

Summary Post for

Collaborative Discussion 2: Agent Communication Languages

This week's discussion has provided a rich and nuanced exploration of Agent Communication Languages (ACLs) like KQML, particularly in contrast to traditional programming paradigms such as method invocation in Java or Python. As highlighted in my initial post and reinforced by Marwa and Guilherme's insightful responses, ACLs enable agents to exchange semantically meaningful messages using performatives, supporting autonomy and cooperation across heterogeneous platforms (Finin, Labrou and Mayfield, 1994).

Marwa rightly emphasised how ACLs enhance adaptive collaboration in distributed systems where agents operate independently. However, she also pointed out the implementation complexities, especially the need for well-defined ontologies and shared semantics to avoid miscommunication—a concern echoed in the literature (Cohen and Levesque, 1995).

Guilherme further enriched the discussion by contrasting ACLs with object-oriented approaches. He noted that while ACLs introduce overhead, they are ideal for complex, open-ended systems. In contrast, method invocation is more suitable for simpler applications due to its speed, ease of debugging, and reduced complexity (Bennett, Farmer and McRobb, 2016; Soon et al., 2019).

Collectively, these perspectives underscore the importance of aligning the communication strategy with the system's scale and integration level. ACLs offer long-term scalability and flexibility, whereas traditional methods remain efficient for straightforward, tightly coupled systems.

References:

Bennett, S., Farmer, R. and McRobb, S. (2016) *Object-Oriented Systems Analysis and Design Using UML*. 4th edn. London: McGraw-Hill. Available at: <https://www.mheducation.co.uk/object-oriented-systems-analysis-and-design-using-uml-9780077125363-emea> (Accessed: 7 April 2025).

Cohen, P.R. and Levesque, H.J. (1995) 'Communicative actions for artificial agents', *Proceedings of the International Conference on Multiagent Systems*, San Francisco, CA, 12–14 June. Cambridge, MA: MIT Press, pp. 65–72.

Finin, T., Labrou, Y. and Mayfield, J. (1994) 'KQML as an agent communication language', *Proceedings of the Third International Conference on Information and Knowledge Management*, New York: ACM, pp. 456–463. Available at: <https://doi.org/10.1145/191246.191322> (Accessed: 10 March 2025).

Soon, G.K. et al. (2019) 'A Review on Agent Communication Language', in Alfred, R. et al. (eds) *Computational Science and Technology*. Singapore: Springer Singapore (Lecture Notes in Electrical Engineering), pp. 481–491. Available at: https://doi.org/10.1007/978-981-13-2622-6_47.

Woolridge, M. (2009) *An Introduction to MultiAgent Systems*. 2nd edn. Chichester: John Wiley & Sons. Available at: <https://www.wiley.com/en-us/An+Introduction+to+MultiAgent+Systems%2C+2nd+Edition-p-9780470519462> (Accessed: 11 February 2025).