

**IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF IOWA  
CEDAR RAPIDS DIVISION**

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,

Plaintiff,

vs.

WILLIAM LEO YANCEY,

Defendant.

No. 19-cr-53-LTS-2

**REPORT AND RECOMMENDATION**

---

On May 13, 2021, the above-named defendant appeared before the undersigned United States Magistrate Judge by consent and, pursuant to Federal Rule of Criminal Procedure 11, pleaded guilty to Counts 1 and 3 of the Indictment, Robbery Affecting Interstate Commerce, in violation of 18 U.S.C. Sections 2 and 1951(a), and Using, Carrying, Brandishing, and Discharging a Firearm During a Crime of Violence Resulting in Murder, in violation of 18 U.S.C. Sections 2, 924(c), and 924(j). After cautioning and examining Defendant under oath concerning each of the subjects mentioned in Rule 11, I determined that Defendant's decision to plead guilty was knowledgeable and voluntary, and the offense charged was supported by an independent basis in fact containing each of the essential elements of the offense. I therefore **RECOMMEND that the Court ACCEPT Defendant's guilty plea and adjudge Defendant guilty.**

At the commencement of the Rule 11 proceeding, I placed Defendant under oath and explained that if Defendant answered any question falsely, the Government could prosecute Defendant for perjury or for making a false statement. I also advised Defendant

that in any such prosecution, the Government could use against Defendant any statements made under oath.

I then asked Defendant a number of questions to ensure Defendant had the requisite mental capacity to enter a plea. I elicited Defendant's full name, age, and extent of education. I also inquired into Defendant's history of mental illness; use of illegal and/or prescription drugs; and use of alcohol. From this inquiry, I determined Defendant was not suffering from any mental disability that would impair Defendant's ability to make a knowing, intelligent, and voluntary guilty plea.

Defendant acknowledged receipt of a copy of the Indictment and further acknowledged that Defendant had fully discussed the Indictment with Defendant's counsel. Defendant acknowledged that Defendant had fully conferred with Defendant's counsel prior to deciding to plead guilty and that Defendant was satisfied with counsel's services.

I fully advised Defendant of all the rights Defendant would be giving up if Defendant decided to plead guilty, including the following:

1. The right to assistance of counsel at every stage of the case;
2. The right to a speedy, public trial;
3. The right to have the case tried by a jury selected from a cross-section of the community;
4. That Defendant would be presumed innocent, and would be found not guilty unless the Government could prove each and every element of the offense beyond a reasonable doubt;
5. That Defendant would have the right to see and hear all of the Government's witnesses, and Defendant's attorney would have the right to cross-examine any witnesses called by the Government;

6. That Defendant would have the right to subpoena witnesses to testify at the trial, and if Defendant could not afford to pay the costs of bringing these witnesses to court, then the government would pay those costs;
7. That Defendant would have the privilege against self-incrimination: i.e., Defendant could choose to testify at trial, but need not do so; if Defendant chose not to testify, then the Court would instruct the jury that it could not draw any adverse inference from Defendant's decision not to testify; and
8. That any verdict by the jury would have to be unanimous.

I explained that if Defendant pleaded guilty, Defendant would be giving up all of these rights, there would be no trial, and Defendant would be adjudged guilty just as if Defendant had gone to trial and a jury returned a guilty verdict against Defendant.

- **Plea Agreement**

I determined that Defendant was pleading guilty pursuant to the Second Memorandum of a Proposed Plea Agreement between the United States Attorney's Office and Defendant ("the plea agreement"). After confirming that a copy of the written plea agreement was in front of Defendant and Defendant's counsel, I determined that Defendant understood the terms of the plea agreement. I summarized the plea agreement, and made certain Defendant understood its terms.

- **Dismissal of Charge**

I explained that the plea agreement provides for dismissal of Count 2 of the Indictment if Defendant pleads guilty to Counts 1 and 3 of the Indictment, and that a district judge will decide whether or not to accept the sentencing agreement. If the district judge decides to reject the sentencing agreement, then Defendant will have an opportunity to withdraw the guilty plea and instead plead not guilty.

- **Elements of Crimes and Factual Basis**

I summarized the charges against Defendant and listed the elements of the crimes charged. I determined that Defendant understood each and every element of the crimes,

and Defendant's counsel confirmed that Defendant understood each and every element of the crimes charged. For the offenses to which Defendant was pleading guilty, I elicited a full and complete factual basis for all elements of the crimes charged in the Indictment. Defendant's attorney indicated that the offenses to which Defendant was pleading guilty were factually supported.

- **Sentencing**

I explained to Defendant that the district judge will determine the appropriate sentence at the sentencing hearing. I explained that the Court will use the advisory United States Sentencing Guidelines to calculate Defendant's sentence. I explained that the sentence imposed might be different from what the advisory guidelines suggest it should be and may be different from what Defendant's attorney had estimated.

I explained that a probation officer will prepare a written presentence investigation report and that Defendant and Defendant's counsel will have an opportunity to read the presentence report before the sentencing hearing and will have the opportunity to object to the contents of the report. I further explained that Defendant and Defendant's counsel will be afforded the opportunity to present evidence and be heard at the sentencing hearing.

I also explained that because a mandatory minimum sentence applies, the sentencing judge cannot sentence Defendant to a sentence below ten years in prison on Count 3, which is the statutory mandatory minimum, even if the judge wants to.

I advised Defendant of the consequences of the guilty plea, including the mandatory minimum sentence, the maximum term of imprisonment, the maximum term of supervised release, and the maximum fine. Specifically, I advised Defendant that **Count 1** of the Indictment is punishable by the following maximum penalties: (1) **not more than twenty years in prison** without the possibility of parole; (2) a period of supervised release following prison of **up to three years**; (3) a fine of not more than

**\$250,000**; and (4) a mandatory special assessment of **\$100**. I advised Defendant that **Count 3** of the Indictment is punishable by a **mandatory minimum sentence of ten years in prison** and the following maximum penalties: (1) **not more than life in prison** without the possibility of parole; (2) a period of supervised release following prison of **at least three years**; (3) a fine of not more than **\$250,000**; and (4) a mandatory special assessment of **\$100**. I explained that the sentence imposed on Count 3 must be served consecutively to the sentence on Count 1. I explained that he faces a possible maximum sentence of a lifetime in prison, \$200 in special assessments, and at least three years of supervised release.

I further advised Defendant pursuant to this Rule 11(c)(1)(C) plea agreement, and based on the overall circumstances of this case and this Defendant, the parties have agreed and stipulated to a sentence of between 300 to 420 months in prison. I advised Defendant that if the Court did not agree to be bound by the stipulated sentence, he would be permitted to withdraw his guilty plea and the plea agreement would be null and void.

I explained that the Court will impose conditions of supervised release, and that if Defendant violates a condition of supervised release, then the Court could revoke Defendant's supervised release and require Defendant to serve all or part of the term of supervised release in prison, without credit for time previously served on supervised release. I advised Defendant that regardless of the sentence imposed, there would be no possibility of parole. I also advised Defendant that **he will be required to pay restitution** as set forth in the plea agreement at paragraph 18. I advised Defendant of the collateral consequences of pleading guilty. Defendant acknowledged understanding all of the above consequences.

- **Waiver of Appeal**

I explained that Defendant has waived his right to appeal, except under the limited circumstances set forth in paragraph 25 of the plea agreement. The Government retains its right to appeal the sentence in this case.

Defendant confirmed that the decision to plead guilty was voluntary; was not the result of any promises; and was not the result of anyone threatening, forcing, or pressuring Defendant to plead guilty. I explained that after the district judge accepts Defendant's guilty pleas, Defendant will have no right to withdraw the pleas at a later date, even if the sentence imposed is different from what Defendant anticipated.

Defendant confirmed that Defendant still wished to plead guilty, and Defendant pleaded guilty to Counts 1 and 3 of the Indictment.

I find the following with respect to the guilty pleas:

1. Defendant's pleas are voluntary; knowing; not the result of force, threats or promise; and Defendant is fully competent.
2. Defendant is aware of the minimum and maximum punishment for the counts to which Defendant pleaded guilty.
3. Defendant knows of and voluntarily waived Defendant's jury trial rights.
4. There is a factual basis for the pleas.
5. Defendant is guilty of the crimes to which Defendant pleaded guilty.

Defendant has waived his right to object to this Report and Recommendation.

**DONE AND ENTERED** at Cedar Rapids, Iowa, this 14th day of May, 2021.



---

Mark A. Roberts, United States Magistrate Judge  
Northern District of Iowa