The promise and *perils* of synthetic biology

For the past four billion years or so the only way for life on Earth to produce a sequence

of DNA—a gene—was by copying a sequence it already had to hand. Sometimes the gene would

be damaged or scrambled, the copying imperfect or undertaken repeatedly. From that raw

material arose the glories of natural selection. But beneath it all, gene begat gene.

promise 希望

peril 危险

begat 为...之父

That is no longer true. Now genes can be written from scratch and edited repeatedly, like

text in a word processor. Immune cells can be told to follow doctors' orders; stem cells

better coaxed to turn into new tissues; fertilized eggs programmed to grow into creatures quite

unlike their parents. The scale of the potential changes seems hard to imagine. To harness the

promise and minimize the peril, it pays to learn the lessons of the past.

coax 哄骗;劝诱

fertilized 受精的

harness 利用;驾驭

The earliest biological transformation—domestication—produced what was hitherto the

biggest change in how humans lived their lives. This allowed new densities of settlement and

new forms of social organization: the market, the city, the state.

Synthetic biology will have a similar cascading effect, transforming humans' relationships

with each other and, potentially, their own biological nature. The ability to reprogram

the embryo is, rightly, the site of most of today's ethical concerns. How humans may choose to

change themselves biologically is hard to say; that some choices will be controversial is not. It

will challenge the human capacity for wisdom and foresight. It might defeat it. But

carefully nurtured, it might also help expand it.