## That a *Levi* read after him

שקרא אחריו לוי –

## **OVERVIEW**

מעלה לכהונה was מעלה לכהונה someone who read first in the תורה and was followed by a (מוחזק). תוספות qualifies this ruling.

חוספות anticipates a difficulty:

לפירוש רבינו יצחק הלוי<sup>1</sup> שפירש בגיטין (נט,ב ושם) אם אין שם כהן נתפרדה חבילה -According to the explanation of the ר"י הלוי who explained that which אביי ruled in מס' גיטין; 'if there is no כהן, the 'bundle' is separated', to mean -

שיקרא ישראל גדול לפני לוי<sup>2</sup> -

That a prominent ישראל should read before a לוי (who is not that as prominent) –

responds:

יש לפרש הכא שקרא אחריו לוי קטן מישראל שקרא אחריו<sup>3</sup>:

We can explain that here in the case of ליי, that the לוי who read after the first ישראל, was of a lesser stature than the ישראל who read after the לוי

## **SUMMARY**

According to the י"י, when there is no עליות are in order of the prominence of the עולים.

## THINKING IT OVER

- 1. Why did not תוספות answer (as he does in גיטין) that the לוי was greater that the first עולה (proving that the first עולה is a יכהן?!<sup>4</sup>
- 2. According to גמרא should have said that the third was more prominent than the second עולה; why mention the לוי at all?!<sup>5</sup>

 $<sup>^{1}</sup>$  This seems to be ר' יצחק ברבי אוס who is cited there בד"ה.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> According to the תורה, if there is no כהן, the people are called up to the תורה in the order of their prominence; the more prominent first. There is therefore seemingly no proof that the first one was a לוי read after him); it is possible there was no כהן, and the one who was called on first was the most prominent (לוי or ישראל), and the לוי was less prominent and the third one was the least prominent.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>3</sup> Therefore it must be that the first one was a כהן, for otherwise the third one should have been called up before the לוי since he was more גדול than the לוי.

 $<sup>^4</sup>$  See מהרש"ל and מהרש"ל.

 $<sup>^{5}</sup>$  See [ש"מה and] כסא שלמה.