

# Lecture 1

Instructor: Prof. Bowen Gang

Scribes: Yize Wang, Jingyi Zhou

## 1.1 Order Statistics

Take a random sample,  $X_1, X_2, \dots, X_n$ . Then order them from smallest to largest,  $X_{(1)} \leq X_{(2)} \leq X_{(3)} \leq \dots \leq X_{(n)}$ ,  $X_{(r)}$  is called the  $r$ -th order statistic.

- minimum:  $X_{(1)}$
- maximum:  $X_{(n)}$
- median:  $X_{(\frac{n+1}{2})}$ , where  $n$  odd;  $\frac{1}{2}[X_{(\frac{n}{2})} + X_{(\frac{n}{2}+1)}]$ , where  $n$  even
- range:  $X_{(n)} - X_{(1)}$

## 1.2 Quantile Function

Let  $F_X$  be the CDF of  $X$ , then  $Q_X(p) = F_X^{-1}(p) = \inf\{x : F_X(x) \geq p\}$ , where  $0 \leq p \leq 1$ . Properties of quantile functions:

1  $\mathbb{E}[X] = \int_0^1 Q_X(p) dp$  (expectation)

*Proof.*

$$\begin{aligned} \int_0^1 Q_X(p) dp &= \int_0^1 F_X^{-1}(p) dp \\ &\stackrel{\substack{\text{Let } F_X^{-1}(p)=t \\ p=F_X(t)}}{=} \int_{t_0}^{t_1} t f(t) dt \quad \text{where } t_0 = F_X^{-1}(0), t_1 = F_X^{-1}(1), \\ &= \mathbb{E}[X] \end{aligned}$$

□

2  $\mathbb{E}[X^2] = \int_0^1 Q_X^2(p) dp$  (second moment)

The proof is similar to property 1.

## 1.3 Empirical CDF

CDF is unknown most of the time. We can try to estimate CDF. Because we have  $F_X(x) = Pr(X \leq x)$ , we can simply count how many observations are less or equal to  $x$  in our sample. Say our sample is  $X_1, X_2, \dots, X_n$ , then

$$\hat{F}_n(x) = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n I(X_i \leq x)$$

**Theorem.**  $n\hat{F}_n(x) \sim \text{Bin}(n, F_X(x))$

*Proof.*

$$n\hat{F}_n(x) = \sum_{i=1}^n I(X_i \leq x)$$

Note, The probability of  $I(X_i \leq x)$  taking 1 is  $P(X_i \leq x) = F_X(x)$ . □

**Corollary.** *The following properties are immediate from binomial distribution.*

1 (unbiased)  $\mathbb{E}[\hat{F}_n(x)] = F_X(x)$

2  $\text{Var}[\hat{F}_n(x)] = \frac{1}{n} F(x) (1 - F(x))$

3 (By Chebyshev)  $\hat{F}_n(x) \xrightarrow{P} F_X(x)$

In terms of the convergence property of empirical CDF, something stronger can be said about it.

**Theorem.** (Glivenko-Cantelli)

$$\sup_x |\hat{F}_n(x) - F(x)| \xrightarrow{a.s.} 0$$

where almost sure convergence means

$$\Pr \left( \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \sup_x |\hat{F}_n(x) - F(x)| = 0 \right) = 1$$

**Example.** Two examples: One interval example ( $S \sim \mathcal{U}(0, 1)$ ,  $X_1(s) = s + \mathbb{I}_{(0,1)}(s)$ ,  $X_2(s) = s + \mathbb{I}_{(0,1/2)}(s)$  ...); Another indicator function example (where  $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} f_n(x) = 0$  but  $\sup_x |f_n(x)| = 1$ )

This theorem is stronger because it is uniform convergence, which is about the 'worst case'. It is stronger than 'point-wise' convergence. Next we will discuss about the convergence rate.

**Theorem.** (Dvoretzky-Kiefer-Wolfowitz Inequality)

$$\Pr \left( \sup_x |\hat{F}_n(x) - F(x)| > \epsilon \right) \leq 2e^{-2n\epsilon}$$

So the empirical CDF is a good approximation of the actual CDF given that the above probability decreases exponentially.

$$\Pr \left\{ \frac{\sqrt{n} [\hat{F}_n(x) - F_X(x)]}{\sqrt{F_X(x) (1 - F_X(x))}} \leq t \right\} = \Phi(t)$$

where  $\Phi(\cdot)$  is the CDF of  $\mathcal{N}(0, 1)$ .

## 1.4 Empirical Quantile Function

$$\hat{Q}_n(u) = \begin{cases} X_{(1)} & \text{if } 0 < u \leq \frac{1}{n} \\ X_{(2)} & \text{if } \frac{1}{n} < u \leq \frac{2}{n} \\ \vdots & \\ X_{(n)} & \text{if } \frac{n-1}{n} < u \leq 1 \end{cases}$$

It is equivalent to say

$$\hat{Q}_n(u) = \inf \left[ x : \hat{F}_n(x) \geq u \right]$$

## 1.5 Properties and Applications of Order Statistics

**Theorem.** (*Property of order statistics*)

$$\begin{aligned} \Pr(X_{(r)} \leq t) &= \sum_{i=r}^n \Pr[n\hat{F}_n(t) = i] \\ &= \sum_{i=r}^n \binom{n}{i} [F_X(t)]^i [1 - F_X(t)]^{n-i} \end{aligned}$$

**Theorem.** (*Another property of order statistics*)

$$f_{X_{(r)}}(x) = \frac{n!}{(r-1)!(n-r)!} [F_X(x)]^{r-1} [1 - F_X(x)]^{n-r} f_X(x)$$

A special case: if  $X \sim U[0, 1]$ ,  $F_X(t) = t$  where  $0 < t < 1$ , then

$$F_{X_{(r)}}(t) = \sum_{i=r}^n \binom{n}{i} t^i (1-t)^{n-i}$$

$$f_{X_{(r)}}(t) = \frac{n!}{(r-1)!(n-r)!} t^{r-1} (1-t)^{n-r}, 0 < t < 1$$

which implies that  $X_{(r)} \sim \text{Beta}(r, n-r+1)$ .

Note that here both pdf and CDF are not distribution free.

**Corollary.** *CDF is the integral of PDF:*

$$\sum_{i=r}^n \binom{n}{i} t^i (1-t)^{n-i} = \frac{1}{B(r, n-r+1)} \int_0^t x^{r-1} (1-x)^{n-r} dx$$

Why do we care about the uniform distribution? Think about the following probability integral transform.

$$U_{(r)} = F_X(X_{(r)})$$

where  $U_{(r)}$  is the r-th order statistics from  $U[0, 1]$ . This is based on the following fact: if  $X \sim F_X$  and  $Y = F_X(X)$ , then  $Y \sim U[0, 1]$ . To prove this fact, think about the CDF of  $Y$ :

$$\begin{aligned} F_Y(u) &= \Pr(Y \leq u) \\ &= \Pr(F_X(X) \leq u) \\ &= \Pr(F^{-1}(F_X(X)) \leq F^{-1}(u)) \\ &= \Pr(X \leq Q(u)) \\ &= u \end{aligned}$$

This fact can be used to generate random number (a random number generator, though actually it is pseudo random). If  $U \sim U[0, 1]$  and  $X = F_X^{-1}(U)$ , then  $X \sim F_X$ . Note that the computer can generate uniformly distributed random numbers by the following steps

$$\begin{aligned} a_0 &= \text{initial number} \\ a_{n+1} &\equiv ba_n + c \pmod{m} \\ \text{Then } \frac{a_0}{m}, \frac{a_1}{m}, \frac{a_2}{m}, \dots &\sim U[0, 1] \end{aligned}$$

Here is also the first random number generator proposed by Von Neumann:

$$m_{n+1} = m_n^2 \pmod{10000}$$

It is not truly random for sure though it passes all tests of randomness. The concerns are reasonable but this is exactly what the first-generation computer uses.

Suppose we want to generate random numbers following exponential distributions with parameter 2:  $X \sim \text{Exp}(2)$ . It has CDF:  $F_X(x) = 1 - e^{-\frac{x}{2}}$ . We can first generate  $U \sim U[0, 1]$  and apply the inverse of the CDF:  $X = -2\ln(1 - U)$ . Then  $X \sim F_X$ .

## 1.6 Further Discussion of Order Statistics Density

### 1.6.1 Joint Distribution

The joint density is given by

$$f_{X_{(1)}, \dots, X_{(n)}}(y_1, \dots, y_n) = n! \prod_{i=1}^n f_X(y_i)$$

Note that  $n$  samples have  $n!$  permutations and that is why there is a factorial above.

### 1.6.2 Marginal Density

We have already known that the PDF of  $k$ -th order statistics is given by

$$\begin{aligned} f_{X_{(r)}}(x) &= \binom{n}{k-1} \binom{n-k+1}{1} [F(x)]^{k-1} f(x) [1-F(x)]^{n-k} \\ &= \frac{n!}{(k-1)!(n-k)!} [F(x)]^{k-1} [1-F(x)]^{n-k} f(x) \end{aligned}$$

Here is the strict proof. To begin with, we define some intervals:  $I_1 = (-\infty, x]$ ,  $I_2 = (x, x+h]$ ,  $I_3 = (x+h, \infty)$ . Correspondingly,  $P_1 = \Pr(x \in I_1) = F_X(x)$ ,  $P_2 = \Pr(x \in I_2) = F_X(x+h) - F_X(x)$ ,  $P_3 = \Pr(x \in I_3) = 1 - F_X(x+h)$ . Then

$$\begin{aligned} f_{X_{(r)}}(x) &= \lim_{h \rightarrow 0} \frac{F_{X_{(r)}}(x+h) - F_{X_{(r)}}(x)}{h} \\ &= \lim_{h \rightarrow 0} \binom{n}{r-1, 1, n-r} P_1^{r-1} P_2 P_3^{n-r} \\ &= \frac{n!}{(r-1)!(n-r)!} [F_X(x)]^{r-1} \lim_{h \rightarrow 0} \left\{ \frac{F_{X_{(r)}}(x+h) - F_{X_{(r)}}(x)}{h} [1 - F_X(x+h)]^{n-r} \right\} \\ &= \frac{n!}{(r-1)!(n-r)!} [F_X(x)]^{r-1} f_X(x) [1 - F_X(x)]^{n-r} \end{aligned}$$

For the joint distribution of two order statistics, we have also known that

$$f_{X_{(r)}, X_{(s)}}(x, y) = \begin{cases} \frac{n!}{(r-1)!(s-r-1)!(n-s)!} [F_X(x)]^{r-1} [F_X(y) - F_X(x)]^{s-r-1} [1 - F_X(y)]^{n-s} f_X(x) f_X(y), & x < y \\ 0, & \text{else.} \end{cases}$$

where  $r < s$ . Here is the strict proof. Similarly, we define  $I_1 = (-\infty, x]$ ,  $I_2 = (x, x+h]$ ,  $I_3 = (x+h, y]$ ,  $I_4 = (y, y+t]$ ,  $I_5 = (y+t, \infty)$ . Correspondingly,  $P_1 = F_X(x)$ ,  $P_2 = F_X(x+h) - F_X(x)$ ,  $P_3 = F_X(y) - F_X(x+h)$ ,  $P_4 = F_X(y+t) - F_X(y)$ ,  $P_5 = 1 - F_X(y+t)$ . Then

$$\begin{aligned} f_{X_{(r)}, X_{(s)}}(x, y) &= \lim_{\substack{h \rightarrow 0 \\ t \rightarrow 0}} \frac{F_{X_{(r)}, X_{(s)}}(x+h, y+t) - F_{X_{(r)}, X_{(s)}}(x, y+t) - F_{X_{(r)}, X_{(s)}}(x+h, y) + F_{X_{(r)}, X_{(s)}}(x, y)}{ht} \\ &= \lim_{\substack{h \rightarrow 0 \\ t \rightarrow 0}} \frac{Pr(x \leq X_{(r)} \leq x+h, y \leq X_{(s)} \leq y+t)}{ht} \\ &= \lim_{\substack{h \rightarrow 0 \\ t \rightarrow 0}} \binom{n}{r-1, 1, s-r-1, 1, n-s} P_1^{r-1} P_2 P_3^{s-r-1} P_4 P_5^{n-s} \end{aligned}$$

Plug in  $P_1, P_2, P_3, P_4$  and  $P_5$ , we get

$$\begin{aligned} f_{X_{(r)}, X_{(s)}}(x, y) &= \binom{n}{r-1, 1, s-r-1, 1, n-s} [F_X(x)]^{r-1} \lim_{\substack{h \rightarrow 0 \\ t \rightarrow 0}} \left\{ \frac{F_X(x+h) - F_X(x)}{h} [F_X(y) - F_X(x+h)]^{s-r-1} \right\} \\ &\cdot \lim_{\substack{h \rightarrow 0 \\ t \rightarrow 0}} \left\{ \frac{F_X(y+t) - F_X(y)}{t} [1 - F_X(y+t)]^{n-s} \right\} \\ &= \frac{n!}{(r-1)!(s-r-1)!(n-s)!} [F_X(x)]^{r-1} [F_X(y) - F_X(x)]^{s-r-1} [1 - F_X(y)]^{n-s} f_X(x) f_X(y) \end{aligned}$$

A special case: if  $X \sim U[0, 1]$ , then

$$f_{X_{(r)}, X_{(s)}}(x, y) = \frac{n!}{(r-1)!(s-r-1)!(n-s)!} x^{r-1} (y-x)^{s-r-1} (1-y)^{n-s}$$

The above result has several applications.

#### 1 Distribution of median

Suppose we have samples  $X_1, X_2, \dots, X_n$ , where  $n$  is even, the median is given by  $U = \frac{1}{2} [X_{(\frac{n}{2})} + X_{(\frac{n}{2}+1)}]$ .

By method of Jacobian, we can calculate the distribution of median.

#### 2 Distribution of range

Similarly we can calculate the distribution of  $X_{(n)} - X_{(1)}$ .

### 1.6.3 Moments of Order Statistics

Now we know the distribution of order statistics, so we can calculate the moments.

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbb{E}[X_{(r)}^k] &= \frac{n!}{(r-1)!(n-r)!} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} y^k [F_X(y)]^{r-1} [1 - F_X(y)]^{n-r} f_X(y) dy \\ &= \frac{n!}{(r-1)!(n-r)!} \int_0^1 [Q_X(u)]^k u^{r-1} [1-u]^{n-r} du \quad (\text{let } y = Q_X(u)) \\ &= \mathbb{E}[Q_X(u)]^k \end{aligned}$$

where the expectation is taken with respect to  $u$ . Note that  $u^{r-1}(1-u)^{n-r}$  is the kernel of beta distribution.  $U$  follows beta distribution. A special case:  $X \sim U[0, 1]$ ,  $Q_X(u) = u$ , then

$$\mathbb{E}[X_{(r)}^k] = \frac{n!(r+k-1)!}{(n+k)!(r-1)!}$$

which also implies that  $\mathbb{E}[X_{(r)}] = \frac{r}{n+1}$ . We then look at the variance:  $Var[X_{(r)}] = \mathbb{E}[X_{(r)}^2] - [\mathbb{E}[X_{(r)}]]^2 = \frac{r(n-r+1)}{(n+1)^2(n+2)}$ . For the covariance and correlation between  $X_{(r)}$  and  $X_{(s)}$ , we can predict that it is positive and it decreases when sample size  $n$  increases. The covariance is calculated as following.

$$\mathbb{E}[X_{(r)}X_{(s)}] =$$

$$\frac{n!}{(r-1)!(s-r-1)!(n-s)!} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \int_{-\infty}^y xy [F_X(x)]^{r-1} [F_X(y) - F_X(x)]^{s-r-1} [1 - F_X(y)]^{n-s} f_X(x) f_X(y) dx dy$$

If we let  $F_X(x) = u$ ,  $F_X(y) = v$ , then  $du = f_X(x)dx$ ,  $dv = f_X(y)dy$ . And the above integral becomes

$$\mathbb{E}[X_{(r)}X_{(s)}] = \frac{n!}{(r-1)!(s-r-1)!(n-s)!} \int_0^1 \int_0^{Q_X(v)} Q_X(u) Q_X(v) u^{r-1} (v-u)^{s-r-1} (1-v)^{n-s} du dv$$

where in the integral  $x$  corresponds to  $X_{(r)}$  and  $y$  corresponds to  $X_{(s)}$ . Because we also have  $X \sim U[0, 1]$ ,  $Q_X(u) = u$ ,  $Q_X(v) = v$ , then

$$\mathbb{E}[X_{(r)}X_{(s)}] = \frac{n!}{(r-1)!(s-r-1)!(n-s)!} \int_0^1 \int_0^v u^r v (v-u)^{s-r-1} (1-v)^{n-s} du dv$$

We can then evaluate the integral by the following variable transformation. If we let  $z = \frac{u}{v}$ , then the integral becomes a kernel corresponding to beta distribution:

$$\mathbb{E}[X_{(r)}X_{(s)}] = \frac{r(s+1)}{(n+1)(n+2)}$$

So

$$\begin{aligned} Cov[X_{(r)}, X_{(s)}] &= \mathbb{E}[X_{(r)}X_{(s)}] - \mathbb{E}[X_{(r)}] \mathbb{E}[X_{(s)}] \\ &= \frac{r(s+1)}{(n+1)(n+2)} - \frac{rs}{(n+1)^2} \\ &= \frac{r(n-s+1)}{(n+1)^2(n+2)}, \text{ for } r < s \\ Corr[X_{(r)}, X_{(s)}] &= \sqrt{\frac{r(n-s+1)}{s(n-r+1)}}, \text{ for } r < s \end{aligned}$$

A special case: if  $r = 1$  and  $s = n$  then

$$Corr[X_{(r)}, X_{(s)}] = \frac{1}{n}$$

#### 1.6.4 Approximation of Moments of Order Statistics

To introduce the topic, consider the following problem: If we know  $\mathbb{E}[X] = \mu$  and we want to estimate  $\mathbb{E}[X^k]$ , is it reasonable to use  $\mu^k$ ? The answer is yes: it is not a nonsense estimation because it is actually a 1-st order approximation.

We can implement Taylor theorem. Let  $z$  be a random variable and  $g$  a smooth function, which means that its derivatives of all orders exist. Then

$$g(z) = g(\mu) + \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} \frac{(z-\mu)^i}{i!} g^{(i)}(\mu)$$

where  $\mu = \mathbb{E}[z]$ . Take expectations on both sides,

$$\mathbb{E}[g(z)] = g(\mu) + \frac{\sigma^2}{2!} g^{(2)}(\mu) + \sum_{i=3}^{\infty} \frac{\mathbb{E}[(z-\mu)^i]}{i!} g^{(i)}(\mu)$$

which gives the 2nd order approximation of  $g(z)$ . Note that the result is consistent with Jensen's inequality which is about convex functions. To approximate  $\text{Var}(g(z))$ , we can first calculate

$$\begin{aligned} & g(z) - \mathbb{E}[g(z)] \\ &= (z-\mu)g^{(1)}(\mu) + \frac{g^{(2)}(\mu)}{2!} [(z-\mu)^2 - \text{Var}[z]] + \sum_{i=3}^{\infty} \frac{g^{(i)}(\mu)}{i!} [(z-\mu)^i - \mathbb{E}[(z-\mu)^i]] \end{aligned}$$

Similarly,

$$\begin{aligned} & [g(z) - \mathbb{E}[g(z)]]^2 \\ &= (z-\mu)^2 [g^{(1)}(\mu)]^2 + \frac{1}{4} [g^{(2)}(\mu)]^2 [\text{Var}^2[z] - 2\text{Var}[z](z-\mu)^2] - g^{(1)}(\mu)g^{(2)}(\mu)\text{Var}[z](z-\mu) + h(z) \end{aligned}$$

where  $h(z)$  is high-order terms. Take expectations on both sides, we get

$$\text{Var}[g(z)] = \underbrace{\sigma^2 [g^{(1)}(\mu)]^2}_{\text{first order}} - \frac{1}{4} [g^{(2)}(\mu)]^2 \sigma^4 + \mathbb{E}[h(z)]$$

*second order approximation*

There is also an application of this approximation: if  $X \sim N(\mu, \sigma^2)$ , then  $g(X) \sim N(g(\mu), \sigma^2 [g^{(1)}(\mu)]^2)$  approximately (also called delta method). So how does it relate to order statistics? Let  $z = U_{(r)}$ ,  $X_{(r)} = F_X^{-1}(U_{(r)})$ ,  $g(\cdot) = Q_X(\cdot)$ , and also  $\mu = \mathbb{E}[z] = \frac{r}{n+1}$ ,  $\sigma^2 = \text{Var}[z] = \frac{r(n-r+1)}{(n+1)^2(n+2)}$ , then the 1st order approximation is given by

$$\mathbb{E}[X_{(r)}] = F_X^{-1}\left(\frac{r}{n+1}\right), \text{Var}[X_{(r)}] = \frac{r(n-r+1)}{(n+1)^2(n+2)} \left\{ f_X \left[ F_X^{-1}\left(\frac{r}{n+1}\right) \right] \right\}^{-2}$$

The next question is about the asymptotic distribution of  $X_{(r)}$ . Let  $\frac{r}{n} \rightarrow p \in (0, 1)$ , then we have the following theorem.

**Theorem.** (*root n consistent*)

$$\left[ \frac{n}{p(1-p)} \right]^{\frac{1}{2}} f_X(\mu) [X_{(r)} - \mu] \xrightarrow{D} N(0, 1)$$

where  $\mu = F_X^{-1}(p)$ .

*Proof.* (sketch) First we can consider the simplest case  $X \sim U[0, 1]$ . There is no loss of generality because we can transform uniform distribution to any distribution as long as we know the CDF. We have known that

$$f_{U_{(r)}}(u) = \frac{n!}{(r-1)!(n-r)!} u^{r-1} (1-u)^{n-r}$$

where  $0 < u < 1$ . If we standardize  $U_{(r)}$ : let  $Z_{(r)} = \frac{U_{(r)} - \mu}{\sigma}$ , then

$$f_{Z_{(r)}} = n \binom{n-1}{r-1} \sigma \mu^{r-1} (1-\mu)^{n-r} e^v$$

where  $v = (r-1) \ln \left(1 + \frac{\sigma z}{\mu}\right) + (n-r) \ln \left(1 - \frac{\sigma z}{1-\mu}\right)$ . Because we have  $\ln(1+x) = \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} (-1)^{i-1} \frac{x^i}{i}$ , we can let  $C_1 = \frac{\sigma}{\mu}$ ,  $C_2 = \frac{\sigma}{1-\mu}$  and then get

$$v = (r-1) \left( C_1 z - C_1^2 \frac{z^2}{2} + C_1^3 \frac{z^3}{3} - \dots \right) - (n-r) \left( C_2 z + C_2^2 \frac{z^2}{2} + C_2^3 \frac{z^3}{3} - \dots \right)$$

We then observe that  $C_1 \rightarrow \left(\frac{1-p}{pn}\right)^{\frac{1}{2}}$ ,  $C_2 \rightarrow \left(\frac{p}{(1-p)n}\right)^{\frac{1}{2}}$  and the coefficients for  $z$ ,  $\frac{z^2}{2}$  and  $\frac{z^3}{3}$  go to 0, 1, 0 respectively. So we have  $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} v = -\frac{z^2}{2}$ . Substitute back, also by Stirling's formula ( $k! \approx \sqrt{2\pi} e^{-k} k^{k+\frac{1}{2}}$ ), we get

$$n \binom{n-1}{r-1} \sigma \mu^{r-1} (1-\mu)^{n-r} \rightarrow \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}}$$

and

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} f_{Z_{(r)}} = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}} e^{-\frac{1}{2} z^2}$$

□

Here the proof is for  $U(0,1)$ . For a general distribution, we can immediately have the following transformation and get similar results.

$$\begin{aligned} X_{(r)} &= F_X^{-1}(U_{(r)}) \\ \mathbb{E}[X_{(r)}] &\rightarrow F_X^{-1}(p) \\ \text{Var}[X_{(r)}] &\approx \frac{p(1-p)}{n} [f_X(\mu)]^{-2} \end{aligned}$$