

Math 104: Introduction to Real Analysis

Lecture Notes

Noam Michael

Spring 2026

Contents

1	Lecture 1: January 20, 2026	2
1.1	Ordered sets and the least-upper-bound property	2
1.2	Fields	4

1 Lecture 1: January 20, 2026

Lecture Overview: We begin by proving $\sqrt{2}$ is irrational, motivating the need for a number system without “gaps.” This leads us to define **ordered sets** and the crucial **Least Upper Bound Property (LUBP)**—the defining feature of \mathbb{R} that \mathbb{Q} lacks. We then introduce **fields** as algebraic structures with addition and multiplication, and combine these ideas into **ordered fields**. The real numbers are the unique complete ordered field.

1.1 Ordered sets and the least-upper-bound property

Section Overview: This section motivates the need for the real numbers by showing that \mathbb{Q} has “gaps”— $\sqrt{2}$ is irrational, yet we can get arbitrarily close to it with rationals. We develop the machinery of **ordered sets**: partial orders, total orders, upper/lower bounds, and the supremum/infimum. The central concept is the **Least Upper Bound Property (LUBP)**: every non-empty bounded-above subset has a supremum. This property distinguishes \mathbb{R} from \mathbb{Q} and is the foundation for all of real analysis. We prove that LUBP implies GLBP.

Consider the ancient problem from Greek times: can we write $\sqrt{2}$ as a quotient of two natural numbers?

Theorem 1.1. $\sqrt{2}$ is irrational; that is, there do not exist $p, q \in \mathbb{N}$ such that $\sqrt{2} = \frac{p}{q}$.

Proof. Suppose, for contradiction, that $\sqrt{2} = \frac{p}{q}$ for some $p, q \in \mathbb{N}$ with $\gcd(p, q) = 1$ (i.e., the fraction is in lowest terms).

Then $2 = \frac{p^2}{q^2}$, so $p^2 = 2q^2$.

This means p^2 is even, so p is even. Write $p = 2k$ for some $k \in \mathbb{N}$.

Then $(2k)^2 = 2q^2$, so $4k^2 = 2q^2$, hence $q^2 = 2k^2$.

This means q^2 is even, so q is even.

But then both p and q are even, contradicting $\gcd(p, q) = 1$. □

Now consider two sets:

$$A = \{p \in \mathbb{Q} : p > 0 \text{ and } p^2 < 2\}, \quad B = \{p \in \mathbb{Q} : p > 0 \text{ and } p^2 > 2\}.$$

Proposition 1.2. A contains no largest element and B contains no smallest element.

Proof. Let $p_0 \in A$. Define

$$q = p_0 + \frac{2 - p_0^2}{p_0^2 + 2}.$$

Since $p_0 \in A$, we have $p_0^2 < 2$, so $2 - p_0^2 > 0$. Thus $q > p_0$.

We claim $q \in A$, i.e., $q^2 < 2$. One can verify that

$$q^2 - 2 = \frac{(p_0^2 - 2)^2 \cdot (\text{positive})}{(p_0^2 + 2)^2}$$

which shows $q^2 < 2$ when $p_0^2 < 2$.

Hence A has no largest element.

A similar argument shows B has no smallest element. □

Definition 1.3 (1.3). If A is any set, we write $x \in A$ to say that x is a **member** of A . Otherwise, $x \notin A$. The set that contains no elements is called the **empty set**, denoted \emptyset . If $A \neq \emptyset$, we say that A is **non-empty**.

If A, B are sets and $\forall x \in A$ we have $x \in B$, we say that $A \subset B$, or A is a **subset** of B . If there exists an element $x \in B$ with $x \notin A$, then A is a **proper subset** of B , denoted $A \subsetneq B$.

Example. $3 \in \mathbb{N}$, but $-1 \notin \mathbb{N}$. We have $\mathbb{N} \subset \mathbb{Z}$ and $\mathbb{N} \subsetneq \mathbb{Z}$ (since $-1 \in \mathbb{Z}$ but $-1 \notin \mathbb{N}$).

Definition 1.4. A **binary relation** on a set S is a set of ordered pairs $\langle x, y \rangle$ with $x, y \in S$.

Example. On \mathbb{Z} , the relation \leq is the set $\{\langle x, y \rangle : x, y \in \mathbb{Z}, x \leq y\}$, e.g., $\langle 2, 5 \rangle$ is in the relation.

Definition 1.5. A **partial order** is a binary relation \leq on S such that:

1. **Reflexive:** $\forall x \in S, x \leq x$.
2. **Anti-symmetric:** $\forall x, y \in S$, if $x \leq y$ and $y \leq x$, then $x = y$.
3. **Transitive:** $\forall x, y, z \in S$, if $x \leq y$ and $y \leq z$, then $x \leq z$.

Example. On the power set $\mathcal{P}(\{1, 2\}) = \{\emptyset, \{1\}, \{2\}, \{1, 2\}\}$, the subset relation \subseteq is a partial order (but not a total order, since $\{1\} \not\subseteq \{2\}$ and $\{2\} \not\subseteq \{1\}$).

Definition 1.6. A **total order** is a partial order with the additional axiom that any two elements are comparable. That is, for any $x, y \in S$, either $x \leq y$ or $y \leq x$ (non-exclusive).

Example. The usual \leq on \mathbb{R} is a total order: for any $x, y \in \mathbb{R}$, either $x \leq y$ or $y \leq x$.

Definition 1.7. An **ordered set** is a set equipped with a total order.

Example. (\mathbb{Q}, \leq) and (\mathbb{R}, \leq) are ordered sets.

Definition 1.8. Suppose S is an ordered set and $E \subset S$. If there exists $\beta \in S$ such that $x \leq \beta$ for all $x \in E$, we say β is an **upper bound** of E . Similarly, if there exists $\alpha \in S$ such that $\alpha \leq x$ for all $x \in E$, we say α is a **lower bound** of E .

Example. Let $E = (0, 1) \subset \mathbb{R}$. Then $1, 2, 100$ are all upper bounds of E , and $0, -5$ are lower bounds of E .

Definition 1.9. Suppose S is an ordered set and $E \subset S$ is bounded above. If there exists $\alpha \in S$ such that:

1. α is an upper bound of E , and
2. if $\gamma < \alpha$, then γ is not an upper bound of E ,

then α is called the **least upper bound** of E (or **supremum**), denoted $\sup E$.

Example. $\sup(0, 1) = 1$ and $\sup[0, 1] = 1$ in \mathbb{R} .

Definition 1.10. Suppose S is an ordered set and $E \subset S$ is bounded below. If there exists $\alpha \in S$ such that:

1. α is a lower bound of E , and
2. if $\gamma > \alpha$, then γ is not a lower bound of E ,

then α is called the **greatest lower bound** of E (or **infimum**), denoted $\inf E$.

Example. $\inf(0, 1) = 0$ and $\inf[0, 1] = 0$ in \mathbb{R} .

Remark 1.11. If $\sup E$ or $\inf E$ exists, it need not be an element of E . For example, the set $A = \{p \in \mathbb{Q} : p > 0, p^2 < 2\}$ has $\sup A = \sqrt{2}$ (in \mathbb{R}), but $\sqrt{2} \notin A$ since $\sqrt{2} \notin \mathbb{Q}$.

Definition 1.12. Let S be an ordered set.

1. S has the **least upper bound property** if for any non-empty $E \subset S$ that is bounded above, $\sup E$ exists in S .
2. S has the **greatest lower bound property** if for any non-empty $E \subset S$ that is bounded below, $\inf E$ exists in S .

Example. \mathbb{R} has the LUBP (and hence GLBP). However, \mathbb{Q} does not: the set $A = \{p \in \mathbb{Q} : p > 0, p^2 < 2\}$ is bounded above in \mathbb{Q} , but $\sup A = \sqrt{2} \notin \mathbb{Q}$.

Theorem 1.13 (LUBP implies GLBP). *Suppose S is an ordered set with the least upper bound property. Let $B \subset S$, $B \neq \emptyset$, and suppose B is bounded below. Let L be the set of all lower bounds of B . Then $\alpha = \sup L$ exists in S , and $\alpha = \inf B$.*

Proof. First, $L \neq \emptyset$ since B is bounded below.

Second, L is bounded above: every $b \in B$ is an upper bound for L (since if $\ell \in L$, then $\ell \leq b$ by definition of lower bound).

By the LUBP, $\alpha = \sup L$ exists in S .

We claim $\alpha = \inf B$:

1. α is a lower bound of B : For any $b \in B$, b is an upper bound of L , so $\alpha \leq b$ (since α is the least upper bound of L).
2. α is the greatest lower bound: If $\gamma > \alpha$ and γ were a lower bound of B , then $\gamma \in L$, so $\gamma \leq \sup L = \alpha$, contradicting $\gamma > \alpha$. Thus γ is not a lower bound of B .

Thus $\alpha = \inf B$. □

1.2 Fields

Section Overview: This section introduces the algebraic structure underlying \mathbb{R} . We define **groups** (sets with an operation having identity, inverses, and associativity) and **fields** (sets with addition and multiplication that behave like we expect from \mathbb{Q} or \mathbb{R}). We sketch how to construct $\mathbb{N} \rightarrow \mathbb{Z} \rightarrow \mathbb{Q}$ using equivalence relations. The key definition is an **ordered field**: a field that is also an ordered set, allowing us to combine algebraic operations with comparison. \mathbb{R} is the unique complete ordered field.

Definition 1.14. A **binary operation** on S is a map $S \times S \rightarrow S$.

Definition 1.15. A **group** is a set G with a binary operation $+$ satisfying the following axioms:

1. **Identity:** There exists $0 \in G$ such that $a + 0 = 0 + a = a$ for all $a \in G$.
2. **Existence of inverse:** For every $a \in G$, there exists $-a \in G$ such that $a + (-a) = 0$.

3. **Associativity:** For all $a, b, c \in G$, $(a + b) + c = a + (b + c)$.

If we add a fourth axiom:

4. **Commutativity:** For all $a, b \in G$, $a + b = b + a$,

then G is called an **abelian group**.

Definition 1.16. A **field** is a set F with two binary operations, addition $(+)$ and multiplication (\cdot) , such that:

1. $(F, +)$ is an abelian group with identity 0.
2. $(F \setminus \{0\}, \cdot)$ is an abelian group with identity 1.
3. **Distributivity:** For all $a, b, c \in F$, $a \cdot (b + c) = a \cdot b + a \cdot c$.

Example. \mathbb{Q} , \mathbb{R} , and \mathbb{C} are fields. \mathbb{Z} is not a field (e.g., 2 has no multiplicative inverse in \mathbb{Z}).

Zooming out, we can construct the number systems as follows:

The **natural numbers** \mathbb{N} can be defined by the cardinality of iterated power sets of \emptyset :

$$0 = |\emptyset|, \quad 1 = |\mathcal{P}(\emptyset)|, \quad 2 = |\mathcal{P}(\mathcal{P}(\emptyset))|, \quad \dots$$

The **integers** are defined as:

$$\mathbb{Z} = \{a - b : a, b \in \mathbb{N}\}.$$

Definition 1.17. An **equivalence relation** \sim on a set S has the following properties:

1. **Reflexive:** $x \sim x$ for all $x \in S$.
2. **Symmetric:** If $x \sim y$, then $y \sim x$.
3. **Transitive:** If $x \sim y$ and $y \sim z$, then $x \sim z$.

The **rational numbers** are defined as:

$$\mathbb{Q} = \left\{ \frac{p}{q} : p \in \mathbb{Z}, q \in \mathbb{N}, q \neq 0 \right\} / \sim$$

We can verify this is an equivalence relation: $\frac{p}{q} \sim \frac{r}{s}$ if and only if $ps = rq$.

Definition 1.18. An **ordered field** is a field which is also an ordered set.

Proposition 1.19. If $x > 0$ and $y < z$, then $xy < xz$.

Proof. Since $y < z$, we have $z - y > 0$. Since $x > 0$ and $z - y > 0$, we have $x(z - y) > 0$. Thus $xz - xy > 0$, so $xy < xz$. \square