Lec 9: Estimation of Average Treatment Effect

Yanjur Han Nov 7. 2023

Potential outcome model.

For a binary treatment $W \in \{0,1\}$, on individual i has two potential outcomes $Y_i(1)$ and $Y_i(0) \leftarrow$ the outcome individual i would have experienced had he/she received the treatment or not, respectively

Average Treatment Effect (ATE): $\tau = \mathbb{E}[Y_{i}(I) - Y_{i}(0)]$

A typical dataset: {(Xi. Wi. Yi)}=1:

- · W; E (0,1): indicator of treatment/control
- · Y: 6 R: observed outcome Y: = Y: (W:) -
- · X: E RP (optional): feeture of individual i

(Optional material: SUTVA - stable unit treatment value assumption

"the potential outcomes for any unit do not vary with the treatments assigned to each other unit, and, for each unit, there are no different forms or versions of each treatment level, which lead to different potential

outcomes", e.g.

- you taking the aspirin caunot have an affect on my headache
- different aspirins should have the same strength

Randomized control trials (RCT) (No X:)

Assumption: S W: II (Y:(0), Y:(1)) (random treatment assignment)
leach i has the same marginal probably of getting treated

Difference -in-mean estimation.

$$\frac{2}{2} = \frac{1}{n_i} \sum_{W_i = 1} Y_i - \frac{1}{n_o} \sum_{W_i = 0} Y_i, \text{ where } n_j = \# \left\{ i : W_i = j \right\}$$

Unbiasedness of Epm:

$$\mathbb{E}\left[\frac{1}{n_{1}}\sum_{W_{i}=1}^{n}Y_{i}\right] = \mathbb{E}\left[\frac{1}{n_{1}}\sum_{i=1}^{n}W_{i}Y_{i}\right]$$

$$= \mathbb{E}\left[\frac{1}{n_{1}}\sum_{i=1}^{n}W_{i}Y_{i}(1)\right] \left(SUTVA\right)$$

$$= \mathbb{E}\left[\mathbb{E}\left[\frac{1}{n_{1}}\sum_{i=1}^{n}W_{i}Y_{i}(1)\right]\left(Y_{i}(0),Y_{i}(1)\right)\right]^{n}$$

$$= \mathbb{E}\left[Y_{i}(1) \cdot \mathbb{E}\left[\frac{1}{n_{1}}\sum_{i=1}^{n}W_{i}\mid n_{1}\right]\right] \left(Yandom treatment\right)$$
assignment)

= E[Y:U) · E[\frac{1}{\sigma_1} \frac{5}{\sigma_1} \frac{1}{\sigma_1} \frac{1}{\sigma_1}

$$\Rightarrow$$
 $\mathbb{E}[\hat{\tau}_{DA}] = \mathbb{E}[\hat{\gamma}_{c}(D)] - \mathbb{E}[\hat{\gamma}_{c}(D)] = \tau$

Propensity score

Failure of fon: Simpson's Paradox

Palo Alto	Non-S.	Snoker	NYC	Non-Si	Smoker	Αιι	Non-S.	Smoker
						= Tres	1. 350	150
Control	1700	5 + 200	C.tral	୯୦୦	ુ જ	Contro	1 2500	1000
		5:1					2.33:1 v	
treatment effect: + treatment effect: - (!!)								
Implication: propensity score plays a central role!								
Proposity score: $e(x) = \mathbb{P}(W_i = 1 \mid X_i = \pi)$								
Assumptions: 1. unconfoundedness: (Y, Lo)_Y, LD)_IL W: X;								
(no unexplained feature affects both W; & (Y:(0), Y:(1))								
2. overlap: $\eta \leq e(x) \leq 1-\eta$ for all x .								
Inverse-propensity weighting (IPW)								
71 F[WY (I-w)Y _ 1 _ 0								
Theorem. $\mathbb{E}\left[\frac{WY}{e(x)} - \frac{(1-w)Y}{1-e(x)} - \tau\right] = 0$								
fr, e(W, X, Y): estinating function								
$\frac{Pf}{\text{e(x)}} = \mathbb{E}\left[\frac{WY(1)}{\text{e(x)}}\right] = \mathbb{E}\left[\frac{WY(1)}{\text{e(x)}}\right]$								
e note:			= E	FE F	1 (CI) X	(] }		
estinating func	tion (some	times 't						, , ,
called score function; we won't $=$ \pm $\int \frac{1}{e(x)} E[W X] E[Y(1) X] $ (unconfoundedness) use this name in the course):								
$f_{\theta}(x)$ s.t. $E_{x \sim p_{\theta}}[f_{\theta}(x)] = 0$;								
estimating equation: = = = = = = = = = = = = = = = = = = =								
六品 360	λγ) = 0 _.		= 4	E[Y(1)] .			O

IPW estimator: given an estimate
$$\hat{e}(x)$$
 for $e(x)$, then

$$\frac{1}{n}\sum_{i=1}^{n}\left(\frac{W_{i}Y_{i}}{\partial(X_{i})}-\frac{(1-W_{i})Y_{i}}{1-\partial(X_{i})}-\mathcal{Z}_{IPW}\right)=0$$

$$\Rightarrow \mathcal{Z}_{IPW}=\frac{1}{n}\sum_{i=1}^{n}\left(\frac{W_{i}Y_{i}}{\partial(X_{i})}-\frac{(1-W_{i})Y_{i}}{1-\partial(X_{i})}\right)$$

Double robust estimation: Augmented IPW (AIPW).

$$\frac{M_{odel}}{V} = \mu_{W}(x) + \epsilon_{W}, \quad \mathbb{E}[\epsilon_{o}|W,x] = 0, \quad \mathbb{E}[\epsilon_{l}|W,x] = 0.$$

$$W \sim \text{Bern}(e(x))$$

Target parameter:
$$\tau = \mathbb{E}[\mu_1(X) - \mu_2(X)]$$

Nuisance parameter: mean outcomes $\mu_2(X)$, $\mu_1(X)$
proposity score $e(X)$

AIPW estimator. Given nuisance estimates
$$(\hat{\mu}_i(x), \hat{\mu}_i(x), \hat{e}(x))$$
:
$$\hat{z}_{AIPW} = \frac{1}{N} \sum_{i=1}^{n} (\hat{\mu}_i(X_i) - \hat{\mu}_i(X_i) + W_i \frac{Y_i - \hat{\mu}_i(X_i)}{\hat{e}(X_i)} - (1-W_i) \frac{Y_i - \hat{\mu}_i(X_i)}{1-\hat{e}(X_i)})$$

Interpretation: 1. from IPW. subtract the mean outcomes (Mo(Xi), M(Xi)) from Yi;

2. from
$$\frac{1}{N}\sum_{i=1}^{n}(\hat{\mu}_{i}(X_{i})-\hat{\mu}_{0}(X_{i}))$$
, debias using IPW applied to the regression residuals.

Double machine learning in practice

- 1. Split the dotoset into K folds;
- 2. For k=1,-..., K, use all data but the k-th fold to estimate $(\hat{\mu}_{i}^{(-k)}(x), \hat{\mu}_{o}^{(-k)}(x), \hat{e}^{(-k)}(x))$, possibly via machine learning i
- 3. Estimate ATE by

$$\frac{1}{C_{AIPW}} = \frac{1}{C_{AIPW}} \sum_{i=1}^{n} \left(\int_{A_{i}}^{(-k_{i})} (\chi_{i}) - \int_{A_{i}}^{(-k_{i})} (\chi_{i}) \right) + W_{i} \frac{Y_{i} - \int_{A_{i}}^{(-k_{i})} (\chi_{i})}{e^{(-k_{i})}(\chi_{i})} - (1-W_{i}) \frac{Y_{i} - \int_{A_{i}}^{(-k_{i})} (\chi_{i})}{1-e^{(-k_{i})}(\chi_{i})} \right)$$

Theoretical properties

$$f_{(\mu_1,\mu_2,e,z)}(W,X,Y) = \mu_1(X) - \mu_2(X) + W \frac{Y - \mu_1(X)}{e(X)} - (1-W) \frac{Y - \mu(X)}{1-e(X)} - T$$
Claim 1: f is an estimating function, i.e.

E[f(p,, po, e, z) (W, X Y)] = 0.

Pf.
$$\mathbb{E}\left[W\frac{Y-\mu_{i}(x)}{e(x)}\right]$$

$$= \mathbb{E}\left[W\frac{Y(1)-\mu_{i}(x)}{e(x)}\right] \quad (SUTVA)$$

$$= \mathbb{E}\left[\frac{W s_{i}}{e(x)}\right]$$

$$= \mathbb{E} \left\{ \mathbb{E} \left[\frac{W_{\Sigma_i}}{e(x)} \mid W_{-} x \right] \right\}$$

$$\Xi[f] = \Xi[M_1(x) - M_2(x)] - \tau$$

$$= \Xi[z_0 - z_1] = 0.$$

Claim 2: f is Neymon orthogonal, i.e.
$$\mathbb{E} \left[\nabla_{g} f_{(\mu_{1},\mu_{2},e,\tau)} (W,X,Y) \right] = 0 . \forall g \in \{\mu_{0},\mu_{1},e\}.$$

Pf. (1)
$$g = \mu_1$$
: $\mathbb{E}[\nabla_{\mu_1} f] = \mathbb{E}[1 - \frac{W}{e(X)} | X]$

$$= 1 - \mathbb{E}[\frac{W}{e(X)} | X]$$

$$= 0 \quad (P(W=1 \mid X) = e(X))$$

(2)
$$g = p_0$$
. $\mathbb{E}[\nabla p_0 f] = \mathbb{E}[-1 + \frac{1-W}{1-e(x)} | X]$

$$= -1 + \mathbb{E}[\frac{1-W}{1-e(x)} | X]$$

$$= 0 \qquad (\mathbb{P}(W=0 | X) = |-e(X))$$

$$= \mathbb{E} \left\{ \mathbb{E} \left[-\frac{W c_1}{e(x)^2} + \frac{(1-e(x))^2}{(1-e(x))^2} \middle| W, X \right] \middle| X \right\}$$

$$= 0 \left(\mathbb{E} \left[c_1, c_2 \middle| W, X \right] = 0, \text{ or} \right]$$

Claim 3: f is (weakly) double robust. i.e.
$$\mathbb{E} \left[f_{(A_1,A_2,2,7)}(W,X,Y) \right] = 0 \quad \text{if} \quad (A_1,A_2) = (M_1,M_2)$$

$$\mathbb{E} \left[f_{(A_1,A_2,2,7)}(W,X,Y) \right] = 0 \quad \text{if} \quad (A_1,A_2) = (M_1,M_2)$$

Pf. (1) If
$$(f_1, f_2) = (\mu_1, \mu_2)$$
; some argument in Claim 1
(2) If $\hat{e} = e$, rewrite

$$f(f_1, f_2, e, \tau)(W, X, Y) = \frac{WY}{e(X)} - \frac{(1-W)Y}{1-e(X)} - \tau$$

$$-(W-e(X))(\frac{f_1(X)}{e(X)} - \frac{f_2(X)}{1-e(X)})$$

$$E[\cdot] = E\{E[\cdot|X]\} = 0$$

$$E[\cdot] = E = E[\cdot |X] = 0$$
Since $P(W=1 | X) = e(X)$.

<u>Derivation</u> of AIPW (Optional)

First derivation: use efficient influence

(see J. Hahn, "On the role of propensity score in efficient semiparametric estimation of average treatment effects".

Econometrica, 1998)

Second derivation: find the projection of IPW
$$f_{\text{T,e}}(W,X,Y) = \frac{WY}{e(X)} - \frac{(I-W)Y}{I-e(X)} - \tau$$
 to the orthogonal complement of L , where
$$L = \left\{ g(W,X,Y) : \mathbb{E}[g(X,Y(0),Y(0))] = 0 \right\}.$$

Lemma |
$$L = \{ (W - e(x)) h(x) \text{ for general } h \}$$

Pf. Obviously $\mathbb{E}[(W - e(x)) h(x) | X, Y(x), Y(x)] = h(x) \mathbb{E}[W - e(x) | X] = 0.$
Now we show that any $g(W, X, Y) \in L$ must take this form.

$$E[g|X,Y(o),Y(i)] = e(X) g(I,X,Y(i)) + (I-e(X)) g(o,X,Y(o)) = 0$$

$$= g(X)$$

$$\Rightarrow \frac{g_1(x)}{1 - e(x)} = -\frac{g_2(x)}{e(x)} =: k(x)$$

$$\Rightarrow e(x) = -\frac{g_1(x)}{e(x)} =: k(x)$$

$$\Rightarrow \mathfrak{J}(W, X, Y) = \begin{cases} \mathfrak{J}_{1}(X) & \text{if } W = 1 \\ \mathfrak{J}_{2}(X) & \text{if } W = 0 \end{cases} = (W - e(X)) h(X)$$

Lenne 2. Proj_1 (
$$f_{T,e}(W,X,Y)$$
) = $f_{(h_0,h_0,e_0,T)}(W,X,Y)$,
the estimating function of AIPW.

Pf. Aim to find
$$h_0(X)$$
 s.t.
$$\mathbb{E}\left[\left(\frac{WY}{e(X)} - \frac{(1-W)Y}{1-e(X)} - \tau - (W-e(X))h_0(X)\right) \times (W-e(X))h(X)\right] = 0$$

$$\Rightarrow 0 = \mathbb{E}\left[\left(\frac{WY}{e(x)} - \frac{(1-W)Y}{1-e(x)} - \tau - (W-e(x))h.(x)\right)(W-e(x)) \mid x\right]$$

Ω.

$$= \mu_{\bullet}(x) \left(1 - e(x) \right) - \mu_{\bullet}(x) \left(- e(x) \right) - e(x) \left(1 - e(x) \right) h_{\bullet}(x)$$

$$\Rightarrow h_{\bullet}(x) = \frac{\mu_{i}(x)}{e(x)} + \frac{\mu_{\bullet}(x)}{1 - e(x)}$$

$$= \frac{WY}{e(x)} - \frac{(1-W)Y}{1-e(x)} - \tau - \left(W - e(x)\right) \left(\frac{f_{n}(x)}{e(x)} + \frac{f_{n}(x)}{1-e(x)}\right)$$

$$= \mu(x) - \mu(x) - \tau + W \frac{Y - \mu(x)}{e(x)} - (1 - W) \frac{Y - \mu(x)}{1 - e(x)}$$

$$= f_{(f_{\bullet}, f_{\bullet}, e_{, \epsilon})}(W, X, T)$$