

Category	Subcategory	Score 1-2	Score 3-4	Score 5	Rating
Poster Organization, and Appearance (Total: 5pt; 25%)		Unable or very hard to understand the information presented and topic of the project. Poor organization and graphics.	Poster organization was adequate but could improve effectiveness through better use of graphics, colors, headings, and white space.	1) Visually very appealing and easy to follow. 2) Utilized creativity in use of graphics, diagrams, tables in presenting results 3) Smooth information from introduction to conclusion and references.	
Poster Presentation (Total: 5pt; 25%)	Presenter's Oral Presentation Quality; Ability to Answer Questions (Thorough, Correct, etc.)	Presenter was poorly prepared and did not adequately discuss the research. Inability to handle questions	Presentation and understanding was acceptable but can be improved in some aspects (clarity, eye contact, timing, Q&A, etc)	1) Presenter was confident and professional. Clearly conveyed project settings, methods, and conclusions. 2) Answered questions very well.	
Poster Content and Project Scientific Merit (Total: 10pt; 50%)	Introduction (Problem Background, Motivation, Related Works, etc.)	Missing or Inadequate (1)	Acceptable/Adequate (2)	Excellent (3)	
	Methodology/Approach (Significance to the Field of Study/Novel ideas, Provide sufficient details about your method)	Missing or Inadequate (1)	Acceptable/Adequate (2)	Excellent (3)	
	Results (Quantative Results of the Propsed Approach, Comparison to Necessary Baselines, Performance improvement etc)	Missing or Inadequate (1)	Acceptable/Adequate (2)	Excellent (3)	
	Conclusions, Future Work, Proper Reference	Score either 0 (missing/inadequate) or 1 (adaquate)			