

Quasi-isomorphism versus chain homotopy equivalence

Thursday, 8 April 2021 16:47

Def: A quasi-isomorphism is a chain map $f: C \rightarrow D$ such that $H_n f: H_n C \rightarrow H_n D$ is an isomorphism for all $n \in \mathbb{Z}$.

Example: Let $f: C \rightarrow D$ be a chain homotopy equivalence, i.e. there is a chain map $g: D \rightarrow C$ such $f \circ g$ and $g \circ f$ are chain homotopic to the respective identity maps. Then

$$H_n f \circ H_n g = H_n (f \circ g) = H_n (\text{Id}_D) = \text{Id}_{H_n D}, \text{ and similarly, } H_n g \circ H_n f = \text{Id}_{H_n C}.$$

So f and g are quasi-isomorphisms.

Example: Quasi-isomorphisms need not be chain homotopy equivalences:

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc} C = & (\cdots & 0 & \xrightarrow{2} & \mathbb{Z} & \xrightarrow{2} & \mathbb{Z} \rightarrow 0 \rightarrow 0 \cdots) \\ f \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \downarrow \text{proj} & & \downarrow 0 \\ D = & (\cdots & 0 & \xrightarrow{1} & 0 & \xrightarrow{1} & \mathbb{Z}/2 \rightarrow 0 \rightarrow 0 \cdots) \end{array}$$

2 1 0 -1

This is a quasi-isomorphism, but not a chain homotopy equivalence:
The only chain map $g: D \rightarrow C$ is the trivial chain map!
 $0 = H_n g$ is not an isomorphism, so f and g are not chain homotopy equivalences.

Thm: Let $f: C \rightarrow D$ be a quasi-isomorphism between complexes of free abelian groups. Then f is a chain homotopy equivalence.

Proof: Case 1: Suppose the complex C is of the following very special form: $C_n = \mathbb{Z}_n \oplus \mathbb{Z}_{n-2}$ for some abelian groups $\mathbb{Z}_n, n \in \mathbb{Z}$

$$\text{and the differential } d_n: C_n = \mathbb{Z}_n \oplus \mathbb{Z}_{n-2} \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}_{n-2} \oplus \mathbb{Z}_{n-2} = C_{n-2}$$

is given by $d_n(x, y) = (y, 0)$. Then the complex C is chain contractible, i.e. chain homotopy equivalent to the trivial complex.

$$\text{Indeed, define } s_n: C_n = \mathbb{Z}_n \oplus \mathbb{Z}_{n-2} \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}_{n+2} \oplus \mathbb{Z}_n = C_{n+2} \text{ by } s_n(x, y) = (0, x).$$

$$\text{Then } d_{n+2} \circ s_n + s_{n-2} \circ d_n = \text{Id}_{C_n} \text{ for all } n \in \mathbb{Z}, \text{ so } s = \{s_n\}_{n \in \mathbb{Z}}$$

is a chain homotopy from the identity of C to the zero morphism.

Case 2: Let C be an acyclic complex of free abelian groups, i.e. $H_n C = 0$ for all $n \in \mathbb{Z}$. Then C is chain contractible.

Because C is acyclic, $\ker(d_{n+2}: C_{n+2} \rightarrow C_n) = \ker(d_n: C_n \rightarrow C_{n-2}) = \mathbb{Z}_n$.

Since C_{n-2} is free abelian, so is its subgroup \mathbb{Z}_{n-2} . So the epimorphism $d_n: C_n \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}_{n-2}$ admits an additive section $s_n: \mathbb{Z}_{n-2} \rightarrow C_n$, i.e. such that $d_n \circ s_n = \text{Id}_{\mathbb{Z}_{n-2}}$.

So s_n splits the short exact sequence $0 \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}_n \hookrightarrow C_n \xrightarrow{d_n} \mathbb{Z}_{n-2} \rightarrow 0$

So the map $\mathbb{Z}_n \oplus \mathbb{Z}_{n-2} \rightarrow C_n, (x, y) \mapsto x + s_n(y)$ is an isomorphism of abelian groups.

Moreover, the following squares commute:

$$\begin{array}{ccc} (x, y) & \xrightarrow{\mathbb{Z}_n \oplus \mathbb{Z}_{n-2} \xrightarrow{\cong} C_n} & C_n \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow d_n \\ (y, 0) & \xrightarrow{\mathbb{Z}_{n-2} \oplus \mathbb{Z}_{n-2} \xrightarrow{\cong} C_{n-2}} & C_{n-2} \\ & (x, y) \mapsto x + s_{n-2}(y) & \end{array}$$

Indeed:

$$d_n(x + s_n(y)) = d_n x + d_n(s_n(y)) = y$$

Upshot: C is isomorphic to a complex of the special type considered in Case 1. Hence C is chain contractible by case 1.

Case 3: Let $f: C \rightarrow D$ be a quasi-isomorphism between chain complexes of free abelian groups.

We define the mapping cone Cf , another chain complex, by $(Cf)_n = D_n \oplus C_{n-2}$ with differential

$$d_n: (Cf)_n = D_n \oplus C_{n-2} \rightarrow D_{n-2} \oplus C_{n-2} = (Cf)_{n-2} \text{ defined as}$$

$$d_n(x, y) = (d_n x + (-1)^n \cdot f_{n-2}(y), d_{n-2} y)$$

This is indeed a chain complex:

$$\begin{aligned} d(d(x, y)) &= d(d_n x + (-1)^n \cdot f_{n-2}(y), d_{n-2} y) \\ &= (\underbrace{d d_n x}_{=0} + \underbrace{(-1)^n d(f_{n-2}(y))}_{\text{cancel}} + (-1)^{n-2} \cdot f_{n-2}(d_{n-2} y), \underbrace{d d_{n-2} y}_{=0}) = (0, 0). \end{aligned}$$

The mapping cone contains D as a subcomplex (direction with the first summand)

The projection to the second summand

$$p_n: (Cf)_n \rightarrow C_{n-2}$$

form a chain map from

Cf to the shift

$C[2]$ of C , i.e.

$$(-1)^{r+2} = 1.$$

$$d(C[2]) = d.C$$

The projections to the second summands $p_n: (C_f)_n \rightarrow C_{n-1}$ form a chain map from C_f to the shift $C[1]$ of C , i.e. $(C[1])_n = C_{n-1}$, $d_n^{C[1]} = d_{n-1}^C$.

So we get a short exact sequence of chain complexes

$$0 \rightarrow D \xrightarrow{\text{1st summand}} C_f \xrightarrow{p} C[1] \rightarrow 0$$

This short exact sequence gives rise to a long exact sequence of homology groups:

$$\cdots \rightarrow H_{n+1}(C[1]) \xrightarrow{\partial} H_n D \rightarrow H_n(C_f) \xrightarrow{H_n p} H_n(C[1]) \xrightarrow{\partial} \cdots$$

$$\begin{array}{ccc} H_n C & \xrightarrow{(-1)^{n+1} H_n f} & (-1)^{n+1} f_n(x) \\ \parallel & \nearrow & \\ C[x] & \xrightarrow{1} & \end{array}$$

$$\begin{array}{ccc} (C_f)_{n+1} & \xrightarrow{p_{n+1}} & (C[1])_{n+1} = C_n \\ \parallel & & \downarrow x \\ D_{n+1} \oplus C_n & \xrightarrow{1} & C_n \\ (0, x) & \searrow & \\ \downarrow d_{n+1} & & \\ (-1)^{n+1} \cdot f_n(x), 0 & & \end{array}$$

with $dx = 0$

Because $H_n f$ is an isomorphism, $(-1)^{n+1} \cdot H_n f = \partial$ is an isomorphism, too. So by the long exact sequence, the groups $H_n(C_f)$ vanish, i.e. the mapping cone C_f is acyclic.

Since C and D consist of free abelian groups, C_f also consists of free abelian groups.

So C_f is chain contractible by Case 2. We choose a contracting chain homotopy $s = \{s_n\}_{n \in \mathbb{Z}}$,

$$s_n: (C_f)_n \rightarrow (C_f)_{n+1} \text{ such that } d_{n+1} \circ s_n + s_{n+1} \circ d_n = \text{Id}_{(C_f)_n}.$$

To organize the following calculation, I'll use matrix notation for homomorphisms $\varphi: A \oplus B \rightarrow A' \oplus B'$

This way, composition becomes matrix multiplication.

We write $\varphi = \begin{pmatrix} \varphi_{11} & \varphi_{21} \\ \varphi_{12} & \varphi_{22} \end{pmatrix}$ where

$$\begin{array}{l} \varphi_{11}: A \rightarrow A' \\ \varphi_{21}: B \rightarrow A' \\ \varphi_{12}: A \rightarrow B' \\ \varphi_{22}: B \rightarrow B' \end{array}$$

In this notation, I'll expand the

$$\text{chain null homotopy } s_n: D_n \oplus C_{n+1} \rightarrow D_{n+1} \oplus C_n \text{ as}$$

$$\begin{pmatrix} \sigma & \alpha \\ \beta & \tau \end{pmatrix}$$

$$\text{In this notation, } d_n: D_n \oplus C_{n+1} \rightarrow D_{n+1} \oplus C_n \text{ is}$$

$$\begin{pmatrix} d & (-1)^{n+1} f \\ 0 & d \end{pmatrix}$$

The relation $d_{n+1} \circ s_n + s_{n+1} \circ d_n = \text{Id}_{(C_f)_n}$ expands to:

$$\begin{pmatrix} d & (-1)^{n+1} f \\ 0 & d \end{pmatrix} \cdot \begin{pmatrix} \sigma & \alpha \\ \beta & \tau \end{pmatrix} + \begin{pmatrix} \sigma & \alpha \\ \beta & \tau \end{pmatrix} \cdot \begin{pmatrix} d & (-1)^n f \\ 0 & d \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} \text{Id} & 0 \\ 0 & \text{Id} \end{pmatrix}$$

$$\begin{pmatrix} d\sigma + (-1)^{n+1} f \cdot \beta & d\alpha + (-1)^{n+1} f \cdot \tau \\ d\beta & d\tau \end{pmatrix} + \begin{pmatrix} \sigma d & (-1)^n \sigma f + \alpha d \\ \beta d & (-1)^n \beta f + \tau d \end{pmatrix}$$

In the lower left corner, we get the identity $d\beta + \beta d = 0: D_n \rightarrow C_{n+1}$

We define $g_n = (-1)^n \cdot \beta_n: D_n \rightarrow C_n$; this is a chain map $g: D \rightarrow C$.

In upper left corner, we get the relation

$$d\sigma + (-1)^{n+1} f \cdot \beta + \sigma d = \text{Id} \iff \sigma = \{\sigma_n\} \text{ is a chain homotopy between } f \circ g \text{ and } \text{Id}_D.$$

In the lower right corner, we get:

$$d\tau + (-1)^n \beta \cdot f + \tau d = \text{Id} \iff \tau = \{\tau_n\} \text{ form a chain homotopy between } g \circ f \text{ and } \text{Id}_C.$$

□