מאי איכא בין ברכה לשקדו –

What difference is there between Brocho and Shokdu

OVERVIEW

The גמרא offered two reasons why an אלמנה is 'נבעלת בו' and נבעלת בו' one because on 'ו there was a ברכה לאדם, and also because the חכמים were אלמנה when an אלמנה weds, her husband should rejoice with her for three days. The אלמנה asks, is there a difference whether the reason is because of ברכה or because of שקדו. The אקדו answers this question. תוספות explains why the גמרא did not give another difference.

תוספות anticipates a difficulty:

אף על גב דמשום ברכה לא מיקרי עבריינא -

And even though that on account of ברכה alone he will not be considered a 'transgressor' if she is not married בה' ונבעלת בו', so why did the גמרא asks, 'what is the difference' when there is an obvious difference –

responds:

- ²מכל מקום בעי למה לי טעמא דברכה

Nevertheless the גמרא asks why the reason of ברכה is necessary;³ the שקדו of שקדו is sufficient to explain why 'עבריינא and he will be called an אלמנה נישאת בה' ונבעלת בו' if he does not comply. Seemingly the reason of ברכה does not add anything –

תוספות offers an alternate explanation of the question 'מאי איכא וכו':

 $^{-5}$ אי נמי 4 בעי מאי איכא בין לישנא דמשני ברכה דאדם עדיפא ליה מאי איכא בין לישנא דמשני ברכה אינמי 4 asks what is the difference between the view

¹ The reason of ברכה is merely good advice to marry then (which they may choose to ignore), but it is not an obligation, as opposed to שקדו which is an enactment which the הכמים imposed and must be followed.

1

² This indicates (as תוספות states later) that the one who maintains the reason of ברכה also maintains the reason of שקדו otherwise what question is there why do we need ברכה, it is needed if we do not maintain.

³ See 'Thinking it over'.

⁴ The second answer of 'תוס' is that the question of מאי איכא בין ברכה לשקדו is not what is the difference between the two חקנות (the ברכה לאדם and the תקנה), but rather what is the difference between the two answers which the גמרא gave to the question of why an אלמנה is not בתולה is not בתולה.

⁵ This answer (that לברכה דאדם עדיפא ליה as well as the second answer (of שקדו) both agree that there are two causes why an ברכה is the previous ברכה (see previous שקדו (ברכה מקדו agrees with ברכה ברכה לאדם agrees to ברכה לאדם agrees they argue which is the main reason. The first answer maintains that ברכה לאדם is the main reason, while the second answer maintains that שקדו is the main reason. Since both maintain both reasons, the גמרא could not have answered עבריין איכא בינייהו, since according to both answers he will be considered an עבריין, for they both agree to the reason of שקדו Therefore the גמרא offers a different answer.

which answered that the אדם to ברכה is preferable than the דגים to דגים -

ובין לישנא דאי נמי משום שקדו -

And the view of the "נ (the second answer) that the reason is because of שקדו -

ומשני⁶ דאיכא בינייהו אדם בטל אי נמי יום טוב שחל להיות כולי -

And the גמרא answered that the difference between them is regarding an idle person, or when יו"ט occurs on ערב שבת, etc.

 $^{-7}$ דללישנא דטעמא דברייתא משום שקדו ולא משום ברכה

For according to the view that the reason the ברייתא rules 'נבעלת בו' is because of נבעלת בו' but not because of ברכה (the second answer) -

הכא דליכא שקדו נבעלת אם ירצה בחמישי -

Here in the cases of אדם בטל or "יו"ט שחל בער"ש, where there is no concern of שקדו, where there is no concern of שקדו she may be 'נבעלת בה' if he wants -

- אבל ללישנא דברייתא משום ברכה כדמשמע פשטא דברייתא אבל אבל אבל אבל אבל אברייתא אבל אברייתא אבל אברייתא However according to the first view that the reason of the ברייתא is because of ברכה לאדם, as the simple reading of the ברייתא indicates, then -

- 8 אפילו באדם בטל או ביום טוב שחל להיות בערב שבת דליכא שחל או ביום טוב שחל באדם בטל אפילו בערב שר"ש where there is no שקדו -

מכל מקום משום ברכה תבעל בששי -

Nevertheless she should be ברכה לאדם because of the ברכה לאדם.

תוספות concludes:

- ואפילו להאי לישנא אי לאו שתקנו משום שקדו

And even according to this latter view that the טעמא דברייתא is because of ברכה; nevertheless were it not that they instituted on account of נבעלת בו' that 'בבעלת בו'.

משום ברכה לחודה לא היו קובעים יום כדפרישית לעיל? גבי ותנשא באחד בשבת:

⁶ The necessity for תוספות to explain the answer of the גמרא is more apparent if we assume the second answer of תוספות. According to the first answer that the question was why do we need the reason of ברכה, the answer is self-understood that it is necessary for אדם בטל וכו' However according to the second explanation of that both answers agree that we need both reasons (of שקדו and שקדו [see footnote # 5]) it is not so clearly understood what the גמרא means that אדם בטל איכא בינייהו to explain the answer.

⁷ Even though that according to the answer of שקדו they also agree that there is the reason of ברכה (see footnote # 5), however the purpose of ברכה לאדם was just to explain why the אלמנה should not be 'בתלת בה' like the ברכה (מחט' ברכה ברכה is the main reason why נבעלת בו' there is no reason of שקדו. Therefore if there is no reason of שקדו, she may be ברכה.

⁸ He will not go to work on Friday either because he is an אדם בטל or because it is יו"ט.

 $^{^9}$ (לעיל ב,א (ד"ה ותנשא). The reason is that ברכה is merely an עצה טובה and we cannot base a תק"ח on an עצה מוכה. See also גא תוס' ד"ה אשה.

The הכמים would not have established a day when an נבעלת, on account of ברכה alone, as I explained previously regarding the גמרא which asked, 'and let her marry on Sunday'.

SUMMARY

The question of מאי איכא is either why do we need the reason of ברכה, or what is the difference between the two answers of the גמרא (since both maintain both reasons of and מקדו and דרכה would never enact a חקנה on the basis of ברכה alone.

THINKING IT OVER

תוספות explained (in the first answer) that the question of the גמרא what is the difference, etc., means why is the reason of ברכה necessary. However מוספות said previously that even if we maintain שקדו we need to the reason of ברכה to explain why she should not be בתולה a בתולה, so how can תוס' here say that the question is why do we need the reason of ברכה?! 12

-

¹⁰ See footnote # 3.

¹¹ ד"ה א"נ.

¹² See מהרש"ל.