How to handle racists' statues

In 1895 the burghers of Bristol in south-west England, swept up by the Victorian fervor for

celebrating city fathers, were casting about for a big historical cheese of their own. They settled

on Edward Colston, a 17th-century merchant who had endowed charities that have lifted

innumerable indigent Bristolians out of poverty and educated hordes of its young citizens over

the centuries.

fervor 热情;热烈

endow 赋予;捐赠

indigent 贫穷的

chuck 丟弃;驱逐

But, by modern standards, they picked the wrong guy: Colston made his money largely

through the Royal African Company, which shipped slaves from Africa to the West Indies. On

June 7th protesters *chucked* his statue into the city's harbour.

Statues become flashpoints at times of social change because they honour the values of the

times in which they were erected. Yet statues also provide a record of a country's past, and the

desire to respect and understand that history of commemoration argues against dismantling

them.

dismantle 拆除;取消

Great figures should have a place in public spaces, even when their record is tarnished. As a

rule, someone whose failings were subordinate to their claim to greatness should stay, whereas

someone whose main contribution to history was baleful should go.

tarnish 玷污; 使失去光泽

baleful 恶意的;有害的

America honours many people who happened to be slave owners — and so it should, in the

case of such as Washington and Jefferson, known chiefly for their contribution to their country.

Colston has no claim to remain. He has now been dredged out of the river, and the council

is planning to put him in a museum.

dredge 打捞;挖掘