## A woman can be married on every day

אשה נשאת בכל יום –

## **OVERVIEW**

The גמרא said that before תקנת עזרא (when בי"ד was בכל יום was בתולה (קבועים בכל יום can get married on any day. תוספות explains why she is not like the אלמנה (where there are no issues of טענת בתולים), who is to be married on Thursday.

\_\_\_\_\_

asks: תוספות

קשה לרבינו שמשון בן אברהם אמאי נשאת בכל יום -

The רשב"א has a difficulty; why should a בתולה get married on any day -

 $-^{2}$ תהא כאלמנה ותינשא בה׳ ותיבעל בששי לפי שנאמר בו ברכה לאדם ההא

Let her be like an אלמנה and get married on 'ה and be נבעלה on Friday, since the blessing to אדם was said on Friday?

מוספות answers:

ויש לומר דמשום ברכה לא היו קובעים יום כדפרישית לעיל<sup>3</sup>

And one can say; that the הכמים would not establish a day to get married because of ברכה alone, as I explained previously -

ובאלמנה נמי⁴ לא קבעו שתיבעל בששי משום ברכה גרידא

And even by אלמנה they did not establish that ברכה on ששי because of alone -

אלא משום שקדו  $^{5}$  שיהא שמח עמה ג' ימים כדאמר לקמן  $^{6}$  נדף האט - שלא But rather the reason an אלמנה is married בה' וו' is because the חכמים persevered that the husband rejoice with his wife for three days, as the גמרא states later -

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> Just as by an טענת (where there are no issues of טענת בתולים, nevertheless) she is married on 'ה' because of the ברכה לאדם, similarly a ברכה לאדם, in a case where there is no concern of טענת בתולים (since קבועים בכל יום is בי"ד, should also be married on 'ה' וו' ה'.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> See the אדם later on ה,א. On Friday 'ה blessed (וחוה) אדם with the blessing of פרו ורבו (therefore it is appropriate that the בעילת מצוה take place on '[ליל]).

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>3</sup> See תוכש חוספות (where חוספות explained that the reason of ברכה is merely sound advice, but does not obligate them to the extent that if they do not marry on that day they are considered transgressing the words of the הכמים). [The רשב"א, however may have assumed that since ברכה דאדם עדיפא than ברכה לדגים, it is sufficient to be קובע a day for marriage.]

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>4</sup> The 'נמי' may mean that even though the גמרא cites the reason of ברכה as the cause why an אלמנה is 'נבעלה בו', nevertheless it is not because of שקדו alone, but in conjunction with שקדו.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>5</sup> See footnote # 3 that the enactment of שקדו is sufficient to consider them transgressors if they do not marry then.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>6</sup> The הכמים were concerned by an אלמנה that if they were married on any other day of the week he will leave the next day to work and will not spend time with his new wife. Therefore they instituted that he should marry on Thursday (one day) and will be with her on Friday (because of היבת ביאה [see "דיה וששי there") and שבת for a total of three days). See 'Thinking it over' # 1.

ובתולה דלא שייך ההוא טעמא דליכא למימר משכים לאומנתו והולך לו

However regarding a בתולה where that reason (of שיהא שמח עמה ג' ימים) is not applicable, for we cannot be concerned that he will arise to his trade and be on his way, as we are concerned by an אלמנה; the reason this is of no concern by a בתולה is -

לפי שיש בה שבעת ימי המשתה

Because there is the obligatory seven days of feasting for a בתולה -

הלכך משום ברכה גרידא לא היו קובעים יום:

Therefore on account of הכמים alone the הכמים would not establish a day on which they should marry.

## **SUMMARY**

ברכה is not a sufficient reason to establish a day for marriage (as opposed to שקדו, which is a valid reason).

## THINKING IT OVER

1. תוספות writes that the מתקן שר were not אלמנה that an אלמנה should be married ביום ה' because of שקדו alone but rather because of שקדו as well. However from the מתרא later (ה,א) it appears that there are two separate reasons why she marries on יום יום, one because of the (עדיפא), and another reason is because of וו' אשקדו answer according to the first explanation (which seemingly does not require יוֹספות)?!

2. תוספות explains that the concern of משכים לאומנתו והולך משכים is applicable only by an אלמנה state but not by a בתולה since there is שבעת ימי המשתה but not by a בתולה. However the later (ז,א) states that that by an אלמנה there is (also) שלשה לשמחה so why are we concerned that לאומנתו והולך לו and not by a אלמנה  $^{11}$ !

<sup>9</sup> See תוס' ה,א (סוף) ד"ה מאי.

<sup>11</sup> See ה,א בד"ה (שם) למחר ה,א and סוכ"ד אות כה מוכ"ד.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>7</sup> See 'Thinking it over' # 2.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>8</sup> See footnote # 6.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>10</sup> See footnote # 7.