין.

- ³דאי חלה נמי אינו גט וטעמא דהכא דאינו גט משום אונס

For if we will maintain that by הלה it is also not a מת (like by מת and the reason here why it is not a מת (מת by הלה and by מת is because it is an אונס -

אם כן לישמעינן חלה דהוי אונס מועט וכל שכן מת⁴ -

If indeed it is so, the משנה should have taught us this דלה by אונס בגיטין of אונס בגיטין by which is a minimal אונס (and nevertheless it prevents the גט from becoming effective), and we would certainly know that if he died which is a major גט will not be effective –

תוספות responds to an anticipated difficulty: $^{\rm 5}$

-

¹ Seemingly if by הלה it is a גע, since אין אונס בגיטין, then by מת it should also be a גע (and she should not be זקוקה), since he did not return within the allotted time, and אין אונס בגיטין.

² We assume that the אנט was to become effective when the husband did not return after twelve months. At that point the husband had already died, and cannot issue a גע.

³ We will now assume that when he said א הרי"ז גיטך וכו' מכאן ועד י"ב חודש, he meant that the גט should become effective retroactively from the day he gave it, so there is no concern of גט לאחר מיתה, and the reason the גט is not effective is because his not returning was an אונס בגיטין (מחל) and it applies equally to מת and to מת מחלה.

⁴ However since the משנה did not sate its case by חלה, but rather by מת, this indicates that the גי is not effective only on account of אין אונס בגיטין. See 'Thinking it over'# 1.

⁵ Perhaps the reason why it is not a גע is because יש אונס בגיטין (see footnote # 3), and the reason he did not mention חלה, is because if the משנה would just state that by חלה it is not a גע (because ניש אונס בגיטין), I would not be able to derive that by מו it is not a גע because מת (as opposed to חלה) he wants the גע to become effective (retroactively) so she will not have to go through the יבום process (which does not apply to חלה).

דהשתא אכתי לא אסיק אדעתיה דבמת איכא למימר ניחא ליה 6 דלא תפול קמי יבם: For as of now it did not as of yet enter the mind of the מת the idea that by מת the idea that by מת the idea that by מר be effective, in order that she should endure the יבום process. Therefore there is no other reason why it should not be a מת if it is not a מו by חלה על מו בגיטין.

SUMMARY

It is not a מת because אין גט לאחר מיתה. At this point the גמרא was unaware of the idea that ניחא ליה דלא תפול קמי יבם.

THINKING IT OVER

- 1. Seemingly almost the entire תוספות (until 'דהשתא אכתי is a repetition of פרש"י; why is תוספות repeating it?

_

⁶ This idea is first entertained later on this עמוד, but not as of yet. See 'Thinking it over' # 2.

⁷ See 'רש"י ד"ה מת הא' והב'.

⁸ See footnote # 6.