Algebraic Geometry - Exercise Sheet 5

Tor Gjone & Paul November 16, 2021

Exercise 2

1. We'll denote the map by Ψ .

$$\Psi: \operatorname{Hom}(h_X, F) \to F(X); \ \eta \mapsto \eta_X(\operatorname{Id}_X)).$$

Let $\eta \in \text{Hom}(h_X, F)$, ie. a natural transformation from h_X to F. Then for $Y, Z \in \mathcal{C}$ and $f: Y \to Z$, the following diagram commutes

$$\operatorname{Hom}_{\mathcal{C}}(Z,X) \xrightarrow{\eta_{Y}} \mathcal{F}(Y)$$

$$\downarrow h_{X}f \qquad \qquad \downarrow F(f)$$

$$\operatorname{Hom}_{\mathcal{C}}(Y,X) \xrightarrow{\eta_{Z}} F(Y)$$

In particular, the diagram commutes for $f: Y \to X$. So

$$\eta_Y(f) = \eta_Y(f \circ \mathrm{Id}_X) = F(f)(\eta_X(\mathrm{Id}_X)). \tag{1}$$

So if $\xi \in \text{Hom}(h_X, F)$, such that $\eta_x(\text{Id}_X) = \xi_X(\text{Id}_X)$, ie. $\Psi(\eta) = \Psi(\xi)$. Then $\eta_Y(f) = \xi_Y(f)$, for all $f: Y \to X$, so $\eta = \xi$. So Ψ is injective.

Furthermore, if $x \in F(X)$, we may define $\eta^x \in \text{Hom}(\eta_X, F)$, by

$$\eta_Y^x(f) := F(f)(x), \quad \forall Y \in \mathcal{C}, \ f: Y \to X.$$

Then

$$\Psi(\eta^x) = \eta^x(\mathrm{Id}_X) = F(\mathrm{Id}_X)(x) = \mathrm{Id}_X(x) = x.$$

So Ψ is also surjective. It remains to show that η^x satisfies the naturally conditions (ie. the commutative diagram above). Let $Y, Z \in \mathcal{C}, g: Y \to Z$, and $f: Y \to X$. Then

$$(\eta_Z^x \circ h_X g)(f) = \eta_Z^x (f \circ g) = F(f \circ g)(x) = F(g)(F(f)(x)) = F(g)(\eta_Y^x(f)).$$

Consider the case $F = h_Y$, then the Yoneda lemma implies that

$$\operatorname{Hom}(h_X, h_Y) \cong \operatorname{Hom}(X, Y).$$

So the functor $\mathcal{C} \mapsto \operatorname{Fun}(\mathbb{C}^{\operatorname{op}}, \operatorname{Sets}); X \mapsto h_X$ is fully faithful.

2. The first bijection follows immediately from part 1. So what we need to show is the second bijections.

Let $\Xi : \operatorname{Hom}_S(X,Y) \to \operatorname{Hom}(h_{X|\mathcal{D}},h_{Y|\mathcal{D}})$ be the composition of the Yoneda map Ψ from part 1, and the restriction sub-category \mathcal{D} . More explicitly Xi is the composition

$$\operatorname{Hom}_S(X,Y) \longrightarrow \operatorname{Hom}(h_X,x_Y) \longrightarrow \operatorname{Hom}(h_{X|\mathcal{D}},h_{Y|\mathcal{D}})$$

$$f \longmapsto \eta^f \longmapsto \eta^f_{|\mathcal{D}}$$

where η^f is defined (like in part 1, with $F = h_Y$) by

$$\eta_Z^f(g) = h_Y(g)(f) = f \circ g, \quad \forall Z \in \mathcal{C}, \quad g: Z \to X,$$

and $\eta_{|\mathcal{D}|}^f$ is the restriction of a natural transformation to a natural transformation between the restriction of the functors.

To show the second bijection we will construct a map $\Phi: \operatorname{Hom}(h_{X|\mathcal{D}}, h_{Y|\mathcal{D}}) \to \operatorname{Hom}(X, Y)$ inverse to Ξ .

Let $\eta \in \text{Hom}(h_{X\mathcal{D}}, h_{Y\mathcal{D}})$ and $X = \bigcup_{i \in I}$ such that X_i is an affine scheme. Let

$$\eta_i := \eta_{X_i} : \operatorname{Hom}_S(X_i, X) \to \operatorname{Hom}_S(X_i, Y)$$

and $\iota_{i,j}: X_i \cap X_j \hookrightarrow X_i$, with $(\iota_{i,j})_x^\# = \mathrm{id}: \mathcal{O}_{X_i,x} \to \mathcal{O}_{X_i \cap X_j,x} = \mathcal{O}_{X_i,x}$, for all $x \in X_i \cap X_j$. Then, by the neutrality of η , the diagram

$$\operatorname{Hom}_{S}(X_{i}, X) \xrightarrow{\eta_{i}} \operatorname{Hom}_{S}(X_{i}, Y)$$

$$\downarrow^{h_{X}\iota_{i,j}} \qquad \downarrow^{h_{Y}\iota_{i,j}}$$

$$\operatorname{Hom}_{S}(X_{i} \cap X_{j}, X) \xrightarrow{\eta_{X_{i} \cap X_{j}}} \operatorname{Hom}_{S}(X_{i} \cap X_{j}, Y)$$

commutes. Equivalently, for all morphisms $f: X_i \to X$, then

$$\eta_i(f) \circ \iota_{i,j} = \eta_{X_i \cap X_j}(f \circ \iota i, j) \quad \text{and} \quad (\iota_i)^\# \circ \eta_i(f^\#) = \eta_{X_i \cap X_j} \left((\iota_i)^\# \circ f^\# \right)$$
 (2)

Let $\iota_i: X_i \hookrightarrow X$, be the inclusion, with $(\iota_i)_x^\# = \mathrm{id}: \mathcal{O}_{X,x} \to \mathcal{O}_{X_i,x} = \mathcal{O}_{X,x}$. Define $\Psi(\eta): X \to Y$, for all $x \in X$, by

$$\Psi(\eta)(x) := \eta_i(\iota_i)(x)$$
 and $\Psi(\eta)_x^\# := \eta_i\left((\iota_i)_x^\#\right),$ if $x \in X_i$.

Clearly ι_i is a morphism of schemes over S, so, if well defined, $\Psi(\eta)$ is also a morphism of schemes over S.

It follows from equation (2) that this is well-defined. Suppose $x \in X_i \cap X_j$, then

$$\eta_i(\iota_i)(x) = (\eta_i(\iota_i) \circ \iota_{i,j})(x) = \eta_{X_i \cap X_i}(\iota_i \circ \iota_{i,j})(x) = \eta_{X_i \cap X_i}(\iota_i \circ \iota_{i,i})(x) = \eta_i(\iota_i)(x),$$

and

$$\eta_i\left((\iota_i)_x^{\#}\right) = (\iota_j)_x^{\#} \circ \eta_i\left((\iota_i)_x^{\#}\right) = \eta_{X_i \cap X_j}\left((\iota_i)_x^{\#} \circ (\iota_j)_x^{\#}\right) = \eta_j\left((\iota_j)_x^{\#}\right).$$

The first and third equality follows from $(\iota_j)_x^\# = \mathrm{id}$, and the second by equation (2).

It remains to show that Ψ defines an inverse of Ξ . Let $f:X\to Y$ be a morphism of schemes over S. Then for $x\in X_i$

$$\Psi(\Xi(f))(x) = \eta_{X_i}^f(\iota_i)(x) = (f \circ \iota_i)(x) = f(x), \text{ and}$$

$$\Psi(\Xi(f))_x^\# = \eta_{X_i}^f((\iota_i)_x^\#) = (\iota_i)_x^\# \circ f_x^\# = f_x^\#.$$

Conversely, let $\eta \in \text{Hom}(h_{X|\mathcal{D}}, h_{Y|\mathcal{D}})$, $g: Z \to X$, where Z affine scheme, $z \in Z$ and $i \in I$, such that $g(z) \in X_i$. Then

$$\Xi(\Psi(\eta))(g)(z) = \eta_Z^{\Psi(\eta)}(g)(z)$$
$$= \Psi(\eta)(g(z))$$
$$= \eta_i(\iota_i)(g(z))$$

Exercise 3

We have a pull-back diagram, for each of the two fibre-products.

$$\begin{array}{cccc} X \times_S Y \xrightarrow{p_X} X & |X| \times_{|S|} |Y| \xrightarrow{q_X} |X| \\ & \downarrow^{p_Y} & \downarrow^f & \downarrow^{q_Y} & \downarrow^{|f|} \\ Y \xrightarrow{g} S & |Y| \xrightarrow{|g|} |S| \end{array}$$

By the first diagram $|p_X|: |X \times_S Y| \to |X|$ and $|p_Y|: |X \times_S Y| \to |Y|$, such that $|f| \circ |p_X| = |g| \circ |p_Y|$. So by the universal prop of the second there is a unique map $\pi: |X \times_S Y| \to |X| \times_{|S|} |Y|$.

To show that π is surjective. Consider $x \in X$, $y \in Y$, $T = \operatorname{Spec}(k)$, for some filed k and define

$$\xi_x: T \to X; \star \to x, \text{ and } \zeta_y: T \to Y; \star \to y,$$

with
$$\xi_x^{\#} = 0 : \mathcal{O}_{X,x} \to k$$
 and $\zeta_y^{\#} = 0 : \mathcal{O}_{Y,y} \to k$.

Then if f(x) = g(y), (T, ξ_x, ζ_y) , defines a cone over the first pull-back diagram and $(|T|, |\xi_x|, |\zeta_y|)$ defines a cone over the second pull-back diagram. Let $\phi_{x,y}$ and $\psi_{x,y}$ denote the unique maps determined by the universal properties of the first and second pull-backs, respectively. By the uniqueness of $\psi_{x,y}$, $\psi_{x,y} = \phi_{x,y} \circ \pi$ and $\psi_{x,y}(\star) = [(x,y)] \in |X| \times_{|S|} |Y|$, so $[(x,y)] \in \operatorname{Im} \pi$. Since x,y was arbitrary, such that f(x) = g(y), π is surjective.