# Language And Translators - TP Correction

# Francesco Nieri

# June 2024

# Contents

1	TP1	L														<b>2</b>
	1.1	Questi	on 1													2
		1.1.1	Question 1.1													2
		1.1.2	Question 1.2													3
	1.2	Questi	on 2													4
		1.2.1	Question 2.1													4
		1.2.2	Question 2.2													4
	1.3	Questi	on 3													4
		1.3.1	Question 3.1													4
		1.3.2	Question 3.2													5
		1.3.3	Question 3.3													5
		1.3.4	Question 3.4													6
		1.3.5	Question 3.5													7
		1.3.6	Question 3.6													8
<b>2</b>	TP2	2														9
_	2.1	Questi	on 1													9
		2.1.1	Question 1.1													9
		2.1.2	Question 1.2													9
		2.1.3	Question 1.3													10
		2.1.4	Question 1.4													11
	2.2															11
		2.2.1	Question 2.1													11
		2.2.2	Question 2.2													11
		2.2.3	Question 2.2  Question 2.3													12
	2.3		$3 \cdot \cdot \cdot \cdot \cdot$													13
	$\frac{2.3}{2.4}$	-0	$ on 4 \dots \dots $													$13 \\ 13$
	2.4	2.4.1	Question $4.1$													13
		2.4.1	Question 4.1 Question 4.2													13 14
			-0													
		2.4.3	Question 4.3													14

3	TP:		<b>15</b>
	3.1	Question 1	15
	3.2	Question 2	15
		3.2.1 Question 2.1	15
		3.2.2 Question 2.2	16
		3.2.3 Question 2.3	16
	3.3	Question 3	17
		3.3.1 Question 3.1	17
		3.3.2 Question 3.2	17
		3.3.3 Question 3.3	18
4	$TP_4$		21
	4.1	Question 1	21
	4.2	Question 2	21
		4.2.1 Question 2.1	21
		4.2.2 Question 2.2	23
		4.2.3 Question 2.3	23
		4.2.4 Question 2.4	23
5	TP:		25
	5.1	Question 1	25
	5.2		25
	5.3	·	26
6	TP		27
	6.1	Question 1	27
	6.2	Question 2	27
	6.3	Question 3	31
7	TP'		<b>32</b>
	7.1	Question 1	32
	7.2	<u>v</u>	33
	7.3	·	33
	7.4	Question 4	34

## 1.1 Question 1

Consider this piece of code from a fictional C-like programming language:

```
int x2 = 25;
while(x2>0) {
    // increment x2 by one
    x2++;
    int y = fread(file) % 10;
    if(y<=4) {
        x2 = x2 - 1;
    }
    printf("Value: %d", y);
}</pre>
```

#### 1.1.1 Question 1.1

Give the symbol classes that a lexer would need to lex this code (in the course, we have seen some basic classes, like Identifier, or Number). Also make symbol classes for whitespaces (space, newline), and comments.

We will combine question 1 and 3 together, the item on the left is the symbol class, on the right we have the regular expression.

```
• Type: int
```

• Space: \w

• Identifier:  $[a - zA - Z][azA - Z0 - 9]^*$ 

• Assignment op: =

• Number:  $[0-9]^+$ 

• String: " .\* "

• Special char: [;(){}]

• Keyword: (if|while)

• Comparison operator:  $[>|\leq]$ 

 $\bullet$  Increment operator: ++

• Comment : // .\*\n

• Math operator: (-|%)

• EOL character: ;

• Param separator: ,

#### 1.1.2 Question 1.2

Write the sequence of symbols (<Token,Attribute>) that a lexer would generate for this code.

```
<Type, int >
12 < Identifier, x2 >
  <Assignment operator, = >
13
   <Number, 25 >
   <EOL character, \backslashn >
   <Keyword, while>
   <Special character, ( >
17
   <Identifier, x2>
18
   <Comparison operator, > >
19
   <Number, 0 >
20
   <Special character, ) >
21
   <Special character, \{ >
   <Comment, //...>
  <Identifier, x2>
  <Increment operator, ++>
25
  <EOL character, ;>
26
   <Type, int>
27
   <Identifier, y>
   <Assignment operator, =>
   <Identifier, fread>
   <Special character, (>
31
   <Math operator, \%>
32
   <EOL character, ;>
33
   <Keyword, if >
34
   <Special character, (>
   <Identifier, y>
   <Comparison operator, \le>
   <Number, 4>
38
   <Special character, )>
39
   <Special character, \{>
   <Identifier, x2>
   <Assignment operator, =>
   <Identifier, x2>
43
   <Math operator, ->
44
   <Number, 1>
45
   <EOL character, ;>
46
   <Special character, \}>
47
  <Identifier, printf>
  <Special character, (>
50 < String, "Value: \%d">
51 | <Param separator, ,>
52 < Identifier, y>
  <Special character, )>
54 < EOL character, ;>
```

## 1.2 Question 2

#### 1.2.1 Question 2.1

The language of a RE can be described in English words. For example, one could say that the RE  $(0|1)^*1$  stands for "all binary numbers ending with a 1". Describe the RE

$$(0|1)*0(0|1)*0(0|1)*$$

in English words.

Any binary sequence that contains at least two zeros

#### 1.2.2 Question 2.2

Construct a RE for "all binary numbers starting with a 1 and containing exactly one pair of consecutive 0".

## 1.3 Question 3

#### 1.3.1 Question 3.1

Draw the NFA for RE  $a(bc)^*(d|ef)$  Indicate which state is the initial state and which states are the final states. See the NFA in question 3.5 on how to indicate the initial state and final states in an NFA diagram.




Figure 1: NFA for  $a(bc)^*(d|ef)$ 




Figure 2: NFA and DFA for  $(a|b|bc)^*a$ 

## 1.3.2 Question 3.2

Draw the NFA for  $(a|b|bc)^*a$  and construct the DFA. The + sign can be used to express that a pattern must appear at least one time. What would be the NFA for the RE  $(a|b|bc)^+a$ ?




Figure 3: NFA for  $(a|b|bc)^+a$ 

## 1.3.3 Question 3.3

 $\varepsilon$ -transitions are very convenient if you want to combine two NFAs. Draw first the NFAs for  $a^*b$  and  $c^*d$  and then draw an NFA for  $(a^*b)$  |  $(c^*d)$  using  $\varepsilon$ -transitions.



Figure 4: NFA and DFA for  $(a|b|bc)^*a$ 




Figure 5: NFA for  $(a^*b) \mid (c^* d)$ 

## 1.3.4 Question 3.4

Transform the NFA for (a\*b) | (c\*d) from question 3.3 into an NFA without  $\varepsilon$ -transitions.




Figure 6: NFA for (a\*b) | (c\*d) without  $\varepsilon$ -transitions

## 1.3.5 Question 3.5

When dealing with NFAs with  $\varepsilon$ -transitions, it is useful to think about the  $\varepsilon$ -closure  $\varepsilon(s)$  of a state s, which is defined as the set of states that can be reached from that state s by doing one or several (!)  $\varepsilon$ -transition steps. For the below NFA over the alphabet  $\Omega=0,1$ , give the  $\varepsilon$ -closures  $\varepsilon(A)$ ,  $\varepsilon(B)$ ,  $\varepsilon(C)$  of the states A, B, C.




Figure 7

 $\varepsilon$ -closures:

$$\begin{split} \varepsilon\{A\} &= \{A,B,C\} \\ \varepsilon\{B\} &= \{B,C\} \\ \varepsilon\{C\} &= \{C\} \end{split}$$




Figure 8: DFA for the above graph

#### 1.3.6 Question 3.6

Here is a modification of the powerset construction method seen in the course that also works with NFAs containing  $\varepsilon$ -transitions:

- The initial state of the powerset automaton is  $\varepsilon(s0)$ .
- The set of transitions T' of the powerset automaton is defined as:  $\forall Q \subseteq S, c \in \Omega: (Q, c, R) \in T' for R = \varepsilon(\{t | s \in Q, (s, c, t) \in T\})$  Compare this modified method with the method that we have seen in the course. What is the difference? Transform the NFAs from question 3.3 (the combined NFA) and from question 3.5 into DFAs using this modified powerset construction method.




Figure 9: Combined DFA from NFAs

## 2.1 Question 1

Consider the CFG G with the rules:

$$S \rightarrow a \mid (S) \mid S \cdot S \mid S + S \mid -S$$

#### 2.1.1 Question 1.1

Give the set of terminal symbols used in the rules of G

$$\Sigma = \{a, (,), -, \cdot, +\}$$

#### 2.1.2 Question 1.2

Give a leftmost analysis of the input  $a\cdot (-a+(a))$  and draw the syntax tree.

```
(a \cdot (-a + (a)), S, \varepsilon)
(a\cdot (-a+(a)),S\cdot S,3)Rule 3 to expand S
(a \cdot (-a + (a)), a \cdot S, 31) Rule 1 to expand S
(\cdot(-a+(a)),\cdot S,31) Match a
((-a + (a)), S, 31) Match ·
((-a + (a)), (S), 321) Rule 2 to expand S
(-a + (a)), S), 312) Match (
((-a + (a)), S + S), 3124) Rule 4 to expand S
(-a + (a)), -S + S, 31245) Rule 5 to expand S
(a + (a)), S + S), 31245) Match -
(a + (a)), a + S), 312451) Rule 1 to expand S
(+(a)), +S), 312451) Match a
((a)), S), 312451) Match +
((a)), (S)), 3124512) Rule 2 to expand S
(a), S), 3124512) Match (
(a), a), 31245121) Rule 1 to expand S
()), )), 31245121) Match a
(),),31245121) Match )
(\varepsilon, \varepsilon, 31245121) Match )
```




Figure 10: Syntax tree for  $a \cdot (-a + (a))$ 

#### 2.1.3 Question 1.3

```
Give a rightmost analysis of the input a \cdot (-a + (a))
(a \cdot (-a + (a)), S, \varepsilon)
(a \cdot (-a + (a)), S \cdot S, 3) Rule 3 to expand S
(a \cdot (-a + (a)), S \cdot (S), 32) Rule 2 to expand S
(a \cdot (-a + (a)), S \cdot (S, 32) \text{ Match })
(a \cdot (-a + (a), S \cdot (S + S, 324)) Rule 4 to expand S
(a \cdot (-a + (a), S \cdot (S + S, 324)) Rule 4 to expand S
(a \cdot (-a + (a), S \cdot (S + (S), 3242)) Rule 2 to expand S
(a \cdot (-a + (a, S \cdot (S + (S, 3242) \text{ Match})))
(a \cdot (-a + (a, S \cdot (S + (a, 32421)))) Rule 1 to expand S
(a \cdot (-a + (S \cdot (S + (32421))))) Match a
(a \cdot (-a+, S \cdot (S+, 32421))) Match (
(a \cdot (-a, S \cdot (S, 32421) \text{ Match} +
(a \cdot (-a, S \cdot (-S, 324215))) Rule 5 to expand S
(a \cdot (-a, S \cdot (-a, 3242151))) Rule 1 to expand S
(a \cdot (-, S \cdot (-, 3242151))) Match a
(a \cdot (, S \cdot (, 3242151)) \text{ Match} -
(a, S, 3242151) Match (
```

(a,S,3242151) Match  $\cdot$  (a,a,32421511) Rule 1 to expand S  $(\varepsilon,\varepsilon,32421511)$  Match a

#### 2.1.4 Question 1.4

#### Show that G is ambiguous.

With a leftmost derivation we can do the following:

$$S \xrightarrow{5} -S \xrightarrow{4} -S + S \xrightarrow{1} -a + S \xrightarrow{1} -a + a = 5411.$$

But also:

$$S \xrightarrow{4} S + S \xrightarrow{5} -S + S \xrightarrow{1} -a + S \xrightarrow{1} -a + a = 4511$$

## 2.2 Question 2

Extend the CFG for arithmetic expressions from the slides

$$\begin{split} E \rightarrow E + T \mid T \\ T \rightarrow T \cdot F \mid F \\ F \rightarrow (E) \mid Number \mid Identifier \end{split}$$

by:

#### 2.2.1 Question 2.1

adding the binary minus operator and the division operator

$$\begin{split} E \rightarrow E + T \mid E - T \mid T \\ T \rightarrow T \cdot F \mid T/F \mid F \\ F \rightarrow (E) \mid Number \mid Identifier \end{split}$$

#### 2.2.2 Question 2.2

Write the syntax tree for 3 + 4/5. Then manually turn it into a more readable AST.




Figure 11: AST for 3 + 4/5 and readable AST

## 2.2.3 Question 2.3

You will notice that the AST in question 2 does not only reflect the syntactic structure of the input but also the precedence of the / operator over the + operator. Add a new operator < to the grammar that has lower precedence than the + operator and the / operator and test the new grammar on the input 4+7 < 3+4/5.

$$\begin{array}{c} D \rightarrow D < E \mid E \\ E \rightarrow E + T \mid E - T \mid T \\ T \rightarrow T \cdot F \mid T/F \mid F \\ F \rightarrow (E) \mid Number \mid Identifier \end{array}$$




Figure 12

## 2.3 Question 3

Here is a CFG for regular expressions over the alphabet a, b. That means the CFG describes how regular expressions look like.

$$\begin{array}{c} S \rightarrow R \\ R \rightarrow R + R \mid RR \mid R \cdot \mid T \\ T \rightarrow (R) \mid a \mid b \end{array}$$

Note that we are using the plus-symbol "+" (e.g., "a+b") to represent the choice in regular expression (a|b) to avoid confusion with the | used by the CFG itself. Run the NTA of this grammar for the input  $a+(b\cdot)$ 

 $(a+(b\cdot),S,\varepsilon)$ 

 $(a+(b\cdot),R,1)$  Rule 1 to expand S

 $(a+(b\cdot),R+R,12)$  Rule 2 to expand S

 $(a+(b\cdot),T+R,125)$  Rule 5 to expand R

 $(a+(b\cdot),a+R,1257)$  Rule 7 to expand T

 $(+(b\cdot), +R, 1257)$  Match a

 $((b\cdot), R, 1257)$  Match +

 $((b\cdot), T, 1257)$  Rule 5 to expand R

 $((b\cdot),(R),125756)$  Rule 6 to expand T

 $(b\cdot), R), 125756)$  Match (

 $(b\cdot), R\cdot), 1257564$ ) Rule 4 to expand R

 $(b\cdot), T\cdot), 1257645$ ) Rule 5 to expand R

(b.), b.), 125767458) Rule 8 to expand R

 $(\cdot), \cdot), 125767458$ ) Match b

(),),125767458) Match  $\cdot$ 

 $(\varepsilon, \varepsilon, 125767458)$  Match )

## 2.4 Question 4

Consider the CFG G with the two rules

$$S \rightarrow ab \mid ac$$

over the terminal symbols  $\{a, b, c\}$ .

#### 2.4.1 Question 4.1

Explain why G is not LL(1). Is it LL(2)?

A grammar is LL(1) if and only if, for all rules

$$A \to \beta | \gamma$$
 
$$la(A \to \beta) \cap la(A \to \gamma) = \varnothing$$

with  $(\beta \neq \gamma)$ 

It is not LL(1) as:

$$first_1(S \to ab) = first_1(S \to ac) = \{a\}$$

It is LL(2) as:

$$first_2(S \to ab) = \{ab\} \neq first_2(S \to ac) = \{ac\}$$

## 2.4.2 Question 4.2

Write a CFG that is LL(1) and that generates the same language as G. Hint: the new grammar has two non-terminal symbols.

$$S \to aR \\ R \to b \mid c$$

## 2.4.3 Question 4.3

Give a CFG similar to G that is not LL(5)

$$S \to aaaaab \mid aaaaac$$

## 3.1 Question 1

Show that any regular language can be generated by an  $\mathrm{LL}(1)$  language.

For a regular language, we can write a regular grammar. That grammar corresponds to an NFA that we can transform into a DFA. We will show that the DFA can be translated to a LL(1) grammar.

Since a LL(1) grammar generates (or recognizes) a LL(1) language, we have achieved our goal.

To translate a DFA into an LL(1) grammar, we do the following:

The states of the DFA become non-terminal symbols and the transitions become terminal symbols in the grammar.

Each transition in the DFA is translated to a rule in the grammar.

Example: the transition A  $\xrightarrow{a}$  B of the DFA with two states A and B becomes the rule A  $\rightarrow$  a B.

In the course, we have seen the theorem: A grammar is LL(1) if and only if for all rules  $A \to \beta | \gamma(\text{with}\beta \neq \gamma) \; la(A \to \beta) \cap la(A \to \gamma) = \emptyset$  Having  $la(A \to \beta) \cap la(A \to \gamma) \neq \emptyset$  is not possible in our case because that would mean that the DFA had a state with two transitions with the same symbol, i.e., a non-deterministic transition.

#### 3.2 Question 2

Consider the following grammar. This a CFG for regular expressions over the alphabet  $\{a, b\}$ 

$$\begin{split} S &\to R \\ R &\to R + T \mid RT \mid R \cdot \mid T \\ T &\to (R) \mid a \mid b \end{split}$$

#### 3.2.1 Question 2.1

Transform the grammar into a grammar without left recursion and prove that the result is an LL(1) grammar.

$$\begin{split} S &\to R \\ R &\to TR' \\ R' &\to +TR' \mid TR' \mid \cdot R' \mid \varepsilon \\ T &\to (R) \mid a \mid b \end{split}$$

It is LL(1) as:

$$la(S \to R) = \{(, a, b\}$$
  
 
$$la(R \to TR') = \{(, a, b\}$$

$$\cap = \varnothing \begin{cases}
la(R' \to +TR') = \{+\$ \\
la(R' \to TR') = \{(, a, b\} \\
la(R' \to \cdot TR') = \{\cdot\} \\
la(R' \to \varepsilon) = \{\varepsilon, \}\}
\end{cases} \tag{1}$$

$$\cap = \varnothing \begin{cases}
la(T \to (R)) = \{(\} \\
la(T \to a) = \{a\} \\
la(T \to b) = \{b\}
\end{cases}$$
(2)

#### 3.2.2 Question 2.2

Specify the Deterministic Top-Down Automaton for the transformed grammar. For the possible actions, either write the transitions or give the action table.

	S	R	Т	R'	a	b	+	•	(	)	ε
a	(R, 1)	(TR', 2)	(a, 8)	(TR', 4)	pop						
b	(R, 1)	(TR', 2)	(b, 8)	(TR', 4)		pop					
+				(+TR',3)			pop				
				(· R',5)				pop			
a	(R, 1)	(TR', 2)	((R), 7)	(TR', 4)					pop		
)				$(\varepsilon, 6)$						pop	
ε				$(\varepsilon,6)$							accept

#### 3.2.3 Question 2.3

Run the deterministic automaton on the input  $a + (b \cdot)$ 

- $(a+(b\cdot),S,\varepsilon)$
- $(a+(b\cdot),R,1)$  Rule 1 to expand S
- $(a+(b\cdot),TR',12)$  Rule 2 to expand R
- $(a+(b\cdot),aR',128)$  Rule 8 to expand T
- $(+(b\cdot), R', 128)$  Match a
- $(+(b\cdot), +TR', 1283)$  Rule 3 to expand R'
- $((b\cdot), TR', 1283)$  Match +
- $((b\cdot),(R)R',12837)$  Rule 7 to expand T
- $(b\cdot), R)R', 12837$ ) Match (
- $(b\cdot), TR')R', 128372$ ) Rule 2 to expand R
- $(b\cdot), bR')R', 1283729$ ) Rule 9 to expand T
- $(\cdot), R')R', 1283729$ ) Match b
- $(\cdot), \cdot R')R', 128372896$ ) Rule 6 to expand R'
- (), R')R', 12837296) Match  $\cdot$
- $(), \varepsilon)R', 128372965)$  Rule 5 to expand R'
- (), R', 128372965) Match  $\varepsilon$

```
(\varepsilon,R',128372965) Match ) (\varepsilon,\varepsilon,1283729655) Rule 5 to expand R'
```

## 3.3 Question 3

## Here is a CFG for Boolean expressions:

Expression  $\to$  Factor | Expression or Factor | Expression and Factor Factor  $\to$  not Factor | (Expression) | true | false

## 3.3.1 Question 3.1

## Show the syntax tree for the expression

true and false or (false and true)




Figure 13: Syntax tree

#### 3.3.2 Question 3.2

Transform the grammar into a grammar without left recursion.

$$\begin{array}{c} E \rightarrow FE' \\ E' \rightarrow or \ FE' \mid and \ FE' \mid \varepsilon \\ F \rightarrow not \ F \mid (E) \mid true \mid false \end{array}$$

#### 3.3.3 Question 3.3

Write the outline of a recursive descent parser for the transformed grammar, similar to what we did in the video on LL(1) parsing. The main challenge here is to design good data structures for the abstract syntax tree.

```
enum Symbol {
56
        Or,
        And,
58
        Not,
59
        True,
60
        False,
61
        OpenParen,
62
        ClosingParen,
63
        END
65
66
   class Expression {
67
        Expression leftHand;
68
        Symbol operation;
69
        Expression rightHand;
70
        public Expression (Expression left, Symbol op, Expression
72
            right) {
                leftHand = left;
73
                operation = op;
74
                rightHand = right;
75
76
77
        public Symbol getReverseOperation() {
78
            switch (operation) {
79
                case Symbol.Or:
80
                     return Symbol.And;
                case Symbol.And:
                     return Symbol.Or;
83
                 case Symbol.True:
84
                     return Symbol.False;
85
                 case Symbol.False:
86
                     return Symbol.True;
87
                default:
88
                     throw new ParserException(
   "Unsupported expression operation"
90
91
                 }
92
93
94
        public boolean isFactor() {
95
                return operation == Symbol.True || operation ==
96
                     Symbol.False;
```

```
97
98
        public void setLeftHand(Expression expr) {
99
                 leftHand = expr;
100
101
102
103
    class Lexer {
104
        List<Symbol> symbols;
105
        Symbol lookahead;
106
        Symbol nextSymbol(); // I can't be bothered to implement
107
            that, so pretend it exists
108
109
        public Lexer(List<Symbol> symbols) {
110
                 this.symbols = symbols;
111
            this.lookahead = nextSymbol();
112
113
114
        private Symbol match(Symbol symbol) {
115
                 if (lookahead != symbol) {
116
                     throw new ParserException("No match");
117
118
                 Symbol matchingSymbol = lookahead;
119
            lookahead = lexer.nextSymbol();
120
                 return matchSymbol;
121
122
123
124
125
        private Factor parseFactor() {
126
            switch (lookahead) {
127
                 case Symbol.True:
128
                     match (Symbol.True);
129
                     return new Expression(null, Symbol.True, null);
130
                 case Symbol.False:
131
                     match(Symbol.False);
132
                     return new Expression(null, Symbol.False, null)
133
                 case Symbol.Not:
134
                     match(Symbol.Not);
135
                     Expression factorToNegate = parseFactor();
136
                     Symbol reverseOp = factorToNegate.
137
                         getReverseOperation();
                     return new Expression(null, reverseOp, null);
138
139
                 case Symbol.OpenParen:
                     match(Symbol.OpenParen);
140
                     Expression expr = parseExpression();
141
                     match(Symbol.ClosingParen);
142
                     return expr;
143
```

```
default:
144
                     throw new ParserException("No match");
145
146
147
148
149
        private Expression parseExpression() {
150
            Expression leftHand = parseFactor();
151
            assert leftHand.isFactor();
152
            Expression expr = parseExpressionPrime();
153
            if (expr != null) {
                 expr.setLeftHand(leftHand);
155
                 return expr;
156
             } else {
157
                 return leftHand;
158
159
        }
160
161
        private Symbol parseExpressionPrime() {
162
            switch (lookahead) {
163
                 case Symbol.END:
164
                     match(Symbol.END);
165
                     return new Expression(null, Symbol.END, null);
166
                 case Symbol.And:
167
                     match (Symbol.And);
168
                     Expression rightHand = parseExpression();
169
                     return new Expression(null, Symbol.And,
170
                         rightHand);
                 case Symbol.Or:
171
                     match(Symbol.Or);
172
                     Expression rightHand = parseExpression();
173
                     return new Expression(null, Symbol.Or,
174
                         rightHand);
                 default: // epsilon rule
175
                     return null;
176
177
178
        // Lexer entry point for outside users
180
        public Expression parse() {
181
                 return parseExpression();
182
183
184
```

## 4.1 Question 1

Why is nobody interested in LL(0) parsers? How does an LL(0) grammar look like?

An LL(0) parser is a parser that doesn't do a lookahead to decide which rule to apply. It can only take the next input symbol and check whether it matches or not. Such a parser could only recognize one word.

## 4.2 Question 2

Consider the following grammar:

$$S' \rightarrow S \\ S \rightarrow A \mid Bd \\ A \rightarrow aAb \mid Be \\ B \rightarrow aBc \mid ac$$

## 4.2.1 Question 2.1

Describe the deterministic LR(0) parsing automaton of this grammar and give the parsing table




Figure 14: LR(0) goto automaton

	S	A	В	a	b	с	d	e	action
$I_0 = \varepsilon$	$I_1$	$I_2$	$I_3$	$I_6$					shift
$I_1 = S$									accept
$I_2 = A$									reduce 2
$I_3 = B$							$I_4$	$I_5$	shift
$I_4 = Bd$									reduce 3
$I_5 = Be$									reduce 5
$I_6 = a$		$I_7$	$I_9$	$I_6$		$I_{11}$			shift
$I_7 = aA$					$I_8$				shift
$I_8 = aAb$									reduce 4
$I_9 = aB$						$I_{10}$		$I_5$	shift
$I_{10} = aBc$									reduce 6
$I_{11} = ac$									reduce 7
$I_{12} = \emptyset$									error

Table 1: Parsing table for G

#### 4.2.2 Question 2.2

#### Show that the grammar is not LL(1).

After reading a, it could be either rule 3 or rule 5/6, so the grammar isn't LL(1)

#### 4.2.3 Question 2.3

#### Run the automaton on the input aaacebb

 $\begin{array}{l} (aaacebb,I_{0},\varepsilon) \; \text{Shift} \\ (aacebb,I_{0}I_{6},\varepsilon) \; \text{Shift} \\ (acebb,I_{0}I_{6}I_{6},\varepsilon) \; \text{Shift} \\ (cebb,I_{0}I_{6}I_{6}I_{6},\varepsilon) \; \text{Shift} \\ (cebb,I_{0}I_{6}I_{6}I_{6}I_{11},\varepsilon) \; \text{Shift} \\ (ebb,I_{0}I_{6}I_{6}I_{9},7) \; \text{Reduce} \\ (bb,I_{0}I_{6}I_{6}I_{9}I_{5},7) \; \text{Shift} \\ (bb,I_{0}I_{6}I_{6}I_{7},75) \; \text{Reduce} \\ (b,I_{0}I_{6}I_{6}I_{7}I_{8},75) \; \text{Shift} \\ (b,I_{0}I_{6}I_{7}I_{8},754) \; \text{Shift} \\ (c,I_{0}I_{2},7544) \; \text{Reduce} \\ (\varepsilon,I_{0}I_{1},75442) \; \text{Reduce} \\ (\varepsilon,I_{0}I_{1},754421) \; \text{Reduce} \\ (\varepsilon,\varepsilon,754421) \; \text{Reduce} \end{array}$ 

#### 4.2.4 Question 2.4

Modify the grammar such that it has a shift/reduce or reduce/reduce conflict.

We can add some rules to create shift/reduce or reduce/reduce conflicts Shift/reduce = When  $\varepsilon$ : [A  $\rightarrow$  ·] in I. Reduce/reduce = When a: [A  $\rightarrow$  a·], [B  $\rightarrow$  C·] in item set

$$\begin{array}{c} A \rightarrow aAb \mid Be \mid \varepsilon \\ \mathrm{LR}(0)(\mathrm{aA}) = \{[(\mathrm{A} \rightarrow \mathrm{aA \cdot b}, \, [\mathrm{A} \rightarrow \mathrm{aA \cdot}]] \end{array}$$

## 5.1 Question 1

Write type inference rules for the double type in Java

Double constants are of type double:

⊢ any double constant: double

Adding two doubles:

$$\frac{\vdash e_1 : \text{double}, \vdash e_2 : \text{double}}{\vdash e_1 + e_2 : \text{double}}$$

Adding a double and an integer gives a double:

$$\frac{\vdash e_1 : \text{double}, \vdash e_2 : \text{int}}{\vdash e_1 + e_2 : \text{double}}$$

$$\frac{\vdash e_1 : \text{int}, \vdash e_2 : \text{double}}{\vdash e_1 + e_2 : \text{double}}$$

As you can see, allowing type conversions from int to double means that we have to repeat rules.

To reduce the number of rules, we can define that

In this example, we then only need two rules for the add operator:

$$\frac{\vdash e_1 : \text{double}, \vdash e_2 : \text{T} \leq \text{double}}{\vdash e_1 + e_2 : \text{double}}$$

$$\frac{\vdash e_1 : \text{int}, \vdash e_2 : \text{double}}{\vdash e_1 + e_2 : \text{double}}$$

## 5.2 Question 2

Write a type inference rule for array expressions. The rule should be applicable to expressions like a[i+3]

Let's assume we have already specified the type rules for variables and arithmetic expressions like i + 3. Then we can write for array expressions:

 $\hbox{a is an identifier}\\ \hbox{a has the type of an array of int in the symbol table}$ 

$$\vdash e : \text{int}$$
$$\vdash a[e] : T$$

Write a type inference rule for the ternary conditional operator of Java and C, for example: a < 3?b:5

For the ternary conditional operator, we have:

## 5.3 Question 3

How would you check whether a function uses return statements correctly? Think about situations where this can be sometimes difficult to check. For example, how would you do it for this function:

```
int min(inta, int b) {
    int m;
    if (a < b) {
        m = a;
        return m;
    }
    else
    m = b;
}</pre>
```

If you represent the start of the function and the end of the function as nodes in the control flow graph, any path from the start node to the end node must contain a return statement.

## 6.1 Question 1

Give the intermediate representation in TAC for the following expression:

Give the intermediate representation in TAC for the following expression:

$$\mathbf{x} = (-\mathbf{b} + \operatorname{sqrt}(b^2 - 4 \cdot a \cdot c)) / (2 \cdot \mathbf{a})$$

```
x0 = b * b
    x1 = 4 * a
196
    x2 = x1 * c
197
    x3 = x0 - x2
198
    x4 = sqrt(x3)
199
    x5 = -b
200
    x6 = x5 + x4
201
    x7 = 2 * a
202
    x8 = x6 / x7
203
    x = x8
204
```

## 6.2 Question 2

For each of the following C functions, give the control flow graph (CFG), the minimized SSA form, and the non-SSA form without parameterized labels (the form that can be used to generate assembly code)

```
int min(int a, int b) {
205
          int m;
206
          if (a < b)</pre>
               m = a;
208
209
               m = b;
210
          return m;
211
212
     int minAlternative(int a, int b) {
213
          int m = b;
214
          if (a < b)</pre>
^{215}
               m = a;
216
          return m;
217
^{218}
     int squareSum(int n) {
219
          int sum=0;
220
          for (int i=1; i<=n; i++) {</pre>
               sum += i*i;
222
223
          return sum;
224
225
```




Figure 15: CFG for min and min Alternative  $(a|b|bc)^*a$ 




Figure 16: CFG for squareSum

## Non minimized SSA

```
squareSum(n0):
226
        sum0 = 0
227
        i0 = 1
228
        goto loop(n0, sum0, i0)
229
    loop(n1, sum1, i1):
230
        if i1<=n1 then goto body(n1,sum1,i1) else goto end(n1,sum1,
231
            i1)
    body(n2, sum2, i2):
232
        t0 = i2*i2
233
        sum3 = sum2 + t0
        i3 = i2 + 1
235
        goto loop(n2, sum3, i3)
236
    end(n3, sum4, i4):
237
        return sum4
238
```

#### Minimized SSA

```
squareSum(n0):
239
         sum0 = 0
240
         i0 = 1
241
         goto loop(sum0, i0)
242
    loop(sum1, i1):
243
         if i1<=n0 then goto body else goto end
^{244}
    body:
^{245}
         t0 = i1*i1
         sum3 = sum1 + t0
247
         i3 = i1+1
248
         goto loop(sum3, i3)
249
    end:
250
         return sum1
251
```

## Non minimized non-SSA

```
squareSum(n):
252
         sum = 0
253
         i = 1
254
         goto loop
256
         if i<=n then goto body else goto end
257
    body:
258
         t = i * i
259
         sum = sum + t
260
         i = i +1
261
262
         goto loop
263
         return sum
264
```

#### Minimized non-SSA

```
squareSum(n0):
265
         sum0 = 0
266
         i0 = 1
267
         sum1 = sum0
268
         i1 = i0
269
         goto loop
    loop(sum1, i1):
271
        if i1<=n0 then goto body else goto end
272
    body:
273
        t0 = i1*i1
274
         sum3 = sum1 + t0
275
         i3 = i1+1
         sum1 = sum3
         i1 = i3
278
         goto loop
279
    end:
280
         return sum1
281
```

# 6.3 Question 3

Design a simple algorithm that verifies that a function has no missing return statement. The algorithm should also work for complex functions that contain many if-statements, loops, etc.

If you represent the start of the function and the end of the function as nodes in the control flow graph, any path from the start node to the end node must contain a return statement.

## 7.1 Question 1

Translate the following function to IR in SSA form and determine the liveness ranges of the variables. Draw the interference graph. Then, allocate registers for an ARM-like CPU and generate machine code, assuming that the parameter "c" of the function is passed in register r1 (without using the stack. That's more efficient!) and that the return value of the function should be in register r0.

	t1	c1	a1	t0	a0	b0	c0
$a0 = c0 \cdot 2$							live
b0 = a0 + 1					live		live
c1 = c0 + b0					live	live	live
$t0 = b0 \cdot 2$		live			live	live	
a1 = t0 + a0		live		live	live		
t1 = c1 + a1		live	live				
return t1	live						






Figure 17: Interference graph

```
282 | pop r0

c0 = r1 (required by the ABI)

a0 = r0

b0 = r2

286 | c1 = r1

t0 = r2

287 | t0 = r2

288 | a1 = r0

t1 = r0 (required by the ABI)
```

## 7.2 Question 2

In the course, we only saw code generation for functions with parameters and local variables. Now, let's look at an example with global variables:

```
290  int x;
291  int y[10];
292
293  void add(int v) {
    y[x] = v;
    x++;
296  }
```

Translate the above function "add" first to IR code and then to machine code. Assume that the global variables "x" and "y" start at address 0x10000 and 0x10004, respectively, in main memory.

```
parameter is v0
297
    t0 = 0x10004
298
    t1 = *t0
     // read value of x
    t2 = t1 * 4
301
     // each array element is four bytes
302
    t3 = 0x10000
303
304
    t4 = t0 + t3
     // address of y[x]
    *t4 = v0
306
     // store v in y[x]
307
308
    t5 = 0x10004
309
    t6 = *t5
310
    t7 = t6 + 1
311
    *t5 = t7
     // store x+1 in x
```

Of course, this code can be optimized. Instead of loading again the variable x in t6, we could just re-use t1.

## 7.3 Question 3

Function calls are expensive because they involve a lot of operations (pushing the arguments on the stack, making backups of registers, jumping to the function, etc.). Many compilers can perform an optimization called function inlining where the code of the called function is directly inserted at the call location, thus avoiding the call. Let's look at the following example:

```
314 | int f(int v) {
    v = v + 2;
```

Take the role of the compiler and inline the function f at the two places where it is called. Do this inthe IR, not in the source code. Think about a strategy how to handle the variables. By the way, compilers only inline small functions. What could be the reason?

```
// parameters are a0 and b0
323
    v0 = a0
324
     // calling function f with argument a
325
    v1 = v0 + 2
326
    t0 = v1 * v1
    c0 = t0
328
     //c = f(a)
329
330
    v2 = a0 + b0
331
     // calling function f with argument a+b
332
    v3 = v2 + 2
333
    t1 = v3 * v3
334
    d0 = 2 * t1
335
     // d = 2 * f (a+b)
336
337
    t2 = c0 + d0
338
    return t2
339
```

Why do compilers only inline small functions? Code size! Inlining increases the number of instructions in the code and therefore reduces the efficiency of the instruction cache.

#### 7.4 Question 4

In most CPUs, integer multiplications are slower than additions or bit shifting. Think about ways to reduce the strength of multiplications, i.e., find ways to avoid the multiplication instruction for arithmetic expressions where one of the operands is a constant, for example

```
x \cdot 2
x \cdot 3
x \cdot 4
x \cdot 5
x \cdot 2 = x + x \text{ or } x <<1
x \cdot 3 = x + x + x \text{ or } x <<1 + x
```

$$x \cdot 4 = x << 2$$
  
 $x \cdot 5 = x << 2 + x$   
 $x \cdot (2^{n} + 1) = (x << n) + x$