

The Alexander-Whitney map is a chain map

We let A and B be simplicial abelian groups. In degree $n \geq 0$, the *Alexander-Whitney map*

$$\text{AW}_n : A_n \otimes B_n \longrightarrow \bigoplus_{p+q=n} A_p \otimes B_q$$

is given by

$$\text{AW}_n(a \otimes b) = \sum_{p+q=n} d_{\text{front}}^*(a) \otimes d_{\text{back}}^*(b) ,$$

where $d_{\text{front}} : [p] \longrightarrow [p+q]$ and $d_{\text{back}} : [q] \longrightarrow [p+q]$ are the morphisms of simplicial sets defined by

$$d_{\text{front}}(i) = i \quad \text{and} \quad d_{\text{back}}(i) = p + i .$$

The notation is somewhat abusing because the morphisms d_{front} and d_{back} depend on the pair (p, q) , but this dependence is not reflected in the notation.

Proposition 1. *Let A and B be simplicial abelian groups. Then for varying n , the Alexander-Whitney maps AW_n form a chain map from the chain complex $C(A \otimes B)$ to the chain complex $C(A) \otimes C(B)$.*

Proof. For the course of this proof we write ∂ for the differential in the chain complex of a simplicial abelian group, in order to avoid confusion with the simplicial face maps d_i^* . We must show the relation

$$(2) \quad \text{AW}_{n-1}(\partial(a \otimes b)) = \partial(\text{AW}_n(a \otimes b))$$

in the group $\bigoplus_{p=0}^{n-1} A_p \otimes B_{n-p-1}$, for all $n \geq 0$, $a \in A_n$ and $b \in B_n$. This calculation is, in a sense, ‘dual’ to the coboundary formula $d(f \cup g) = d(f) \cup g + (-1)^{|f|} \cdot f \cup d(g)$ for the cup product.

For the course of the calculation, we remove the notational ambiguity from the front and back operators, and we write

$$d_{\text{front},p,q} : [p] \longrightarrow [p+q] \quad \text{and} \quad d_{\text{back},p,q} : [q] \longrightarrow [p+q] .$$

To prove the relation we fix a number p in the range $0 \leq p \leq n-1$ and we show that both sides of (2) have the same projection to the summand $A_p \otimes B_{n-p-1}$. The component in $A_p \otimes B_{n-p-1}$ of $\partial(\text{AW}_n(a \otimes b))$ is

$$\partial(d_{\text{front},p+1,n-p-1}^*(a)) \otimes d_{\text{back},p+1,n-p-1}^*(b) + (-1)^p \cdot d_{\text{front},p,n-p}^*(a) \otimes \partial(d_{\text{back},p,n-p}^*(b)) ;$$

To simplify the notation we drop the argument $a \otimes b$ from the following calculation; so it is to be read as a equality between homomorphisms from $A_n \otimes B_n$ to $A_p \otimes B_{n-p-1}$.

$$\begin{aligned}
& (\partial \circ d_{\text{front}, p+1, n-p-1}^*) \otimes d_{\text{back}, p+1, n-p-1}^* + (-1)^p \cdot d_{\text{front}, p, n-p}^* \otimes (\partial \circ d_{\text{back}, p, n-p}^*) \\
&= \sum_{i=0}^{p+1} (-1)^i \cdot (d_i^* \circ d_{\text{front}, p+1, n-p-1}^*) \otimes d_{\text{back}, p+1, n-p-1}^* + (-1)^p \cdot \sum_{j=0}^{n-p} (-1)^j \cdot d_{\text{front}, p, n-p}^* \otimes (d_j^* \circ d_{\text{back}, p, n-p}^*) \\
(3) \quad &= \left(\sum_{i=0}^p (-1)^i \cdot (d_{\text{front}, p+1, n-p-1} \circ d_i)^* \otimes d_{\text{back}, p+1, n-p-1}^* \right) + \left(\sum_{j=1}^{n-p} (-1)^{p+j} \cdot d_{\text{front}, p, n-p}^* \otimes (d_{\text{back}, p, n-p} \circ d_j)^* \right)
\end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
(4) \quad &= \left(\sum_{i=0}^p (-1)^i \cdot (d_i \circ d_{\text{front}, p, n-p-1})^* \otimes (d_i \circ d_{\text{back}, p, n-p-1})^* \right) \\
&\quad + \left(\sum_{j=1}^{n-p} (-1)^{p+j} \cdot (d_{p+j} \circ d_{\text{front}, p, n-p-1})^* \otimes (d_{p+j} \circ d_{\text{back}, p, n-p-1})^* \right) \\
&= \sum_{i=0}^n (-1)^i \cdot (d_i \circ d_{\text{front}, p, n-p-1})^* \otimes (d_i \circ d_{\text{back}, p, n-p-1})^* \\
&= \sum_{i=0}^n (-1)^i \cdot (d_{\text{front}, p, n-p-1}^* \circ d_i^*) \otimes (d_{\text{back}, p, n-p-1}^* \circ d_i^*) \quad .
\end{aligned}$$

The last term is the component in $A_p \otimes B_{n-p-1}$ of $\text{AW}_{n-1} \circ \partial$

Various steps in this calculation need justification. Equation (3) uses the contravariant functoriality of simplicial abelian groups; and we exploit the relations

$$\begin{aligned}
d_{\text{front}, p+1, n-p-1} \circ d_{p+1} &= d_{\text{front}, p, n-p} : [p] \longrightarrow [n] \quad \text{and} \\
d_{\text{back}, p, n-p} \circ d_0 &= d_{\text{back}, p+1, n-p-1} : [n-p-1] \longrightarrow [n]
\end{aligned}$$

to cancel the summand indexed by $i = p+1$ in the left sum with the summand indexed by $j = 0$ in the right sum. Equation (4) uses the relations between the simplicial face operators and the front and back operators $d_{\text{front}} : [p] \longrightarrow [p+q]$ and $d_{\text{back}} : [q] \longrightarrow [p+q]$ that we have already seen when verifying the coboundary formula for the cup product, namely:

$$\begin{aligned}
d_i \circ d_{\text{front}, p, q} &= \left\{ \begin{array}{ll} d_{\text{front}, p+1, q} \circ d_i & \text{if } 0 \leq i \leq p, \\ d_{\text{front}, p, q+1} & \text{if } p \leq i \leq p+q \end{array} \right\} : [p-1] \longrightarrow [p+q] \\
d_{p+j} \circ d_{\text{back}, p, q-1} &= \left\{ \begin{array}{ll} d_{\text{back}, p+1, q-1} & \text{if } 0 \leq i \leq p, \\ d_{\text{back}, p, q} \circ d_j & \text{if } p \leq i \leq p+q \end{array} \right\} : [q-1] \longrightarrow [p+q] .
\end{aligned}$$

□