

Road to Curvature

Recall the covariant derivative

$$\begin{aligned}
 \nabla_\mu \phi &\equiv \partial_\mu \phi \\
 &\downarrow \\
 \nabla_\mu V^\nu &\equiv \partial_\mu V^\nu + \Gamma_{\mu\lambda}^\nu V^\lambda \\
 &\downarrow \\
 \nabla_\mu W_\nu &\equiv \partial_\mu W_\nu - \Gamma_{\mu\nu}^\lambda W_\lambda
 \end{aligned} \tag{1}$$

∇_μ is made unique by demanding

- (a) Torsion free
- (b) Metric compatible

Point a: Torsion Free)

$$[\nabla_\mu, \nabla_\nu] \phi = 0 \qquad \text{As } [\partial_\mu, \partial_\nu] \phi = 0$$

L.H.S.=

$$\begin{aligned}
 &[\nabla_\mu \nabla_\nu - \nabla_\nu \nabla_\mu] \phi \\
 &= \nabla_\mu \nabla_\nu \phi - \nabla_\nu \nabla_\mu \phi \\
 &= \nabla_\mu (\partial_\nu \phi) - \nabla_\nu (\partial_\mu \phi) \\
 &= \partial_\mu (\partial_\nu \phi) - \Gamma_{\mu\nu}^\lambda \partial_\lambda \phi - \nabla_\nu (\partial_\mu \phi) + \Gamma_{\nu\mu}^\lambda \partial_\lambda \phi \\
 &= \partial_\mu (\partial_\nu \phi) - \Gamma_{\mu\nu}^\lambda \partial_\lambda \phi - \nabla_\nu (\partial_\mu \phi) + \Gamma_{\nu\mu}^\lambda \partial_\lambda \phi \\
 &= (\Gamma_{\nu\mu}^\lambda - \Gamma_{\mu\nu}^\lambda) \partial_\lambda \phi \\
 &= T_{\mu\nu}^\lambda \partial_\lambda \phi
 \end{aligned} \tag{2}$$

where

$$T_{\mu\nu}^\lambda \equiv (\Gamma_{\mu\nu}^\lambda - \Gamma_{\nu\mu}^\lambda) \tag{3}$$

is the Torsion tensor.

For Einstein theory, this torsion is required to be zero.

\therefore In GR, Thus $\Gamma_{\mu\nu}^\lambda = \Gamma_{\nu\mu}^\lambda$ is symmetric.

Point b: Metricity)

$$\nabla_\mu g_{\nu\lambda} = 0 \quad (\text{Why?})$$

Let

$$g_{\mu\nu} U^\mu V^\nu = X \quad (4)$$

$$\begin{aligned} \nabla_\lambda X &= \nabla_\lambda (g_{\mu\nu} U^\mu V^\nu) \\ &= (\nabla_\lambda g_{\mu\nu}) U^\mu V^\nu + g_{\mu\nu} \nabla_\lambda [U^\mu V^\nu] \end{aligned} \quad (5)$$

To have a uniform rule for $g_{\mu\nu}$, it is consistent to have

$$\nabla_\lambda g_{\mu\nu} = 0 \quad (6)$$

Consequence of a) +b) is that

Γ is completely determined by $g_{\mu\nu}$ (rather it's derivative)

Comment:

Unlike GR there is no analog of metricity condition in Yang-Mills's gauge theories.

Now, metricity implies

$$\nabla_\mu g_{\nu\lambda} = \partial_\mu g_{\nu\lambda} - \Gamma_{\mu\nu}^k g_{k\lambda} - \Gamma_{\mu\lambda}^k g_{\nu k} = 0 \quad (7)$$

$$\nabla_\nu g_{\lambda\mu} = \partial_\nu g_{\lambda\mu} - \Gamma_{\nu\lambda}^k g_{k\mu} - \Gamma_{\nu\mu}^k g_{\lambda k} = 0 \quad (8)$$

$$\nabla_\lambda g_{\mu\nu} = \partial_\lambda g_{\mu\nu} - \Gamma_{\lambda\mu}^k g_{k\nu} - \Gamma_{\lambda\nu}^k g_{\mu k} = 0 \quad (9)$$

$$(7)+(8)-(9)$$

$$\begin{aligned} 0 &= (\partial_\mu g_{\nu\lambda} + \partial_\nu g_{\lambda\mu} - \partial_\lambda g_{\mu\nu}) - (\Gamma_{\mu\nu}^k + \Gamma_{\nu\mu}^k) g_{\lambda k} \\ &\quad g_{k\nu} (\Gamma_{\mu\lambda}^k - \Gamma_{\lambda\mu}^k) + g_{\mu k} (\Gamma_{\lambda\nu}^k - \Gamma_{\nu\lambda}^k) \end{aligned} \quad (10)$$

If the torsion free condition is imposed third and fourth term will be zero. (*i.e.* $\Gamma_{\mu\nu}^\lambda = \Gamma_{\nu\mu}^\lambda \Leftrightarrow T_{\mu\nu}^\lambda = 0$)

Then this equation simplifies to :

$$\begin{aligned}
0 &= (\partial_\mu g_{\nu\lambda} + \partial_\nu g_{\mu\lambda} - \partial_\lambda g_{\mu\nu}) - 2\Gamma_{\mu\nu}^k g_{\lambda k} \\
\Gamma_{\mu\nu}^k g_{\lambda k} &= \frac{1}{2} (\partial_\mu g_{\nu\lambda} + \partial_\nu g_{\mu\lambda} - \partial_\lambda g_{\mu\nu}) \\
g^{\lambda\rho} g_{\lambda k} \Gamma_{\mu\nu}^k &= \frac{1}{2} g^{\lambda\rho} (\partial_\mu g_{\nu\lambda} + \partial_\nu g_{\mu\lambda} - \partial_\lambda g_{\mu\nu}) \\
\delta_k^\rho \Gamma_{\mu\nu}^k &= \frac{1}{2} g^{\lambda\rho} (\partial_\mu g_{\nu\lambda} + \partial_\nu g_{\mu\lambda} - \partial_\lambda g_{\mu\nu}) \\
\Gamma_{\mu\nu}^\rho &= \frac{1}{2} g^{\lambda\rho} (\partial_\mu g_{\nu\lambda} + \partial_\nu g_{\mu\lambda} - \partial_\lambda g_{\mu\nu})
\end{aligned} \tag{11}$$

Covariant Divergence

$$\nabla_\mu V^\mu \equiv \partial_\mu V^\mu + \Gamma_{\mu\rho}^\mu V^\rho \tag{12}$$

Now

$$\begin{aligned}
\Gamma_{\mu\rho}^\mu &= \frac{1}{2} g^{\mu\lambda} (\partial_\mu g_{\lambda\rho} + \partial_\rho g_{\lambda\mu} - \partial_\lambda g_{\mu\rho}) \\
&= \frac{1}{2} g^{\mu\lambda} \partial_\rho g_{\lambda\rho} \\
&= \frac{1}{2} \text{Tr}(g^{-1} \partial_\rho g)
\end{aligned} \tag{13}$$

Recall for any non-singular finite matrix

$$\begin{aligned}
\ln(\det A) &= \text{Tr}(\ln A) \\
\text{if } A &= S\Lambda S^{-1} \\
f(A) &= S f(\Lambda) S^{-1} \\
\ln f(A) &= S f(\Lambda) S^{-1} \\
\ln(\det g) &= \text{Tr}(\ln g) \\
\partial_\rho \ln(\det g) &= \text{Tr}(\partial_\rho \ln g) \\
\partial_\rho \ln(\det g) &= \text{Tr}(g^{-1} \partial_\rho g)
\end{aligned} \tag{14}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
\Gamma_{\mu\rho}^{\mu} &= \frac{1}{2} \text{Tr}(g^{-1} \partial_{\rho} g) \\
&= \frac{1}{2} \partial_{\rho} \ln(\det g) \\
&= \partial_{\rho} \ln \sqrt{(\det g)} \\
&= \partial_{\rho} \ln \sqrt{g} \\
&= \frac{1}{\sqrt{g}} \partial_{\rho} \sqrt{g}
\end{aligned} \tag{15}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
\therefore \nabla_{\mu} V^{\mu} &= \partial_{\mu} V^{\mu} + \frac{1}{\sqrt{g}} \partial_{\rho} \sqrt{g} \\
\boxed{\nabla_{\mu} V^{\mu} &= \frac{1}{\sqrt{g}} \partial_{\rho} (\sqrt{g} V^{\mu})}
\end{aligned} \tag{16}$$

Recall :

$$\begin{aligned}
g_{\mu\nu} &\longrightarrow g'_{\mu\nu} = \frac{\partial x^a}{\partial x'^{\mu}} \frac{\partial x^b}{\partial x'^{\nu}} g_{ab} \\
&= \frac{\partial x^a}{\partial x'^{\mu}} g_{ab} \frac{\partial x^b}{\partial x'^{\nu}} \\
&= M g M^T \\
\implies \det g' &= (\det M)^2 \det g \\
\text{Under } X &\longrightarrow X' \\
g &\implies g' = (\det M)^2 g \\
\sqrt{g'} &= (\det M) \sqrt{g}
\end{aligned} \tag{17}$$

Jacobi teaches us : $x \rightarrow x'$

$$d^D x \rightarrow d^D x' = \left\| \frac{\partial x'}{\partial x} \right\| d^D x = (\det M)^{-1} d^D x \tag{18}$$

Hence, $\sqrt{g} d^D x$ is invariant.

We are thus immediately led to the covariant form of the Gauss's theorem.

$$\int d^D x \sqrt{g} \frac{1}{\sqrt{g}} \partial_{\mu} (\sqrt{g} V^{\mu}) = \int d\Sigma_{\mu} V^{\mu} \sqrt{g} \tag{19}$$