-2מציא אמרה ליה נסתחפה שדהו

She can say about him, his field was swept away

OVERVIEW

The גמרא queried what will be the ruling in a case where the time to marry arrived, but she is sick and cannot marry now; is the husband obligated to give her מזונות (because she claims ערספות), or not (since he claims, I am ready). תוספות explains why נסתחפה is a claim for her benefit and not for his.

תוספות responds to an anticipated difficulty:

ואינו יכול לטעוו דאדרבה מזלה גרם³

And the husband cannot claim; on the contrary, her bad 'luck' caused her to lose the מזונות; the reason he cannot claim it, is -

דכיון דלא מיפקדא אפריה ורביה לא מיענשא⁴ כדאמרינן בהבא על יבמתו (יבמות דף סד,ב) דכיון דלא מיפקדא אפריה ורביה לא That since a woman is not commanded to observe the מצוה of פריה ורביה; she is not punished, as the גמרא states in פרק הבא על יבמתו –

תוספות offers an alternate answer:

ועוד דהאשה היא שדה של הבעל⁶ ואין הבעל שדה⁷ שלה:

And furthermore the woman is the field of the husband, but the husband is **not** the woman's **field.**

SUMMARY

The loss (of not being able to marry) is attributed to the husband for it is his duty (not hers), and she is his property, not the reverse.

 $^{^1}$ This תוספות, as well as the next תוספי (which should precede this תוספות), are referencing the עמוד א' מוך א' מוך א'.

² See רש"י, ד"ה נסתחפה (on the א"א) that it is your bad 'luck', since that from today on, you are obligated to feed me.

³ This would seem more logical, for she became sick; not him.

⁴ Regarding the husband, since he is commanded on the מצוה f מצוה, it is understood that he is being punished (for some misdeed) that his observance of this מצוה is being delayed (and [as an additional consequence] he has to pay for her מזונות); however regarding the wife, since she is not obligated in the מצוה סל פנ"ר, the fact that their having children is being delayed, is not considered a punishment for her.

⁵ The גמרא there states that if a couple has no children, it is the husband who is being punished (for his lack of merit), but not the woman, since she is not obligated in פנ"ר (it is not considered a punishment).

⁶ In marriage the man acquired the woman (he is מקדש her), therefore she is considered his field, and if his field does not 'produce' it is his loss. However the man is not acquired by the woman, so we cannot say that if there is no produce, it is her loss. The 'field' does not lose when there are no crops; the owner loses. See 'Thinking it over' # 1. ⁷ See 'Thinking it over' # 2.

THINKING IT OVER

- 1. תוספות second answer is that the אשה is the שדה of the husband. Nevertheless the is (still considered) the owner of herself (she owns a שדה as well), so why is it (only), it is (also) נסתחפה שדיה. נסתחפה שדהו (ple)?
- 2. In תוספות second answer, he adds שלה שלה הבעל "אין " 10 why was it necessary to add this phrase? 11

⁸ See footnote # 6.

⁹ See שיטה לראנ"ח.

¹⁰ See footnote # 7..

¹¹ See מירא דכיא (after תוס' ד"ה לפיכך).