

# CWRU EMAE 485 – Lecture Notes

**Instructor: Zach Patterson, Spring 2026**

---

## Lecture 6: Control of Fully Actuated Mechanical Systems

### Old School Robot Control

The general dynamics for a robot manipulator (and many mechanical systems) are:

$$M(q)\ddot{q} + C(q, \dot{q})\dot{q} + G(q) = \tau \quad (47)$$

### Computed Torque

We define the control law as:

$$\tau = M(\ddot{q}_d - K_D\dot{e} - K_p e) + C\dot{q} + G \quad \text{where } e = q - q_d \quad (48)$$

Substituting this into the dynamics:

$$M(\ddot{e} + K_D\dot{e} + K_p e) = 0, \quad M > 0 \quad (49)$$

Defining the state  $x = \begin{bmatrix} e \\ \dot{e} \end{bmatrix}$ , we get:

$$\dot{x} = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & I \\ -K_p & -K_D \end{bmatrix} x = Ax \quad (50)$$

**Result:** If  $A$  is Hurwitz, the system is **Globally Asymptotically Stable (G.A.S.)**.

**Drawbacks:** Requires a large  $\tau$ . What happens if you have a bad model?

—

### PD+

A different control law:

$$\tau = M\ddot{q}_d + C\dot{q}_d + G - K_D\dot{e} - K_p e \quad (51)$$

This results in the error dynamics:

$$M\ddot{e} + C\dot{e} + K_D\dot{e} + K_p e = 0 \quad (52)$$

This is **nonlinear and time dependent** in  $e$  because  $M(q) = M(e + q_d(t))$ .

**Lyapunov Candidate:**

$$V = \frac{1}{2}\dot{e}^T M \dot{e} + \frac{1}{2}e^T K_p e \geq 0 \quad \checkmark \quad (53)$$

**Derivative  $\dot{V}$ :**

$$\dot{V} = \frac{1}{2}\dot{e}^T M \dot{e} + \dot{e}^T M \ddot{e} + \dot{e}^T K_p e \quad (54)$$

Substituting  $M\ddot{e}$ :

$$\begin{aligned}\dot{V} &= \frac{1}{2}\dot{e}^T M\dot{e} - \dot{e}^T C\dot{e} - \dot{e}^T K_D\dot{e} - \dot{e}^T K_p e + \dot{e}^T K_p e \\ &= \frac{1}{2}\dot{e}^T(\dot{M} - 2C)\dot{e} - \dot{e}^T K_D\dot{e} = -\dot{e}^T K_D\dot{e} \leq 0\end{aligned}$$

**Note:** Using the skew-symmetric property of  $(\dot{M} - 2C)$ , the first term is zero.

**Result:** Thus  $\|e\| < \gamma_1$  and  $\|\dot{e}\| < \gamma_2$ . But the system is **time variant**.

### Barbalat's Lemma

If  $f(t)$  has a finite limit as  $t \rightarrow \infty$  and  $\dot{f}$  is uniformly continuous (*Kind of like Lipschitz; it is sufficient to show that  $\dot{f}$  is bounded*), then  $\dot{f}(t) \rightarrow 0$  as  $t \rightarrow \infty$ .

### For Stability:

If  $V(t, x)$  is lower bounded,  $\dot{V}(t, x) \leq 0$ , and  $\ddot{V}$  is bounded, then  $\dot{V} \rightarrow 0$  as  $t \rightarrow \infty$ . This allows us to essentially draw the same conclusions as Lasalle's Invariance principle.

### Back to PD+:

$$\begin{aligned}\ddot{V} &= \frac{d}{dt}(-\dot{e}^T K_D \dot{e}) = -2\dot{e}^T K_D \ddot{e} \\ &= -2\dot{e}^T K_D M^{-1}(-C\dot{e} - K_D \dot{e} - K_p e) \\ \ddot{V} &= 2\dot{e}^T K_D M^{-1}(C\dot{e} + K_D \dot{e} + K_p e) \\ &\leq \gamma_{\dot{e}} \|K_D\| \gamma_{M^{-1}} (C\gamma_{\dot{e}} + \|K_p\| \gamma_e + \|K_D\| \gamma_{\dot{e}})\end{aligned}$$

As we see, we have already shown (through  $\dot{V} \leq 0$  that the errors are bounded. From mechanics, we know that the physical parameters of our system are bounded (e.g.  $C \leq (k_1 + k_2 \gamma_e) \gamma_{\dot{e}}$ ). Thus,  $\ddot{V}$  is bounded  $\square$ . Our system is G.A.S. (due to the radial unboundedness of  $V$ ).