

Homology of some connected compact manifolds:

$$H_n(S^n; \mathbb{Z}) \cong H_{2n}(\mathbb{C}P^n; \mathbb{Z}) = H_{4n}(\mathbb{H}P^n; \mathbb{Z}) \cong \mathbb{Z}$$

$$H_n(\mathbb{R}P^n; \mathbb{Z}) \cong \begin{cases} \mathbb{Z} & \text{if } n \text{ is odd} \\ 0 & \text{if } n \text{ is even, } n > 0. \end{cases}$$

We will show today that for a connected, compact, non-empty n -manifold M ,

$$H_n(M; \mathbb{Z}) \cong \begin{cases} \mathbb{Z} & \text{if } M \text{ is orientable} \\ 0 & \text{if } M \text{ is not orientable.} \end{cases}$$

Thm: Let M be an oriented n -manifold and let K be a compact subset of M . Then there is a unique class $\mu_K \in H_n(M|K) = H_n(M, M \setminus K; \mathbb{Z})$ such that

$$r_x^K(\mu_K) = \mu_x = \text{given local orientation in } H_n(M|x) \text{ for all } x \in K.$$

Important special case:

If M is itself compact, we can take $K = M$; there is thus a unique class $\mu_M = [M] \in H_n(M; \mathbb{Z})$,

the fundamental class such that $r_x^M([M]) = \mu_x$ in $H_n(M|x)$ for all $x \in M$.

We will show later that if M is moreover connected and non-empty then $H_n(M; \mathbb{Z}) \cong \mathbb{Z}$ with generator $[M]$.

Remark:

Let M be oriented, compact, connected. Let \bar{M} be M with the opposite orientation. Then

$$[\bar{M}] = -[M] \quad \text{because both have the same image in } H_n(M, M \setminus \{x\}; \mathbb{Z}) \text{ for all } x \in M.$$

Remark:

Compactness is necessary: let M be an n -manifold and $v \in H_n(M; A)$ (A : some abelian group) such that

$$r_x^M(v) \neq 0 \text{ in } H_n(M|x; A) \text{ for all } x \in M. \text{ Then } M \text{ is compact.}$$

Indeed, write $v = [x]$ for some n -cycle $x \in C_n(M; A)$. Write $x = \sum_{\text{finite}} a_i \cdot \rho_i: \mathbb{D}^n \rightarrow M$, and set $L = \text{supp}(x) = \bigcup \rho_i(\mathbb{D}^n)$, some compact subset of M .

If M is not compact, there is a point $x \in M \setminus L$. So $x \in C_n(M \setminus \{x\}; A)$ is a cycle in $M \setminus \{x\}$.

$$\text{So } v = [x] \text{ has zero image in } H_n(M, M \setminus \{x\}; A) = H_n(C_n(M; A) / C_n(M \setminus \{x\}; A)).$$

This contradicts the assumption on v .

Proof of the theorem (following the proof of Theorem A.8 in Appendix A of Milnor-Stasheff's "Characteristic Classes"):

Uniqueness of μ_K follows from the definition property of classes in $H_n(M|K)$ from the previous video.

Step 1: Suppose K is contained in an open set U of M with $\bar{U} \cong \mathbb{R}^n$. So K is contained in a local ball B (in the sense defined when defining orientations). Let $x, y \in K$ be any two points. We get a commutative diagram:

$$\begin{array}{ccc} & H_n(M|B) & \\ \uparrow r_x^B & \downarrow r_K^B & \uparrow r_y^B \\ H_n(M|x) & H_n(M|K) & H_n(M|y) \\ \downarrow r_x^K & & \downarrow r_y^K \\ & H_n(M|x) & H_n(M|y) \end{array}$$

The continuity in the choice of local orientations means that μ_x and μ_y have the same image

$$\mu_B \text{ in } H_n(M|B).$$

Then $\mu_K = r_K^B(\mu_B)$ has the desired property.

Step 2: Suppose that $K = K_1 \cup K_2$ for K_1, K_2 compact subsets that satisfy the conclusion.

Let $\mu_{K_1} \in H_n(M|K_1)$ and $\mu_{K_2} \in H_n(M|K_2)$ be the two classes. Then

$$r_{K_1 \cap K_2}^{K_1}(\mu_{K_1}), r_{K_1 \cap K_2}^{K_2}(\mu_{K_2}) \in H_n(M|K_1 \cap K_2) \text{ are two classes with the same}$$

restriction to

$$H_n(M|x) \text{ for all } x \in K_1 \cap K_2. \text{ So these two classes agree in } H_n(M|K_1 \cap K_2)$$

by the uniqueness property of the previous video. The Mayer-Vietoris sequence from the previous video contains the following part:

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc} 0 & \longrightarrow & H_n(M|K) & \xrightarrow{(r_{K_1}^K, r_{K_2}^K)} & H_n(M|K_1) \oplus H_n(M|K_2) & \longrightarrow & H_n(M|K_1 \cap K_2) \\ & & & & (u, v) \longmapsto & & r_{K_1 \cap K_2}^{K_1}(u) - r_{K_1 \cap K_2}^{K_2}(v) \end{array}$$

$$\mu_K \longmapsto (\mu_{K_1}, \mu_{K_2}) \longmapsto 0$$

Exactness provides a class $\mu_K \in H_n(M|K)$ with $r_{K_1}^K(\mu_K) = \mu_{K_1}$, $r_{K_2}^K(\mu_K) = \mu_{K_2}$.

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Since every } x \in K \text{ is contained in } K_1 \text{ or in } K_2, \quad r_x^K(\mu_K) &= \begin{cases} r_x^{K_1}(r_{K_1 \cap K_2}^{K_1}(\mu_{K_1})) & x \in K_1 \\ r_x^{K_2}(r_{K_1 \cap K_2}^{K_2}(\mu_{K_2})) & x \in K_2 \end{cases} \\ &= \mu_x \quad \text{for all } x \in K. \end{aligned}$$

Step 3: M and K are general. As in Step 6 of the proof in the previous video, we write $K = K_1 \cup \dots \cup K_m$ where

each K_i is compact and contained in an open set homeomorphic to \mathbb{R}^n . Then μ_{K_i} exists for all $i = 1, \dots, m$ by Step 2. Induction on m and Step 2 provide the class $\mu_K \in H_n(M|K)$.

→ sup. ...

each K_i is compact and contained in an open set homeomorphic to M^n . Then \mathcal{U}_K exists for all $r=1, \dots, m$ by Step 1. The induction on m and Step 2 provide the class $\mu_K \in H_n(M; \mathbb{R})$. \square

Corollary: Let M be an orientable, compact, connected n -manifold. Then for all $x \in M$, the map

$$r_x^M : H_n(M; \mathbb{Z}) \longrightarrow H_n(M, M \setminus \{x\}; \mathbb{Z}) \text{ is an isomorphism.}$$

So if M is non-empty, $H_n(M; \mathbb{Z})$ is free of rank 1, and the fundamental class of the two orientations are the two generators.

Proof: Let $\alpha \in H_n(M)$ be any class. Then the set $\{x \in M : r_x^M(\alpha) = 0 \text{ in } H_n(M \setminus \{x\})\}$ is open and closed.

Indeed let $x \in M$ be such that $r_x^M(\alpha) = 0$ (or $r_x^M(\alpha) \neq 0$). Let B be a local ball in M containing x .

Then we consider the commutative diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} & H_n(M) \ni \alpha & \\ \swarrow r_x^M & \downarrow r_B^M & \searrow r_y^M \\ & H_n(M \setminus B) & \\ \swarrow r_x^B & \downarrow r_y^B & \searrow \\ H_n(M \setminus \{x\}) & \cong & H_n(M \setminus \{y\}) \end{array}$$

Let $y \in B$ be another point. So if $r_x^M(\alpha) = 0$ (or $r_x^M(\alpha) \neq 0$) then also $r_y^M(\alpha) = 0$ (or $r_y^M(\alpha) \neq 0$) for all $y \in B$.

So the sets $\{x \in M : r_x^M(\alpha) = 0\}$ and $\{x \in M : r_x^M(\alpha) \neq 0\}$ are both open, and hence also closed.

We show next that for all $x \in M$, $r_x^M : H_n(M) \longrightarrow H_n(M \setminus \{x\})$ is surjective, using connectedness of M .

Indeed suppose that $\alpha \in \ker(r_x^M)$. Then the set $\{y \in M : r_y^M(\alpha) = 0\}$ is open, closed and non-empty.

Since M is connected, this set is all of M . So $\alpha = 0$ by the definition property.

The map $r_x^M : H_n(M) \longrightarrow H_n(M \setminus \{x\})$ is also surjective: choose an orientation, then the fundamental class $[M] \in H_n(M)$ restricts to a generator of $H_n(M \setminus \{x\})$. \square

Corollary: Let M be a compact connected n -manifold that is not orientable. Then $H_n(M; \mathbb{Z}) = 0$.

Proof: As in the previous proof we show that:

- for all $\alpha \in H_n(M; \mathbb{Z})$, the set $\{x \in M : r_x^M(\alpha) = 0 \text{ in } H_n(M \setminus \{x\}; \mathbb{Z})\}$ is open and closed.

- the map $r_x^M : H_n(M; \mathbb{Z}) \longrightarrow H_n(M \setminus \{x\}; \mathbb{Z}) \cong \mathbb{Z}$ is injective for all $x \in M$.

So the group $H_n(M; \mathbb{Z})$ is torsion free.

Let $p: \tilde{M} \rightarrow M$ be the orientation covering. Then \tilde{M} is orientable (comes with a canonical orientation).

Since M is not orientable, \tilde{M} is connected. So $H_n(\tilde{M}; \mathbb{Z}) \cong \mathbb{Z}$, generated by $[\tilde{M}]$.

Let $\tau: \tilde{M} \rightarrow \tilde{M}$ be the non-identity deck transformation. Then τ is orientation reversing, and hence

$$\tau_* [\tilde{M}] = -[\tilde{M}].$$

$$\text{Then } p_* [\tilde{M}] = p_* (\tau_* [\tilde{M}]) = -p_* [\tilde{M}] \text{ in } H_n(M; \mathbb{Z}).$$

$$\begin{array}{c} \downarrow \\ p\tau = p \end{array} \quad \text{so } 2 \cdot p_* [\tilde{M}] = 0, \text{ hence } p_* [\tilde{M}] = 0 \text{ (because } H_n(M; \mathbb{Z}) \text{ is torsion free).}$$

So $p_* = 0 : H_n(\tilde{M}; \mathbb{Z}) \longrightarrow H_n(M; \mathbb{Z})$ is the zero homomorphism.

$$\text{The composite } H_n(M; \mathbb{Z}) \xrightarrow{\text{transfer}} H_n(\tilde{M}; \mathbb{Z}) \xrightarrow{p_* = 0} H_n(M; \mathbb{Z})$$

is zero $\times 2$ in the group $H_n(M; \mathbb{Z})$; since $H_n(M; \mathbb{Z})$ is also torsion free, we conclude that $H_n(M; \mathbb{Z}) = 0$. \square