

Example: on MATLAB ...

- For Moran, for the time of the jumps:

We can generate NT & NTAV OR to take the same jump times & change only sizes.

$\left\{ \begin{array}{l} u = \text{rand}(Nsim, 1); \\ NT = \text{icdf}('Poisson', u, \dots); \\ NTAV = \text{icdf}('Poisson', 1-u, \dots); \end{array} \right.$

 Simulate  $u$  before! Don't put "1..." directly inside.

- OR, for the size of the jumps:

Here we change jump sizes.

$\left\{ \begin{array}{l} z = \text{randn}(1, N); \\ X(j,i) = X(j,i-1) + mu * dt + sigma * \sqrt(dt) * z(i-1); \\ XAV(j,i) = XAV(j,i-1) + mu * dt + sigma * \sqrt(dt) * (-z(i-1)); \end{array} \right.$

- For Kou: we can modify the continuous part:

$$XAV(j,i) = XAV(j,i-1) + mu * dt - sigma * \sqrt(dt) * z(i-1);$$



Then, for the jumps: when one has positive jump, YAV gets a negative jump; & vice versa.

↳ No, that's an error, because then  $X$  and  $XAV$  don't have the same distribution anymore.

So here is what we can do: on MATLAB ...

% pos jump:  
 $u = \text{rand};$   
 $y = -\text{icdf}('Exponential', u, 1/\lambda_{up});$   
 $YAV = -\text{icdf}('Exponential', 1-u, 1/\lambda_{up});$

% neg jump  
 $u = \text{rand};$   
 $y = -\text{icdf}('Exponential', u, 1/\lambda_{down});$   
 $YAV = -\text{icdf}('Exponential', 1-u, 1/\lambda_{down});$

negative correlation.

$[...]$   
 $X(j,i) = X(j,i) + Y;$   
 $XAV(j,i) = XAV(j,i) + YAV;$

2nd Method: { Just negatively correlate the BM ( $G^0$  part) & same jumps.

→ Then you can use all that in our MC to perform "Antithetic Variable MC": you compute the two "disc\_payoff" and then average the two.

In profile report you can check that this is

really ZERO COST : we get better precision  
with practically no cost.

---

## 2<sup>nd</sup> technique: control variable:

Let's start with  $Z$  which is one source of randomness, &  $\Theta = \mathbb{E}[g(z)]$ .

Example:  $Z \sim N(0,1)$  &  $g(z) = e^{-rT} \max(S e^{(r - \frac{\sigma^2}{2})T + \sigma \sqrt{T} z} - K, 0)$   
(FV Call Option under B&S)

Assume we have  $f$  a fct and we can compute  $f(z)$ . And assume that

$\mathbb{E}[f(z)]$  is known :  $\mathbb{E}[f(z)] = \Theta_f$  .  
**KNOWN**

- What is the idea? May I exploit the knowledge of  $\Theta_f$  to improve the computation of  $\Theta$ ? YES: instead of studying  $g(z)$  I will study  $g(z) + \alpha(f(z) - \Theta_f)$ .

$f(z) - \theta_f$  is the distance between  $f(z)$  & its expected value. One MC estimator:

$$\hat{\theta} = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n \left[ g(z_i) + \alpha (f(z_i) - \theta_f) \right]$$



If  $\alpha = 0$ , we have  
a classical MC.

On the other hand :  $\forall \alpha$ ,

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbb{E}[g(z) + \alpha (f(z) - \theta_f)] &= \mathbb{E}[g(z)] + \alpha (\theta_f - \theta_f) = \mathbb{E}[g(z)] \\ &= \theta \end{aligned}$$

So :  $\forall \alpha \in \mathbb{R}, \hat{\theta} \xrightarrow{n \rightarrow +\infty} \theta = \mathbb{E}[g(z)]$ .

• What about variance? We would like to make it as small as possible (using  $\alpha$ !).

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Var}(g(z) + \alpha (f(z) - \mathbb{E}[f(z)])) &= \text{Var}(g(z)) + \\ \alpha^2 \text{Var}(f(z)) &+ 2\alpha \text{cov}(g(z), f(z)) \end{aligned}$$

We want to minimize that wrt  $\alpha$ :

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial \alpha} : \lambda \alpha \text{Var}(f(z)) + \lambda \text{Cov}(g(z), f(z)) = 0$$

$$\Leftrightarrow \alpha = \frac{-\text{cov}(f(z), g(z))}{\text{Var}(f(z))}$$

The  $\alpha$  we have to use to minimize the variance.

- Question: Who is  $f$ ?  $f$  is the CONTROL VARIABLE.

→  $E[f(z)]$  is known.

→ We need  $\text{cov}(f(z), g(z)) \neq 0$ .

Example: **CALL BRS:** (EU)

$$e^{-rT} \left( S_0 e^{(r - \frac{\sigma^2}{2})T + \sigma \sqrt{T} Z} - K \right)^+ = g(z)$$

$$f(z) = S_0 e^{(r - \frac{\sigma^2}{2})T + \sigma \sqrt{T} z}$$

$$\text{so: } g(z) = e^{-rT} (f(z) - K)^+ \quad \&$$

$$E[f(z)] = S_0 e^{rT} \quad (\text{RISK NEUTRAL}).$$

Example: **CALL LÉVY:** (EU)

If  $g(z) = e^{-rT} (S_0 e^{rT + X} - K)^+$ ,  $X \sim \text{Lévy}$ , under  $\mathbb{Q}$  measure (RISK NEUTRAL MEASURE). Then,

take :  $f(z) = S_0 e^X$  : {Discounted price is a MG, under  $\mathbb{Q}$ .

$$g(z) = e^{-rT} \left( e^{rT} f(z) - K \right)^+$$

$$E[f(z)] = S_0 \quad (\text{under } Q).$$

Example: ASIAN OPTION, GENERALLY!

$$g = \left( S(T) - \frac{1}{N} \sum_{i=1}^N S(t_i) \right)^+, \quad t_i = i\Delta t, \quad \Delta t = \frac{T}{N}.$$

↑ Call Option, floating strike.

Candidate for  $f$ :  $f = S(T) - \frac{1}{N} \sum_{i=1}^N S(t_i)$ .

$$S_0 : g = (f)^+$$

$$E[f] = e^{rT} S_0 + \frac{1}{N} \sum_{i=1}^N S_0 e^{r i \Delta t}$$

$\textcircled{Q}$

Very general (whatever  $t \mapsto S(t)$ !).

Example: ⚠ Even in B&S, we don't know  $E[\min_t S(t)]$ ...

$$1) g = \left( S(T) - \min_i S(t_i) \right)^+ \quad \boxed{\text{LOOKBACK CALL FLOATING STRIKE ON MIN.}}$$

$$2) g = (\max_i S(t_i) - K)^+$$

FIXED STRIKE LOOKBACK  
CALL OPTION ON THE MAX

For 1) :  $f = S(T)$

For 2) :  $f = ??$  ! WE DON'T KNOW.

Example : BARRIER D&O CALL

$$g = (S(T) - K)^+ \mathbb{1}_{\{\min_i S(t_i) > D\}}. \text{ Choose: } f = S(T).$$

! NOT A GREAT CONTROL VARIABLE ←

• Last missing point: how to compute  $\alpha$  ?

$$\alpha = - \frac{\text{Cov}(f(z), g(z))}{\text{Var}(f(z))}$$

We don't know exactly  $\alpha$ , so we will simulate  $\alpha$  but in order not to be too computationally-intense, we'll do a small number of simulations. So usually we use a bad estimation of  $\alpha$ .

→ Now let's do the code on **MATLAB**:  
see the "VR" .m files. } See Lecture 8 folder.

END OF 30/09/2024 RECORDING