

Compactness in Metric Spaces

following “Les maths en tête - Analyse” by X. Gourdon

December 4, 2025

Definition and Theorem

Recall that (E stands for “Espace”= Space in french)

- A topological space (E, \mathcal{T}) is **compact** if every open cover admits a finite subcover.

Definition and Theorem

Recall that (E stands for “Espace”= Space in french)

- A topological space (E, \mathcal{T}) is **compact** if every open cover admits a finite subcover. If it is a metric space, then we will equivalently use the **sequential characterization**: every sequence admits a convergent subsequence.

Definition and Theorem

Recall that (E stands for “Espace” = Space in french)

- A topological space (E, \mathcal{T}) is **compact** if every open cover admits a finite subcover. If it is a metric space, then we will equivalently use the **sequential characterization**: every sequence admits a convergent subsequence.
- A metric space (E, d) is **precompact** or **totally bounded** if for every $\epsilon > 0$, it can be covered by a finite number of open balls of radius ϵ .

Definition and Theorem

Recall that (E stands for “Espace” = Space in french)

- A topological space (E, \mathcal{T}) is **compact** if every open cover admits a finite subcover. If it is a metric space, then we will equivalently use the **sequential characterization**: every sequence admits a convergent subsequence.
- A metric space (E, d) is **precompact** or **totally bounded** if for every $\epsilon > 0$, it can be covered by a finite number of open balls of radius ϵ .
- (E, d) is **complete** if every Cauchy sequence converges in E .

Definition and Theorem

Recall that (E stands for “Espace” = Space in french)

- A topological space (E, \mathcal{T}) is **compact** if every open cover admits a finite subcover. If it is a metric space, then we will equivalently use the **sequential characterization**: every sequence admits a convergent subsequence.
- A metric space (E, d) is **precompact** or **totally bounded** if for every $\epsilon > 0$, it can be covered by a finite number of open balls of radius ϵ .
- (E, d) is **complete** if every Cauchy sequence converges in E .

Theorem (Exercise 2 p.32)

A metric space (E, d) is **compact** if and only if it is **precompact** and **complete**.

Definition and Theorem

Recall that (E stands for “Espace” = Space in french)

- A topological space (E, \mathcal{T}) is **compact** if every open cover admits a finite subcover. If it is a metric space, then we will equivalently use the **sequential characterization**: every sequence admits a convergent subsequence.
- A metric space (E, d) is **precompact** or **totally bounded** if for every $\epsilon > 0$, it can be covered by a finite number of open balls of radius ϵ .
- (E, d) is **complete** if every Cauchy sequence converges in E .

Theorem (Exercise 2 p.32)

A metric space (E, d) is **compact** if and only if it is **precompact** and **complete**.

Proof: $\boxed{(\Rightarrow)}$ Let $\epsilon > 0$. The family $(\mathcal{B}(x, \epsilon))_{x \in E}$ of open subsets obviously covers $E = \bigcup_{x \in E} \mathcal{B}(x, \epsilon)$ so one can extract a finite subcover:

$$\exists \{x_1, \dots, x_n\} \subset E \text{ such that } E = \bigcup_{i=1}^n \mathcal{B}(x_i, \epsilon)$$

Let now $(x_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \in E^{\mathbb{N}}$ be a Cauchy sequence.

¹This is equivalent to compactness in the case of metric spaces (it is a difficult theorem, cf. Lebesgue's number lemma).

Let now $(x_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \in E^{\mathbb{N}}$ be a Cauchy sequence. By the sequential characterization of compactness, one can extract a convergent subsequence $(x_{\varphi(n)})_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$. Let $x \in E$ be the limit. Since the original sequence is Cauchy, it will also converge to x :

$$\left\{ \begin{array}{l} \forall \epsilon_1 > 0, \exists N_1 \in \mathbb{N}, \forall n \in \mathbb{N} \setminus [\![0, N_1]\!], \quad d(x_{\varphi(n)}, x) < \epsilon_1 \\ \forall \epsilon_2 > 0, \exists N_2 \in \mathbb{N}, \forall (p, q) \in (\mathbb{N} \setminus [\![0, N_2]\!])^2, \quad d(x_p, x_q) < \epsilon_2 \end{array} \right.$$

¹This is equivalent to compactness in the case of metric spaces (it is a difficult theorem, cf. Lebesgue's number lemma).

Let now $(x_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \in E^{\mathbb{N}}$ be a Cauchy sequence. By the sequential characterization of compactness, one can extract a convergent subsequence $(x_{\varphi(n)})_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$. Let $x \in E$ be the limit. Since the original sequence is Cauchy, it will also converge to x :

$$\left\{ \begin{array}{l} \forall \epsilon_1 > 0, \exists N_1 \in \mathbb{N}, \forall n \in \mathbb{N} \setminus [\![0, N_1]\!], \quad d(x_{\varphi(n)}, x) < \epsilon_1 \\ \forall \epsilon_2 > 0, \exists N_2 \in \mathbb{N}, \forall (p, q) \in (\mathbb{N} \setminus [\![0, N_2]\!])^2, \quad d(x_p, x_q) < \epsilon_2 \end{array} \right.$$

Let $\epsilon > 0$. By the previous lines, with $\epsilon_1 = \frac{\epsilon}{2} = \epsilon_2$, for all $k, n \in \mathbb{N}$ with $k > N_2$ and $n > N_1$ such that $\varphi(n) > N_2$,

$$d(x_k, x) \leq d(x_k, x_{\varphi(n)}) + d(x_{\varphi(n)}, x) < \epsilon_2 + \epsilon_1 = \epsilon.$$

¹This is equivalent to compactness in the case of metric spaces (it is a difficult theorem, cf. Lebesgue's number lemma).

Let now $(x_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \in E^{\mathbb{N}}$ be a Cauchy sequence. By the sequential characterization of compactness, one can extract a convergent subsequence $(x_{\varphi(n)})_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$. Let $x \in E$ be the limit. Since the original sequence is Cauchy, it will also converge to x :

$$\left\{ \begin{array}{l} \forall \epsilon_1 > 0, \exists N_1 \in \mathbb{N}, \forall n \in \mathbb{N} \setminus [\![0, N_1]\!], \quad d(x_{\varphi(n)}, x) < \epsilon_1 \\ \forall \epsilon_2 > 0, \exists N_2 \in \mathbb{N}, \forall (p, q) \in (\mathbb{N} \setminus [\![0, N_2]\!])^2, \quad d(x_p, x_q) < \epsilon_2 \end{array} \right.$$

Let $\epsilon > 0$. By the previous lines, with $\epsilon_1 = \frac{\epsilon}{2} = \epsilon_2$, for all $k, n \in \mathbb{N}$ with $k > N_2$ and $n > N_1$ such that $\varphi(n) > N_2$,

$$d(x_k, x) \leq d(x_k, x_{\varphi(n)}) + d(x_{\varphi(n)}, x) < \epsilon_2 + \epsilon_1 = \epsilon.$$

(⇒) let us show that if E is precompact and complete, then it is sequentially compact¹.

¹This is equivalent to compactness in the case of metric spaces (it is a difficult theorem, cf. Lebesgue's number lemma).

Let now $(x_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \in E^{\mathbb{N}}$ be a Cauchy sequence. By the sequential characterization of compactness, one can extract a convergent subsequence $(x_{\varphi(n)})_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$. Let $x \in E$ be the limit. Since the original sequence is Cauchy, it will also converge to x :

$$\left\{ \begin{array}{l} \forall \epsilon_1 > 0, \exists N_1 \in \mathbb{N}, \forall n \in \mathbb{N} \setminus [\![0, N_1]\!], \quad d(x_{\varphi(n)}, x) < \epsilon_1 \\ \forall \epsilon_2 > 0, \exists N_2 \in \mathbb{N}, \forall (p, q) \in (\mathbb{N} \setminus [\![0, N_2]\!])^2, \quad d(x_p, x_q) < \epsilon_2 \end{array} \right.$$

Let $\epsilon > 0$. By the previous lines, with $\epsilon_1 = \frac{\epsilon}{2} = \epsilon_2$, for all $k, n \in \mathbb{N}$ with $k > N_2$ and $n > N_1$ such that $\varphi(n) > N_2$,

$$d(x_k, x) \leq d(x_k, x_{\varphi(n)}) + d(x_{\varphi(n)}, x) < \epsilon_2 + \epsilon_1 = \epsilon.$$

(Left) let us show that if E is precompact and complete, then it is sequentially compact¹. Let $(x_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ be an arbitrary sequence in E .

¹This is equivalent to compactness in the case of metric spaces (it is a difficult theorem, cf. Lebesgue's number lemma).

Let now $(x_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \in E^{\mathbb{N}}$ be a Cauchy sequence. By the sequential characterization of compactness, one can extract a convergent subsequence $(x_{\varphi(n)})_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$. Let $x \in E$ be the limit. Since the original sequence is Cauchy, it will also converge to x :

$$\left\{ \begin{array}{l} \forall \epsilon_1 > 0, \exists N_1 \in \mathbb{N}, \forall n \in \mathbb{N} \setminus [\![0, N_1]\!], \quad d(x_{\varphi(n)}, x) < \epsilon_1 \\ \forall \epsilon_2 > 0, \exists N_2 \in \mathbb{N}, \forall (p, q) \in (\mathbb{N} \setminus [\![0, N_2]\!])^2, \quad d(x_p, x_q) < \epsilon_2 \end{array} \right.$$

Let $\epsilon > 0$. By the previous lines, with $\epsilon_1 = \frac{\epsilon}{2} = \epsilon_2$, for all $k, n \in \mathbb{N}$ with $k > N_2$ and $n > N_1$ such that $\varphi(n) > N_2$,

$$d(x_k, x) \leq d(x_k, x_{\varphi(n)}) + d(x_{\varphi(n)}, x) < \epsilon_2 + \epsilon_1 = \epsilon.$$

(⇒) let us show that if E is precompact and complete, then it is sequentially compact¹. Let $(x_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ be an arbitrary sequence in E .

Since E is precompact, it is covered by a finite set of balls of radius 1, one of which, that we denote $B(a_0, 1)$, must contain an infinite number of terms of $(x_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$. This defines a subsequence $(x_{\varphi_0(n)})_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$.

¹This is equivalent to compactness in the case of metric spaces (it is a difficult theorem, cf. Lebesgue's number lemma).

$B(a_0, 1) \cap E \subset E$ itself is precompact, so it can be covered by a finite number of open balls of radius $\frac{1}{2}$, one of which, that we denote $B(a_1, \frac{1}{2})$ contains an infinite number of terms from $(x_{\varphi_0(n)})_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$. This defines a subsequence of the subsequence such that

$$\forall n \in \mathbb{N}, \quad x_{\varphi_0 \circ \varphi_1(n)} \in B(a_0, 1) \cap B\left(a_1, \frac{1}{2}\right)$$

$B(a_0, 1) \cap E \subset E$ itself is precompact, so it can be covered by a finite number of open balls of radius $\frac{1}{2}$, one of which, that we denote $B(a_1, \frac{1}{2})$ contains an infinite number of terms from $(x_{\varphi_0(n)})_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$. This defines a subsequence of the subsequence such that

$$\forall n \in \mathbb{N}, \quad x_{\varphi_0 \circ \varphi_1(n)} \in B(a_0, 1) \cap B\left(a_1, \frac{1}{2}\right)$$

By induction, one obtains for all $p \in \mathbb{N}$ a subsequence $(x_{\varphi_0 \circ \dots \circ \varphi_p(n)})_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ and an open ball $B(a_p, \frac{1}{2^p})$ such that

$$\forall n \in \mathbb{N}, \quad x_{\varphi_0 \circ \dots \circ \varphi_p(n)} \in \bigcap_{0 \leq k \leq p} B\left(a_k, \frac{1}{2^k}\right)$$

$B(a_0, 1) \cap E \subset E$ itself is precompact, so it can be covered by a finite number of open balls of radius $\frac{1}{2}$, one of which, that we denote $B(a_1, \frac{1}{2})$ contains an infinite number of terms from $(x_{\varphi_0(n)})_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$. This defines a subsequence of the subsequence such that

$$\forall n \in \mathbb{N}, \quad x_{\varphi_0 \circ \varphi_1(n)} \in B(a_0, 1) \cap B\left(a_1, \frac{1}{2}\right)$$

By induction, one obtains for all $p \in \mathbb{N}$ a subsequence $(x_{\varphi_0 \circ \dots \circ \varphi_p(n)})_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ and an open ball $B(a_p, \frac{1}{2^p})$ such that

$$\forall n \in \mathbb{N}, \quad x_{\varphi_0 \circ \dots \circ \varphi_p(n)} \in \bigcap_{0 \leq k \leq p} B\left(a_k, \frac{1}{2^k}\right)$$

We have at the moment an infinite family of subsequences, let us now define a single sequence $(x_{\psi(n)})_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ by the so-called Cantor diagonal argument.

$B(a_0, 1) \cap E \subset E$ itself is precompact, so it can be covered by a finite number of open balls of radius $\frac{1}{2}$, one of which, that we denote $B(a_1, \frac{1}{2})$ contains an infinite number of terms from $(x_{\varphi_0(n)})_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$. This defines a subsequence of the subsequence such that

$$\forall n \in \mathbb{N}, \quad x_{\varphi_0 \circ \varphi_1(n)} \subset B(a_0, 1) \cap B\left(a_1, \frac{1}{2}\right)$$

By induction, one obtains for all $p \in \mathbb{N}$ a subsequence $(x_{\varphi_0 \circ \dots \circ \varphi_p(n)})_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ and an open ball $B(a_p, \frac{1}{2^p})$ such that

$$\forall n \in \mathbb{N}, \quad x_{\varphi_0 \circ \dots \circ \varphi_p(n)} \subset \bigcap_{0 \leq k \leq p} B\left(a_k, \frac{1}{2^k}\right)$$

We have at the moment an infinite family of subsequences, let us now define a single sequence $(x_{\psi(n)})_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ by the so-called Cantor diagonal argument. Set $\psi(0) := \varphi_0(0)$ so that $x_{\psi(0)} \in B(a_0, 1)$. Choose then $\psi(1) \in \{\varphi_0 \circ \varphi_1(n) > \psi(0), n \in \mathbb{N}\}$. And for $\psi(p)$ given, choose

$$\psi(p+1) \in \{\varphi_0 \circ \dots \circ \varphi_{p+1}(n), n \in \mathbb{N}\} \cap \{m \in \mathbb{N}, m > \psi(p)\}.$$

This sequence is Cauchy: let $\epsilon > 0$. There exists $N \in \mathbb{N}$ such that $\frac{1}{2^N} < \epsilon$.

This sequence is Cauchy: let $\epsilon > 0$. There exists $N \in \mathbb{N}$ such that $\frac{1}{2^N} < \epsilon$.
For all $q > p > N$,

$$x_{\psi(q)} \in \{x_{\varphi_0 \circ \dots \circ \varphi_q(n)}, n \in \mathbb{N}\} \subset \{x_{\varphi_0 \circ \dots \circ \varphi_p(n)}, n \in \mathbb{N}\} \subset B\left(a_p, \frac{1}{2^p}\right)$$

i.e. $d(x_{\psi(q)}, x_{\psi(p)}) \leq d(x_{\psi(q)}, a_p) + d(a_p, x_{\psi(p)}) < \frac{1}{2^p} + \frac{1}{2^p} \leq \frac{2}{2^{N+1}} < \epsilon$.

This sequence is Cauchy: let $\epsilon > 0$. There exists $N \in \mathbb{N}$ such that $\frac{1}{2^N} < \epsilon$.
For all $q > p > N$,

$$x_{\psi(q)} \in \{x_{\varphi_0 \circ \dots \circ \varphi_q(n)}, n \in \mathbb{N}\} \subset \{x_{\varphi_0 \circ \dots \circ \varphi_p(n)}, n \in \mathbb{N}\} \subset B\left(a_p, \frac{1}{2^p}\right)$$

i.e. $d(x_{\psi(q)}, x_{\psi(p)}) \leq d(x_{\psi(q)}, a_p) + d(a_p, x_{\psi(p)}) < \frac{1}{2^p} + \frac{1}{2^p} \leq \frac{2}{2^{N+1}} < \epsilon$.

Since E is complete, the Cauchy subsequence converges, so one has obtained a convergent subsequence. □

K

S

S

S

ε

