

Journal Pre-proof

Perspectives on chromosome organization


Marcelo Nollmann, Romain Koszul

PII: S0022-2836(19)30722-3

DOI: <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jmb.2019.12.021>

Reference: YJMBI 66363

To appear in: *Journal of Molecular Biology*



Please cite this article as: M. Nollmann, R. Koszul, Perspectives on chromosome organization, *Journal of Molecular Biology*, <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jmb.2019.12.021>.

This is a PDF file of an article that has undergone enhancements after acceptance, such as the addition of a cover page and metadata, and formatting for readability, but it is not yet the definitive version of record. This version will undergo additional copyediting, typesetting and review before it is published in its final form, but we are providing this version to give early visibility of the article. Please note that, during the production process, errors may be discovered which could affect the content, and all legal disclaimers that apply to the journal pertain.

© 2019 Published by Elsevier Ltd.

Perspectives on chromosome organization

Chromosomes, and their intriguing shapes and behaviors, were first visualized in the late 19th century by pioneers such as W. Flemming, van Beneden, and C. Rabl [1–3]^{1–3}. These early discoveries were enabled by improvements of optical microscopes, new staining procedures, and the choice of excellent experimental models. Ever since, we have wanted to understand how chromosomes are organized in the cell, and how this organization relates to their roles as bearers of genetic information and heredity.

This first glimpse into chromosomes was key to define the nucleus of the cell as a compartment enclosing DNA and chromosomes, but with hindsight, also provided a model for the use of disruptive technological breakthroughs to unveil new levels of chromosome organization that was to remain relevant ever since. For instance, X-ray crystallography revealed the atomic structure of DNA[4]⁴ and the nucleosome particle 50-years later[5]⁵, while electron microscopy revealed the existence of heterochromatin. More recently, the development of fluorescent microscopy provided access to the large scale compartmentalization of chromosomes in eukaryotic interphase nuclei[6,7]^{6,7}. These and subsequent discoveries were critical to establish the organization of DNA at the atomic and micrometer length scales, but were not conclusive on intermediate scales where much of the action (i.e. regulation of gene expression, replication, or repair of damages) arguably takes place.

Very recently, the advent of high-throughput sequencing technologies and the development of genomewide chromosome conformation capture (Hi-C)[8,9]^{8,9} have provided further insights into chromosome structure by revealing the existence of unknown organizational features. Most notably, the observation that the chromosomes of some animals, notably mammals, are subdivided into a variety of sub-Mb domains[10–12]^{10–12}. These domains — originally called topologically associating domains (TADs) — result from the “self-association of large chromosomal neighbourhoods in the three-dimensional space of the nucleus”[11]¹¹. TAD-like and other types of domains have been observed in all kingdoms of life[10–14]^{10–14}. Their biological roles and mechanism of formation will likely differ between species.

TADs often encapsulate genes and their regulatory sequences[15–17]^{15–17}. These observations immediately led to a working model where these domains constituted basic functional units of chromosome organization. This relatively static model is being continuously re(de)defined by new experiments and observations. In this issue of JMB, several reviews describe these observations and provide novel, often disparaging, thoughts on the structural relevance, the biological roles, and the mechanism of formation of TADs.

De Wit provides a historical account of TAD discovery and identification and describes the main mechanisms for TAD formation[18]. He concludes that we need to have a more nuanced view of the role of TADs in transcriptional regulation and proposes that we should define TADs based on the mechanisms that shape them. In a complementary paper, Noordermeer reviews the roles of CTCF and cohesin in inducing TAD borders. TAD borders are prominent in Hi-C datasets, but recent studies report that TADs are only moderately insulated in single cells [19]. Sexton explains that the causal link between TAD organization and transcriptional control is actually complex and context dependent[20]. In fact, convergent

CTCF sites explain many of the specific contacts seen in contact matrices but are not sufficient to explain TAD formation. He argues that correlative studies between TAD structures and transcription based on global genome-wide analysis should be complemented with specific case studies to fully understand the functional roles of TADs.

Ghavi-Helm reviews recent evidence from *Drosophila* also arguing for a more nuanced role of TADs in transcriptional regulation[21]¹⁸. For instance, she reviews recent studies where it is shown that gene expression is only mildly affected by deletion of TAD boundaries or by large chromosomal inversions affecting TAD boundaries. She suggests that TADs are likely important to provide precision and robustness to gene expression. Cavalli provides a review of the roles of TADs in defining epigenomic landscapes and in the regulation of transcription [22]¹⁹. He also discusses the roles of CTCF/cohesin, and epigenetics in the formation of TADs in mammals and other species (e.g. *Drosophila*).

While the aforementioned reviews focus mostly on data generated through Hi-C related techniques, Nollmann reviews novel optical microscopy methods that can now visualize TAD organization in single cells and at the same time transcriptional activity[23]. This approach allows testing the existence of TADs in single cells and their relation to transcriptional regulation. Maeshima also focuses on recent microscopy studies where the dynamics of chromatin and the roles of transcription in these dynamics are addressed[24].

The data generated in the last ten years has incited theoreticians to develop *in silico* models to represent and/or understand them. Bianco reviews recent efforts to understand chromatin organization into TADs using *in silico* modeling[25].

At the genesis of the genetic code, Crick introduced an abstract representation based on codes, adaptors and objects, to provide a theoretical framework explaining how the genetic information is converted into proteins[26]²⁰. Mozziconacci and co-authors propose a similar semantic code to understand the roles of transcription factors and 3D TAD folding in the regulation of transcription[27]²¹. In this inspiring analogy, transcription factors represent code-words, while the role of adaptors is played by the specific set of promoters, enhancers and insulators that, when occupied by the right set of transcription factors will lead to active transcription.

How do other DNA-related metabolic processes, besides transcription, take place in the context of the higher-order folding of chromatin is also discussed in this JMB issue in a review by Legube, who presents how chromosome organization into TADs may contribute to DSB signaling and post-damage DNA-repair responses[28]²². Gladyshev discuss the ability of identical dsDNA molecules to pair *in vivo*, independently of the homologous recombination machinery[29]²³. Interestingly, fungi have evolved gene silencing mechanisms that can be taken advantage of to study this phenomena. The potential implications of *in vivo* direct homologous dsDNA-dsDNA pairing will likely impact our understanding of chromosome folding regulation.

Finally, Espeli discusses the organization of bacterial chromosomes, in particular how this organization changes when multiple chromosomes are present[30]. Interestingly, bacterial chromosomes do not appear to organize in chromosome territories but rather intermingle in the nucleoid space and possess specific systems to ensure their subcellular localization and segregation.

Conclusions and perspectives

The first glimpses from Fleming, van Beneden and Rabl on how chromosomes occupy the intracellular space sparked a myriad models on chromosome organization and

function. Plenty of experiments, combined with the invention and application of new technologies, were necessary to test and refine these models and to understand the underpinning molecular mechanisms. We are lucky to live in an exciting time when the rapid invention of disruptive technologies is likely to continue to revolutionize the study of DNA structure and function.

Since their discovery, TAD-like domains have been observed in many species. It is clear that many mechanisms could account for this level of chromatin organization (e.g. loop extrusion, epigenetics, transcriptional activation, insulators), and that these distinct mechanisms may be simultaneously at play in the same nucleus. Thus, new unbiased and unambiguous nomenclatures should be coined to discriminate between different domain types displaying distinct functions and folding principles.

Single-cell methodologies to monitor genome organization and DNA metabolic functions (e.g. transcription, replication, repair) are still in their infancy. Recent application of these technologies remind us that chromosome organization, and in particular TAD-like domains and compartments, seem to be structurally very heterogeneous. The reasons and functions of these heterogeneities are still to be unveiled.

Marcelo Nollmann

Centre de Biochimie Structurale, CNRS UMR 5048, INSERM U1054, Université de Montpellier, 60 rue de Navacelles, 34090, Montpellier, France

Romain Koszul

Institut Pasteur, Unité Régulation Spatiale des Génomes, UMR 3525, CNRS, Paris, F-75015, France

References

- [1] RABL, C.U. Zelltheilung, *Morphol, Jahrb.* 10 (1885).
- [2] W. Flemming, *Zur Kenntnis der Zelle und ihrer Theilungserscheinungen.*, Schriften Naturwiss, 1878.
- [3] V. Beneden, E., *Recherches sur la maturation de l'oeuf, la fécondation, et la division cellulaire, et la division cellulaire*, 1883.
- [4] J.D. Watson, F.H.C. Crick, *Molecular Structure of Nucleic Acids - a Structure for Deoxyribose Nucleic Acid*, 1953.
- [5] K. Luger, A.W. Mader, R.K. Richmond, D.F. Sargent, T.J. Richmond, Crystal structure of the nucleosome core particle at 2.8 Å resolution, *Nature*. 389 (1997).
- [6] T. Cremer, H. Baumann, K. Nakanishi, C. Cremer, *Chromosomes Today: Volume 8 Proceedings of the Eighth International Chromosome Conference held in Lübeck, West Germany*, Bennett, M, 1984.
- [7] T. Cremer, M. Cremer, *Chromosome territories*, *Cold Spring Harb. Perspect. Biol.* 2 (2010).
- [8] J. Dekker, K. Rippe, M. Dekker, N. Kleckner, *Capturing chromosome conformation*, 2002.
- [9] E. Lieberman-Aiden, N.L. van Berkum, L. Williams, M. Imakaev, T. Ragoczy, A. Telling, I. Amit, B.R. Lajoie, P.J. Sabo, M.O. Dorschner, R. Sandstrom, B. Bernstein, M.A. Bender, M. Groudine, A. Gnirke, J. Stamatoyannopoulos, L.A. Mirny, E.S. Lander, J. Dekker, *Comprehensive Mapping of Long-Range Interactions Reveals Folding Principles of the Human Genome*, 2009.
- [10] J.R. Dixon, S. Selvaraj, F. Yue, A. Kim, Y. Li, Y. Shen, M. Hu, J.S. Liu, B. Ren, *Topological domains in mammalian genomes identified by analysis of chromatin interactions*, 2012.
- [11] E.P. Nora, B.R. Lajoie, E.G. Schulz, L. Giorgetti, I. Okamoto, N. Servant, T. Piolot,

- N.L. van Berkum, J. Meisig, J. Sedat, J. Gribnau, E. Barillot, N. Bluthgen, J. Dekker, E. Heard, Spatial partitioning of the regulatory landscape of the X-inactivation centre., 2012.
- [12] T. Sexton, E. Yaffe, E. Kenigsberg, F. Bantignies, Three-dimensional folding and functional organization principles of the Drosophila genome., *Cell*. 148 (2012) 458–472.
- [13] M. Marbouty, L. Gall, C. A., D. I., A. Cournac, A. Koh, J.-B. Fiche, J. Mozziconacci, H. Murray, R. Koszul, M. Nollmann, Condensin- and Replication-Mediated Bacterial Chromosome Folding and Origin Condensation Revealed by Hi-C and Super-resolution Imaging, *Mol. Cell*. 59 (2015).
- [14] N. Takemata, R.Y. Samson, S.D. Bell, Physical and Functional Compartmentalization of Archaeal Chromosomes, *Cell*. 179 (2019) 165–179.e18.
- [15] O. Symmons, V. V Uslu, T. Tsujimura, S. Ruf, S. Nassari, W. Schwarzer, L. Ettwiller, F. Spitz, Functional and topological characteristics of mammalian regulatory domains, *Genome Res*. 24 (2014).
- [16] F. Sun, C. Chronis, M. Kronenberg, X.-F. Chen, T. Su, F.D. Lay, K. Plath, S.K. Kurdistani, M.F. Carey, Promoter-Enhancer Communication Occurs Primarily within Insulated Neighborhoods, *Mol. Cell*. 73 (2019).
- [17] D.G. Lupiáñez, K. Kraft, V. Heinrich, P. Krawitz, F. Brancati, E. Klopocki, D. Horn, H. Kayserili, J.M. Opitz, R. Laxova, F. Santos-Simarro, B. Gilbert-Dussardier, L. Wittler, M. Borschiwer, S.A. Haas, M. Osterwalder, M. Franke, B. Timmermann, J. Hecht, M. Spielmann, A. Visel, S. Mundlos, Disruptions of topological chromatin domains cause pathogenic rewiring of gene-enhancer interactions, 2015.
- [18] E. de Wit, TADs as the caller calls them, *J. Mol. Biol.* 432 (2020) 1–5. doi:10.1016/j.jmb.2019.09.026.
- [19] L.-H. Chang, S. Ghosh, D. Noordermeer, TADs and their borders: free movement or building a wall?, *J. Mol. Biol.* 432 (2020) 1–9.
- [20] N. Sikorska, T. Sexton, Defining functionally relevant spatial chromatin domains: it's a TAD complicated Article, *J. Mol. Biol.* 432 (2020).
- [21] Y. Ghavi-Helm, Functional consequences of chromosomal rearrangements on gene expression: not so deleterious after all?, *J. Mol. Biol.* 432 (2020). doi:10.1016/j.jmb.2019.09.010.
- [22] I. Jerkovic, Q. Szabo, F. Bantignies, G. Cavalli, Higher-order chromosomal structures mediate genome function, *J. Mol. Biol.* 432 (2020). doi:10.1016/j.jmb.2019.10.014.
- [23] M. Nollmann, A. Cardozo-Gizzi, D. Cattoni, TADs or no TADS: lessons from single-cell imaging visualization of chromosome architecture Article, *J. Mol. Biol.* 432 (2020) 1–13.
- [24] M. Babokhov, K. Hibino, Y. Itoh, K. Maeshima, Local Chromatin Motion and Transcription, *J. Mol. Biol.* 432 (2020) 1–7. doi:10.1016/j.jmb.2019.10.018.
- [25] A. Esposito, A.M. Chiariello, M. Conte, L. Fiorillo, F. Musella, R. Sciarretta, B. Simona, Higher-order chromosome structures investigated by polymer physics in cellular morphogenesis and differentiation, *J. Mol. Biol.* 432 (2020).
- [26] F.H. Crick, On protein synthesis, *Symp. Soc. Exp. Biol.* 12 (1958).
- [27] J. Mozziconacci, M. Merle, A. Lesne, The 3D genome shapes the regulatory code of developmental genes, *J. Mol. Biol.* 432 (2020) 1–23. doi:10.1016/j.jmb.2019.10.017.
- [28] C. Arnould, G. Legube, The Secret Life of Chromosome Loops upon DNA Double-Strand Break, *J. Mol. Biol.* 432 (2020). doi:10.1016/j.jmb.2019.07.036.
- [29] A.K. Mazur, T. Nguyen, E. Gladyshev, Direct Homologous dsDNAedsDNA Pairing: How, Where, and Why?, *J. Mol. Biol.* 432 (2020) 1–8. doi:10.1016/j.jmb.2019.11.005.
- [30] C. Planchenault, M.C. Pons, C. Schiavon, P. Siguier, J. Rech, C. Guynet, J. Dauverd-Girault, J. Cury, E.P. Rocha, I. Junier, F. Cornet, O. Espeli, Intracellular positioning systems limit the entropic eviction of secondary replicons toward the nucleoid edges in bacterial cells, *J. Mol. Biol.* 432 (2020).