

# **Extending the “Development Pipeline” Towards Continuous Deployment and Continuous Experimentation: A Case Study in the B2B Domain**

Olli Rissanen

Master’s thesis  
University of Helsinki  
Department of Computer Science

Helsinki, April 7, 2014

Tiedekunta — Fakultet — Faculty		Laitos — Institution — Department	
Faculty of Science		Department of Computer Science	
Tekijä — Författare — Author			
Olli Rissanen			
Työn nimi — Arbetets titel — Title			
Extending the “Development Pipeline” Towards Continuous Deployment and Continuous Experimentation: A Case Study			
Oppiaine — Läroämne — Subject			
Computer Science			
Työn laji — Arbetets art — Level	Aika — Datum — Month and year	Sivumäärä — Sidoantal — Number of pages	
Master’s thesis	April 7, 2014	3	
Tiivistelmä — Referat — Abstract			
<p>Currently more and more software companies are moving to lean practices, which often include shorter delivery cycles and thus shorter feedback loops. However, to achieve continuous customer feedback and to eliminate work that doesn’t generate value, even shorter cycles are required. In continuous deployment the software functionality is deployed continuously at customer environment. This process includes both automated builds and automated testing, but also automated deployment. Automating the whole process minimizes the time required for implementing new features in software, and allows for faster customer feedback. However, adopting continuous deployment doesn’t necessarily mean that more value is created for the customer. While continuous deployment attempts to deliver an idea to users as fast as possible, continuous experimentation instead attempts to validate that it is, in fact, a good idea. In a state of continuous experimentation, the entire R&amp;D process is guided by controlled experiments and feedback. In it’s core continuous experimentation consists of a design-execute-analyse loop, where hypotheses are selected based on business goals and strategies, experiments are executed with partial implementations and data collection tools and finally the results are analyzed to validate the hypothesis. In this paper we’re ..</p>			
Avainsanat — Nyckelord — Keywords			
Continuous delivery, Continuous experimentation, Development pipeline			
Säilytyspaikka — Förvaringsställe — Where deposited			
Muita tietoja — Övriga uppgifter — Additional information			

## Contents

<b>1</b>	<b>Introduction</b>	<b>1</b>
<b>2</b>	<b>Theory</b>	<b>1</b>
2.1	Continuous delivery . . . . .	1
2.2	Continuous experimentation . . . . .	2
<b>3</b>	<b>Methods, materials</b>	<b>2</b>
<b>4</b>	<b>Analysis</b>	<b>2</b>
<b>5</b>	<b>Discussion</b>	<b>2</b>
<b>6</b>	<b>Conclusion</b>	<b>2</b>
	<b>References</b>	<b>2</b>

It's hard to argue that Tiger Woods is pretty darn good at what he does. But even he is not perfect. Imagine if he were allowed to hit four balls each time and then choose the shot that worked the best. Scary good. – Michael Egan, Sr. Director, Content Solutions, Yahoo (Egan, 2007)

# 1 Introduction

-motivation -research question -approach

## 2 Theory

-continuous delivery -continuous experimentation -state of the art practices  
-short summary

### 2.1 Continuous delivery

Continuous deployment is an extension to continuous integration, where the software functionality is deployed frequently at customer environment. While continuous integration defines a process where the work is automatically built, tested and frequently integrated to mainline [3], often multiple times a day, continuous deployment adds automated acceptance testing and deployment. The purpose of continuous deployment is that as the deployment process is completely automated, it reduces human error, documents required for the build and increases confidence that the build works [4].

An important part of continuous deployment is the deployment pipeline, which is an automated implementation of an application's build, deploy, test and release process [4]. A deployment pipeline can be loosely defined as a consecutively executed set of validations that a software has to pass such before it can be released. Common components of the deployment pipeline are a version control system and an automated test suite.

In an agile process software release is done in periodic intervals [2]. Compared to waterfall model it introduces multiple releases throughout the development. Continuous deployment, on the other hand, attempts to keep the software ready for release at all times during development process [4]. Instead of stopping the development process and creating a build as in an agile process, the software is continuously deployed to customer environment. This doesn't mean that the development cycles in continuous deployment are shorter, but that the development is done in a way that makes the software always ready for release.

It should also be made clear that continuous delivery differs from continuous deployment. Refer to Fig. ?? for a visual representation of differences in continuous integration, delivery and deployment. Both include automated deployment to a staging environment. Continuous deployment includes deployment to a production environment, while in continuous delivery the deployment to a production environment is done manually. The purpose of continuous delivery is to prove that every build is proven deployable [4]. While it necessarily doesn't mean that teams release often, keeping the software in a state where a release can be made instantly is often seen beneficial.

## 2.2 Continuous experimentation

Continuous deployment attempts to deliver an idea to users as fast as possible. Continuous experimentation instead attempts to validate that it is, in fact, a good idea. In continuous experimentation the organisation runs controlled experiments to guide the R&D process. The development cycle in continuous experimentation resembles the build-measure-learn cycle of lean startup [5]. The process in continuous experimentation is to first form a hypothesis based on a business goals and customer "pains" [1]. After the hypothesis has been formed, quantitative metrics to measure the hypothesis must be decided. After this a minimum viable product can be developed and deployed, while collecting the required data. Finally, the data is analyzed to attempt to validate the hypothesis.

As the experiments are run in a regular fashion, it is only natural to attempt to integrate experiments to the deployment pipeline, and to change the development process in such fashion that functionality is developed based on some actual data. The components required to support continuous experimentation include tools to assign users to treatment and control groups, tools for data logging and storing, and analytics tool for conducting statistical analyses.

## 3 Methods, materials

-current state at steeri -short summary

## 4 Analysis

## 5 Discussion

## 6 Conclusion

Sample text and a reference [?].

## References

- [1] Bosch, Jan: *Building products as innovation experiment systems*. In *Software Business*, pages 27–39. Springer, 2012.
- [2] Cockburn, Alistair: *Agile software development*, volume 2006. Addison-Wesley Boston, 2002.
- [3] Fowler, Martin and Foemmel, Matthew: *Continuous integration*. Thought-Works) [http://www.thoughtworks.com/Continuous Integration. pdf](http://www.thoughtworks.com/Continuous%20Integration.pdf), 2006.

- [4] Humble, Jez and Farley, David: *Continuous Delivery: Reliable Software Releases Through Build, Test, and Deployment Automation*. Addison-Wesley Professional, 1st edition, 2010, ISBN 0321601912, 9780321601919.
- [5] Ries, Eric: *The lean startup: How today's entrepreneurs use continuous innovation to create radically successful businesses*. Random House LLC, 2011.